merchandise includes the crude pigment in any form (e.g., dry powder, paste, wet cake) and finished pigment in the form of presscake and dry color. Pigment dispersions in any form (e.g., pigments dispersed in oleoresins, flammable solvents, water) are not included within the scope of the review. The merchandise subject to this review is classifiable under subheading 3204.17.9040 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the merchandise in the scope of the order is dispositive.

## **Rescission of Administrative Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review under this section, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. No other party requested an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 from India. Petitioners withdrew their request on both Alpanil and Pidilite within the 90 days of the January 28, 2008 publication of the notice of initiation of this administrative review. Therefore, in response to petitioners' withdrawal of their request for an administrative review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department hereby rescinds the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 from India. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 days after the date of publication of this rescission of administrative review.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective disorder is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with the sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 24, 2008.

### Stephen J. Claevs,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–17585 Filed 7–30–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S** 

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **International Trade Administration**

North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904; NAFTA Panel Reviews; Request for Panel Review.

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of First Request for Panel Review.

**SUMMARY:** On July 23, 2008, Productos Laminados de Monterrey S.A. de C.V. and Prolamsa, Inc. (collectively, "Prolamsa") filed a First Request for Panel Review with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value made by the International Trade Administration respecting Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube From Mexico. The determination was published in the Federal Register (73 FR 35649) on June 24, 2008. The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number USA-MEX-2008-1904-03 to this request.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Valerie Dees, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter** 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada, and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

A first Request for Panel Review was filed with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat, pursuant to Article 1904 of the Agreement on July 23, 2008, requesting panel review of the determination described above.

The Rules provide that:

(a) A Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is August 22, 2008);

(b) A Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Notice of Appearance is September 8, 2008); and

(c) The panel review shall be limited to the allegations of error of fact or law, including the jurisdiction of the investigating authority, that are set out in the Complaints filed in the panel review and the procedural and substantive defenses raised in the panel review.

Dated: July 25, 2008.

#### Valerie Dees,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat. [FR Doc. E8–17534 Filed 7–30–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–GT–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XJ33

# **Endangered and Threatened Species;** Take of Anadromous Fish

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that NMFS has received an application from the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), for a direct take permit pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA). The duration of the proposed Permit is ten years. This document serves to

notify the public of the availability for comment of the permit application. All comments received will become part of the public record and will be available for review pursuant to the ESA.

**DATES:** Written comments on the application and draft EA must be received at the appropriate address or fax number (see **ADDRESSES**) no later than 5 p.m. Pacific daylight time on September 2, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on the application should be sent to Richard Turner, National Marine Fisheries Services, Salmon Recovery Division, 1201 N.E. Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97232. Comments may also be submitted by e-mail to: graysriver.nwr@noaa.gov. Include in the subject line of the e-mail comment the following identifier: Comments on Grays River program. Comments may also be sent via facsimile (fax) to (503) 872-2737. Requests for copies of the permit application should be directed to the National Marine Fisheries Services, Salmon Recovery Division, 1201 NE Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97232. The documents are also available on the Internet at www.nwr.noaa.gov. Comments received will also be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours by calling (503) 736-4737.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Turner at (503) 736–4737 or e-mail: rich.turner@noaa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is relevant to the following species and evolutionarily significant units (ESUs) or distinct population segments (DPSs)

Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus. tshawytscha*): threatened, Lower Columbia River

Coho salmon (*O. kisutch*): threatened, Lower Columbia River

Chum salmon (*O. keta*): threatened, Columbia River

### Background

Section 9 of the ESA and Federal regulations prohibit the ≥taking≥ of a species listed as endangered or threatened. The term ≥take≥ is defined under the ESA to mean harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. NMFS may issue permits to take listed species for any act otherwise prohibited by section 9 for scientific purposes or to enhance the propagation or survival of the affected species, under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the ESA. NMFS regulations governing permits for

threatened and endangered species are promulgated at 50 CFR 222.307.

NMFS expects to take action on a ESA section 10(a)(1)(A) submittal received from the applicant. In an application received on July 11, 2008, WDFW submitted an application to NMFS for an ESA section 10(a)(1)(A) permit for the direct take of ESA-listed threatened Lower Columbia River Chinook salmon, Lower Columbia River Coho salmon. and Columbia River Chum salmon from the Grays River in Wahkiakum County, Washington. A temporary weir will be installed in the lower Grays River in order to complement existing adult salmonid monitoring efforts in the Gravs River in developing accurate and precise estimates of total abundance, and to promote recovery of the Grays River fall Chinook salmon population through the removal of non-local hatchery Chinook salmon.

This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the ESA. NMFS will evaluate the application, associated documents, and comments submitted thereon to determine whether the application meets the requirements of section 10(a)(1)(A) of the ESA. If it is determined that the requirements are met, a permit will be issued to the WDFW for the purpose of carrying out the research program. NMFS will publish a record of its final action in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 25, 2008.

# Angela Somma,

Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. E8–17570 Filed 7–30–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–22–S** 

# COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Determination of Appropriateness of Standards of the United Kingdom's Financial Services Authority for Oversight and Supervision of ICE Clear Europe Limited, a Multilateral Clearing Organization

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Order.

SUMMARY: The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) is issuing an Order pursuant to Section 409(b)(3) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act (FDICIA). Section 409(b)(3) provides that the Commission (or one of several other authorized U.S. financial regulators) may determine that the supervision by a foreign financial regulator of a multilateral clearing organization (MCO) for over-the-counter (OTC) instruments satisfies appropriate standards. The Commission is issuing this Order with respect to the supervision by the United Kingdom's (UK) Financial Services Authority (FSA) of ICE Clear Europe Limited (ICE Clear Europe).

**DATES:** Effective Date: July 31, 2008. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** 

Robert B. Wasserman, Associate Director, 202–418–5092, rwasserman@cftc.gov, or Lois J. Gregory, Special Counsel, 816–960–7719, lgregory@cftc.gov, Division of Clearing and Intermediary Oversight, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1151 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The CFTC has issued the following Order:

Order Issued Pursuant to Section 409 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act Determining the Appropriateness of the Standards of the United Kingdom's Financial Services Authority in the Oversight and Supervision of ICE Clear Europe Limited, a Multilateral Clearing Organization.

FDICIA Section 409 provides that, in order to operate an MCO 2 for over-thecounter (OTC) derivatives instruments,3 a clearing organization must meet one of several alternative requirements. In particular, a clearing organization will qualify to operate such an MCO if it is supervised by a foreign financial regulator that the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the CFTC, as applicable, has determined satisfies appropriate standards.4

ICE Clear Europe, a Recognised Clearing House under the supervision of the UK FSA, has requested that the CFTC determine that the FSA's program for supervision of their clearing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 12 U.S.C. 4422.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> FDICIA Section 408(1), 12 U.S.C. 4421(1), defines MCO to mean "a system utilized by more than [two] participants in which the bilateral credit exposures of participants arising from the transactions cleared are effectively eliminated and replaced by a system of guarantees, insurance, or mutualized risk of loss."

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  FDICIA Section 408(2), 12 U.S.C. 4421(2) defines OTC derivative instrument.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>FDICIA Section 409(b)(3), 12 U.S.C. 4422(b)(3). The CFTC has issued two previous orders pursuant to this authority determining that the supervision of particular MCOs by a foreign financial regulator met appropriate standards. The foreign financial regulators involved were the Norwegian Banking, Insurance and Securities Commission and the Alberta (Canada) Securities Commission. See 67 FR 2419 (January 17, 2002) and 71 FR 10959 (March 3, 2006), respectively.