PNNL-13597 Rev. 1

Gas Releases During Saltcake Dissolution for Retrieval of Single-Shell Tank Waste

C. W. Stewart

December 2001

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-76RL01830

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor Battelle Memorial Institute nor any of their employees makes **any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights**. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or Battelle Memorial Institute. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY *operated by* BATTELLE for the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY *under Contract DE-AC06-76RL01830*

Printed in the United States of America Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062; ph: (865) 576-8401 fax: (865) 576-5728 email: reports@adonis.osti.gov Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161 ph: (800) 553-6847 fax: (703) 605-6900 email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov online ordering: http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm

This document was printed on recycled paper.

(8/00)

PNNL-13597 Rev. 1

Gas Releases During Saltcake Dissolution for Retrieval of Single-Shell Tank Waste

C.W. Stewart

December 2001

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Richland, WA 99352

Abstract

It is possible to retrieve a large fraction of soluble waste from the Hanford single-shell waste tanks (SSTs) by dissolving it with water. This retrieval method will be demonstrated in Tanks U-107 and S-112 in the next few years. If saltcake dissolution proves practical and effective, many of the saltcake SSTs may be retrieved by this method. Many of the SSTs retain flammable gas that will be released into the tank headspace as the waste dissolves. This report describes the physical processes that control dissolution and gas release. Calculation results are shown and describe how the headspace hydrogen concentration evolves during dissolution. The observed spontaneous and induced gas releases from SSTs are summarized, and the dissolution of the crust layer in SY-101 is discussed as a recent example of full-scale dissolution of saltcake containing a large volume of retained gas. The report concludes that the dissolution rate is selflimiting and that gas release rates are relatively low.

Executive Summary

Saltcake dissolution is a method that has been proposed for retrieving water-soluble salts from the Hanford single-shell tanks (SSTs). Water will be sprayed on the surface of the waste to dissolve the soluble fraction, and the resulting brine will be pumped out of the tank with an existing saltwell pumping system. Because a large fraction of typical saltcake waste is soluble, most of the waste in an SST can, in principle, be retrieved for nearly the same expenditure of time and money as a typical interim stabilization campaign. A proof-of-concept test (limited to approximately 100,000 gallons of brine) is planned in Tank 241-U-107 (U-107) during the autumn of 2001. A full-tank retrieval demonstration system is planned for Tank 241-S-112 (S-112) in 2002–2003. If saltcake dissolution proves practical and effective, many of the saltcake SSTs may be retrieved by this method.

Many of the SSTs contain flammable gases that will be released into the tank headspace as the waste dissolves. U-107 contains 180 ± 60 cubic meters $(6,400 \pm 2,000 \text{ scf})$ of retained gas, and S-112 is estimated to retain 122 ± 61 cubic meters $(4,300 \pm 2,200 \text{ scf})$. This report investigates the potential hazard of the flammable gas that will be released during the dissolution process.

Dissolution is expected to release this gas in proportion to the fraction of the waste in which the soluble solids are dissolved. This process is self-limiting and controllable. Water or dilute brine capable of dissolving solids is less dense than the saturated liquid in equilibrium with the solids. Therefore, the solvent cannot penetrate below the pre-existing interstitial liquid in the waste, and dissolution can occur only in waste that is not saturated with liquid. Once the solvent has become saturated, it is no longer capable of further dissolution and forms a barrier to the less dense liquid from above.

This means that dissolution, and the associated gas release, can proceed no faster than the interstitial liquid can drain away. This is the primary mechanism that limits dissolution-induced gas releases. This self-limiting behavior makes gas release by dissolution controllable. The dissolution rate can be reduced relatively quickly by terminating pumping (accumulating brine forms a barrier to fresh solvent) and by shutting off the water spray (terminating the supply of fresh solvent). The most effective control is achieved if dissolution is local and the inventory of excess solvent is kept to a minimum.

The predicted gas release rates induced by dissolution are relatively low. The U-107 proofof-concept demonstration calls for adding a maximum of 2,400 gallons of water per day. Assuming all of this water dissolves waste and all the brine is removed by saltwell pumping, the dissolution rate is 4,392 gal of waste/day, equivalent to an average saltwell pumping rate of 3.58 gpm. The corresponding gas release is estimated at 117 scfd, assumed to be 50% hydrogen. Assuming that the ventilation rate in U-107 is $2.5 \text{ ft}^3/\text{min}$, the hydrogen concentration will reach the action level of 6,250 ppm in eight days. The maximum hydrogen concentration would eventually reach 1.4 vol%, or 35% of the lower flammability limit (LFL), if water addition were continued until all the wastes were removed.

The S-112 retrieval demonstration may employ an improved saltwell pump with a capacity of 10 gpm. Assuming brine is removed at this rate continuously, the dissolution rate will be about 12,000 gal of waste/day. Conservatively assuming the same gas volume fraction of 0.20 as in U-107 in the waste below the interstitial liquid level, the gas release rate from S-112 is 328 scfd. Assuming that the ventilation rate is 2.5 scfm and the released gas is 50% hydrogen, the action level of 6,250 ppm hydrogen should occur in about three days, and the final hydrogen concentration will not reach the LFL.

The small gas releases and the waste behavior during the dissolution of the crust layer in Tank SY-101 qualitatively validated our understanding of the physics of solvent flow and saltcake dissolution. The initial 120-inch crust layer in this tank was comparable in thickness to the non-supernate waste in U-107 but contained almost twice as much gas. The crust was dissolved in a series of three waste transfers and back-dilutions that eventually added 525,000 gal of water. The bulk of the crust dissolution and gas release occurred in the second back-dilution when the headspace hydrogen concentration peaked at about 3,000 ppm. This concentration represents a sudden release of about 200 scf of hydrogen, much more than can be expected during dissolution of SST waste.

All the saltcake tanks contain some dissolved ammonia that is released from the liquid waste mainly by evaporation. Dilution reduces the ammonia evaporation rate significantly because the solubility of ammonia increases greatly as the pH decreases with dilution. Spraying water on the waste surface for retrieval will effectively prevent significant ammonia releases and will probably reduce ammonia concentrations below the historic baseline values.

The overall conclusion is that gas releases accompanying saltcake dissolution can be expected to correspond roughly to those already experienced during saltwell pumping. The gas release rates are relatively low and the dominant mechanisms self-limiting, so the process is controllable by adjusting the pumping and water addition rates. There is no known mechanism that can create large sudden gas releases during this process.

Contents

1.0 Introduction

Saltcake dissolution is a method that has been proposed for retrieving water-soluble salts from the single-shell tanks (SSTs). A proof-of-concept test is planned to transfer at least 100,000 gal of brine from Tank U-107 to the double-shell tank (DST) system during the fall of 2001. These operations are intended to provide key information to reduce the technical risk of a full-tank retrieval demonstration system in S-112 planned for 2002–2003. If saltcake dissolution proves practical and effective, many of the saltcake SSTs may be retrieved by this method.

In the saltcake dissolution retrieval concept, water is sprayed on the waste surface to dissolve the soluble fraction of the waste. The resulting liquid drains to a centrally located saltwell and is pumped out of the tank with a saltwell pumping system. Because sodium salts (e.g., sodium nitrite, nitrate, and phosphate) and some other soluble species compose a large fraction of typical saltcake waste, the water spray is expected to rapidly dissolve the solids it contacts. With this method, the retrieval of most of the soluble waste in a tank can, in principle, be accomplished in about the same amount of time as an interim stabilization campaign.

Saltwell pumping, or interim stabilization, has been performed for many years in the SSTs to minimize the amount of liquid waste that could potentially leak into the surrounding soil. Drainable liquid in the interstitial pores in the solid waste is being removed from all SSTs as part of the tank interim stabilization process. In saltwell pumping, a long cylindrical metal screen (the saltwell screen) is installed as a well casing in the solid waste. In most tanks, the saltwell screen is installed near the center of the tank and extends virtually to the bottom of the tank. A jet pump located inside and at the bottom of the saltwell screen is used to pump liquid out as it drains into the saltwell screen. At the onset of pumping, any supernatant liquid (i.e., free liquid above the solids) drains quickly into the saltwell, and the rate of liquid removal is limited by pump capacity. As the liquid inventory is depleted, the pumping rate eventually exceeds the rate at which liquids drain into the saltwell screen, and the pumping rate is reduced to approximately match the liquid drainage rate to prevent the jet pump from running dry. Saltwell pumping ends when the drainage rate falls below a specified minimum value.

Many of the SSTs contain flammable gas (Mahoney et al. 1999; Hedengren et al. 2001) that will be released into the tank headspace as the waste dissolves. If the flammable gas were released during the dissolution process more rapidly than it could escape by passive ventilation, the gas mixture in the headspace could become flammable.

This report describes the physical processes that control the rate of dissolution of the solids and consequent rate of gas release. The observed behavior of spontaneous and induced gas releases from SSTs is summarized, and the dissolution of the crust layer in SY-101 is discussed as a recent example of full-scale dissolution of saltcake containing a very large volume of retained gas. The conclusions are that the dissolution rate is self-limiting and that gas release rates will be slow.

Section 2 describes the spontaneous and induced gas releases in SSTs observed since 1995. Section 3 discusses the specific issues involved with gas release induced by saltcake dissolution, and Section 4 states the main conclusions. References are listed in Section 5.

2.0 Spontaneous and Induced Gas Releases in SSTs

Some of the Hanford SSTs that have not been saltwell pumped, including several that are on the Flammable Gas Watch List (FGWL) and a few others, store a significant volume of flammable gas, and some occasionally release a small volume of it into the tank headspace. Disturbing the waste can also induce gas releases. Some of the largest induced releases are associated with saltwell pumping. A gas release event (GRE) is characterized by an increase in measured headspace hydrogen concentration to a peak at least 100 ppm above the steady-state value over several hours or days followed by a more gradual decrease back to the steady-state concentration (McCain 2000). The passive (or active) ventilation of the tank headspace primarily controls the return to steady-state concentration in SSTs.

This section summarizes the gas releases observed in the hydrogen monitoring data in terms of volume, duration, frequency, and trends. Section 2.1 describes the spontaneous gas release histories and trends, Section 2.2 discusses gas releases induced by saltwell pumping, and Section 2.3 summarizes the discussion.

2.1 Spontaneous Gas Releases

The exact mechanism by which spontaneous gas releases occur in SSTs is not known. The upward motion of pore-filling or particle-displacing bubbles by "percolation" is a probable explanation (Stewart et al. 1996). In a pore-filling bubble, percolation occurs when the bubble grows to the point at which the hydrostatic pressure difference exceeds surface tension holding the bubble in the throat between pores. Similarly, particle-displacing bubbles percolate when they grow such that the hydrostatic pressure difference exceeds the waste strength, pinches off the bottom of the bubble, and pushes the top of the bubble upward. Neither of these mechanisms has the potential to produce large sudden releases, nor is any other mechanism known by which such a release can occur spontaneously in SSTs.

Though the release mechanisms are not completely understood, the releases that have been observed are uniformly small and slow. Over 200 spontaneous GREs were observed in SSTs between 1995, when the Standard Hydrogen Monitoring Systems (SHMS) were installed in SSTs, and July 2000 (McCain 2000; Hedengren et al. 2001). The mean estimated hydrogen release volume of all these releases is only 0.5 cubic meters. The upper bound hydrogen release volume (99.9 percentile) is only about three cubic meters, which corresponds to about 5% of the hydrogen volume required to make a tank's headspace flammable. These data imply that the probability of a spontaneous release creating flammable conditions is far less than 0.001. The median time to peak hydrogen concentration for these releases is about one day, and the total duration of release is about five days. The shortest time to peak hydrogen concentration, recorded in five GREs, was about four hours. Many require several days to reach the peak concentration. Thus it can be concluded that spontaneous releases from SSTs do not represent a significant hazard during a saltcake dissolution waste retrieval campaign.

2.2 Induced Gas Releases

Retained gas can be expected to escape from a volume of waste that is disrupted. Disturbances are generally classified as local or global. Local disturbances are caused by activities such as core sampling, lancing in a liquid observation well (LOW) or installing a thermocouple tree. A global disturbance is one that affects a large fraction of the waste, such as adding a large volume of water, saltwell pumping, or operating large mixer pumps. There is no known mechanism in SSTs whereby a local disturbance can trigger a large global release (Stewart et al. 1996).

2.2.1 Local Disturbances

No large releases have been induced by local waste disturbances, and the probability of even a small release is relatively low. In 1996, an informal study of 77 waste-intrusive activities in 47 separate SSTs showed only three probable occurrences of small gas releases associated with the waste disturbance. An additional examination of 61 core sampling events and four LOW installations monitored with a hand-held combustible gas meter showed a significant (though much less than flammable) rise in the hydrogen concentration in only three of these events (Hedengren et al. 2001).

2.2.2 Saltwell Pumping

<u>.</u>

The removal of drainable liquid by saltwell pumping can induce gas releases by various mechanisms. The hydrostatic pressure within the waste decreases as liquid is removed, which causes trapped gases to expand and some of the dissolved gases to evolve to the gas phase. Both of these effects result in bubble growth, which may cause bubble disengagement or percolation gas release. Particle-displacing bubbles not released by these mechanisms may "pop" when the liquid around them eventually drains away (Peurrung et al. 1997). However, even after the bulk liquid has been drained away, some gas may remain trapped between particles in small, pore-filling bubbles surrounded by liquid held by capillary forces. Gas that remains trapped in this way is eventually released when the surrounding liquid evaporates or gradually drains away.

The highest headspace hydrogen concentrations in SSTs were recorded during saltwell pumping. The highest hydrogen gas concentration ever measured in an SST was 7,200 ppm, detected in BY-106 during saltwell pumping in 1995 (Watrous et al. 2000). The next highest was 4,950 ppm in U-103 in 1999 (McCain 2000).

The general relation between saltwell pumping and gas releases has been fairly well established (for some tanks) by headspace monitoring data. There appears to be about a one-day lag between pumping and the corresponding gas release, but the lag is greater in several cases. Sometimes pumping activities are not accompanied by increased gas release rates at all (Huckaby et al. 1999).^(a)

Available headspace gas monitoring data suggest that the highest induced gas release rates occur shortly after saltwell pumping begins, when the waste drains rapidly. As the drainage rate

⁽a) Also see *Gas Release Behavior During Saltwell Pumping*, by LM Peurrung and JL Huckaby. PNNL letter report TWS00.039 to GD Johnson, CH2M Hill Hanford Group, Inc., March 10, 2000.

decreases, gas release rates decline correspondingly. In this second phase, a temporary cessation in pumping has little immediate effect on the drainage rate far from the saltwell; and the response of the gas release rate is correspondingly damped.

After pumping ceases, liquid continues to drain from the outer regions of the waste as well as from the upper layers not completely drained during pumping. This slow drainage continues to release trapped gases. The headspace hydrogen and nitrous oxide concentrations in Tank S-106, for example, appear to have been significantly higher for months after completion of saltwell pumping than before it began. (a)

Saltwell pumping releases some, but not all, of the trapped gas. Data of sufficient quality and quantity are available to quantify the total volume of gas released by saltwell pumping in only three SSTs: S-106, U-105, and U-103. These data indicate that 25 to 50% of the initial retained hydrogen volume was released. This corresponds roughly to the fraction of total liquid pumped from the tank (Johnson et al. 2001). The small spontaneous releases typical of unpumped SSTs continued after pumping in at least two tanks, though they appeared to have stopped in several other pumped tanks.

Following saltwell pumping, a large region of the waste should contain pore-filling bubbles from which gas releases should be small and slow. However, as gas rises into the upper layers with lower lithostatic loading, the bubbles may again displace particles. The particle-displacing bubbles may then create small spontaneous releases similar to those in an unpumped tank. The only potential mechanism for a significant gas release from a pumped tank has been postulated to be the waste subsidence that has been assumed to cause the large craters or depressions seen in the waste surface of some tanks months or years after completion of saltwell pumping. Gas still trapped in the subsiding region would hypothetically be subject to release. No subsidence-related gas releases have yet been observed, and the physics of the process leading up to subsidence would appear to be incompatible with gas retention (Stewart et al. 1996). In addition, the historic waste level drops that have been attributed to subsidence occur over weeks or months, indicating that the potential for a significant gas release is remote.

In summary, gas releases at least as large as those observed during saltwell pumping can be expected during saltcake dissolution. The effect dissolution has on accelerating or impeding gas releases is discussed in Section 3.

<u>.</u>

⁽a) Peurrung LM and JL Huckaby. March 10, 2000. *Gas Release Behavior During Saltwell Pumping.* PNNL letter report TWS00.039 to GD Johnson, CH2M Hill Hanford Group, Inc.

3.0 Gas Releases Induced by Dissolution

This section provides estimates of the gas release rates induced by dissolution and describes the resulting behavior of the headspace hydrogen concentration for the two tanks of immediate concern and for retrieval of a "typical" SST using dissolution. Section 3.1 summarizes the conditions and retained gas volume in U-107 and S-112, and Section 3.2 describes their estimated passive ventilation rates. Section 3.3 shows not only that dissolution is a slow and self-limiting process but also that the gas release rate induced by dissolution is not likely to exceed levels of concern given the passive ventilation rates in the tanks. Estimates of the gas release rates resulting from dissolution are presented in Section 3.4, and the resulting headspace hydrogen concentrations are forecast in Section 3.5. Section 3.6 summarizes the dissolution and gas release behavior during the recent remediation of the crust layer in SY-101, which is the only large-scale saltcake dissolution operation performed to date. Potential ammonia releases are discussed in Section 3.7.

3.1 Conditions in Candidate Tanks

Hedengren et al. (2001) describe the different conditions in U-107 and S-112 as of December 2000. Tank U-107 contains excess liquid (supernate); the solid waste is completely saturated with liquid. In contrast, S-112 has a relatively deep layer of "dry" unsaturated zone on top of liquidsaturated waste. U-107 contains a much greater volume of flammable gas. The nature and extent of the planned dissolution in each tank is also quite different.

The waste level in U-107 is 157 inches, of which the upper 1 to 2 ft is thought to be supernatant liquid. The tank headspace is about $1,670 \text{ m}^3$ (59,000 ft³). The level has risen very gradually (about 0.1 in./year) since 1990. Fourteen small spontaneous GREs have been recorded by the tank's SHMS-B since March 1995. During the six years of SHMS monitoring, the maximum hydrogen concentration was 1,900 ppm, and the average was 840 ppm. The best estimate of the retained gas volume is 180 ± 60 cubic meters $(6,400 \pm 2,000 \text{ scf})$ (Hedengren et al. 2001). The waste level has risen 6 inches since 1981 (Whitney 1995), indicating a gas accumulation of 75 m^3 at 1 atm assuming an in situ pressure of 1.2 atm.

The saltcake dissolution proof-of-concept in U-107 will employ a variety of nozzles and flow rates to place approximately 38,000 gallons of water on the waste after saltwell pumping has removed the supernate and some of the interstitial liquid (Estey et al. 2001). The test is limited to production of 100 kgal of brine in excess of the estimated pumpable liquid available. This is predicted to result in the retrieval of about 50 kgal or about 15% of the original 340 kgal of waste, excluding supernate. After the saltcake dissolution test is completed, saltwell pumping will continue until the interim stabilization criteria are met.

Tank S-112 contains saltcake 189 inches thick. The tank headspace is about 2,000 m³ $(70,600 \text{ ft}^3)$. The tank was saltwell-pumped in 1979, removing 125,000 gal of liquid (Kupfer et al. 1997). The interstitial liquid level (ILL) is about 123 inches, 66 inches below the waste surface. Since 1981, the waste surface level has subsided about 5 inches and the ILL has increased about 10 in. (Whitney 1995). This indicates a gradual gas accumulation, probably in pore-filling bubbles. The neutron log clearly shows a very "dry" region from the waste surface down to the ILL, where the liquid content increases abruptly to approximately full saturation. No GREs have been recorded since the SHMS-B was installed in 1995. Neutron log integration yields a retained gas volume estimate of 122 ± 61 m³ (4,300 $\pm 2,200$ scf). If the rise in ILL were assumed to be the result of gas accumulation, the recorded 10-inch rise would indicate 50 $m³$ of gas, assuming a porosity of 0.4 and a gas pressure of 1.2 atm.

The goal of the saltcake dissolution retrieval demonstration in S-112 is to retrieve the waste to the limit of the method. Currently, the water application system includes nozzles that can forcibly mobilize the insoluble solids to potentially retrieve over 90% of the approximately 430,000-gal waste volume. (a)

3.2 Estimated Ventilation Rates

<u>.</u>

The tank ventilation rate must be included in assessing the potential for the gas release to make the headspace flammable. The lower the headspace ventilation rate, the higher the hydrogen concentration resulting from a given release. Except for the SX tanks, all of the SSTs potentially to be retrieved by saltcake dissolution are passively ventilated. This means ventilation is driven by natural convection, which is affected by temperature differences between the waste and ambient air, changes in barometric pressure, and various other micrometeorological effects (e.g., gusting winds, solar heating of the ventilation riser, etc.). The situation is further complicated by the flow paths between tank headspaces (e.g., cascade lines), seasonal and diurnal temperature variations, and variability in the leakage paths to the atmosphere around risers. Because of these factors, passive ventilation rates cannot be predicted with any certainty.

However, the ventilation rates of 13 passively ventilated tanks were determined by measuring the decay in the concentration of a tracer gas injected into the tank headspace. Tracer gas studies indicate that passive ventilation rates vary significantly from day to day and tank to tank. Tanks with large intertank connections (e.g., A-farm tanks are connected via a defunct underground ventilation system) tend to exhibit higher ventilation rates, and tanks with few leak paths or blocked cascade lines tend to exhibit lower rates. A similar technique, in which the decay in the concentration of hydrogen after a small GRE is used to estimate ventilation rates, has provided generally similar results (Wilkins et al. 1997; Sklarew and Huckaby 1998).

The passive ventilation rates of U-107 and S-112 have not been measured, but approximate rates can be inferred from the measured ventilation rates of similar tanks. Gas tracer studies have been conducted to determine the passive ventilation rates of U-102, U-103, U-105, U-106, and U-111 over periods of one to two months (Huckaby et al. 1997, 1998). The tracer test results for the U-Farm tanks range from 1.3 to 5.2 ft³/min with an average of 2.5 ft³/min. The tracer tests on S-102 showed a range of 1.2 to 3.4 scfm with an average of 2.1 scfm. The SHMS gas release studies for S-111 (Sklarew and Huckaby 1998) give ventilation rates ranging from 1.6 to 15 scfm with an average of 5.4 scfm.

Considering all the available data above, a conservative ventilation rate of 2.5 scfm can be assumed for both U-107 and S-112. The lower bound for the average ventilation rate is the ventilation resulting from natural "breathing" due to barometric pressure fluctuations. This has

⁽a) S-112 Saltcake Dissolution Retrieval Demonstration Value Engineering Study Report, June 1-7, 2001. Facilitated by Richard Harrington, CH2M Hill Hanford Group, Richland, Washington.

been estimated to be 0.45% of the headspace volume per day (Crippen 1993). For U-107, barometric breathing is 266 scfd, or 0.18 scfm, for the 59,000 ft³ headspace. The barometric breathing rate for the 70,600 ft³ headspace in S-112 would be 317 scfd, or 0.22 scfm, approximately an order of magnitude less than the 2.5 scfm estimated from measurements.

3.3 Gas Release Behavior During Dissolution

Gauglitz et al. (1994, 1995, 1996), Stewart et al. (1996), Stewart (2000), and Hedengren et al. (2000, 2001) describe in detail the analyses and experiments on the mechanisms of gas retention. The following is a summary of the applicable facets of this work.

Gas that is potentially releasable at sufficient volume and rate to present a hazard is stored as bubbles surrounded by a matrix of solid particles saturated with liquid. If the solid matrix around the bubbles is not saturated, pathways exist to the tank headspace; and the gas generated in the waste is dissipated rapidly by diffusion and is not flammable (Stewart et al. 1996). Therefore, potentially significant gas releases can occur only by disrupting the liquid-saturated solids.

The balance of waste strength, surface tension, and hydrostatic pressure gradients to a relatively small size limits the individual bubbles that exist in this form. Large bubbles or "caverns" containing a hazardous volume of flammable gas cannot exist. No plausible mechanism is known by which a local disruption affecting a few bubbles can propagate to trigger the release of a large fraction of the retained gas in the tank.

Dissolution is expected to release this gas in proportion to the fraction of the waste in which the soluble solids are dissolved. This process is self-limiting and controllable. Water or dilute brine capable of dissolving solids is less dense than the saturated liquid in equilibrium with the solids. Therefore, the pre-existing interstitial liquid in the waste forms a barrier that prevents the solvent from penetrating below the ILL. Dissolution can occur only in waste that is not saturated with liquid, where the solvent can penetrate. Once the solvent has dissolved sufficient solids to become saturated, it is incapable of further dissolution and forms a barrier to the less-dense liquid from above.

Thus, dissolution can proceed no faster than the interstitial liquid (original or newly created) can drain away. This is the primary mechanism that limits dissolution-induced gas releases. In fact, gas is first released by draining the liquid away so solvent can enter and dissolve the solids that retained gas while liquid-saturated. Attempting to add water faster only re-saturates the solid matrix with liquid and prevents penetration sooner.

This self-limiting behavior makes gas release by dissolution controllable. Though some lag may be expected, the dissolution rate and gas release rate can be reduced relatively quickly by terminating pumping (accumulating brine forms a barrier to fresh solvent) and by shutting off the water spray (terminating the supply of fresh solvent). The most effective control is achieved if dissolution is local and the inventory of excess solvent is kept to a minimum. Though the actual response time of the gas release to changes in pumping and water addition rates is not known, experience with saltwell pumping (see Section 2.2.2) indicates that it would be reasonable to expect the gas release to lag cessation of pumping and spraying by about a day. However, the more local the dissolution, the shorter the lag time.

These limitations indicate that saltcake dissolution should not produce much larger gas releases than those expected during saltwell pumping without concurrent dissolution. The largest saltwell pumping-induced gas releases occur relatively soon after saltwell pumping begins, when nearly all the supernate has been removed. If this behavior is a pattern for U-107, an elevation of the hydrogen concentration on the order of 5,000 ppm can be expected in the first month of pumping based on the gas releases recorded in the other U-Farm tanks during saltwell pumping. The behavior of other saltcake tanks that have not been saltwell pumped should be similar to that of U-107 during retrieval by dissolution.

S-112 has no supernate, so the upper 66 inches of unsaturated waste should dissolve rapidly. However, this waste has had over 20 years to drain and contains little liquid. Therefore, it also contains little or no flammable gas that could be released, regardless of how fast water is added. When the upper layer is removed and dissolution becomes limited by draining, gas releases can be expected. However, it is thought that there is just enough gas in S-112 to make the headspace flammable if all the gas were released instantaneously into the initial headspace (i.e., not increased by waste dissolution). The behavior of S-112 during retrieval should be similar to that of other saltcake tanks that have been saltwell pumped for several years.

3.4 Estimation of Gas Release Rates

Based on the fact that dissolution, and therefore gas release during dissolution, is limited by the rate at which brine drains from the waste, a bounding gas release can be computed given the saltwell pumping rate. Water is assumed to be added at rate Q_{WN} such that the resulting brine production rate is equal to the brine capacity of the saltwell pump, Q_{BOUT} . Based on the waste composition, the added water dissolves the original waste at rate Q_{DSLN} , which can be expressed as

$$
Q_{DSLN} = [F_{DSLN}/F_{BRINE}]Q_{BOUT}
$$
\n(3.1)

where F_{DSLN} is the volume of original waste in which soluble solids are dissolved per unit volume of water added and F_{BRINE} is the volume of total brine produced (water added plus dissolved solids plus interstitial liquid liberated) per unit volume of water added. Assuming that dissolution immediately releases all the gas stored in the waste being dissolved, the gas release rate, Q_{GAS} , is the product of the gas volume fraction, α , and the dissolution rate as follows:

$$
Q_{GAS} = \alpha Q_{DSLN} = \alpha [F_{DSLN}/F_{BRINE}]Q_{BOUT}
$$
\n(3.2)

The solubility of U-107 waste is such that $F_{DSLN} = 1.83$ and $F_{BRINE} = 2.15$, and the gas volume fraction averages 0.17 (Estey et al. 2001). The U-107 proof-of-concept demonstration will apply a maximum of 2,400 gallons of water per day during one eight-hour shift, while the saltwell pump operates continuously. Assuming all of this water dissolves waste, the dissolution rate is 2,400 gal of water/day x F_{DSLN} gal waste/gal water = 4,392 gal waste/day. This is equivalent to an average saltwell pumping rate of 2,400 gal water/day x F_{BRINE} gal brine/gal water = 5,160 gal brine/day, or 3.58 gpm. Eq. (3.2) indicates a corresponding gas release of 879 gal/day, or 117 scfd. If the released gas is 50% hydrogen, as may be expected for saltcake waste, the release rate would be 59 ft3 of hydrogen per day.

Core samples from S-112 have not yet been analyzed. However, the waste composition from the Best Basis Inventory (CHG 2001), which is based on the waste transfer history, is similar to that of U-107, so the same values of F_{DSLN} and F_{BRINE} can be assumed to apply to S-112 waste. The S-112 retrieval demonstration may employ an improved saltwell pump with a capacity of 10 gpm. Assuming brine is removed continuously at this rate, the dissolution rate will be 8.5 gpm, or 1,580 scfd, according to Eq. (3.1). Conservatively assuming a void fraction of 0.2, the gas release rate from S-112 after the unsaturated waste is dissolved would be 328 scfd via Eq. (3.2). Assuming a hydrogen fraction of 0.5, this amounts to 164 scfd of hydrogen.

3.5 Headspace Hydrogen Concentration

The change in hydrogen concentration with time, including the effect of passive ventilation in dissipating the gas release, can be modeled by a simple expression. It is assumed the volumetric rates of gas release, ventilation, water addition, and brine removal are constant, and the headspace is well mixed at all times. The well-mixed headspace assumption appears to be justified for most tanks (Huckaby et al. 1998). Equations for the volume fraction of hydrogen in the headspace, C_H , at any time t, and for the time to reach a given headspace hydrogen concentration under these assumptions, are derived in the appendix to this report. The time required to reach a given C_H is expressed as

$$
t = \frac{V_{HS0}}{Q_B} \left[1 - \left(\frac{C_H - \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_H}{Q_A}}{C_0 - \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_H}{Q_A}} \right)^{\frac{Q_B}{Q_A}} \right]
$$
(3.3)

where

 C_0 = initial headspace hydrogen concentration
 V_{HSO} = initial headspace volume $=$ initial headspace volume χ_{H} = hydrogen fraction of the retained gas in the waste

and

$$
Q_A = Q_{VIN} + Q_{GAS}
$$

$$
Q_B = Q_{WIN} - Q_{BOUT} - Q_{GAS} \frac{P_{HS}}{P_{GAS}}
$$

where

 P_{HS} = pressure in the headspace atmosphere (~ 1 atm) P_{GAS} = pressure at which the gas is retained in the waste Q_{VIN} = headspace ventilation rate.

The headspace concentration at a given time, t, is defined by

$$
C_{H}(t) = \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_{H}}{Q_{A}} + \left(C_{0} - \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_{H}}{Q_{A}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{Q_{B}}{V_{HSO}}t\right)^{\frac{Q_{A}}{Q_{B}}}
$$
(3.4)

For long times, the second term on the right side of Eq. (3.4) approaches zero so that

$$
C_H \approx \frac{Q_{GAS}\chi_H}{Q_A} \tag{3.5}
$$

U-107 is estimated to have a headspace volume of about $59,000$ ft³. Assuming that the ventilation rate in U-107 is 2.5 scfm, $Q_{GAS} = 117$ scfd, $\chi_{H2} = 0.5$, and $C_0 = 500$ ppm, Eq. (3.3) indicates that the time required for the hydrogen concentration to reach the action level of 6,250 ppm would occur in eight days, or six days with only barometric breathing. With a 2.5 scfm ventilation rate, the hydrogen concentration would eventually reach a maximum of 1.4 vol $\%$ (14,000 ppm), or roughly 35% of the LFL, if water addition were continued until all the wastes were removed. Theoretically, this would occur in 119 days. With only barometric breathing, the concentration would reach the LFL in 78 days and about 7 vol% hydrogen (\sim 2 times the LFL) at complete retrieval.

Now assume that a "typical" unpumped SST, with properties represented by those of U-107 and the $70,600$ ft³ headspace of S-112, is being retrieved with the higher-capacity, 10-gpm saltwell pump. With a gas release rate of 328 scfd and a ventilation rate of 2.5 scfm, Eq. (3.4) indicates a hydrogen concentration of 3.1% (31,000 ppm) after 51 days when all the waste is removed. It would take 2.9 days to reach the action level of 6,250 ppm. For only barometric breathing, it would take 2.6 days to reach the action level and 27.4 days to reach the LFL. The hydrogen concentration at complete retrieval with barometric breathing would be 8 vol $\%$, or twice the LFL.^(a)

Two further limiting cases need to be mentioned. First, delaying water addition until saltwell pumping has drained all possible liquid. In this case, the dissolution rate would be initially limited only by the water addition rate. Thus dissolution and coincident gas release could potentially be much faster than when limited by the draining rate, depending on the capacity of the water spray system. However, 25–50% of the retained gas is released during saltwell pumping, probably more than 50% in the upper half of the waste, so less gas remains to be released by dissolution. To put this in perspective, assume that the water spray system has a capacity of 20 gpm and operates continuously on freshly drained waste containing a void fraction of 0.1, half of its original gas content. Assume that the other waste properties remain as specified earlier. With only barometric breathing, the gas release of 705 scfd corresponding to this flow rate of water would increase the headspace hydrogen concentration to 6,250 ppm in 24 hours and reach the LFL in about 12 days. The reduced retained gas volume coupled with limited water pumping capacity prevents large, sudden gas releases in this case.

Second, a large volume of excess water might be added that would continue to mix with the brine and dissolve waste even after both saltwell pumping and the water spray were terminated. The continued dissolution would also continue to release gas and could potentially compromise the control of headspace flammability. However, with both the pump and water spray shut off, the only

<u>.</u>

⁽a) Gas releases during retrieval of S-112 have been reanalyzed with improved estimates of the gas fraction and retained gas composition. The results indicate that at a 10-gpm pumping rate the action level would be reached in six days, with a final hydrogen concentration of 3.1%, about 75% of the LFL. See PNNL letter report TWS01.31 Rev. 1, "Preliminary Assessment of Gas Releases at High Dissolution Rates in Tank 241- S-112," by CW Stewart and DJ Morrissette, September 2001.

mechanisms available to mix the water with the brine and continue dissolution are diffusion and natural convection. Diffusion is extremely slow in a liquid, and convection is limited to thin layers by the density gradient until mixing is almost complete (Rassat et al. 2000). Therefore, while the presence of excess solvent could delay and reduce the response of gas release to cessation of pumping and water addition, the physical mechanisms involved in the mixing process dictate that gas release would fall far below the rate induced during pumping.

3.6 Gas Releases During Dissolution of SY-101 Crust

The gas releases observed during the remediation of the SY-101 crust are consistent with the limitations described in Section 3.3. Rassat et al. (2000), Mahoney et al. (2000) and Johnson et al. (2000) describe in detail the process, theory, and events. The initial 120-inch crust layer in this tank was comparable in thickness to the non-supernate waste in U-107 but contained almost twice the gas. However, in contrast to the waste in U-107 and most other SSTs, the SY-101 crust layer was buoyant and floated on a deep reservoir of liquid, similar to the floating solids layers in SSTs A-101 and AX-101. Addition of water to a floating layer produces an upward buoyant force that pulls the floating layer up into the dilute liquid (Stewart 1999). This mechanism keeps the solids in contact with the solvent and maintains a higher dissolution rate than would occur in non-buoyant SST waste.

The crust was dissolved in a series of three waste transfers and back-dilutions that eventually added 525,000 gal of water. The bulk of the crust dissolution and gas release occurred in the second back-dilution. Relatively little gas was released from the crust as it descended like a piston in a cylinder during transfers. Larger gas releases occurred during dissolution with the largest occurring during and after the second top dilution when the bulk of the crust dissolved. Temperature profiles and in-tank video clearly show that dilution water remained on top of the existing liquid until it had dissolved enough solids to exceed the net density of the floating crust.

The headspace hydrogen concentration peaked at about 3,000 ppm during these events, far below 25% of the LFL. This concentration represents a sudden release of about 200 scf of hydrogen or 700 scf of retained gas (which was about 30% hydrogen) such that the peak value was not significantly affected by ventilation. However, these releases are larger than can be expected during dissolution of SST waste. Local bubble slurry flows, crust capsizing and sinking, and "landslides" of waste from the tank walls were observed during this period, creating much more severe crust disruptions than the dissolution itself.

The small gas releases and the waste behavior during this relatively aggressive series of events qualitatively validated our understanding of the physics of solvent flow and saltcake dissolution. This provides confidence that gas releases during retrieval of SST waste by saltcake dissolution will be small and controllable, as predicted.

3.7 Ammonia Release

All the saltcake tanks contain some dissolved ammonia in the liquid waste that is released mainly by evaporation. During and after saltwell pumping, ammonia is released at a somewhat

elevated rate. The remaining liquid in the pores between the solid particles after draining greatly increases the surface area for evaporation (Peurrung et al. 1997).^(a)

Dilution reduces the ammonia evaporation rate significantly because the solubility of ammonia increases greatly as the pH decreases with dilution. A water spray is also a very effective way to scavenge ammonia vapor from the headspace. This was clearly demonstrated during back-dilution in SY-101, where the headspace ammonia concentration decreased from around 400 ppm to less than 100 ppm in a matter of minutes after back-dilution began (Mahoney et al. 2000). Spraying water on the waste surface for retrieval will effectively prevent significant ammonia releases and probably reduce ammonia concentrations below historic baseline values.

<u>.</u>

⁽a) Also discussed by Peurrung LM and JL Huckaby. March 10, 2000. *Gas Release Behavior During Saltwell Pumping.* PNNL letter report TWS00.039 to GD Johnson, CH2M Hill Hanford Group, Inc.

4.0 Conclusions

Saltcake dissolution is expected to increase the gas release rate from the waste temporarily because it eliminates the solid matrix in which waste gases are trapped. However, dissolution can occur only in waste that is not saturated with liquid and only where pathways exist that the solvent can penetrate. Thus, dissolution can proceed no faster than the interstitial liquid (original or newly created) can drain away and gas releases are correspondingly limited. Adding water faster than it can drain away only re-saturates the solid matrix with liquid and prevents solvent penetration. Therefore, gas releases can be controlled by reducing the saltwell pumping rate as well as the water addition rate.

The estimated passive ventilation rate of U-107 is expected to prevent the hydrogen concentration from reaching its LFL, given the current 2,400 gal/day water addition (equivalent to approximately 3.6 gpm pumping rate) limit in the proof-of-concept demonstration plan. Assuming all the added water is effective at dissolving waste and the passive ventilation rate is 2.5 scfm, it would take eight days for the hydrogen in the U-107 headspace to reach the action level of 6,250 ppm. The hydrogen concentration would eventually reach a maximum of 1.4 vol% (14,000 ppm), or roughly 35% of the LFL if water addition continued until all the waste was removed. Theoretically, this would occur in 119 days. At the higher pumping rate of 10 gpm currently planned for full-scale retrieval, gas releases could potentially raise the headspace hydrogen concentration to the action level in about 2.9 days. The hydrogen concentration would reach 3.1% (31,000 ppm) after 51 days, when all the waste is removed, assuming the same ventilation rate and other properties as in U-107.

For the case in which water addition is delayed until after saltwell pumping, the dissolution rate and coincident gas release could potentially be much higher than when limited by the draining rate. With only barometric pressure breathing, a water spray rate of 20 gpm (about four times the nominal rate) on freshly drained waste with half its original gas content would increase the headspace hydrogen concentration to 6,250 ppm in 24 hours. While somewhat faster than the normal operation case, the reduced retained gas volume coupled with limited water pumping capacity prevents large, sudden gas releases.

It is also possible to accumulate a volume of excess solvent that would slowly dissolve waste and release gas after pumping and water spray were shut down. However, because diffusion is extremely slow in a liquid and convection is extremely limited, the presence of excess solvent cannot induce gas release at rates comparable to those during pumping.

Because water spray is a very effective ammonia scavenger and dilution greatly increases the solubility of dissolved ammonia, releases are expected to be minimal. In fact, the concentration of ammonia in the headspace is expected to decrease during retrieval by saltcake dissolution.

The small gas releases and the waste behavior during the relatively violent series of events accompanying the dissolution of the crust layer in SY-101 qualitatively validated our understanding of the physics of solvent flow and saltcake dissolution. This provides further evidence that gas releases during retrieval of SST waste by saltcake dissolution will be small and controllable, as predicted.

5.0 References

CHG. 2001. Tank Waste Information Network System, http://twins.pnl.gov:8001/twins3/twins.htm. Maintained by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory for CH2M HILL Hanford Group, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Crippen MD. 1993. *Barometric Pressure Variations*. WHC-EP-0651, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Estey SD, JL Huckaby, LA Mahoney, CW Stewart, and BE Wells. 2001. *Process Control Plan for Single-Shell Tank Saltcake Dissolution Proof-of-Concept.* RPP-7715 Rev. 1, CH2M HILL Hanford Group, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Gauglitz PA, LA Mahoney, DP Mendoza, and MC Miller. 1994. *Mechanisms of Gas Bubble Retention.* PNL-10120, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gauglitz PA, SD Rassat, MR Powell, RR Shah, and LA Mahoney. 1995. *Gas Bubble Retention* and *Its Effects on Waste Properties: Retention Mechanisms, Viscosity, and Tensile and Shear Strengths*. PNL-10740, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gauglitz PA, SD Rassat, PR Bredt, JH Konynenbelt, JM Tingey, and DP Mendoza. 1996. *Mechanisms of Gas Bubble Retention and Release: Results for Hanford Waste Tanks 241-S-102 and 241-SY-103 and Single-Shell Tank Simulants.* PNNL-11298, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Hedengren DC, KM Hodgson, WB Barton, CW Stewart, JM Cuta and BE Wells. 2000. *Data Observations on Double-Shell Flammable Gas Watch List Tank Behavior*. RPP-6655, CH2M HILL Hanford Group, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Hedengren DC, TA Hu, MA Kufahl, DJ McCain, CW Stewart, JL Huckaby, LA Mahoney, and KG Rappe. 2001. *Data and Observations of Single-Shell Flammable Gas Watch List Tank Behavior.* RPP-7249, CH2M HILL Hanford Group, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Huckaby JL, KB Olsen, DS Sklarew, JC Evans, and KM Remund. 1997. *Measurements of Waste Tank Passive Ventilation Rates Using Tracer Gases.* PNNL-11683, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Huckaby JL, JC Evans, DS Sklarew, and AV Mitroshkov. 1998. *Waste Tank Ventilation Rates Measured with a Tracer Gas Method.* PNNL-11925, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Huckaby JL, LM Peurrung, and PA Gauglitz. 1999. *Gas Release During Saltwell Pumping: Interpretation of Operational Data.* PNNL-13029, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Johnson GD, NW Kirch, RE Bauer, JM Conner, CW Stewart, BE Wells, and JM Grigsby. 2000. *Evaluation of Hanford High-Level Waste Tank 241-SY-101*. RPP-6517 Rev. 0, CH2M HILL Hanford Group, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Johnson GD, DC Hedengren, CW Stewart, JJ Zach, JM Grigsby, and LM Stock. 2001. *Flammable Gas Safety Issue Resolution*. RPP-7771 Rev. 0, CH2M HILL Hanford Group Inc., Richland, Washington.

Kupfer MJ, RE Stout, and RT Winward. 1997. *Preliminary Tank Characterization Report for Single-Shell Tank 241-S-112: Best Basis Inventory.* HNF-SD-WM-ER-670 Rev. 0A, Lockheed Martin Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Mahoney LA, ZI Antoniak, JM Bates, and ME Dahl. 1999. *Retained Gas Sampling Results for the Flammable Gas Program*. PNNL-13000, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Mahoney LA, WB Barton, JM Conner, NW Kirch, CW Stewart, and BE Wells. 2000. *Results of Waste Transfer and Back-Dilution in Tanks 241-SY-101 and 241-SY-102*. PNNL-13267, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

McCain DJ. 2000. *Results of Vapor Space Monitoring of Flammable Gas Watch List Tanks*. HNF-SD-WM-TI-797 Rev. 4A, CH2M HILL Hanford Group Inc., Richland, Washington.

Peurrung LM, SM Caley, and PA Gauglitz. 1997. *Gas Release During Saltwell Pumping: Model Predictions and Laboratory Validation Studies for Soluble and Insoluble Gases.* PNNL-11621, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Rassat SD, CW Stewart, BE Wells, WL Kuhn, ZI Antoniak, JM Cuta, KP Recknagle, G Terrones, VV Viswanathan, JH Sukamto, and DP Mendoza. 2000. *Dynamics of Crust Dissolution and Gas Release in Tank 241-SY-101*. PNNL-13112, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Sklarew DS and JL Huckaby. 1998. *Ventilation Rates Calculated from Hydrogen Release Data in Tanks Equipped with Standard Hydrogen Monitoring Systems (SHMS).* PNNL-11926, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Stewart CW, ME Brewster, PA Gauglitz, LA Mahoney, PA Meyer, KP Recknagle, and HC Reid. 1996. *Gas Retention and Release Behavior in Hanford Single-Shell Waste Tanks* . PNNL-11391, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Stewart CW. 1999. *Buoyant Response of the Tank 241-SY-101 Crust to Transfer and Back Dilution.* PNNL-13066, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Stewart CW. 2000. *Physical Characteristics of Hanford Tank Waste Relating to Flammable Gas Retention and Release.* Waste Management 2000, Tucson, Arizona.

Watrous RA, DJ McCain and SA Barker. 2000. *An Analysis of Tank and Pump Pit Flammable Gas Data in Support of Saltwell Pumping Safety Basis Simplifications.* RPP-6334, CH2M HILL Hanford Group, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Whitney PD. 1995. *Screening the Hanford Tanks for Trapped Gas.* PNL-10821, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Wilkins NE, RE Bauer, and DM Ogden. 1997. *Results of Vapor Space Monitoring of Flammable Gas Watch List Tanks.* HNF-SD-WM-TI-797 Rev. 0, Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.

Appendix

Headspace Hydrogen Concentration Model

Appendix

Headspace Hydrogen Concentration Model

Consider a tank containing variable volumes of waste and headspace with inflows and outflows as indicated in Figure A.1. The ventilation inflow, Q_{VIN} , and outflow, Q_{VOUT} , carry air into the tank headspace volume, V_{HS} , and the headspace atmosphere out. During dissolution, water is added to the waste volume, V_w , at a volumetric flow rate of Q_{WIN} , and brine is pumped out at the rate Q_{BOUT} . Gas is released from the waste to the headspace at the volumetric rate, Q_{GAS} . The headspace atmosphere and the liquid/gas/solid mixture in the waste volume are both assumed to be incompressible.

Figure A.1.Schematic of Tank Flow Paths

Let the volume of the tank be fixed but allow the headspace volume and waste volume to vary. The incompressible fluid assumption requires that

$$
\frac{dV_{HS}}{dt} = -\frac{dV_{W}}{dt}
$$
 (A.1)

Continuity on the headspace volume can be expressed as

$$
\frac{dV_{HS}}{dt} = Q_{VIN} - Q_{VOUT} + Q_{GAS}
$$
 (A.2)

Assuming the released gas leaves the waste with the pressure at which it was retained, P_{GAS}, the volume change during dissolution is negligible, and gas expansion in the waste due to decrease in hydrostatic pressure is minimal, continuity on the waste volume is written as

$$
\frac{dV_{W}}{dt} = Q_{WIN} - Q_{BOUT} - \frac{P_0}{P_{GAS}} Q_{GAS}
$$
 (A.3)

Substituting Eq. (A.2) and (A.3) into Eq. (A.1) provides an expression for the ventilation outflow, Q_{OUT} , as a function of the other known inflows and outflows:

$$
Q_{\text{VOUT}} = Q_{\text{VIN}} + Q_{\text{GAS}} \left(1 - \frac{P_{\text{HS}}}{P_{\text{GAS}}} \right) + Q_{\text{WIN}} - Q_{\text{BOUT}} \tag{A.4}
$$

The continuity equation for hydrogen in the headspace, assuming that the hydrogen concentration remains small, can be written as

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\left(C_H V_{HS}\right) = Q_{GAS} \chi_H - Q_{OUT} C_H \tag{A.5}
$$

where C_H is the headspace hydrogen concentration (vol%) and χ _H is the volume fraction of hydrogen in the waste gas. Expanding the derivative and substituting Eq. (A.3) and (A.4) via Eq. (A.1) yields

$$
V_{HS} \frac{dC_H}{dt} = Q_{GAS} \chi_H - C_H (Q_{VIN} + Q_{GAS})
$$
\n(A.6)

Even though it appears outside the time derivative, the headspace volume is a function of time. Assuming that the water inflow, brine-pumping rate, gas release rate, and pressure are constant, Eq. (A.3) and (A.1) can be solved for the headspace volume as a function of time as follows:

$$
V_{HS}(t) = V_{HS0} - \left(Q_{WIN} - Q_{BOUT} - Q_{GAS}\frac{P_{HS}}{P_{GAS}}\right)t
$$
 (A.7)

where V_{HSO} is the headspace volume at t = 0. To simplify further steps, define the following terms:

$$
Q_A = Q_{VIN} + Q_{GAS}
$$

\n
$$
Q_B = Q_{WIN} - Q_{BOUT} - Q_{GAS} \frac{P_{HS}}{P_{GAS}}
$$
\n(A.8)

Now, substituting these definitions and Eq. (A.7) into Eq. (A.6) and rearranging yields the following ordinary differential equation:

$$
\frac{1}{C_H - \frac{Q_{\text{GAS}} \chi_H}{Q_A}} \frac{dC_H}{dt} = -\frac{Q_A}{V_{\text{HS0}} - Q_B t}
$$
(A.9)

Eq. (A.9) can be solved under the initial conditions that $C_H = C_0$ at t = 0 to yield

$$
C_H(t) = \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_H}{Q_A} + \left(C_0 - \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_H}{Q_A}\right) \left(1 - \frac{Q_B}{V_{HS0}}t\right) \frac{Q_A}{Q_B}
$$
(A.10)

The time at which the hydrogen concentration reaches a specified value, C_H , is given by

$$
t = \frac{V_{HS0}}{Q_B} \left[1 - \left(\frac{C_H - \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_H}{Q_A}}{C_0 - \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_H}{Q_A}} \right)^{\frac{Q_B}{Q_A}} \right]
$$
(A.11)

If the headspace volume is assumed constant, the solution to Eq. (A.9) for the hydrogen concentration as a function of time under the same initial conditions becomes

$$
C_H(t) = C_0 e^{-\frac{Q_{VIN}}{V_{HS}}t} + \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_H}{Q_A} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{Q_{VIN}}{V_{HS}}t}\right)
$$
(A.12)

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ Eq. (A.12) gives the steady state hydrogen concentration as

$$
C_{\rm H} = \frac{Q_{\rm GAS} \chi_{\rm H}}{Q_{\rm A}}
$$
 (A.13)

Solving Eq. (A.12) for t, the time to reach a given hydrogen concentration can be calculated by

$$
t = -\frac{V_{HS}}{Q_{VIN}} \ln \left[\frac{C_H - \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_H}{Q_A}}{C_0 - \frac{Q_{GAS} \chi_H}{Q_A}} \right]
$$
(A.14)