



Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, Oregon 97208-3621

PUBLIC AFFAIRS

April 4, 2011

In reply refer to: DK-7

Mr. Richard van Dijk
Another Way BPA
P.O. Box 820152
Vancouver, WA 98682

RE: FOIA #BPA-2011-00359-F

Dear Mr. van Dijk:

This is a final response to your request for information that you made from the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), on Monday, December 13, 2010.

You Requested:

Copies of the handwritten meeting notes from the ledger daybook or record book maintained by the BPA Transmission Account Executive for PacifiCorp from all meetings concerning the I-5 Corridor project, PacifiCorp load growth and PacifiCorp system reinforcements. Both requests cover the period from Sept.1, 2009 through the date of this request.

Response:

BPA has provided the responsive documents in their entirety or with information withheld under Exemption 6 of the FOIA. Most of the redactions that are in the responsive records were for non-responsive information that includes BPA employee names and other identifying information (i.e., telephone numbers).

Under Exemption 6, BPA has determined that each of the individuals has some privacy interest in not having their identities disclosed. Since all other responsive information has been disclosed, BPA has determined that under these circumstances, there is no public interest in the identity of these individuals. The names and identifying information of these individuals alone add no additional information that would contribute significantly to the public awareness and understanding of BPA activities.

If you are dissatisfied with this determination, you may appeal within 30 days from the date you received this letter to The Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585. The appeal must be in writing and both the envelope and letter must be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely,

/S/ Christina J. Munro
Christina J. Munro
Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act Officer

Enclosure: Responsive records

I-5-Swift - Les believes the K Comr will take care of the
75 MW Swift issue & they won't need to build. Ask PACM.

FS Swift - their request is 3 yrs (2012) & the tx line would be built by that time. So what do they do? Do they roll it over? new age?

w/ PAC on T-5 project

Exemption 6 Exemption 6 Exemption 6 Exemption 6

Exemption 6

- Manager of ROW (not present) (Exemption 6 only for fee owned)

Exemption 6 - meetings

Exemption 6 - looking further east now. Sites at Castle Rock

Exemption 6 - PAC ROW alt 31 many encroachments & would have to take

Exemption 6 - not a feasible route for these reasons.

Exemption 6 - can we get center line for Yale-Merwin routes?

- at Yale, we can look at slightly diff area, but we need to get out to do center lines.

- there is the Swift-Troutdale ROW just west of Yale dam

Exemption 6 on eagle wildlife habitat there

Exemption 6 - Parallel lines if we could make as small a footprint

Exemption 6 issues w/ agencies. Need to pin down centerlines.

Exemption 6 - This is done by Committee w/ the other Interest Groups that meet once a month. (WDFW, etc.) Terrestrial Group

Exemption 6 - we will have to meet w/ them once we have more info.

Exemption 6 - #17 below Merwin - bald eagle roost. Recreation & general forest land use.

- 0 - but less impact than #21 (relocate campground)

Exemption 6 - #23 crosses below hatchery. Old growth near there but affect the least of our prop.

Exemption 6 - access

Exemption 6 - thru me & then the local office. Mid day best

- Helicopter - not in raptor sites

- Cultural - not much & east of #21

Exemption 6 - any Fee or ROW will have to get PVC approval & it may require a multi-state approval (each state PAC is in) bc the assets are rate based as hydro resources.

Exemption 6 - it usually takes 6-12 mos.

Exemption 6 - and if it is w/in Project boundary, PAC has to retain an interest in the land to protect & conduct project purposes. a PVC may not disallow the sale but won't allow for rate recovery for that state's rates.

Budget - **Exemption 6** not here - talk offline.

2:40
WED of
to month
Jan 13
Presentation
Merwin

Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2

From: Exemption 6 [Exemption 6@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Friday, October 02, 2009 4:19 PM
To: Exemption 6 - TEP-TPP-2
Cc: Exemption 6 Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2
Subject: BPA I-5

Had a discussion with our Hydro department and they definitely want to have a meeting to discuss the possible routes of the I-5 line, they would like to have some more detailed maps of the options around Merwin and Yale so that they can come prepared to the meeting to discuss. Can you please provide these maps. Thanks

I will work with Exemption 6 to set up a meeting.

Exemption 6Exemption 6

From: Exemption 6 [Exemption 6 @PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 07, 2010 11:45 AM
To: Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2; Exemption 6 - TPC-TPP-4
Subject: for today's BPA/ PAC Trans meeting
Attachments: PAC TX 2010.pac.xlsm

Hi,

Attached is the updated BPA / PAC Transmission list for today's meeting.

Thank you,
Exemption 6

This email is confidential and may be legally privileged.

It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else, unless
If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any

=====

Priority	SWIFT I-5	DISCUSSION	Notes	Notes (cont.)	Next Steps	Comm
C	SWIFT I-5	<p>Swift/Trousdale line being looked at by PAC</p> <p>PAC wants to work with BPA on I-5 project</p> <p>PAC has approval to proceed with project</p> <p>PAC sent letter to BPA indicating intent to proceed</p> <p>I-5 project will provide additional 75 MW of capacity</p> <p>Continue to track relative to I-5 project</p> <p>Respond to PAC's April letter after NOS</p> <p>Will keep [redacted] apprised of status on project</p> <p>[redacted] to ask planning about Swift benefits of I-5 project - will provide to [redacted] after new year</p>	<p>4.23.09 [redacted] letter asking for transmission service at Woodland to Trousdale. PAC trying to get permits. Monitor mode of 15. Estimate 3 years or so BPA to decide.</p> <p>5.11.09 PAC letter to BPA proposing a meeting to investigate the benefits of a joint project. PacificCorp's desire is to own approximately 500 MW in capacity, capable of moving network generation to load for our customers. We propose the PacificCorp and Bonneville I-5 project management teams review project plans and project steps including the public process and route/corridor analysis necessary to determine benefits and constraints that will ultimately lead to corridor decisions.</p> <p>7.1.09 Meeting with BPA's [redacted] & consultants [redacted] & PAC's [redacted] & [redacted]. Reviewed maps of area. Discussed we need to build a new route but want to know how interested BPA is in partnering to build new route through to Trousdale (not Pearl). BPA is still in preliminary phase and so just now considering routes and which they will recommend. They have tight time schedules and will be working with [redacted] PAC to discuss further</p>	<p>7.22.09 Working with [redacted] BPA working on I-5 solutions way avert our Swift Trousdale. Keep C&T advised on timing vs their gen upgrades at Lewis River. [redacted] said [redacted] and [redacted] are part of project team trying to determine. The policy committee will frame project and interest. No date for committee to offer.</p> <p>9/10/09 Vantage-Cos contract settlement may grant PAC Merchant adequate transmission rights between Woodland to Vancouver to solve the problem. PAC not pursuing I-5 nor Swift to Trousdale at this time.</p> <p>9.18.09 BPA working with Merchant on contract conversion. BPA agreed and working through proposal. Granting rights out of ISR.</p> <p>10.16.09 Meeting on 10.13.09.</p> <p>10.30.09 [redacted] asked how meetings were going. [redacted] meetings are well attended and there are concerns about route and PacificCorp's right of way.</p> <p>11.13.09 [redacted] to set up meeting with hydro folks.</p> <p>12.28.09 BPA is no longer looking at PAC corridor.</p> <p>Ask BPA if the Lewis River Project will be request for crossing?</p>	<p>P</p> <p>Ask BPA if the Lewis River Project will be request for crossing?</p>	

Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2

From: Exemption 6 [mailto:Exemption 6@PacifiCorp.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2009 5:08 PM

To: Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2;

Subject: RE: I-5 corridor reinforcement

See below

From: Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2 [mailto:Exemption 6@bpa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2009 12:22 PM

To: Exemption 6; Exemption 6

Subject: RE: I-5 corridor reinforcement

Gents,

I have a few requests heading into this meeting. Because we have to get as much info as possible into the record so that we can make an informed decision on the eventual preferred alternative, we will need to start working with specific individuals and quickly.

- 1) can you invite Exemption 6 to the meeting? Invited to the meeting and has accepted.
- 2) who is your legal representative for this so that I can get our legal (Exemption 6) in touch with them to talk about the FERC issues? Legal rep from Hydro invited to the meeting Exemption 6
- 3) who will be your "lead" to go to with questions and to set up meetings? Is it Exemption 6 Or someone else? Exemption 6 will be the lead for contact in relation to this project, might be helpful if Exemption 6 was copied on all correspondence.
- 4) we need someone to go into the field with our reps. We need someone to talk specifics about siting, regardless of whether it is on or within proximity to PAC property. Best person will be Exemption 6 for areas in and around the Lewis River we can talk more about this and what is needed next week in the meeting.

Thanks,

Exemption 6
Exemption 6

mailto:Exemption 6@PacifiCorp.com]

December 16, 2009 7:57 AM

Exemption 6; Exemption 6; Exemption 6 - KEC-4; Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2; Exemption 6 - TEP-TPP-2; Exemption 6 - TEP-TPP-1; Exemption 6

Exemption 6
Exemption 6
ridor reinforcement

December 09, 2009 2:00 PM-4:00 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

CT 16A (Video Conf) (10)

When: Wednesday, December 09, 2009 2:00 PM-4:00 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: CONF RM LCT 16A (Video Conf) (10)

Note: The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.

~~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*

Meeting to discuss the BPA I-5 transmission project.

Agenda

1. Review current information for the possible crossing of the Lewis River project properties.
2. Discuss options to be investigated in crossing the Lewis River properties.
3. BPA project update
4. PAC to provide any know specifics on current possible routing options across the Lewis River properties.
5. Next steps.
- 6.

Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2

From: Exemption 6 [Exemption 6] @PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2009 2:38 PM
To: Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2
Cc: Exemption 6; Exemption 6; Exemption 6 - TPC-TPP-4
Subject: BPA/PAC I-5 project

Exemption 6
Exemption 6
Exemption 6

In response to BPA requests for a meeting on the next steps and developing risk assessments on the I-5 project, we are working with our Hydro people to develop an assessment of the potential impacts of opening the FERC license for the Lewis River project.

A key question revolves around can any crossing or usage of PacifiCorp lands covered by the License be considered without opening the License?

Due to the complex assessment and personal time commitments of key staff, we expect to be able to meet shortly after the Thanksgiving holiday with a better informed position.

Exemption 6
Exemption 6
Exemption 6

Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2

From: Exemption 6 [mailto:Exemption 6@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 3:14 PM
To: Exemption 6; Exemption 6; Exemption 6@bpa.gov; Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2
Cc: Exemption 6; Exemption 6
Subject: RE: GIS files for transmission alignment

Just found a file that was held by our firewall! We have the data now, just waiting to be released by helpdesk.

Thanks,

Exemption 6
Exemption 6

From: Exemption 6 [mailto:Exemption 6@bpa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 2:55 PM
To: Exemption 6; Exemption 6@bpa.gov; Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2
Cc: Exemption 6; Exemption 6
Subject: RE: GIS files for transmission alignment

Thanks Exemption 6 I will see what we can do.

Exemption 6
Exemption 6

From: Exemption 6 [mailto:Exemption 6@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 1:51 PM
To: Exemption 6@bpa.gov; Exemption 6
Cc: Exemption 6; Exemption 6; Exemption 6
Subject: FW: GIS files for transmission alignment

Exemption 6 and Exemption 6

Exemption 6 can I get you to contact Exemption 6 in PacifiCorp's GIS department regarding the recent data requests that Exemption 6 and I have been discussing (Exemption 6). Partially it is just to discuss format on the data exchanges but also PacifiCorp is now required to get a non-disclosure agreement on data that will be transferred to a third party. In order for us to send the data that BPA requests we will need this form signed by BPA and perhaps Exemption 6 can help out with that. I appreciate your help in the past and thanks for the recent work requests as we continue to try to work with BPA for each other's business needs.

Exemption 6

Principle Scientist - Wildlife and Forestry
 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
 Portland, Or. 97232

Exemption 6 (office)

Exemption 6 (cell)

From: Exemption 6 [mailto:Exemption 6@bpa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 1:21 PM
To: Exemption 6
Cc: Exemption 6
Subject: RE: GIS files for transmission alignment

Hi Exemption 6 As we discussed over the phone, Exemption 6 will be sending our latest information to PAC in GIS format so that you can use it. It will be updated on all the segments from the Lewis River to the north including the areas you are interested in. The Lewis River crossing just below Merwin Dam has not been finalized and we are still doing some detailed engineering studies to determine where towers may go. It is a difficult area and needs more study with terrain, all the existing facilities/residences and minimizing clearing. What is shown on the GIS data, that you will be receiving, concerning the Merwin Dam area is river crossing is pretty close but subject to slight change.

The information BPA is seeking concerning PAC transmission lines include ROW widths, tower locations, access roads along Segments 14, 15, 16, 23 and 28. Please also include any additional ideas/data you may be willing to share.

Thanks Exemption 6
 Exemption 6

Exemption 6
 Exemption 6

From: Exemption 6 [mailto:Exemption 6@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 7:41 AM
To: Exemption 6
Cc: Exemption 6
Subject: GIS files for transmission alignment

Exemption 6
 Exemption 6
 Exemption 6

Per our discussion the other week, I would like to get your latest corridor alignment for the area crossing PacifiCorp property (roughly portions of segments 21, 22 and 11). I will be meeting with our Terrestrial Coordinating Committee on May 12 and I want to discuss this with them as part of a field tour we have that day in the vicinity. Please have Exemption 6 send the appropriate GIS file to our GIS supervisor Exemption 6 as soon as practical so that I may draft the appropriate maps for discussion with the TCC.

Thanks,

Exemption 6

Principle Scientist - Wildlife and Forestry
 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
 Portland, Or. 97232

Exemption 6 (office)

Exemption 6 (cell)

Exemption 6 TSE-TPP-2

From: Exemption 6 [Exemption 6@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 04, 2010 4:45 PM
To: Exemption 6 - TSE-TPP-2; Exemption 6 - TSES-TPP-2; Exemption 6 - TPC-TPP-4
Subject: BPA PAC Tran Issues list for Friday, 8/6/10
Attachments: BPA PAC Trans for 8.6.10.pdf

Hi,

Attached is the BPA PAC Transmission issues list to be used on Friday. Hard copies will be available at the meeting.

The list is in a different format in order to remain consistent with other tools Les and I use.

Thank you,

Exemption 6
Exemption 6

This email is confidential and may be legally privileged.

It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else, unless
If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any

=====

