U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Se		6-CPA-52 4/2013	A. Client Name:			
	EVALUATION WORKSHE		B. Conservation Plan ID # (a		cable):	
D. Client's Objective(s) (pu	urnoco):		Program Authority (op C. Identification # (farm, trad		# ata aa raguirad).	
D. Chefit's Objective(s) (po	irpose).		c. identification # (fami, frac	i, neiu	#, etc as required).	
E. Need for Action:	H. Alternatives		Alternative 1 √ if RM	0	Alternative 2	
	No Action √ if RMS	5	Alternative 1 √ if RM	5	Alternative 2 √ if RM	s <u> </u>
	_					
In Coation "F" balow analy			rce Concerns	aga Inv		
	ze, record, and address conc source Planning Criteria for g			ces inv	entory process.	
F. Resource Concerns	I. Effects of Alternatives		·			
and Existing/ Benchmark	No Action		Alternative 1		Alternative 2	
Conditions (Analyze and record the	Amount, Status, Description	√ if	Amount, Status, Description	√ if	Amount, Status, Description	√ if
existing/benchmark	·	does NOT	·	does NOT	·	does NOT
conditions for each identified concern)	(Document both short and long term impacts)	meet PC	(Document both short and long term impacts)	meet PC	(Document both short and	meet PC
SOIL: EROSION	iong term impacts)		iong term impacts)		long term impacts)	
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet		meet		meet
		PC		PC		PC
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet		meet		meet
SOIL: SOIL QUALITY DEGR	PADATION	PC		PC		PC
SOIL: SOIL QUALITY DEGR	RADATION					ТП
		NOT meet		NOT meet		NOT meet
		PC		PC		PC
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet PC		meet PC		meet PC
WATER: EXCESS / INSUFF	ICIENT WATER	_ ` `				
WATER. EXCESS/INSULT	ICIENT WATER					Ιп
		<u></u>				
		NOT meet		NOT meet		NOT meet
		PC		PC		PC
WATER: WATER QUALITY	DEGRADATION					
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet PC		meet PC		meet PC
		NOT meet		NOT meet		NOT meet
	1	PC		PC		PC

F. Resource Concerns	I. (continued)					
and Existing/ Benchmark	No Action		Alternative 1		Alternative 2	
Conditions	Amount, Status,	√ if	Amount, Status,	√if	Amount, Status,	√ if
(Analyze and record the	Description	does	Description	does	Description	does
existing/benchmark conditions for each		NOT meet		NOT meet		NOT meet
identified concern)	(Document both short and	PC	(Document both short and	PC	(Document both short and	PC
AIR: AIR QUALITY IMPACTS	long term impacts)		long term impacts)		long term impacts)	
AIR. AIR QUALITY IIVIFACTO	3					
						Ш
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet PC		meet PC		meet PC
						ш
		NOT meet		NOT meet		NOT
		PC		PC		meet PC
PLANTS: DEGRADED PLAN	NT CONDITION					
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet		meet		meet
		PC		PC		PC
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet		meet		meet
		PC		PC		PC
ANIMALS: INADEQUATE H	ABITAT FOR FISH AND WILD	LIFE				
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet		meet		meet
ANIMALS: LIVESTOCK PRO		PC		PC		PC
ANIMALS. LIVESTOCK PRO	DOCTION LIMITATION					
						Ш
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet PC		meet PC		meet PC
						Ш
		NOT		NOT meet		NOT
		meet PC		PC		meet PC
ENERGY: INEFFICIENT EN	ERGY USE					
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet		meet		meet
		PC		PC		PC
		NOT		NOT		NOT
		meet		meet		meet
		PC		PC		PC
HUMAN: ECONOMIC AND S	SUCIAL CONSIDERATIONS					

Special Environmental Concerns: Environmental Laws, Executive Orders, policies, etc.

In Section "G" complete and attach Environmental Procedures Guide Sheets for documentation as applicable. Items with a "•" may require a federal permit or consultation/coordination between the lead agency and another government agency. In these cases, effects may need to be determined in consultation with another agency. Planning and practice implementation may proceed for practices not involved in consultation.

-	J. Impacts to Special Environmental Concerns			A4		
Concerns (Document existing/ benchmark conditions)	No Action Document all impacts (Attach Guide Sheets as	√if needs further	Alternative 1 Document all impacts (Attach Guide Sheets as	√ if needs further	Alternative 2 Document all impacts (Attach Guide Sheets as	√ if needs further
•Clean Air Act Guide Sheet FS1 FS-2	applicable)	action	applicable)	action	applicable)	action
Clean Water Act / Waters of the U.S. Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Coastal Zone Management Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Coral Reefs Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Cultural Resources / Historic Properties Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Endangered and Threatened Species Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Environmental Justice Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
•Essential Fish Habitat Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Floodplain Management Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Invasive Species Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Migratory Birds/Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Natural Areas Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Prime and Unique Farmlands Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Riparian Area Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						
Scenic Beauty Guide Sheet Fact Sheet						

*** **				T		·	-		
Wetlands Guide Sheet	Fact Sheet								
Wild and Scenic Guide Sheet	Rivers Fact Sheet								
K. Other Age		No Action		Alternative 1		Alternative 2			
Broad Public		No Action		Alternative ,		Alternative			
Easements, Pern Review, or Permi Agencies Consult	its Required and		_						
Cumulative Effectory (Describe the curconsidered, includered, includered) present and known	mulative impacts ding past, vn future actions								
regardless of who actions)	performed the								
L. Mitigation									
(Record actions to minimize, and con			_						
M. Preferred Alternative	√ preferred alternative								
	Supporting reason								
N. Context (R	lecord context	of alternatives analysis)							
_			ontexts	such as society as a whole (hu	man, n	ational), the affected region, the)		
affected interes		cality. icance or Extraordinary Circu	metan	220					
	_			ices eneficial and adverse. A signific	ant eff	ect may exist even if the Federa	al		
agency believe	es that on balar	nce the effect will be beneficial		ficance cannot be avoided by te					
down into smal			ontact t	he State Environmental Liaiso	on as t	there may be extraordinary			
				specific NEPA analysis may b					
Yes No	la tha n	f all all and the own out of the	- 2:100	' '' effects on public hor	"h or				
	Is the proximit	ne preferred alternative expected to cause significant effects on public health or safety? The preferred alternative expected to significantly affect unique characteristics of the geographic area such as skimity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically							
	critical a		etive on	the quality of the human enviro	nment	likely to be highly controversial	?		
		e effects of the preferred alternative on the quality of the human environment likely to be highly controversial? the preferred alternative have highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human the preferred alternative have highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human the preferred alternative highly controlled the preferred alternative highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human the preferred alternative highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human the preferred alternative highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human the preferred alternative highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human the preferred alternative highly uncertain effects of the preferred alternat							
	principle	es the preferred alternative establish a precedent for future actions with significant impacts or represent a decision in ciple about a future consideration?							
	quality o	s the preferred alternative known or reasonably expected to have potentially significant environment impacts to the uality of the human environment either individually or cumulatively over time?							
	 Will the preferred alternative likely have a significant adverse effect on ANY of the special environmental concerns? Use the Evaluation Procedure Guide Sheets to assist in this determination. This includes, but is not limited to, concerns such as cultural or historical resources, endangered and threatened species, environmental justice, wetlands, floodplains, coastal zones, coral reefs, essential fish habitat, wild and scenic rivers, clean air, riparian areas, natural areas, and invasive species. 								
	environ	be preferred alternative threaten a violation of Federal, State, or local law or requirements for the protection of the nment?							
		edge, the data shown on this				ND00 is to			
		CS person (e.g. a TSP) assists informations accuracy.	with pia	anning they are to sign the first	signatu	ure block and then NRCS is to s	ign		
	Signature (TSP if applicable)		Title		Date			
	Cigna,	Тог п аррисаль,							
		ture (NRCS)		Title		Date			
If preferred alternative is not a federal action where NRCS has control or responsibility and this NRCS-CPA-52 is shared with someone other than the client then indicate to whom this is being provided.									

NRCS is the RFO if the action is subject to NRCS control and responsibility (e.g., actions financed, funded, assisted, conducted, regulated, or approved by NRCS). These actions do not include situations in which NRCS is only providing technical assistance because NRCS cannot control what the client ultimately does with that assistance and situations where NRCS is making a technical determination (such as Farm Bill HEL or wetland determinations) not associated with the planning process. Q. NEPA Compliance Finding (check one) The preferred alternative: **Action required** Document in "R.1" below. 1) is not a federal action where the agency has control or responsibility. No additional analysis is required 2) is a federal action ALL of which is categorically excluded from further Document in "R.2" below. environmental analysis AND there are no extraordinary circumstances as identifed No additional analysis is required in Section "O". 3) is a federal action that has been **sufficiently analyzed** in an existing Agency state, Document in "R.1" below. regional, or national NEPA document and there are no predicted significant adverse No additional analysis is required. environmental effects or extraordinary circumstances. 4) is a federal action that has been sufficiently analyzed in another Federal agency's Contact the State Environmental NEPA document (EA or EIS) that addresses the proposed NRCS action and its' effects Liaison for list of NEPA documents and has been formally adopted by NRCS. NRCS is required to prepare and publish formally adopted and available for its own Finding of No Significant Impact for an EA or Record of Decision for an EIS tiering. Document in "R.1" below. when adopting another agency's EA or EIS document. (Note: This box is not No additional analysis is required applicable to FSA) 5) is a federal action that has **NOT** been sufficiently analyzed or may involve predicted Contact the State Environmental significant adverse environmental effects or extraordinary circumstances and may Liaison. Further NEPA analysis require an EA or EIS. required. R. Rationale Supporting the Finding Findings Documentation R.2 Applicable Categorical Exclusion(s) (more than one may apply) 7 CFR Part 650 Compliance With NEPA, subpart 650.6 Categorical Exclusions states prior to determining that a proposed action is categorically excluded under paragraph (d) of this section, the proposed action must meet six sideboard criteria. See NECH 610.116. I have considered the effects of the alternatives on the Resource Concerns, Economic and Social Considerations, Special Environmental Concerns, and Extraordinary Circumstances as defined by Agency regulation and policy and based on that made the finding indicated above. S. Signature of Responsible Federal Official: Signature Title Date **Additional notes**

The following sections are to be completed by the Responsible Federal Official (RFO)