Page 1

	FY2008 CAA National Data Download				Page 1	Region 1					
Metric	Metric Description	Metric Type	Agency	National Goal	National Average	CT M	а мі	E NH	RI	νт	
A01A1S	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	State			94	149	70	43	42	1
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			94	149	70	43	42	1
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	State			91	147	70	43	41	1
		Data Quality	Combined			91	147	70	43	41	1
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			269	897	177	91	81	7
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			269 9	897 22	177	91	81	7
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current) Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			9	22	1	3 3	5 5	
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHAI	Data Quality	Combined State			410	1412	297	262	66	4
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities of otherwise FedRep, not including NESHAI		Combined			410	1412	297	262	66	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	State			74	131	62	69	32	2
		Data Quality	Combined			74	131	62	69	32	
		Data Quality	State			18	43	4	3	9	
A01C2C	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			18	43	4	3	9	
A01C3S	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	State			85	80	50	11	29	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			85	80	50	11	29	
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSPS facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		State	100%	76.7%	81	0	30	100	87.5	96
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NESHAP facilities with FCEs conducted after		State	100%	33.5%	70	0	0	0	100	
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		State	100%	89.3%	97.4	0	9.8	85.7	89.5	1
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		Combined	100%	86.6%	90.4	0	8.7	50	70.8	1
	Compliance Monitoring: Sources with FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			87	153	58	37	15	
	Compliance Monitoring: Number of FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			91	156	61	37	16	
	Compliance Monitoring: Number of PCEs (1 FY)	Informational Only	State			8	1573	159	57	14	
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			81	15	46	12	18	
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined			90	19	47	13	25	
	Informal Enforcement Actions: Number Issued (1 FY) Informal Enforcement Actions: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality Data Quality	State State			89 60	196 193	26 23	49 48	20 19	
	HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			25	193	23	40 12	7	
	HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			25	10	8	12	6	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality	State	100%	51.7%	96	100	37.5	100	100	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs	Data Quality	State	100%	66.3%	100	100	75	100	100	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation		State	100%	66.1%	96	100	75	100	100	
	Formal Action: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	10070	00.170	24	47	14	7	2	
A0112S	Formal Action: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			21	45	14	7	2	
A01J0S	Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			110205	397476		65567	39668	
A01K0S	Major Sources Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current)	Review Indicator	State		0	4	2	2	2	1	
A02A0S	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	<= 50%	61.4%	69.6	100	58.3	133.3	44.4	1
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined	<= 50%	61.3%	72	118.2	66.7	133.3	46.7	1
A02B1S	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail Result	IGoal	State	0%	1.3%	0	0	1	0	0	
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - Number of Failures (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			1	2	3	0	0	
	Percent HPVs Entered <= 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	35.4%	16	50	0	33.3	57.1	
	Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After I		State	100%	62.8%	25.3	74.8	15.2	31.9	91.3	82
	Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designatio		State	100%	72.4%	53.6	98.8	25	51.9	90	
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	State	100%	90.7%	96.4	72.5	83.1	95	75.6	73
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal Bouiour Indicator	Combined		91.0%	96.4	74.3	84.6	100	82.9	78
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator Review Indicator	State Combined	100%	81.3% 81.8%	95.3 95.3	74.7 78.8	50 50	74.4 81.4	65.9 81.8	
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY) CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator	State	20% - 100%	68.3%	95.3	78.8 62.9	50 35.3	81.4 41.5	53.7	4(
		Review Indicator		20% - 100%	68.7%	32.8	66.2	38.2	41.5	55.2	40
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	State	100%	88.9%	98.8	89.3	79.3	88.2	86.1	7
		Informational Only	Combined		89.1%	99.6	90.4	79.3	94.1	88.6	7
		Informational Only	State		81.0%	96	84.9	78.9	90.4	83.9	74
		Informational Only	Combined		81.3%	96.7	85.4	79.5	94.7	87.5	74
		Informational Only	State		30.3%	14.4	39.4	44.3	29.4	6	2
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	State			50	4	11	0	8	
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	Combined			50	4	11	0	8	
A05F0S	CAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State			0	0	0	0	0	
A05G0S	Review of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	92.6%	98.8	98.5	100	100	97.3	1
	Percent facilities in noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforcer		State	> 1/2 National Avg	21.2%	27.7	5.2	25.5	15	39.3	4
	Percent facilities that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu		State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%	50	50	50	0	0	
A08A0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	7.8%	12.8	6	8.6	7	11.9	
A08A0E	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA		0.5%	2.1	0	1.4	0	4.8	
A08B0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	0.7%	2.6	0.1	0.6	7.7	0	
A08B0E	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA	> 1/2 National Avg	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	
A08C0S		Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9%	66.7	75	77.8	100	0	
	Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4%	44.4	77.8	40	55.6	64.3	
		Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	45.1%	100	72.7	60	0	0	
	Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY)	Review Indicator	State		36.6%	75	24 47	71.4	58.8	64.7	
	No Activity Indicator - Actions with Penalties (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State State	. 909/	86.4%	20		14 100	6 50	2 0	
AIZBUS	Percent Actions at HPVs With Penalty (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	>= 80%	00.4%	85.7	66.7	100	50	0	

Page 2

	FY2008 CAA National Data Download				Page 2				
Vetric	Matria Description	Motric Type	Agonov	National Goal	National Average	Region 2 NJ	NY P	R V	n
	Metric Description Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Metric Type Data Quality	Agency State	National Goal	National Average	336	466	83	1
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			336	467	83	1
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	State			320	450	57	1
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			320	451	57	1
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			1060	2348	28	
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			1060	2348	28	
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			27	87	15	
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			27	87	15	
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA		State			125	1947	211	
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA		Combined			125	1947	211	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	State			289	740	67	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			289	740	67	
01C2S	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	State			59	184	31	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			59	184	31	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	State			139	433	32	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			139	434	32	
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSPS facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		State	100%	76.7%	76.7	96	47.6	
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NESHAP facilities with FCEs conducted after		State	100%	33.5%	25.9	100	66.7	1
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		State	100%	89.3%	90.8	88.7	85.7	1
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		Combined	100%	86.6%	70	82.1	72.2	1
01D1S		Data Quality	State			352	467	15	
01D2S		Data Quality	State			382	476	17	
01D3S		Informational Only	State			66	3593	196	
01E0S		Data Quality	State			86	1927	363	
01E0C		Data Quality	Combined			129	1943	370	
01F1S		Data Quality	State			172	391	44	
01F2S	· · · · ·	Data Quality	State			111	317	41	
	HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			40	67	0	
	HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			32		0	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality	State	100%	51.7%	80	100	0	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality	State	100%	66.3%	80	97	0	1
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Politians. Percent DZs HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation		State	100%	66.1%	80	97	0	1
	Formal Action: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	100 /6	00.170	251	158	8	'
A0112S		Data Quality	State			251	130	о 8	
	Formal Action: Number of Sources (1 FY)					10674858		23000	
	Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	C			1242075	23000	
	Major Sources Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current)	Review Indicator	State	<= 50%	,	29 185.7	12	30	
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State		61.4%			•	
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined		61.3%	89.7	15.5	1.6	44
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail Resu		State	0%	1.3%	5	0	0	
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - Number of Failures (1 FY)		State	1000/	05 404	44	28	0	
	Percent HPVs Entered <= 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	35.4%	52.5	55.2	0	
	Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After		State	100%	62.8%	66.5	32.2	82.5	
	Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designation		State	100%	72.4%	88	64.8	68	1
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	State	100%	90.7%	86.2	98.7	78.3	
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	Combined	100%	91.0%	86.8	98.9	85.1	
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	State	100%	81.3%	87.1	95.8	43.9	4
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator			81.8%	88.3	96	52.4	54
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator	State	20% - 100%	68.3%	40.9	41.6	11.1	
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator		20% - 100%	68.7%	45.5	42.7	33.3	
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	State	100%	88.9%	87.8	95.7	78.6	
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		89.1%	89.3	95.7	92.9	
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		81.0%	78.5	57.4	60	
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		81.3%	79.3	57.5	66.7	
	CAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		30.3%	3.2	30.2	37.7	
05E0S		Review Indicator	State			92	31	3	
05E0C		Review Indicator	Combined			92	31	3	
05F0S		Informational Only	State			0	1	0	
05605		Goal	State	100%	92.6%	78.3	89.4	57.1	
07C1S			State	> 1/2 National Avg	21.2%	5.4	85	248.3	171
07C2S			State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%	3.3	50	0	
08A0S	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	7.8%	8.6	5.6	0	36
08A0E		Review Indicator	EPA		0.5%	0.6	0.2	2.4	
08B0S	······································	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	0.7%	0.1	1.1	0	
08B0E	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA	> 1/2 National Avg	0.0%	0	0	0	
08C0S	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9%	26.5	51.9	0	
08D0S	Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4%	81.9	55.1	100	
	Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing	- Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	45.1%	32.3	62.5	0	
08E0S			-		00.00/	15	29.8	0	1
	Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY)	Review Indicator	State		36.6%	10	29.0	0	
A10A0S	Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY) No Activity Indicator - Actions with Penalties (1 FY)	Review Indicator Review Indicator	State State		36.6%	251	158	8	

Page 3

_	FY2008 CAA National Data Download				Page 3	Region 3					
Metric	Metric Description	Metric Type	Agency	National Goal	National Average		DE N	ID PA	ι v	va v	NV
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	State			35	65	141	683	272	18
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			35	65	141	683	272	18
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	State			35	65	141	657	254	11
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			35	65	141	657	254	18
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			0	80	178	725	1497	1
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			0	80 0	178	725	1497 2	:
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current) Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State Combined			0	0	2 2	44 44	2	
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHAI	Data Quality	State			156	110	2130	3142	2732	3
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHAI		Combined			156	110	2130	3142	2732	3
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	State			14	49	175	426	693	
		Data Quality	Combined			14	49	175	426	693	
		Data Quality	State			0	4	8	156	23	:
A01C2C	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			0	4	8	156	23	:
A01C3S	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	State			1	29	63	468	209	
A01C3C	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			1	29	63	468	209	(
A01C4S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSPS facilities with FCEs conducted after 1	Data Quality	State	100%	76.7%	100	100	81	48.1	99.3	26
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NESHAP facilities with FCEs conducted after		State	100%	33.5%	0	80	100	11.6	100	35
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		State	100%	89.3%	66.7	98.8	59	90	99.8	92
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		Combined	100%	86.6%	66.7	98.8	59	88.8	99.4	91
	Compliance Monitoring: Sources with FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			17	90	115	1100	727	11
	Compliance Monitoring: Number of FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			17	92	117	1149	728	1
	Compliance Monitoring: Number of PCEs (1 FY)	Informational Only	State			0	200	2377	3783	5730	1
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			25	54	62	804	195	1
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined			26	56	71	834	225	1
	Informal Enforcement Actions: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			3	25	145	428	72	•
	Informal Enforcement Actions: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State State			2	19 8	131 14	338 106	67 16	
	HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY) HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality				0	0 4	14	74	14	
		Data Quality	State	100%	51.7%	0	4 100	100	93.4	14	1
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs	Data Quality Data Quality	State State	100%	66.3%	0	87.5	100	93.4 99.1	100	1
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Policians. Percent DZs HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation		State	100%	66.1%	0	100	100	100	93.8	1
	Formal Action: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	10078	00.170	5	13	28	236	52	
	Formal Action: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			4	11	28	205	50	
	Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			22000	1105094		9086133	1703916	2985
	Major Sources Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current)	Review Indicator	State	(C	0	1	0	1	0	
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	<= 50%	61.4%	14.3	30.8	61.5	35.8	44.1	12
A02A0C	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined	<= 50%	61.3%	14.3	33.3	60.7	37.6	47.5	9
A02B1S	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail Resul	Goal	State	0%	1.3%	0	6.5	0	0	0	
A02B2S	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - Number of Failures (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			0	4	0	27	15	
A03A0S	Percent HPVs Entered <= 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	35.4%	0	37.5	57.1	46.2	50	1
A03B1S	Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After I	Goal	State	100%	62.8%	8.6	40.2	92.3	86.7	92.6	56
A03B2S	Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designation	Goal	State	100%	72.4%	62.5	74.2	96.8	94.3	86.5	1
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	State	100%	90.7%	97	100	100	98.8	98.5	97
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	Combined	100%	91.0%	97	100	100	98.9	98.5	97
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	State	100%	81.3%	91.7	90.8	95.1	99.1	97.4	93
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	Combined		81.8%	91.7	90.8	95.1	99.1	97.4	93
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator	State	20% - 100%	68.3%	0	96	50.7	96	98.2	1
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator		20% - 100%	68.7%	0	96	50.7	96	98.2	1
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)		State	100%	88.9%	0	96.1	80.6	99.8	98.3	1
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)		Combined		89.1%	0	96.1 96.5	80.6 90.2	99.8	98.3	1
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)		State		81.0% 81.3%	0	96.5 96.5	90.2 90.2	97.8 97.9	95.1 95.1	1
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only Informational Only	Combined State		81.3% 30.3%	33	96.5 86.2	90.2 20.3	97.9 45.4	95.1 62.5	1
	CAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	State		50.570	33	86.2	20.3	45.4 3	62.5 5	
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	Combined			0	1	0	3	5 5	
		Informational Only	State			0	0	0	1	0	
	Review of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	92.6%	15.6	87.3	95.5	96.5	100	8
	Percent facilities in noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforcer		State	> 1/2 National Avg	21.2%	33.3	41.2	23.8	27.7	15	3
	Percent facilities that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu		State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%	0.0	100	20.0	72	66.7	66
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	7.8%	0	6.2	8.5	9.7	4.4	
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.5%	0	0	0.7	0.7	1.8	
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	0.7%	0	0	0	0.4	0.1	
		Review Indicator	EPA	> 1/2 National Avg	0.0%	0	0 0	0	0	0	
	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9%	25	50	50	58.8	57.9	6
	Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4%	50	60	42.9	55.7	38.9	3
A08D0S		Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	45.1%	0	75	50	47.7	14.3	30
A08D0S A08E0S			State State	> 1/2 National Avg	45.1% 36.6%	0	75 72.2	50 3.8	47.7 45.6	14.3 31.6	
A08D0S A08E0S A10A0S	Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing -	Review Indicator		> 1/2 National Avg							36 13

	FY2008 CAA National Data Download				Page 4								
Metric	Metric Description	Metric Type	Agency	National Goal	National Average	Region 4 AL F	L G	а к	KY N	IS N	IC S	SC 1	TN
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	State	National Coal	Hutteriuge	380	407	420	365	287	367	293	3
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			380	407	420	365	287	367	293	3
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	State			364	405	394	310	286	339	287	3
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			364	405	394	310	286	339	287	3
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			380	479	1290	275	280	772	431	6
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			380	479	1290	275	280	772	431	6
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			7	18	27	7	3	18	62	2
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			7	18	27	7	3	18	62	2
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA		State			491	138	86	50	33	1957	1215	5
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESH		Combined			491	138	86	50	33	1957	1215	5
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	State			269	348	654	255	230	484	226	3
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			269	348	654	255	230	484	226	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	State			39	182	62	68	23	31	91	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			39	182	62	68	23	31	91	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	State			194	163	205	128	159	231	157	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			194	163	205	128	159	231	157	
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSPS facilities with FCEs conducted after		State	100%	76.7%	79.9	3	69.2	64.9	95.1	96.7	45	8
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSI S racintes with FCEs conducted arter		State	100%	33.5%	0	0	37.5	16.7	92.3	76.9	7.4	c
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NESTAF facilities with FCEs conducted after		State	100%	89.3%	90	38.4	97.3	91.7	92.6	99.3	96.2	g
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after		Combined		86.6%	89.5	38.1	97.3	88.7	92.6	99.3 95.4	93.4	g
	Compliance Monitoring: Sources with FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	100 %	00.076	601	577	637	315	204	1074	490	
		Data Quality	State			618	612	661	349	204	1119	490 507	
	Compliance Monitoring: Number of FCEs (1 FY)												
	Compliance Monitoring: Number of PCEs (1 FY)	Informational Only	State			1117	1180	770	8	28	1448	1373	-
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			93	164	209	120	21	531	81	
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined			100	168	233	124	21	532	83	
	Informal Enforcement Actions: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			63	40	74	32	31	467	65	
	Informal Enforcement Actions: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			58	32	68	24	31	417	64	
	HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			62	53	26	14	12	28	21	
	HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			54	44	23	9	12	26	19	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality	State	100%	51.7%	96.8	98.1	23.1	85.7	100	96.4	100	ç
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs	Data Quality	State	100%	66.3%	100	100	100	92.9	100	100	100	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violatio		State	100%	66.1%	98.4	100	100	92.9	91.7	100	100	
	Formal Action: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			34	41	44	13	4	28	20	
		Data Quality	State			33	37	43	12	4	28	20	
	Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			629600	322875	337312	173000	68250	212231	1132350	5750
	Major Sources Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current)	Review Indicator	State	(,	28	4	27	9	2	4	4	
A02A0S	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	<= 50%	61.4%	102.6	102.2	39.4	33.3	91.7	33	48.5	
A02A0C	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined	<= 50%	61.3%	91.3	100	33.3	40.8	91.7	32.6	47.1	7
A02B1S	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail Results	ulGoal	State	0%	1.3%	0.6	0	0	8.2	0	21.5	0	
A02B2S	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - Number of Failures (1 FY) Data Quality	State			22	8	43	0	19	5	11	
403A0S	Percent HPVs Entered <= 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	35.4%	45.2	47.2	38.5	35.7	33.3	75	85.7	6
A03B1S	Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After	[Goal	State	100%	62.8%	94.1	43.6	51.7	92.6	62.3	84.1	86.2	5
A03B2S	Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designation	oGoal	State	100%	72.4%	91.1	77.8	50	84.4	37.5	88.4	83.1	8
A05A1S	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	State	100%	90.7%	100	99.3	99.7	74	95.2	100	96.8	9
A05A1C	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	Combined	100%	91.0%	100	99.5	99.7	75.8	95.2	100	97.1	ç
405A2S	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	State	100%	81.3%	99	99.3	93.6	56.7	95.6	97.1	94.1	7
405A2C	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	Combined	100%	81.8%	99	99.3	93.6	57.2	95.6	97.1	94.1	
A05B1S	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator	State	20% - 100%	68.3%	72.1	73.9	71.7	61	43.1	99.6	100	g
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator		20% - 100%	68.7%	72.1	73.9	71.7	61	43.1	99.6	100	
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	State	100%	88.9%	94.7	98	95.8	63.4	91	96.4	96.3	ç
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		89.1%	94.7	98	95.8	65.3	91	96.4	96.3	ģ
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		81.0%	95	99.5	60	60	94.1	98	98.8	ç
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		81.3%	95	99.5	60.1	62.5	94.1	98	98.8	ç
	CAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		30.3%	62.9	8.2	2.4	5.1	8.1	90.6	92.8	5
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	State		00.070	02.9	8	2.4	94	31	8	15	
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	Combined			0	8	7	94	31	8	15	
	CAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State			0	3	0	0	0	1	0	
	Review of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	92.6%	100	100	99.8	100	100	99.4	99.7	
	Percent facilities in noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforce		State	> 1/2 National Avg	21.2%	8.3	7.2	18	17.3	7.3	22.2	12.1	1
	Percent facilities that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance stat		State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%	6.3 46.7	50	58.8	0	7.3	66.7	75	
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8% 7.8%	46.7	10.1	4.8	2.2	3.5	5.7	3.8	
				> 1/2 National AVg									
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA	. 1/2 Notice - LA	0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	0.7%	1.8	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.9	
		Review Indicator	EPA	> 1/2 National Avg	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A08B0E	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)		State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9%	91.3	100	62.5	88.9	100	100	70	
A08B0E A08C0S	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator											
A08B0E A08C0S A08D0S	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4%	2.9	0	58.3	89.5	50	60.9	64.3	
A08B0E A08C0S A08D0S A08E0S	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing	Review Indicator - Review Indicator	State State		40.4% 45.1%	40	75	60	100	36.4	22.2	66.7	
A08B0E A08C0S A08D0S A08E0S A10A0S	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY)	Review Indicator - Review Indicator Review Indicator	State State State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4%	40 7.7	75 15.5	60 35.4	100 74.2	36.4 36.4	22.2 2.7	66.7 18.4	
A08B0E A08C0S A08D0S A08E0S A10A0S A12A0S	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing	Review Indicator - Review Indicator	State State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4% 45.1%	40	75	60	100	36.4	22.2	66.7	1

Page 5

Morie Encogian Marie Data Marie Data Notice Data						0	Region 5					
AMARC Disk Disk Disk Status Status <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>National Goal</th><th>National Average</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th><u>vi</u></th></t<>					National Goal	National Average						<u>vi</u>
ADA26 Tink V. Universe. AF.S. Granding Margen at A. Pagnamo (A. S. Gunnar) Came 487 649 448 931 810 ADB26 Tink V. Universe. AF.S. Gunnarig Margen at A. Pagnamo (A. S. Gunnar) Data Gunnary Data Gunn												5
AB1AEC Tink V Unovers. AFS Quencing Mages with A Program Code ~ V (Camp) Data Cuality Construct 487 489 438 600 637 750 333 610 AB185 Source Court. HSB4P Mons (Current) Data Cuality Court 538 16 650 77 660 537 750 333 610 650 77 660 537 750 333 610 650 77 660 650 77 660 650 77 660 650 77 660 650 77 660 650 77 660 750												5
Add 115 Source Courter Synthesis Merces (Current) Date Courting Control of Courter (Courter) Date Courter (Courter) <thdate courter)<="" th=""> <thdate (courter)<="" courter="" th=""></thdate></thdate>												5
M1911C Source Courte Synthesic Mones (Current) Data Cuality Courte index 547 790 677 677 678 523 541 678 523 541 678 523 5			-									12
191825 Soura Court. NeSHAP Mone, Courtering Data Quarty Contributed Soura Court. NetWink Mone, Courtering Soura Court. Active Mone facilities or onivering Holfley, not incluing Holfley Montanity (Mone Mone) T B 16 57 78 58 101 58												12
NUB125 Sunce Court. Active Mining Tabilities or dimension FactRep, not including VESIMUISamitanian Colving Ornibial Contribut 2704 1.44 10.5 6.8 21.0 21.0 1.4 10.5 6.8 21.0 21.0 1.4 10.5 6.8 1.0 1.0 1.0							38		85		66	
M18152 Source Court. Active Monor facilities or otherwise ForkRep. not including NEEHANI formational Data Courts Source Court. Active Monor facilities or otherwise ForkRep. not including NEEHANI formational Data Courts Source Court. Active Monor facilities or otherwise ForkRep. Not including NEEHANI formational Data Courts Source Court. Active Monor facilities or otherwise ForkRep. Not including NEEHANI formational Data Courts Source Court. Active Monor facilities or otherwise ForkRep. Not including NEEHANI formational Data Courts Source Court. Active Monor facilities or otherwise ForkRep. Not including NEEHANI for Courts Source Court. Active Monor facilities or otherwise for Rep. Not including NEEHANI for Courts Source Court. Active Monor facilities or otherwise for Rep. Not including NEEHANI for R	A01B2C	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			38	16	85	17	66	
Bits Die Abs Stagengen Designations NBPS (Carrent) Data Quality State	A01B3S	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA	Informational Only	State			2704	144	105	58	2190	
MATCIC CAS Subjegram Designations: NBSPAP (Current) Data Quality Common State 66 68 703 102 665 CAS Subprogram Designations: NBSPAP (Current) Data Quality Common State 65 85 81 32 123 CAS Subprogram Designation: Percent NBSP Enclines with FCEs conducted at Data Quality Data Quality Common State 73 0 100 77.8 0 100 77.8 0 100 77.8 0 100 77.8 0 100 77.8 0 100 77.8 0 100 100 77.8 0 100 100 77.8 0 100 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Informational Only</td> <td>Combined</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			Informational Only	Combined								
MATC22 O.A.S Jupingram Designations: NESHAP (Current) Data Quality Data Quality Combined Gene 66 66 198 32 125 CAS Supergram Designations: NACT Current Data Quality Data Quality <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ę</td></t<>												Ę
MATC2C Ack Subjogram Designations: MESHAP (Current) Data Dashiy Cambine Jestim 23 33 34 65 543 CAS Subjogram Designations: NexCT (Current) Data Dashiy Data D			-									:
MAICLES OutA Subject promo Designations: MACT (Courted To Data Quality) Data Quality Contributor 225 6393 344 65 649 MOISE CAX Subject Designations: Purcent MACT Courted mater (Data Quality) State 100% 72.7% 225 6393 344 65 649 MOISE CAX Subject Designations: Purcent MACT Courted mater (Data Quality) State 100% 63.3% 0 75 67.6 600 697.5 600 699.7 600												
Add Subgrigham Designation: MACT Corrent) Data Quality State 100% 7.5.% 0.0 10.0 9.7.% 0.0 10.0 9.7.% 0.0 10.0 9.7.% 0.0 10.0 9.7.% 0.0 10.0 9.7.% 0.0 10.0 9.7.% 0.0 10.0 9.7.% 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.7.% 0.7.% 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00												
Matchel Add Subjering Designations: Percent NBSHP Analous with FCEs conducted after 1 Data Quality State 100% 33.5% 0 11.6 83.2 0 12.5 0.6 12.5 0.6 12.5 0.6 0.0 13.5 0.6 13.5 0.6 0.0 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5												
MATCSE A.S. Subject Designations: Parent NEST And sum VFCEs conducted after Data Quality State 100% 83.3 5% 0 14.5 68.2 0 100 A.S. Subject Designations: Parent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after Data Quality State 100% 89.3 100 75 97.6 100 98.9 Direct Designations: Parent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after Data Quality State 200 100 75 97.6 100 98.9 Direct Designations: Parent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after Data Quality State 307 45.5 104.1 48.5 100					1009/	76 70/						
940505 CAX Subjant Designation: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1 Data Quality State 100% 86.5% 0 75 97.6 100 99.6 99.7 910115 Compliance Moniforing: Survarse with FCEs (F PY) Data Quality State 107.5 86.6% 415 641 39.9 168 150 99.7 910115 Compliance Moniforing: Survarse with FCEs (F PY) Data Quality State 191 192 195 97.6 6.0 75 97.8 6.0 175 197.6 150 195 195 195 195 196 196 195 195 196							-					
MCIGE CAX Subject Designations: Provem MACE (1 FV) Data Quality State State 15 Gen J 97.8 97.0 97.0<												
MODIDE Compliance Monitoring: Number of PCEs (1 PY) Data Quality State Method												
MAT022 Compliance Monitoring, Number of PCEs (1 FY) International Only State 307 458 594 195 77 552 MAT025 Monitoring, Number of PCEs (1 FY) Data Quality State 191 192 195 77 552 MAT025 Monitoring, Number of PCEs (1 FY) Data Quality State 277 207 186 73 525 MAT025 Monitoring, Number of Number					10070	00.070	-					:
AND 25 Compilance Monitoring, Number of PCES (1 FP) Data Quality State State 191 1												
MAIEOS Historical Non-Compliance Countit (FY) Data Quality State 191 192 195 71 552 MORTES Informal Enforcement Actions: Number of Sources (FY) Data Quality State 50 93 16 73 253 MORTES Informal Enforcement Actions: Number of Sources (FY) Data Quality State 41 63 185 42 16 33 74 858 33 74 86 86 86 10 32 846 MAIEOS State 103% 51.7% 0 87.79 20 06.6 0 00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>												
Note:Combined Combined 227 212 196 7.4 555 Note:Combined Filte Informal Enforcement Actions: Number for Sources (1 FY) Data Quality State 50 9.4 10 3.3 82 Note:Combined PMP: Number of Now Sources (1 FY) Data Quality State 10% 51.7% 68 68 10 3.3 82 Note:Combined PMP: Number of Now Sources (1 FY) Data Quality State 10% 56.3% 20.3 100 0.60 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>												
MONTER Informal Enforcement Actions: Number Sources (1 FY) Data Quality State 47 52 53 66 49 15 MORTER Informal Enforcement Actions: Number Sources (1 FY) Data Quality State 51.7% 0.0 87.9 20.0 87.9 87.0												
NMTP22 Informal Enforcement Actions: Number of Sources (1 FY) Data Quality State 7 52 16 64 193 32 NMT23 HHV: Number of New Pathway (167) Data Quality State 100% 51.7% 00 87.9 20 60.6 0 NMT23 HHV Dx Zero Pathway Dicoursy date: Percent D2s with discovery Data Quality State 100% 66.3% 2.03 100 30 100 30 100 30.00 100 30.00 100 30.												
Vini C22 HPV: Number of New Sources (1 F) ¹ Data Quality State 10% 65.3% 0 67.9 0 60.0 VIN138 HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Politurants: Percent D2x with HPV Violationa Data Quality State 100% 66.3% 22.0 100 30 100 100 VIN138 HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Politurants: Percent D2x with HPV Violation Data Quality State 100% 66.1% 12 22.8 20 100 53.3 VIN138 HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Politurants: Percent D2x with HPV Violation Data Quality State 0 17 2 2.8 76 1.4 17.5 34 17.6 1.4 17.5 34 147.5 1.45% 6.6 1.3% 6.1 1.4 17.2 2.8 1.5 77.4 2.8 1.5 76.4 6.6 1.4% 50.5 56.4 1.4% 59.6 6.1 59.6 6.1 59.6 6.1 59.6 6.1 59.6 6.1 59.6 6.1 59.6 6.6 59.6 6.1 59.6 6.5 50.6 6.6 59.6 50.6 50				State								
Name IPV Day Zero Pathway Unclaim, Percent DZs with discovery Data Quality State 100% 61.7% 0 87.9 20.0 60.6 0 NUMBE IPV Day Zero Pathway Violation, Polications. Procent DZs with HPV Violation Data Quality State 100% 66.1% 12.2 92.3 20.0 100 53.3 NUMBE Formal Action. Number of Sources (I PY) Data Quality State 0 17.2 8.8 7.6 10.8 7.6 3.4 NUMBE Percent Action. Number of Sources (I PY) Data Quality State < 0 17.7 2 8.8 11.1 5.7 Number of HPV/SNUmber of NC Sources (I PY) Data Quality State < 0 0.1 </td <td>401G1S</td> <td>HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY)</td> <td>Data Quality</td> <td>State</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>74</td> <td>91</td> <td>10</td> <td>33</td> <td>92</td> <td></td>	401G1S	HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			74	91	10	33	92	
M1H22 HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Petitodies, Percent DZa Data Quality State 100% 66.3% 20.3 100 50.0 53.3 M1HS FORMA Lacion: Number of Sources (1 FV) Data Quality State 0 61.3% 37 61 0 53.3 74 8 70 34.4 M1H3 FORMA Lacion: Number of Sources (1 FV) Data Quality State 0 142.250 1947.308 381.26 114.155 155.270 M1H2S Number of HPV/SNUmber of NC Sources (1 FY) Data Quality State 0 0.0 0.0 10.0 80.8 39.4 46.8 10.7 8.48 10.8 39.4 46.8 10.7 70.8 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.6 70.8 70.8	01G2S	HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			68	68	10	32	84	
OH135 HPU Day Zeno Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation Data Quality State 100% 66.1% 12.2 92.3 20 100 53.3 OH135 Formal Action: Number of Sources (I FY) Data Quality State 0 17 2.2 2.0 11/115 155.270 OH105 Assessed Perahlites: Total Dolar Amount (I FY) Data Quality State - 0 17 2.2 2.0 11/115 155.270 OutS05 Number of HPVS/Number of NC Sources (I FY) Data Quality State - 0 17 2.2 8 16 4 11 97.3 OL2020 Number of HPVS/Number of NC Sources (I FY) Data Quality Comba 5.3 5.4 6.1 4 11 7.3 OL2020 Number of HPVS/Number of NC Sources (I FY) Cand Quality State 100% 5.3 5.4 6.6 6.1 4 11 7.3 OL2020 Number of HPVS/Number of NC Sources (I FY) Cand Quality State 100% 5.3 5.4 6.8 6.6 9.1 7.5 7.6 7.6	01H1S	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality	State	100%	51.7%	0	87.9	20	60.6	0	
10115 Formal Action: Number of Sources (1FY) Data Quality State 1428 250 147308 361260 1141158 1552 10106 Assessed Penalities: Total Dollar Anount (1FY) Data Quality State 0 17 2 28 1141158 11557 102005 Number of HPVSNumber of NC Sources (1FY) Data Quality State 0 17 2 28 114 57 102005 Number of HPVSNumber of NC Sources (1FY) Data Quality State 0 0 0 11.1 8.8 61.5 33.4 47.6 8.8 61.4 11.1 73 0.0 0 </td <td>01H2S</td> <td>HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs</td> <td>Data Quality</td> <td>State</td> <td>100%</td> <td>66.3%</td> <td>20.3</td> <td>100</td> <td>30</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td></td>	01H2S	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs	Data Quality	State	100%	66.3%	20.3	100	30	100	100	
10126 Action: Number of Sources (i FY) Data Quality State 0 33 7.4 8 7.0 34 00106 Assessed Penalites: TOL Dollar Anount (I FY) Data Quality State 0 17 2.2 2.8 11.1 555270 022005 Number of HPS/Number of NC Sources (I FY) Data Quality State 0 17 4.2 2.8 1.6 7.6 8.6 6.6 5.5 6.6 1.6 39.3 4.2.6 19.7 8.6.8 6.6 8.1.6 4.7 8.0 6.0 0.0<	01H3S	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation	Data Quality	State	100%	66.1%	12.2	92.3	20	100	53.3	e
NATURD Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY) Data Quality State 11115 1952700 NUMBOR Major Subcres Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current) Review Indicator State 0 17 2 28 11 57 NUMBOR Major Subcres Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current) Data Quality Combined e-65% 61.3% 45 50.8 93.3 42.6 19.7 66.8 71.6 0 0 10.1 0.8 0.8 0.1 0.8	A01I1S	Formal Action: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			38	76	10	75	34	
Name Name O 17 2 28 11 57 Name Data Quality State e=50% 61.3% 45 50.8 39.4 87.5 70.6 NURZADS Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY) Data Quality State 60% 61.3% 45 50.8 39.4 87.5 70.6 NURZBIS State Goderally-Reportable Sources - Wumber of Falures (1 FY) Data Quality State 10% 8.2 8 16 4 11 73.3 80.6 51.5 30.4 47.6 30.8 51.5 30.8 51.5 30.8 55.5 30.8 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>												
Varbage Number of HPV/s/Number of NC Sources (1 FY) Data Quality State <= 60% 61.4% 39.3 42.6 19.7 66.8 61.5 VARDE Number of NC Sources (1 FY) Data Quality Combined 63.3 0 0 10.1 0.8 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1 0.8 0.1												2435
A02A0C0 Number of HPV9Nhumber of NC Sources (1 FY) Data Quality Combined ~= 50% 61.3% 45 50.8 39.4 87.5 70.6 A02B1S Statk Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources -% Withour Pass/Fail Resul Goal State 10% 52.4% 66.8 12.1 30.9 47.6 A02B2S Statk Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources -% Withour Pass/Fail Resul Goal State 100% 52.4% 66.8 12.1 30.9 47.6 A02B2S Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designation Coal						0		-				
AD2B1S State: Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail ResultGoal State 0% 1.3% 0 0 1.01 0.8 0.11 ND3DS State: Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail ResultGoal State 100% 55.4% 68.8 12.1 30 39.4 57.6 ND3DS Percent Endorable Sources - 60 Days After Designatio Goal State 100% 72.4% 66.7 73.1 25 73.8 69.5 ND3DS Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After (EGoal												2
Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - Number of Failures (1 FY) State 16 4 11 73 Stack Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - 6D Days After I Goal State 100% 52.8% 63.5 36.1 41 25 45.6 States Fercent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 6D Days After I Goal												2
VB2AOS Percent HPVs Entered == 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY) Goal State 100% 32.4% 6.8 1.2.1 30 39.4 57.6 VB2BS Percent Endrocement compliance MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designatio Goal					0%	1.3%	-	-				
NOBB15 Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After L Designatio Goal					40000	05.404	-					
NOBSES Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported ~= 60 Days After Designatio Goal State 100% 72.4% 66.7 73.1 25 73.8 69.5 NOSA1S CMS Major Fuil Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle) Goal State 100% 91.7% 92.9 96 93.5 98.9 87.5 NOSA2C CAA Major Fuil Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (Not YCMS Cycle) Goal State 100% 81.3% 94.9 91.1 86.6 90.2 82.4 NOSA2C CAA Major Fuil Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (Not YCMS Cycle) Review Indicator Combined 100% 81.3% 94.9 91.1 86.6 90.2 82.4 NOSB2S CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (Not SCMS) Review Indicator State 100% 88.3% 96.2 90.1 93.2												3
USBAIS CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle) Gal State 100% 91.0% 92.9 96 93.5 98.9 87.5 USBAIC CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (TMS Cycle) Goal Combined 100% 91.0% 91.9% 92.9 96 93.5 98.9 87.5 USBAIC CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (TMS Cycle) Review Indicator Combined 100% 81.3% 94.9 91.1 86.6 90.2 82.4 USBAIC CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (TA CMS Cycle) Review Indicator Combined 100% 81.3% 94.9 91.1 86.6 90.2 82.4 86.9 87.4 68.9 87.4 68.2 81.1 56.9 17.4 69 93.5 93.2												-
050ATC CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (DFY CMS Cycle) Gal Combined 100% 91.0% 92.9 96 93.5 98.9 87.5 050ACS CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (Instrement 2 FY) Review Indicator State 100% 81.3% 94.9 91.1 86.6 90.2 82.4 050BC CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (FY CMS Cycle) Review Indicator State 20% - 100% 68.3% 86.2 81.1 56.9 17.4 69 050BC CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (Ist full 5 FY) Informational Only State 20% - 100% 68.3% 86.2 81.1 55.9 17.4 69 050BC CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (Ist full 5 FY) Informational Only Combined 100% 89.1% 96.2 90.1 93.2 98.2 98.2 98.2 98.2 98.2 98.2 98.2 98.2 98.2 99.1 99.2 99.1 90.5 90.5 90.5 20.5 90.5 20.5 90.5 20.5 90.5 20.5 20.5 20.5												7 8
NOBA2S CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY) Review Indicator State 100% 81.3% 94.9 91.1 86.6 90.2 82.4 NOBA2S CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle) Review Indicator Combined 20% - 100% 68.3% 86.2 81.1 66.9 90.2 82.4 NOBB1S CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle) Review Indicator Combined 20% - 100% 68.3% 86.2 81.1 56.9 97.2 91.1 NOBB2S CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY) Informational Only State 100% 89.1% 96.2 90.1 93.2 91.2 93.2 91.2 93.2 91.2 93.2 91.2 93.2 91.2 93.2 91.2 93.2 91.2 93.2 91.2 93.2 93.2 91.2 93.2 93.2 91.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2												6
ND5A2C CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (most recent 2 FY) Review Indicator Combined 100% 81.8% 94.9 91.1 86.6 90.2 82.4 ND5B1C CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (SFY CMS Cycle) Review Indicator State 20% - 100% 68.3% 86.2 81.1 56.9 17.4 69 ND5B2C CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last 101 5 FY) Informational Only State 100% 88.9% 96.2 90.1 93.2 93.2 91.1 ND5D2C CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Informational Only State 80.9% 96.2 90.1 93.2 93.2 91.2 ND5D2C CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Informational Only Combined 81.3% 90.1 82.3 83.4 87.2 88.4 ND5D2 CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Informational Only State 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 46 ND5D2 CAA Synthetic Minor FCE												6
0x05815 CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle) Review Indicator State 20% - 100% 68.7% 86.2 81.1 56.9 17.4 69 0x05815 CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (Iast full 5 FY) Informational Only State 20% - 100% 68.7% 86.2 81.1 56.9 17.4 69 0x05825 CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (Iast full 5 FY) Informational Only Combined 89.9% 96.2 90.1 93.2 93.2 91.1 0x0505 CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (Iast 5 FY) Informational Only Combined 81.0% 89.8 81.9 83.2 86.9 88.4 0x0505 CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (Iast 5 FY) Informational Only State 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 46.9 0x0505 CAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (Iast 5 FY) Informational Only State 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 46.9 0x05050 Review Indicator State												8
00581C CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (ast full 5 FY) Review Indicator Combined 20% - 100% 68.7% 86.2 81.1 56.9 17.4 69 00582C CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY) Informational Only State 100% 88.9% 96.2 90.1 93.2 93.2 91.1 00582C CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Informational Only State 81.0% 89.8 81.9 83.2 86.9 88.4 0050CC CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Informational Only State 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 46 0050CC Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current) Review Indicator Combined 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 46 0050CC Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current) Review Indicator State 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 40 0 0 0 0 0 0												2
NOSB2S CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY) Informational Only State 100% 88.9% 96.2 90.1 93.2 93.2 91.1 NOSE2C CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY) Informational Only Combined 89.1% 96.2 90.1 93.2 93.2 91.2 91.1 NOSECC CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Informational Only State 81.3% 90.1 82.3 83.4 87.2 88.4 NOSECC CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Informational Only State 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 46 NOSECC Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current) Review Indicator State 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 46 NOSECC CAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY) Informational Only State 30.3% 41.8 21.5 35.6 2.5 55 NOSECC CAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY) Informational Only State 100% 92.6% 97.7 82 9												2
NOBE2CCAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last 5 FY)Informational Only Informational OnlyCombined89.1%96.290.193.293.291.2NOSCOCCAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState81.3%89.881.982.383.487.288.4NOSCOCCAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState30.3%41.821.52.12.646NOSEOCNumber of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)Review IndicatorState01836259NOSEOCCAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState01000NOSEOCCAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState100%92.6%97.78297.999.196.8NOSEOCNorFCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState100%92.6%97.78297.999.196.8NOSEOCNorFCE and reported PCE statk test, or enforcer Review IndicatorState1/2 National Avg21.2%23.423.126.420.535.6NOTCSPercent facilities in noncompliance statu Ave noncompliance statu Review IndicatorState1/2 National Avg23.8%18.28066.78031.2NO8AOEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState1/2 N												7
NOSCOSCAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState81.0%89.881.983.286.988NOSCOSCAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyCombined81.3%90.182.383.487.288.4NOSCOSCAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState30.3%41.821.52.12.646NUmber of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)Review IndicatorState01836259NOSEOSCAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState01836259NOSEOSReview of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)GoalState100%92.6%97.78297.999.196.8NOTCISPercent facilities in noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforcer Review IndicatorState>1/2 National Avg21.2%23.423.126.420.535.6NOTCISPercent facilities that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu Review IndicatorState>1/2 National Avg7.8%161.7201.2NOBADEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorEtae0.5%1.120.51.92.2NOBBOSHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState>1/2 National Avg0.3%												-
ObSCOC CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Informational Only Combined 81.3% 90.1 82.3 83.4 87.2 88.4 005D0S CAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Informational Only State 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 46. 005E0S Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current) Review Indicator Combined 0 18 36 2 59 005E0S Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current) Review Indicator Combined 0 18 36 2 59 005E0S Review of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY) Goal State 100% 92.6% 97.7 82 97.9 99.1 96.8 007C1S Percent facilities in an noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforcer Review Indicator State 1/2 National Avg 7.8% 5.1 7.4 20.5 35.6 007C2S Percent facilities in an noncompliance statu Review Indicator State 1/2 National Avg 7.8% 5.1 7.4												
005D0SCAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState 30.3% 41.8 21.5 2.1 2.6 46 005E0SNumber of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)Review IndicatorState018 36 259005E0CCombinedCombinedCombined018 36 259005E0CCAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState0100000500SReview of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)GoalState100%92.6%97.78297.999.196.8007C1SPercent facilities in noncompliance that have had a FCE, stack test, or enforcer Review IndicatorState>1/2 National Avg21.2%23.426.420.535.6007C1SPercent facilities in thave had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu Review IndicatorState>1/2 National Avg7.8%5.17.41.17.97.8008A0EHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState>1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2008B0SHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState>1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2008B0SHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState>1/2 National Avg0.7%1.1												7
Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)Review IndicatorState01836259005E0CNumber of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)Review IndicatorCombined01836259005F0SCAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState01836259005F0SCAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState100%92.6%97.78297.999.196.8007C1SPercent facilities in noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforcer Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg21.2%23.423.126.420.535.6007C1SPercent facilities in noncompliance that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg7.8%5.17.41.17.97.8008005High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2008005High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2008005High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.9%00.10.300008005High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Pe												
005F0SCAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)Informational OnlyState0100000560SReview of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)GoalState100%92.6%97.78297.999.196.8007C1SPercent facilities in noncompliance that have had a FCE, stack test, or enforcer Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg21.2%23.423.426.420.535.6007C1SPercent facilities in ant own plance that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg43.8%18.28066.78031.2008A0EHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg7.8%5.17.41.17.97.8008B0SHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2008B0SHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2008B0SHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.57.7.887.5008B0SPercent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)Review IndicatorState< 1/2 National Avg				State			0	18	36		59	
NOSGOSReview of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)GoalState100%92.6%97.78297.999.196.8NOTC1SPercent facilities in noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforcer Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg21.2%23.423.126.420.535.6NOTC2SPercent facilities in have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg21.2%23.423.126.420.535.6NOTC2SPercent facilities that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg7.8%5.17.41.17.97.8NOBAOEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorEPA0.5%1.61.7201.2NOBBOEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2NOBBOEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2NOBBOEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2NOBBOEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Av	05E0C	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	Combined			0	18	36	2	59	
NOTC1SPercent facilities in noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforcer Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg21.2%23.423.126.420.535.6NOTC2SPercent facilities that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg43.8%18.28066.78031.2NOBAOSHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorEPA0.5%1.61.7201.2NOBBOSHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorEPA0.5%1.120.51.92.2NOBBOSHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorEPA> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2NOBBOSHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2NOBBOSPercent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg74.9%83.379.57577.887.5NOBBOSPercent ape of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing - Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg40.4%011.187.558.830.3NOBBOSPercent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg45.1% <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Informational Only</td><td>State</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></td<>			Informational Only	State					-		-	
OTC2S Percent facilities that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 43.8% 18.2 80 66.7 80 31.2 08A05 High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY) Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 7.8% 5.1 7.4 1.1 7.9 7.8 08A05 High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY) Review Indicator EPA 0.5% 1.6 1.1 2 0.5 1.9 2.2 08B05 High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Review Indicator EPA > 1/2 National Avg 0.7% 1.1 2 0.5 1.9 2.2 08B05 High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 0.7% 1.1 2 0.5 7.7.8 87.5 08B05 Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 40.4% 0 11.1 87.5 58.8 30.3 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>State</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				State								
OBAOSHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg7.8%5.17.41.17.97.8OBAOEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorEPA0.5%1.61.7201.2OBBOEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorEPA5/12 National Avg0.7%1.120.51.92.2OBBOEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorEPA5/12 National Avg0.0%00.10.300OBBOEPercent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg74.9%83.379.57577.887.5OBBOEPercent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)Review IndicatorState< 1/2 National Avg				State	> 1/2 National Avg							ŧ
08A0E High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY) Review Indicator EPA 0.5% 1.6 1.7 2 0 1.2 08B0E High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 0.7% 1.1 2 0.5 1.9 2.2 08B0E High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Review Indicator EPA > 1/2 National Avg 0.7% 1.1 2 0.5 1.9 2.2 08B0E High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Review Indicator EPA > 1/2 National Avg 0.7% 0 0.1 0.3 0 0 08C0S Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 74.9% 83.3 75.5 75.8 87.5 08E0S Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 40.4% 0 11.1 87.5 58.8 30.3 30.3 08E0S Percent Hormal Enforcement Actions with Penalties (2 FY) Review Ind			Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%			66.7			6
Bigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 0.7% 1.1 2 0.5 1.9 2.2 08B05 High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Review Indicator EPA > 1/2 National Avg 0.7% 1.1 2 0.5 1.9 2.2 08B05 High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Review Indicator EPA > 1/2 National Avg 0.0% 0 0.1 0.3 0 0 08C05 Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 40.4% 0 1.1 87.5 58.8 30.3 08E05 Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV Issting - Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 40.4% 0 11.1 87.5 58.8 30.3 08E05 Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV Issting - Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 45.1% 11.1 71.4 0 70 38.5					> 1/2 National Avg							
OBBDEHigh Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)Review IndicatorEPA> 1/2 National Avg0.0%00.10.3000.8C0SPercent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg74.9%83.379.57577.887.508D0SPercent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)Review IndicatorState< 1/2 National Avg												
086C0S Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 74.9% 83.3 79.5 75 77.8 87.5 080D0S Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Review Indicator State < 1/2 National Avg												
008D0S Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Review Indicator State < 1/2 National Avg 40.4% 0 11.1 87.5 58.8 30.3 008E0S Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing - Review Indicator State > 1/2 National Avg 45.1% 11.1 71.4 0 70 38.5 10A0S Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY) Review Indicator State 36.6% 29.5 76.8 71.4 46.3 477 12ADS No Activity Indicator - Actions with Penalties (1 FY) Review Indicator State 38 76 10 75 24							-			-	-	
08E0SPercentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing - Review IndicatorState> 1/2 National Avg45.1%11.171.407038.510A0SPercent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY)Review IndicatorState36.6%29.576.871.446.34712A0SNo Activity Indicator - Actions with Penalties (1 FY)Review IndicatorState3876107524												
V10A0S Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY) Review Indicator State 36.6% 29.5 76.8 71.4 46.3 47 V12A0S No Activity Indicator - Actions with Penalties (1 FY) Review Indicator State 38 76 10 75 24							-					4
V12A0S No Activity Indicator - Actions with Penalties (1 FY) Review Indicator State 38 76 10 75 24					> 1/2 National Avg							:
						36.6%						8
Interview Review Indicator State >= 80% 86.4% 17.9 95.1 100 80.8 87.5												
	12B0S	Percent Actions at HPVs With Penalty (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	>= 80%	86.4%	17.9	95.1	100	80.8	87.5	8

Page 6

	FY2008 CAA National Data Download				Page 6					
Metric	Metric Description	Metric Type	Agency	National Goal	National Average	Region 6 AR L	.A NI	v o	к т	гх
A01A1S	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	State	National Goal	National Average	214	558	157	324	1422
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			214	558	161	324	1422
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	State			212	411	156	324	1398
A01A2C	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			212	411	157	324	1398
A01B1S	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			461	55	435	1006	194
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			461	55	435	1006	194
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			5	134	4	16	26
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			5	134	4	16	26
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA		State			357	997 997	159	723	555
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHAI CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	Combined State			357 291	382	159 211	723 396	555 442
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			291	382	211	396	442
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	State			33	274	212	32	149
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			33	274	28	32	149
	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	State			128	258	41	497	174
	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			128	258	41	497	17
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSPS facilities with FCEs conducted after 1	Data Quality	State	100%	76.7%	97.6	21.1	84.1	100	
A01C5S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NESHAP facilities with FCEs conducted after	Data Quality	State	100%	33.5%	100	44.4	37.5	100	
A01C6S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1	Data Quality	State	100%	89.3%	100	29.1	93.3	100	(
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1	Data Quality	Combined	100%	86.6%	99.3	29.3	77.8	100	(
	Compliance Monitoring: Sources with FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			507	75	95	365	18
	Compliance Monitoring: Number of FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			527	79	100	373	19
A01D3S		Informational Only	State			0	51	20	1550	618
A01E0S	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			149	10	65	327	33
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined			151	24	66	328	35
A01F1S		Data Quality	State			44	28	59	50	31
A01F2S		Data Quality	State			44	27	54	49	19
	HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			15	41 37	17	47 45	37
	HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY) HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality Data Quality	State State	100%	51.7%	15 0	0	17 47.1	45 100	21 73.
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date. Percent DZs with discovery HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs	Data Quality	State	100%	66.3%	100	0	88.2	100	73. 0.3
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Foldanis, Fercent DZs HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation		State	100%	66.1%	100	0	88.2	100	2.
	Formal Action: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	10070	00.170	25	84	58	27	247
A0112S		Data Quality	State			25	66	57	27	159
	Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			235141	178267	444397	332177	8115313
	Major Sources Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current)	Review Indicator	State	C)	0	6	0	0	348
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	<= 50%	61.4%	35	3400	700	22.2	120.8
A02A0C	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined	<= 50%	61.3%	34.1	269.2	700	23.6	121.1
A02B1S	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail Result	Goal	State	0%	1.3%	0	0	0	0	(
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - Number of Failures (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			20	0	1	11	73
	Percent HPVs Entered <= 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	35.4%	13.3	31.7	41.2	34	76.7
	Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After I		State	100%	62.8%	51.1	48.6	47.2	94.8	99.2
	Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designatio		State	100%	72.4%	31.8	40	79.3	98.5	89.1
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	State	100%	90.7%	100	51	92.1	86.6	75.9
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal Bourious Indicator	Combined	100%	91.0%	100	51.4	90.4	86.6	77.*
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	State	100%	81.3% 81.8%	96.4	38.8	87.3	80.9	28.
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY) CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator Review Indicator	Combined State	100% 20% - 100%	81.8% 68.3%	96.4 90.2	39.2 3.6	86.5 50.9	80.9 42.6	29. 19.
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle) CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator		20% - 100%	68.7%	90.2 90.2	3.6	50.9 50.9	42.6	19.
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (3 FT CMS Cycle) CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)		State	100%	88.9%	76.2	61.3	91.2	68.1	34.
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		89.1%	76.2	61.3	91.2	68.1	34.
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		81.0%	90.4	61	51	88.9	44.
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported FCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		81.3%	90.4	61	51	88.9	45.
A05D0S		Informational Only	State		30.3%	85.5	13.8	4	54.6	1
A05E0S		Review Indicator	State			5	248	7	28	1
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	Combined			5	248	7	28	1
A05F0S		Informational Only	State			0	0	3	0	
A05G0S	Review of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	92.6%	98.6	100	90.8	98.7	10
A07C1S			State	> 1/2 National Avg	21.2%	20.2	0.8	16.9	63.6	44.
A07C2S			State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%	50	0	0	100	78.
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	7.8%	6.1	5	8.9	8.3	13.
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA		0.5%	0	0	0	0.3	0.
		Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	0.7%	0.2	0	0.2	1.7	
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)			 1/2 Motional Ava 	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
A08B0E	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA	> 1/2 National Avg		Ű	•	•		
A08B0E A08C0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9%	30.8	61.1	50	85.7	
A08B0E A08C0S A08D0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator Review Indicator Review Indicator	State State	 > 1/2 National Avg < 1/2 National Avg 	74.9% 40.4%	30.8 45.5	61.1 18.2	50 43.8	85.7 66.7	
A08B0E A08C0S A08D0S A08E0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing -	Review Indicator Review Indicator Review Indicator Review Indicator	State State State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9% 40.4% 45.1%	30.8 45.5 40	61.1 18.2 0	50 43.8 0	85.7 66.7 42.9	94.
A08B0E A08C0S A08D0S A08E0S A10A0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing - Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY)	Review Indicator Review Indicator Review Indicator Review Indicator Review Indicator	State State State State	 > 1/2 National Avg < 1/2 National Avg 	74.9% 40.4%	30.8 45.5 40 36.8	61.1 18.2 0 40.6	50 43.8 0 21.1	85.7 66.7 42.9 52.4	94. 45.
A08B0E A08C0S A08D0S A08E0S A10A0S A12A0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY) Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY) Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing -	Review Indicator Review Indicator Review Indicator Review Indicator	State State State	 > 1/2 National Avg < 1/2 National Avg 	74.9% 40.4% 45.1%	30.8 45.5 40	61.1 18.2 0	50 43.8 0	85.7 66.7 42.9	10 94. 45. 24

Page 7

	FY2008 CAA National Data Download				Page 7				
letric	Metric Description	Metric Type	Agency	National Goal	National Average	Region 7 IA	KS N	NO N	E
01A1S		Data Quality	State			284	309	328	1
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			284	309	328	1
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	State			244	306	305	1
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			244	306	305	1
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			1454	785	342	1
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			1454	785	342	1
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			2		16	
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			2		16	
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA		State			882		1612	2
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities of otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA		Combined			882		1612	2
						403	536	277	2
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	State						
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			403		277	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	State			18		51	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			18		51	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	State			297	140	170	
	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			297	140	170	
01C4S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSPS facilities with FCEs conducted after	1 Data Quality	State	100%	76.7%	96.6		93.8	1
01C5S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NESHAP facilities with FCEs conducted aft	EData Quality	State	100%	33.5%	100	100	78.6	3
01C6S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after	1 Data Quality	State	100%	89.3%	98.2	99.5	96.2	6
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after	1 Data Quality	Combined	100%	86.6%	96.5	99	95.5	6
	Compliance Monitoring: Sources with FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			493	588	400	
01D2S		Data Quality	State			527	660	471	1
01D3S	Compliance Monitoring: Number of PCEs (1 FY)	Informational Only	State			1520	58	2	
01E0S	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			226		20	
01E0C		Data Quality	Combined			253	124	71	
01F1S	Informal Enforcement Actions: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			259	62	101	
01F2S	Informal Enforcement Actions: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			207	58	99	
	HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			17	16	8	
								8	
	HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	4000/	F 4 70/	16		-	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality	State	100%	51.7%	100		75	1
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs	Data Quality	State	100%	66.3%	88.2		100	1
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation		State	100%	66.1%	100		100	1
01I1S		Data Quality	State			19	28	25	
0112S	Formal Action: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			13		25	
01J0S	Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			95500		77000	125
01K0S	Major Sources Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current)	Review Indicator	State	(0	2	0	
02A0S	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	<= 50%	61.4%	25.4	45.7	120	1
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined	<= 50%	61.3%	26.2	46.3	100	8
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail Resu		State	0%	1.3%	0.2		0	
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - Number of Failures (1 FY)		State			86		1	
	Percent HPVs Entered <= 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	35.4%	23.5		12.5	1
	Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After		State	100%	62.8%	63.4	91.3	43.2	7
			State	100%	72.4%	87.9		71.2	8
	Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designation								
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	State	100%	90.7%	96.6		92.8	9
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	Combined		91.0%	98.5	99.7	93.4	9
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	State	100%	81.3%	89.5		85.4	9
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	Combined		81.8%	93	98	85.8	9
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator	State	20% - 100%	68.3%	71.5		81.2	7
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator		20% - 100%	68.7%	72.5	89.4	82.3	7
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	State	100%	88.9%	88.1	94.8	95.3	9
05B2C	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		89.1%	89.1	94.8	95.6	9
05C0S	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		81.0%	70.7	82.6	90.2	9
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		81.3%	70.9	82.6	90.7	9
	CAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		30.3%	36.2	37.9	51.1	
05E0S		Review Indicator	State			4	1	16	
05E0C		Review Indicator	Combined			4	1	16	
05F0S		Informational Only	State			0	11	0	
05G0S		Goal	State	100%	92.6%	99.6		98.3	
07C1S			State	> 1/2 National Avg	21.2%	23	11	1.7	
07C2S	Percent facilities that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance state		State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%	86.7	50	25	
D8A0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	7.8%	5.3		1.8	
08A0E		Review Indicator	EPA		0.5%	0.7	0.3	0.3	
08B0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	0.7%	0.1	0	0.3	
08B0E	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA	> 1/2 National Avg	0.0%	0	0	0	
08C0S	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9%	55.6	54.5	60	
08D0S		Review Indicator	State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4%	75.7	81.2	66.7	
			State	> 1/2 National Avg	45.1%	31.8		25	2
08E0S						53.6			2
08E0S	Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 EV)	Review Indicator	State		36.6%				
10A0S	Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY) No Activity Indicator - Actions with Penalties (1 FY)	Review Indicator Review Indicator	State State		36.6%	53.6		52.2 25	2

Page 8	

Metric	Metric Description	Metric Type	Agency	National Goal	National Average	Region 8 CO N	IT ND	SD	U	r w	VY
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	State	National Goal	National Average	224	67	68	86	103	20
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			271	70	69	86	126	20
		Data Quality	State			213	66	65	80	80	16
A01A2C	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			258	69	65	80	97	16
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			875	138	101	65	210	З
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			876	138	101	65	211	3
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			15	2	2	0	2	
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			15	2	2	0	2	
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHAI		State			2427	109	41	47 47	354	44 44
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHAI CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	Combined State			2429 413	109 119	41 85	39	368 205	44
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			413	121	85	39	205	
		Data Quality	State			29	12	7	0	19	
		Data Quality	Combined			29	12	7	õ	20	
		Data Quality	State			146	23	16	20	41	
		Data Quality	Combined			160	25	17	20	60	
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSPS facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		State	100%	76.7%	44	91.8	35.6	97.9	99.1	
A01C5S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NESHAP facilities with FCEs conducted after	Data Quality	State	100%	33.5%	13.6	25	0	0	100	
A01C6S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1	Data Quality	State	100%	89.3%	69	100	12.5	91.3	92.5	
	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after 1		Combined	100%	86.6%	67	90.9	11.8	91.3	69.8	
	Compliance Monitoring: Sources with FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			361	69	48	99	212	
		Data Quality	State			390	72	50	109	234	
		Informational Only	State			45	484	3	0	33	
		Data Quality	State			137	12	4	6	46	
		Data Quality	Combined			149	18	7	8	59	
		Data Quality	State			6	26	0	0	0	
		Data Quality	State			6	23	0	0	0	
		Data Quality	State			37	14	0	3	1	
	HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	4000/	F4 70/	36	9	0	3	1	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality Data Quality	State State	100% 100%	51.7% 66.3%	94.6 43.2	14.3 50	0 0	0 0	100 100	
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation		State	100%	66.1%	43.2 86.5	50	0	0	100	
	Formal Action: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	100 %	00.1%	102	17	0	3	25	
A0112S		Data Quality	State			99	12	0	3	25	
	Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			1818606	994721	Ő		1877754	8521
	Major Sources Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current)	Review Indicator	State		0	6	0	õ	9	8	0021
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	<= 50%	61.4%	120	200	0	50	12.5	2
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined	<= 50%	61.3%	100	160	0	33.3	64.3	114
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail Result		State	0%	1.3%	11.5	0	0	7.7	0	
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - Number of Failures (1 FY)		State			22	2	2	0	1	
A03A0S	Percent HPVs Entered <= 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	35.4%	5.4	57.1	0	0	0	
A03B1S	Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After I	Goal	State	100%	62.8%	69.6	57	57.6	5.7	71.7	83
	Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designation	Goal	State	100%	72.4%	89.7	79.1	0	33.3	68	9
A05A1S	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	State	100%	90.7%	98	97	74.2	91.5	100	79
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal			91.0%	94.3	98.6	74.2	91.5	97.3	79
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	State	100%	81.3%	87.2	91.5	72.1	91	95.2	5
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	Combined		81.8%	82.3	93.2	72.5	91	86	5
		Review Indicator	State	20% - 100%	68.3%	63.9	67	88.2	93.8	78.6	40
		Review Indicator		20% - 100%	68.7%	63.9	67	88.2	93.8	78.6	4
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	State	100%	88.9%	80.8	83.2	100	100	100	8
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		89.1%	80.8	83.2	100	100	100	8
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		81.0%	78.5	95.1	41.6	91.4	73.3	8
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined State		81.3% 30.3%	78.5 18.4	95.1 26.5	41.6 22.1	91.4 86.5	73 38.2	8 3
	CAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY) Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Informational Only Review Indicator	State		30.370	18.4	26.5 5	22.1	86.5 51	38.2	3
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current) Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	Combined			5	5	25 25	51	3	
	CAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State			26	3	0	0	0	
	Review of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	92.6%	98.7	100	98.4	100	100	1
	Percent facilities in noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforcer		State	> 1/2 National Avg	21.2%	11.1	6.5	2	1.8	8	
	Percent facilities that have had a failed stack test and have noncompliance statu		State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%	5.6	0	0	0	0	
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	7.8%	14.7	10.4	0	2.3	1	
A08A0E		Review Indicator	EPA		0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	0.7%	0.3	1.4	0	0	0	
A08B0E		Review Indicator	EPA	> 1/2 National Avg	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	
A08C0S		Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9%	73.1	87.5	0	100	25	7
	Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4%	33.3	66.7	0	0	0	1
A08E0S		Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	45.1%	48	40	0	100	20	
A10A0S	Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY)	Review Indicator	State		36.6%	80.3	52	0	60	50	
	ALL A STATE AND A STATE AND AND A STATE AN	Deview Indicator	State			102	17	0	3	25	
A12A0S	No Activity Indicator - Actions with Penalties (1 FY)	Review Indicator	Siale			102	17	0	0	20	

Page 9

	FY2008 CAA National Data Download				Page 9				
Vetric	Metric Description	Metric Type	Agency	National Goal	National Average	Region 9 AZ	CA	ні	NV
01A1S		Data Quality	State	indional obdi		141	1113		
01A1C	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			141	1113	3 13	0
)1A2S	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	State			128	1008	8 12	8
)1A2C	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			128	1008	8 12	8 :
1B1S	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			124	315	5	7
01B1C	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			124	315	5	7
01B2S	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			34	84	4 1	b
01B2C	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			34	84	4 1	b
01B3S	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA	Informational Only	State			39	297	7 :	3
01B3C	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA	Informational Only	Combined			39	297	7 :	3
01C1S	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	State			103	213	3 3	2
01C1C	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			103	213	3 3	2
01C2S	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	State			48	139	9 1:	3
01C2C	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			48	139	9 1:	3
01C3S	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	State			58	182	2 ·	4
01C3C	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			58	182	2 ·	4
01C4S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSPS facilities with FCEs conducted after	1 Data Quality	State	100%	76.7%	79.7	40.3	3	0 9
01C5S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NESHAP facilities with FCEs conducted aft	EData Quality	State	100%	33.5%	0	10.7	7	0
01C6S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after	1 Data Quality	State	100%	89.3%	74.1	89.6	ô (0
01C6C	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after	1 Data Quality	Combined	100%	86.6%	64.5	65.7	7	0 8
01D1S	Compliance Monitoring: Sources with FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			67	958	8 11	Э
	Compliance Monitoring: Number of FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			69	1139	9 12	5
01D3S		Informational Only	State			60			1
01E0S		Data Quality	State			12			0
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined			22			3
01F1S		Data Quality	State			14			7
01F2S		Data Quality	State			9	152	2 .	7
	HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			12			1
	HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			12			
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality	State	100%	51.7%	100	2.1		
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs	Data Quality	State	100%	66.3%	83.3	71.8		
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation		State	100%	66.1%	91.7	73.9		
01115		Data Quality	State			12			9
01125	Formal Action: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			11	192		9
	Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			3073704			
	Major Sources Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current)	Review Indicator	State	(0	3			0
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	<= 50%	61.4%	233.3			0 2
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined		61.3%	175			
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail Resu	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	State	0%	1.3%	0	2.1		
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - Number of Failures (1 FY)		State	070	1.070	1	44		0
	Percent HPVs Entered <= 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	35.4%	66.7	5.7		0 2
	Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After		State	100%	62.8%	51.2	51.6		
	Percent Enforcement related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After Designation		State	100%	72.4%	73.1	31.2		
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	State	100%	90.7%	89.2			
	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	Combined		91.0%	81.7	96		
		Review Indicator	State	100%	81.3%	76.2			
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY) CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY)	Review Indicator	Combined		81.8%	80.4	93.3		
	CAA Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage(most recent 2 FY) CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator	State	20% - 100%	68.3%	80.4 37.1	93.1 50.6		
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle) CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator		20% - 100%	68.7%	40	50.6		
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle) CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	State	100%	68.7% 88.9%	40 77.5			
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY) CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined	100%	88.9% 89.1%	77.5	96.2		
			State		89.1% 81.0%	52.7	96.8		
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State Combined		81.0% 81.3%	52.7			
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only					98.4		
	CAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		30.3%	21.3		-	0 ·
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	State			12			5
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	Combined			21	87		5
05F0S		Informational Only	State	100%	02.6%	13			0
05G0S		Goal	State		92.6%	33.3			
07C1S			State	> 1/2 National Avg	21.2%	4.7	2.5		0
07C2S			State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%	0	(0
D8A0S		Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	7.8%	5			
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA		0.5%	0.7	0.4		
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	0.7%	4	1.9		0
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	EPA	> 1/2 National Avg	0.0%	0	(-	0
	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9%	100			
08D0S	Percent Informal Enforcement Actions Without Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4%	0	4.2		0
	Percentage of Sources with Failed Stack Test Actions that received HPV listing		State	> 1/2 National Avg	45.1%	75			0
					26 60/	8.7	21.9	9 5.	ô
10A0S	Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY)	Review Indicator	State		36.6%				
10A0S	Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY) No Activity Indicator - Actions with Penalties (1 FY) Percent Actions at HPVs With Penalty (1 FY)	Review Indicator Review Indicator Review Indicator	State State State	>= 80%	86.4%	11 80	420	0	9

Page 10

	FY2008 CAA National Data Download				Page 10				
	Natio Decembritan	Materia Truna		National Gast	Nederal Assesses	Region 10	ID 0	. .	
Metric	Metric Description Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Metric Type Data Quality	Agency State	National Goal	National Average	AK 157	ID 0 55	137	NA 129
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			157	59	138	134
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	State			150	52	137	124
	Title V Universe: AFS Operating Majors with Air Program Code = V (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			150	56	138	128
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			141	189	35	207
	Source Count: Synthetic Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			141	191	35	207
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	State			7	12	12	
	Source Count: NESHAP Minors (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			.7	12	12	6
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA		State			63	163	31	111
	Source Count: Active Minor facilities or otherwise FedRep, not including NESHA		Combined			63	164	31	117
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	State			118	148	56	109
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NSPS (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			118	152	57	112
A01C2S	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	State			28	21	19	22
	CAA Subprogram Designations: NESHAP (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			28	24	20	2
A01C3S	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	State			16	22	68	5
A01C3C	CAA Subprogram Designations: MACT (Current)	Data Quality	Combined			16	24	68	5
A01C4S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NSPS facilities with FCEs conducted after	1 Data Quality	State	100%	76.7%	99.1	100	86.3	89.9
A01C5S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent NESHAP facilities with FCEs conducted aft	eData Quality	State	100%	33.5%	93.8	0	21.4	100
A01C6S	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after	1 Data Quality	State	100%	89.3%	100	100	91.3	98.1
A01C6C	CAA Subpart Designations: Percent MACT facilities with FCEs conducted after	1 Data Quality	Combined	100%	86.6%	100	100	91.3	98.1
A01D1S	Compliance Monitoring: Sources with FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			125	37	80	25
A01D2S	Compliance Monitoring: Number of FCEs (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			127	37	84	27
A01D3S	Compliance Monitoring: Number of PCEs (1 FY)	Informational Only	State			502		61	959
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			8		32	1
	Historical Non-Compliance Counts (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined			15	16	33	20
	Informal Enforcement Actions: Number Issued (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			7	11	8	21
A01F2S	Informal Enforcement Actions: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			7	11	7	73
A01G1S	HPV: Number of New Pathways (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			5	0	2	26
A01G2S	HPV: Number of New Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			5	0	2	2'
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Discovery date: Percent DZs with discovery	Data Quality	State	100%	51.7%	100	0	100	42.3
	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violating Pollutants: Percent DZs	Data Quality	State	100%	66.3%	100	0	100	84.6
A01H3S	HPV Day Zero Pathway Violation Type Code(s): Percent DZs with HPV Violation	n Data Quality	State	100%	66.1%	100	0	100	100
A01I1S		Data Quality	State			2	9	6	97
A0112S	Formal Action: Number of Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			2	9	6	52
A01J0S	Assessed Penalties: Total Dollar Amount (1 FY)	Data Quality	State			33296	66900	45700	686258
	Major Sources Missing CMS Policy Applicability (Current)	Review Indicator	State	(5	1	0	0	C
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	State	<= 50%	61.4%	100	0	0	900
	Number of HPVs/Number of NC Sources (1 FY)	Data Quality	Combined		61.3%	70	0	0	133.3
	Stack Test Results at Federally-Reportable Sources - % Without Pass/Fail Results		State	0%	1.3%	0	0	0	(
A02B2S			State			2		1	34
A03A0S	Percent HPVs Entered <= 60 Days After Designation, Timely Entry (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	35.4%	0	0	0	23.1
A03B1S	Percent Compliance Monitoring related MDR actions reported <= 60 Days After		State	100%	62.8%	59.9	83.8	66.3	24.1
A03B2S			State	100%	72.4%	55.6	81.2	78.6	62.3
A05A1S	CMS Major Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) Coverage (2 FY CMS Cycle)	Goal	State	100%	90.7%	99.3	96.1	99.3	92.6
A05A1C		Goal	Combined	100%	91.0%	99.3	96.5	99.3	94.4
A05A2S		Review Indicator	State	100%	81.3%	89.4	91.2	95.6	92.3
A05A2C		Review Indicator	Combined		81.8%	90	95.1	95.6	92.6
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator	State	20% - 100%	68.3%	100	33.8	53.1	88.6
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (5 FY CMS Cycle)	Review Indicator		20% - 100%	68.7%	100	33.8	53.1	88.6
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	State	100%	88.9%	83.9	72.7	88.2	97.8
	CAA Synthetic Minor 80% Sources (SM-80) FCE Coverage (last full 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		89.1%	83.9	72.7	88.2	97.8
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State		81.0%	91.7	64.6	97.1	98.
	CAA Synthetic Minor FCE and reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	Combined		81.3%	91.7	65.5	97.2	98.
	CAA Minor FCE and Reported PCE Coverage (last 5 FY)	Informational Only			30.3%	56.4	32.3	17.2	72.
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	State			1	9	8	
	Number of Sources with Unknown Compliance Status (Current)	Review Indicator	Combined			1	9	8	
	CAA Stationary Source Investigations (last 5 FY)	Informational Only	State	100%	02.6%	1	0	1	02
	Review of Self-Certifications Completed (1 FY)	Goal	State	100%	92.6%	62.6	82.6	91.3	92.
	Percent facilities in noncompliance that have had an FCE, stack test, or enforce		State	> 1/2 National Avg	21.2%	5.3	5.2	32.6	3.
A07C2S	the second s		State	> 1/2 National Avg	43.8%	0		0	45
A08A0S	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Major Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	7.8%	3.2		0.7	15.
A08A0E		Review Indicator	EPA	1/2 Notice of A	0.5%	0.6	1.8	0	0.
	High Priority Violation Discovery Rate - Per Synthetic Minor Source (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	0.7%	0	0	0	0.
A08B0E		Review Indicator	EPA	> 1/2 National Avg	0.0%	0		0	45
	Percent Formal Actions With Prior HPV - Majors (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	74.9%	100	0	66.7	45.
A08D0S		Review Indicator	State	< 1/2 National Avg	40.4%	28.6	100	100	65.
A08E0S		- Review Indicator	State	> 1/2 National Avg	45.1%	33.3	11.1	0	5
A10A0S	Percent HPVs not meeting timeliness goals (2 FY)	Review Indicator Review Indicator	State State		36.6%	44.4	33.3 9	0	21. 9
A12A0S			State	> - 90%	96 49/	2 100	0	6 80	-
MIZBUS	Percent Actions at HPVs With Penalty (1 FY)	Review Indicator	State	>= 80%	86.4%	100	100	80	93.