# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT, REGULATION AND ENFORCEMENT GULF OF MEXICO REGION

### **ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT**

1.	OCCURRED			
	DATE:	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE		
	21-MAY-2010 TIME: 1600 HOURS	CRANE		
		OTHER LIFTING DEVICE		
2.	OPERATOR: McMoRan Oil & Gas LLC	DAMAGED/DISABLED SAFETY SYS.		
	REPRESENTATIVE: Spencer, Blair	X INCIDENT >\$25K Dry & Wet Oil Tank		
	TELEPHONE: (504) 582-4241	H2S/15MIN./20PPM Rupture		
	CONTRACTOR: ISLAND OPERATORS CO. INC.	П		
	REPRESENTATIVE: Jarrod Fontenot	REQUIRED MUSTER		
	TELEPHONE: (337) 233-9594	SHUTDOWN FROM GAS RELEASE		
2	OPERATOR/CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE/SUPERVISOR	OTHER		
٥.	ON SITE AT TIME OF INCIDENT:			
	on bill in time of indibant.	6. OPERATION:		
4	LEASE: <b>G09529</b>	PRODUCTION		
- •	AREA: VR LATITUDE:	DRILLING WORKOVER		
	BLOCK: 398 LONGITUDE:	COMPLETION		
	Block. 370 - Line - Lin	HELICOPTER		
5	PLATFORM: A	MOTOR VESSEL		
	RIG NAME:	PIPELINE SEGMENT NO.		
		X OTHER Construction		
6.	ACTIVITY: EXPLORATION (POE)			
	X DEVELOPMENT/PRODUCTION	8. CAUSE:		
_	(DOCD/POD)	X EQUIPMENT FAILURE		
7.	TYPE:	X HUMAN ERROR		
	HISTORIC INJURY	EXTERNAL DAMAGE		
	REQUIRED EVACUATION	SLIP/TRIP/FALL		
	LTA (1-3 days)	WEATHER RELATED		
	LTA (>3 days	LEAK UPSET H20 TREATING		
	RW/JT (1-3 days)	OVERBOARD DRILLING FLUID		
	RW/JT (>3 days)	OTHER		
	Other Injury			
	FATALITY	9. WATER DEPTH: 381 FT.		
	X POLLUTION			
	FIRE	10. DISTANCE FROM SHORE: 104 MI.		
	X EXPLOSION			
	LWC   HISTORIC BLOWOUT	11. WIND DIRECTION: SE		
	UNDERGROUND	SPEED: <b>12</b> M.P.H.		
	SURFACE			
	DEVERTER	12. CURRENT DIRECTION:		
	SURFACE EQUIPMENT FAILURE OR PROCEDURES	SPEED: M.P.H.		
	COLLISION HISTORIC >\$25K <=\$25K			
		13. SEA STATE: 2 FT.		

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 1 OF 9

On 21 May 2010, two 500 bbl oil storage tanks exploded/ruptured on McMoran's VR 398 A platform as a result of the ignition of hydrocarbon vapors in the headspace of the tanks. The explosion caused both tanks (wet and dry oil storage tanks) to rupture and immediately discharge the water and crude oil contents of the tanks. The explosion occurred while a McMoran contract construction crew was conducting operations to relocate the platform's LLOG production separator from the southeast portion of the platform's main deck. The BOEMRE investigation of the incident revealed that, at the time of the explosion, the work crew was using an oxyacetylene cutting torch to remove (for repositioning) an air tugger that had been welded to a main deck I-beam approximately 25 feet from the explosion site. Slag/sparks from the cutting/washing operation is believed to be the source of the ignition in the tanks.

According to McMoran's reconciliation report of the contents of the oil tanks, the 500 bbl dry oil tank contained 34 bbls of crude oil before the explosion, and the adjacent 500 bbl wet oil tank contained from 8 - 25 bbls of crude oil before the explosion, depending on the assumption of the water content in the wet oil tank. Additionally, the report indicated that after the explosion, a total of 18.5 - 29.4 bbls of crude oil were not recovered in platform containment vessels, and this oil was assumed to be spilled overboard. According to McMoran, the dry oil tank had 3 feet of fluid and the wet oil tank had 6 feet of fluid before the explosion.

BOEMRE inspection staff collected the following information regarding the subject incident from , and οf during an interview conducted on 24 May 2010. On 21 May 2010, the construction crew started at 0600 hours with a safety meeting, pre-job planner (discussion of how job is to be conducted) and Job Safety Analysis (JSA). After the morning meetings, the construction crew started work on the top deck to remove piping. After piping was removed, the construction crew blinded open ended piping located above and below the top deck that led to the production separator on the top deck so that the piping would not act as a conduit for ignition of hydrocarbon vapors. The construction crew then removed an additional line from under the main deck using a band saw. A hole was cut in the grating on the main deck where the tugger was welded to an I-beam for stability during the skidding operation. The tugger was welded to the beam in a position that is on the deck above and about 25 feet horizontally from the wet oil storage tank. McMoran's study of the explosion indicated "The last "washing" activity was located above and approximately 12' laterally south/southwest of the southern portion of the wet oil storage tank". The tanks were neither rendered inert nor shielded with metal or fire resistant quards or curtains as required by 30 CFR 250.113(a). The crew then proceeded to wash the separator skid (which was on solid decking) welds with a cutting torch so that the separator skid could be relocated. When the construction crew completed washing the welds on the skid, they attempted to move the separator skid closer to the crane with the tugger so that the lift of the separator could be made within the specifications indicated on the crane's load chart. After this attempt failed, they picked up the front end of the separator skid with the crane in order to help the tugger slide the separator skid. Using this method, they successfully slid the separator skid approximately 35 feet from its original location. After further evaluation, it was determined that the tugger would need to be moved again in order to position the separator skid to the exact location needed to make the separator skid lift with the crane.

Work was stopped to hold a pre-job meeting on deck to discuss the relocation of the tugger and separator skid. At the conclusion of the meeting, went downstairs to monitor for any signs of gas using a portable gas detector, and to wet down the area with a water hose. At this point, started cutting/washing the tugger welds using an oxy-acetylene cutting torch. was on the cellar deck and equipped with a dry chemical extinguisher and a potable water hose in order to perform the fire watch duty. Mr. said that he heard a small rumbling noise, and then started walking around the backside of the production separators on the cellar deck to evaluate the situation. Mr. then heard a louder popping noise and became covered in oil. Mr. said that there

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 2 OF 9

was no sign of fire, and the smoke cleared fairly fast from the tank area after spraying down the area with water. After all was clear, , production operator with Island Operators, called the field foreman and then shutdown the platform generator.

The Oil Storage Tanks Explosion Analysis performed by McMoran's consultant, Engineering Systems, Inc, found that several deficiencies existed in the tank system including: 1) the rubber flange gasket below the thief hatch was deteriorated and could have allowed gas leakage, 2) the flame arrestor was occluded with corrosion which resulted in a diminished flow to the extent that an application of a pressurized air stream didn't result in the detection of an air flow through the arrestor 3) the 8-inch, Enardo 600-lb thief hatch was not adequately sized for this application and 4) the storage tanks' bottom-to-shell connection was not welded according to applicable API standards. Although the study was not able to reach a conclusion as to the precise cause of the explosion, it did not discount the adjacent cutting operation as the source of ignition of the explosion and stated, "Sparks/spray normally associated with oxygen/acetylene torch cutting activities could have a casual relationship with the ignition of ignitable liquid vapors and/or flammable gas exterior to and in the vicinity of the oil storage tanks on the platform's cellar deck".

Independent observations of oil sheens made within several days of the subject incident are consistent with the expected trajectory of the spilled oil based on wind direction. An e-mail from Wyatt Tate of McMoran on 7 June 2010, stated that the wind at the platform on 21 May 2010, was out of the south/southeast with a velocity of 8-15 mph. On 22 May 2010, a Mariner employee reported to the NRC (NRC # 941264) that he observed a 10 mile x .75 mile, dark brown sheen located approximately 10.5 miles north/northwest from the platform. On 24 May 2010, BOEMRE inspectors observed a 3 mile x 3 mile, barely discernable sheen located about 18 - 20 miles north/northwest of the platform. McMoran's report (NRC # 941198) to the NRC on 21 May 2010, made after the explosion, stated that they observed a .001 gallon oil spill from the subject platform with dimensions of 100 feet x 50 feet and a silvery color.

#### 18. LIST THE PROBABLE CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

The probable cause of the incident was the propagating combustion reaction of the volatile vapors and air mixture within the headspaces of the tanks and the torch cutting operation, as a result of the vapors not being properly vented through the flame arrestor. Cursory evaluation of the flame arrestor indicated the presence of corrosion deposits and occlusion of the arrestor, resulting in insufficient venting of the volatile vapors and air mixture. The most likely scenario for the volatile vapor/air mixture resulted from the "breathing" of the tanks through the thief hatch. This breathing allowed gas to escape during hot daytime hours and allowed air to enter the tanks during the cooler nighttime hours.

19. LIST THE CONTRIBUTING CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

N/A

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 3 OF 9

#### 20. LIST THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

- 1) McMoran should move any equipment containing hydrocarbons or other flammable substances at least 35 feet horizontally from the welding/hotwork area. On decks lower than where hot work is performed, such as in the subject incident, McMoran should move tanks (containing flammable materials) at least 35 feet from the point of impact where slag, sparks or other burning material could fall. If McMoran felt that moving the tanks was not practical, they should have protected the tanks with flame-proofed covers, rendered them inert or shielded them with metal or fire resistant guards or curtains as required by 30 CFR 250.113 (a).
- 2) McMoran should have serviced the flame arrestor on the oil storage tanks' vent according to the manufacturer's recommendations. McMoran's engineering investigation of the subject incident revealed that the flame arrestor on the oil storage tanks' vent system was occluded with corrosion which resulted in a diminished flow to the extent that an application of a pressurized air stream didn't result in the detection of an air flow through the arrestor. The flame arrestor was equipped with a placard that read "this device must be periodically serviced for continued safe operation"

21. PROPERTY DAMAGED:

NATURE OF DAMAGE:

(2) oil tanks
piping
Wet oil pump
Instrumentation/electrical equipment
AFFF Unit

Explosion of tanks destroyed the listed equipment.

ESTIMATED AMOUNT (TOTAL): \$498,000

22. RECOMMENDATIONS TO PREVENT RECURRANCE NARRATIVE:

The Lake Charles District recommends the Regional Office of Safety Management issue a Safety Alert to address the importance of periodically inspecting flame arrestors as well as any such device that has the tendency to become plugged over time. The proper placement of flame arrestor should also be considered during the design phase to aid in the inspection of this safety device. In this instance the flame arrestor was installed on the end of the flare boom section with no easy access.

- 23. POSSIBLE OCS VIOLATIONS RELATED TO ACCIDENT: YES
- 24. SPECIFY VIOLATIONS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY CONTRIBUTING. NARRATIVE:
  - G-303 1) McMoran did not move equipment containing hydrocarbons or other flammable substances at least 35 feet horizontally from the welding/hotwork area. In addition, McMoran did not move tanks at least 35 feet from the point of impact where slag, sparks or other burning material could fall. If McMoran felt that moving the tanks was not practical, they should have protected the tanks with flame-proofed covers, rendered them inert or shielded them with metal or fire resistant guards or curtains as required by 30 CFR 250.113 (a).
  - G-110 McMoran failed to maintain the flame arrestor on the oil storage tanks in

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 4 OF 9

a safe manner. The flame arrestor was equipped with a placard that read "this device must be periodically serviced for continued safe operation". The oil tank explosion analysis report revealed the flame arrestor was obstructed and would not allow air flow through the arrestor.

E-100 - McMoran did not prevent unauthorized discharge of crude oil into the offshore waters. McMorans's reconciliation of the tank contents showed that, after the explosion, a total of 18.5 bbls to 29.4 bbls of crude oil were not recovered in platform containment vessels, and this crude oil volume is assumed to be spilled overboard.

25. DATE OF ONSITE INVESTIGATION:

24-MAY-2010

26. ONSITE TEAM MEMBERS:

Marcus Mouton / Mark Osterman / Wayne Meaux / Cody LeBlanc /

29. ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION PANEL FORMED: NO

OCS REPORT:

30. DISTRICT SUPERVISOR:

Williamson, Larry

APPROVED

DATE: 07-SEP-2010

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 5 OF 9

## FIRE/EXPLOSION ATTACHMENT

1.	SOURCE OF IGNITIO	N: Ui	nknown		
2.	TYPE OF FUEL:		GAS		
		x	OIL		
			DIESEL		
			CONDENSATE		
			HYDRAULIC		
			OTHER		
3.	FUEL SOURCE: Dry	y Oil	and Wet Oil Tank		
4.			TIONS TAKEN TO ISON		
5.	TYPE OF FIREFIGHT	'ING E	QUIPMENT UTILIZED:		HANDHELD
					WHEELED UNIT
					FIXED CHEMICAL
					FIXED WATER
				x	NONE
				П	OTHER

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 6 OF 9

## **INJURY/FATALITY/WITNESS ATTACHMENT**

OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE  X CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE  OTHER	INJURY  FATALITY  WITNESS	
NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE: EMPLOYED BY:	STATE: TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE:	YEARS
BUSINESS ADDRESS: CITY: ZIP CODE:	STATE:	
OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE X OTHER OTHER NAME:	INJURY FATALITY X WITNESS	
HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE: EMPLOYED BY: BUSINESS ADDRESS: CITY:	STATE: TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE: STATE:	YE

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 7 OF 9

## INJURY/FATALITY/WITNESS ATTACHMENT

OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE  CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE  X OTHER OTHER	INJURY  FATALITY  WITNESS
NAME: HOME ADDRESS:	
CITY:	STATE:
WORK PHONE:  EMPLOYED BY:  BUSINESS ADDRESS:	TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE: YEARS
CITY: ZIP CODE:	STATE:

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 8 OF 9

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 9 OF 9
EV2010R 16-SEP-2010