### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE GULF OF MEXICO REGION

### **ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT**

1.	OCCURRED DATE: 15-NOV-2009 TIME: 0530 HOURS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE CRANE
2.	OPERATOR: BP Exploration & Production Inc. REPRESENTATIVE: Douglas, Scherie TELEPHONE: (281) 366-6843 CONTRACTOR: Transocean Offshore REPRESENTATIVE: Sannon, Bill TELEPHONE: (713) 422-4553	OTHER LIFTING DEVICE  DAMAGED/DISABLED SAFETY SYS.  INCIDENT >\$25K  H2S/15MIN./20PPM  REQUIRED MUSTER  SHUTDOWN FROM GAS RELEASE  OTHER
3.	OPERATOR/CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE/SUPERVISOR ON SITE AT TIME OF INCIDENT:	6. OPERATION:
	LEASE: G32306  AREA: MC LATITUDE: 28.73814021  BLOCK: 252 LONGITUDE: -88.36594502  PLATFORM:	PRODUCTION  X DRILLING  WORKOVER  COMPLETION  HELICOPTER  MOTOR VESSEL  PIPELINE SEGMENT NO.
٦.	RIG NAME: T.O. MARIANAS	OTHER
6.	ACTIVITY: X EXPLORATION(POE) DEVELOPMENT/PRODUCTION	8. CAUSE:  X EQUIPMENT FAILURE
7.	TYPE:    HISTORIC INJURY   X REQUIRED EVACUATION   1   LTA (1-3 days)   X LTA (>3 days   1   RW/JT (1-3 days)   RW/JT (>3 days)   RW/JT (~3 days)   RW/JT (~	HUMAN ERROR EXTERNAL DAMAGE  X SLIP/TRIP/FALL WEATHER RELATED LEAK UPSET H20 TREATING OVERBOARD DRILLING FLUID X OTHER improper procedures
	☐ Other Injury ☐ FATALITY	9. WATER DEPTH: <b>4992</b> FT.
	POLLUTION FIRE	10. DISTANCE FROM SHORE: 60 MI.
	LWC HISTORIC BLOWOUT UNDERGROUND	11. WIND DIRECTION: SPEED: 3 M.P.H.
	SURFACE DEVERTER SURFACE EQUIPMENT FAILURE OR PROCEDURES	12. CURRENT DIRECTION:  SPEED: 26 M.P.H.
	COLLISION HISTORIC >\$25K <=\$25K	13. SEA STATE: <b>2</b> FT.

PAGE: 1 OF 6 MMS - FORM 2010 EV2010R 01-APR-2010

#### Sequence of Events:

A Roustabout (now referred to as the Injured Person-IP) was in the process of moving risers stacked eight high by five risers wide from the port riser bay to the starboard riser bay. The IP climbed to the top of the riser stack while the crew members facilitated movement of the first set of riser pipe without incident. The IP was atop the riser pipe stack walking freely (without being secured) along the width and length of the riser pipe. He positioned himself at the ends of the riser pipe so he could fasten lifting straps to the ends of the risers for lifting. Durng the process the IP fell approximately 30' to the deck below, through a gap approximately 2' x 5' that existed between the stack of riser pipe and a bulkhead. The IP was evacuated for medical treatment where he was treated for chest, back and shoulder injuries.

#### Findings:

- \* The work crew conducted a pre-job safety meeting which included Transocean's Task Specific Think Procedure (TSTP) and a written THINK plan that crew members acknowledged by their signatures. Work procedures, material, equipment, company policies and individual crew member responsibilities were identified and/or considered to include: 100% tie off, getting caught between loads, working at heights of four feet or more, utilizing too few personnel, hand and body positioning, Permit to Work for using straps, inspection of straps, safety belts, work area and additional Health, Safety & Environmental (H&SE) manual requirements.
- \* SALA blocks were considered but determined to be ineffective because the risers were stacked too high (above the existing fall protection system). A harness with 6 feet of lanyard was used for personal fall protection, but there was no specific mention of alternate anchoring points in the written THINK plan. With the exception of an eye on the end of the riser, evidence does not support possible and proper usage of harness securing points in the vicinity from which IP fell. The lifting strap was put in place and the IP positioned himself between the riser and the handrail pinch point with no means of escape without secure feet placement.
- \* The only locations the IP could have stood while the riser was being moved were: (a) on a length of riser, (b) a ventilation hood or (c) holding onto the outside of a handrail next to the riser bay while standing on the toe board. As a result of not being properly secured and a slippery/wet surface where the IP was standing, the IP fell as described above.

#### 18. LIST THE PROBABLE CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

Although all indications point to the IP wearing a harness, MMS was unable to confirm that the IP was properly secured to specific anchoring points to prevent falling.

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 2 OF 6 01-APR-2010 19. LIST THE CONTRIBUTING CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

Unsafe conditions were created by:

- 1. Stacking the riser pipe higher than the riser bay design resulted in being unable to properly secure the existing fall protection equipment.
- 2. Slippery/wet surface conditions may have contributed to the IP's fall.
- 3. The IP used poor situational awareness and/or task complacency while fastening the straps to the risers for lifting.
- 4. The IP was standing/stepping on unsafe areas (ventilation hoods, toe boards, etc.) while using only the outside handrail for support.
- 5. The work crew was not abiding by the company's written "THINK" plan to include: (a) 100% tie off, (b) getting caught between loads, (c) not utilizing enough personnel and (d) safety while working at heights.
- 20. LIST THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

21.	PROPERTY	DAMAGED:	NATURE	OF	DAMAGE:

N/A N/A

ESTIMATED AMOUNT (TOTAL): \$

22. RECOMMENDATIONS TO PREVENT RECURRANCE NARRATIVE:

The MMS New Orleans District makes no recommendations to the MMS Regional Office of Safety Management (OSM).

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 3 OF 6

- 23. POSSIBLE OCS VIOLATIONS RELATED TO ACCIDENT: YES
- 24. SPECIFY VIOLATIONS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY CONTRIBUTING. NARRATIVE:

INC: G-110 - 30 CFR 107 (a) - Improper procedures used for working aloft allowed an employee to sustain personal injury from falling approximately 30 feet. In addition, the work crew was not abiding by the company's written "THINK" plan; specifically:(a) 100% tie off, (b) getting caught between loads, (c) not utilizing enough personnel and (d) working safely while at heights. The IP was walking freely between each end of the riser stack without properly tying off as a result of the riser stack's height preventing proper anchoring of the fall protection equipment.

25. DATE OF ONSITE INVESTIGATION:

17-NOV-2009

26. ONSITE TEAM MEMBERS:

Justin Josey / Joel Moore /

29. ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION PANEL FORMED:

OCS REPORT:

30. DISTRICT SUPERVISOR:

David J. Trocquet

APPROVED

DATE: 01-APR-2010

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 4 OF 6 01-APR-2010

# INJURY/FATALITY/WITNESS ATTACHMENT

OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE  CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE  OTHER	INJURY FATALITY WITNESS	
NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE: EMPLOYED BY: BUSINESS ADDRESS:	STATE: TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE:	YEARS
CITY: ZIP CODE:	STATE:	
OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE  CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE  OTHER  NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE: EMPLOYED BY: BUSINESS ADDRESS: CITY: ZIP CODE:	INJURY FATALITY WITNESS  STATE: TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE:  STATE:	YEARS

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 5 OF 6
EV2010R 01-APR-2010

# INJURY/FATALITY/WITNESS ATTACHMENT

OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE  CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE  OTHER	INJURY  FATALITY  WITNESS	
NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE: EMPLOYED BY:	STATE: TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE:	YEARS
BUSINESS ADDRESS: CITY: ZIP CODE:	STATE:	
OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE  CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE  OTHER	INJURY  FATALITY  WITNESS	
NAME: HOME ADDRESS: CITY: WORK PHONE:	STATE: TOTAL OFFSHORE EXPERIENCE:	YEAR:
EMPLOYED BY: BUSINESS ADDRESS: CITY:	STATE:	
ZIP CODE:		

MMS - FORM 2010 PAGE: 6 OF 6
EV2010R 01-APR-2010