Great Lakes Economies and Ecosystems: will extreme low water levels leave them high and dry?

Steve Gill¹, Drew Gronewold², and Thomas Landon¹ stephen.gill@noaa.gov, drew.gronewold@noaa.gov, thomas.landon@noaa.gov

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

¹Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services (COOPs) ²Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (GLERL)

> NOAA Central Library Brown Bag Seminar Silver Spring, MD April 23, 2013

> > Image: A matrix and a matrix



Outline





Outline







Outline





Great Lakes water levels





Outline











Outline



- 2 Great Lakes water levels
- Impacts on economy and ecosystems
- 4 Regional collaborative modeling and forecasting







▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <





★ロト ★課 ト ★注 ト ★注 ト

From: USEPA, Great Lakes Atlas



U.S. Great Lakes Coastline Comparison







Name	Country	Surface area		Volume	
		(km ²)	(mi ²)	(km ³)	(mi ³)
Michigan–Huron	U.S. and Canada	117,702	45,445	8,458	2,029
Superior	U.S. and Canada	82,414	31,820	12,100	2,900
Victoria	Multiple	69,485	26,828	2,750	660
Tanganyika	Multiple	32,893	12,700	18,900	4,500
Baikal	Russia	31,500	12,200	23,600	5,700
Great Bear Lake	Canada	31,080	12,000	2,236	536
Malawi	Multiple	30,044	11,600	8,400	2,000
Great Slave Lake	Canada	28,930	11,170	2,090	500
Erie	U.S. and Canada	25,719	9,930	489	117
Winnipeg	Canada	23,553	9,094	283	68
Ontario	U.S. and Canada	19,477	7,520	1,639	393

Table: Water volume and surface area of the earth's highest surface area unfrozen fresh water bodies.



æ

▲口 → ▲圖 → ▲ 臣 → ▲ 臣 → □

Name	Country	Surface area		Volume	
		(km ²)	(mi ²)	(km ³)	(mi ³)
Michigan–Huron	U.S. and Canada	117,702	45,445	8,458	2,029
Superior	U.S. and Canada	82,414	31,820	12,100	2,900
Victoria	Multiple	69,485	26,828	2,750	660
Tanganyika	Multiple	32,893	12,700	18,900	4,500
Baikal	Russia	31,500	12,200	23,600	5,700
Great Bear Lake	Canada	31,080	12,000	2,236	536
Malawi	Multiple	30,044	11,600	8,400	2,000
Great Slave Lake	Canada	28,930	11,170	2,090	500
Erie	U.S. and Canada	25,719	9,930	489	117
Winnipeg	Canada	23,553	9,094	283	68
Ontario	U.S. and Canada	19,477	7,520	1,639	393

Table: Water volume and surface area of the earth's highest surface area unfrozen fresh water bodies.



æ

▲口 → ▲圖 → ▲ 臣 → ▲ 臣 → □



Introduction: Great Lakes take home messages

• Earth's largest (by surface area) unfrozen freshwater system



- Earth's largest (by surface area) unfrozen freshwater system
- Significant human population, ecosystem, and economy



- Earth's largest (by surface area) unfrozen freshwater system
- Significant human population, ecosystem, and economy
- Collectively managed and utilized by two nations



- Earth's largest (by surface area) unfrozen freshwater system
- Significant human population, ecosystem, and economy
- Collectively managed and utilized by two nations
- NOAA plays critical role in collaborative monitoring and modeling



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

Outline





- Impacts on economy and ecosystems
- 4 Regional collaborative modeling and forecasting



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data





æ

Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data



Source: Great Lakes information network (GLIN)



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

Water levels: drivers and dynamics (short-term)



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

Water levels: drivers and dynamics (short-term)



Courtesy Living with the Lakes, copyright 2000. USACE-Detroit District and Great Lakes Commission

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

Water levels: drivers and dynamics (short-term)





Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

Water levels: drivers and dynamics (mid-term)



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

æ

Water levels: drivers and dynamics (mid-term)





Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

Water levels: drivers and dynamics (long-term)



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

Water levels: drivers and dynamics (long-term)





æ

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

From: Mainville & Craymer, 2005

Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

Water levels: data (long-term)





1918 2013





RESTORATION



RESTORATION





CILER

Great Lakes

RESTORATION

GLERL



Great Lakes 🚡 RESTORATION

CILER

GLERL

Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

Water levels: take home messages


Introduction Water levels

Water level data

Water levels: take home messages



Below average levels on Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Huron



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

- Below average levels on Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Huron
- Average water levels on Lakes Erie and Ontario



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

- Below average levels on Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Huron
- Average water levels on Lakes Erie and Ontario
- Lakes Michigan-Huron recently hit all-time and monthly lows



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

- Below average levels on Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Huron
- Average water levels on Lakes Erie and Ontario
- Lakes Michigan-Huron recently hit all-time and monthly lows
- NOAA (and partners): water level and water budget monitoring



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

- Below average levels on Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Huron
- Average water levels on Lakes Erie and Ontario
- Lakes Michigan-Huron recently hit all-time and monthly lows
- NOAA (and partners): water level and water budget monitoring
- Multi-agency and international frameworks:



Water level monitoring infrastructure Water level drivers Water level data

- Below average levels on Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Huron
- Average water levels on Lakes Erie and Ontario
- Lakes Michigan-Huron recently hit all-time and monthly lows
- NOAA (and partners): water level and water budget monitoring
- Multi-agency and international frameworks:
 - IJC, CCGLBHHD, IWRSS, NACSP, GLWQA (among others)



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Outline





Impacts on economy and ecosystems

4 Regional collaborative modeling and forecasting



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems



Adapted from Field et al.¹⁶⁴

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 日 ト



ъ

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: commerce overview



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: commerce overview

• 50% of U.S. steel-making capacity



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: commerce overview

- 50% of U.S. steel-making capacity
- 70% of U.S. auto manufacturing



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: commerce overview

- 50% of U.S. steel-making capacity
- 70% of U.S. auto manufacturing
- 55% of all manufacturing



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: commerce overview

- 50% of U.S. steel-making capacity
- 70% of U.S. auto manufacturing
- 55% of all manufacturing
- Shipping is an integral component...



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Great Lakes shipping integral to U.S. and Canadian economies.



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Great Lakes shipping integral to U.S. and Canadian economies.



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Great Lakes shipping integral to U.S. and Canadian economies.

It creates:

• 227,000 jobs



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Great Lakes shipping integral to U.S. and Canadian economies.

- 227,000 jobs
- \$33.5 billion in business revenue



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Great Lakes shipping integral to U.S. and Canadian economies.

It creates:

- 227,000 jobs
- \$33.5 billion in business revenue
- \$14.1 billion in annual personal income



< < >> < </p>

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Great Lakes shipping integral to U.S. and Canadian economies.

- 227,000 jobs
- \$33.5 billion in business revenue
- \$14.1 billion in annual personal income
- \$6.4 billion in local purchases



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Great Lakes shipping integral to U.S. and Canadian economies.

- 227,000 jobs
- \$33.5 billion in business revenue
- \$14.1 billion in annual personal income
- \$6.4 billion in local purchases
- \$4.6 billion in tax revenue

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Great Lakes shipping integral to U.S. and Canadian economies.

- 227,000 jobs
- \$33.5 billion in business revenue
- \$14.1 billion in annual personal income
- \$6.4 billion in local purchases
- \$4.6 billion in tax revenue
- \$3.6 billion in transportation rate savings



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping





ъ

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

• Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

Canadian-flag trade = 65 million tons:

• Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

- Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles
- Inbound ore from Gulf of St. Lawrence, grain backhaul



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

- Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles
- Inbound ore from Gulf of St. Lawrence, grain backhaul
- 82% of "Cross-lake" (U.S.-Canada) trade



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > .

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

- Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles
- Inbound ore from Gulf of St. Lawrence, grain backhaul
- 82% of "Cross-lake" (U.S.-Canada) trade
- 52% of total is to or from U.S.

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

Canadian-flag trade = 65 million tons:

- Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles
- Inbound ore from Gulf of St. Lawrence, grain backhaul
- 82% of "Cross-lake" (U.S.-Canada) trade
- 52% of total is to or from U.S.

Oceangoing or "salty" trade - 17 million tons:



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 >

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

Canadian-flag trade = 65 million tons:

- Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles
- Inbound ore from Gulf of St. Lawrence, grain backhaul
- 82% of "Cross-lake" (U.S.-Canada) trade
- 52% of total is to or from U.S.

Oceangoing or "salty" trade - 17 million tons:

Import specialty and finished steel products

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

Canadian-flag trade = 65 million tons:

- Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles
- Inbound ore from Gulf of St. Lawrence, grain backhaul
- 82% of "Cross-lake" (U.S.-Canada) trade
- 52% of total is to or from U.S.

Oceangoing or "salty" trade - 17 million tons:

- Import specialty and finished steel products
- Export grain



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

Canadian-flag trade = 65 million tons:

- Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles
- Inbound ore from Gulf of St. Lawrence, grain backhaul
- 82% of "Cross-lake" (U.S.-Canada) trade
- 52% of total is to or from U.S.

Oceangoing or "salty" trade - 17 million tons:

- Import specialty and finished steel products
- Export grain
- Canadian-owned; flagged foreign with international crews



(日)

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

Canadian-flag trade = 65 million tons:

- Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles
- Inbound ore from Gulf of St. Lawrence, grain backhaul
- 82% of "Cross-lake" (U.S.-Canada) trade
- 52% of total is to or from U.S.

Oceangoing or "salty" trade - 17 million tons:

- Import specialty and finished steel products
- Export grain
- Canadian-owned; flagged foreign with international crews



(日)

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

U.S.-flag trade = 115 million tons:

- Mostly U.S. to U.S. within the upper four Lakes
- Cargo typically includes iron ore, coal, and limestone

Canadian-flag trade = 65 million tons:

- Trade between Duluth/Superior and Sept Iles
- Inbound ore from Gulf of St. Lawrence, grain backhaul
- 82% of "Cross-lake" (U.S.-Canada) trade
- 52% of total is to or from U.S.

Oceangoing or "salty" trade - 17 million tons:

- Import specialty and finished steel products
- Export grain
- Canadian-owned; flagged foreign with international crews



ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘ
Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping





イロト イポト イヨト イ

э

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Every inch counts:



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Every inch counts:

• 56 "Lakers" are enrolled in Lake Carriers Association (LCA)



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Every inch counts:

- 56 "Lakers" are enrolled in Lake Carriers Association (LCA)
- Fleet forfeits 8,000 tons/trip per inch of draft "lost"



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Every inch counts:

- 56 "Lakers" are enrolled in Lake Carriers Association (LCA)
- Fleet forfeits 8,000 tons/trip per inch of draft "lost"



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Every inch counts:

- 56 "Lakers" are enrolled in Lake Carriers Association (LCA)
- Fleet forfeits 8,000 tons/trip per inch of draft "lost"

Source: Great Lakes Maritime Task Force 2013



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

FY13 Dredging Requirements



Source: Great Lakes Maritime Task Force 2013

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping



- Levels below Chart Datum could limit use of Sault St. Marie for deep draft vessels
- Maximum project depths are 28 feet



(日)

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping





Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping



Present conditions: locks at St. Marys Falls canal (levels below chart datum)

Source: NOAA NOS COOPs physical oceanographic real-time system (http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov)



ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨ

Introduction Water levels Impacts

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: nearshore habitat



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: nearshore habitat



Figure 12. Profile of a typical coastal marsh from lake to upland showing changes in plant communities related to lake-level history (from Environment Canada, 2002).

Source: USGS Circular 1311 Lake -Level Variability and Water Availability in the Great Lakes

A D > A P > A E



3

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: nearshore habitat



Figure 11. Simplified diagram of the effects of water-level fluctuations on coastal wetland plant communities (from Maynard and Wilcox, 1997).



æ

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: property and recreation



Commerce Nearshore habitat Recreation

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: property and recreation



Source: IJC adaptive management task team



э

A B > A
B > A
B

Outline



- 2 Great Lakes water levels
- Impacts on economy and ecosystems
- 4 Regional collaborative modeling and forecasting



Water Level models (seasonal)





LAKES MICHIGAN-HURON WATER LEVELS - APRIL 2013

** Average. Maximum and Minimum for period 1918-2012

http://www.lre.usace.army.mil/Missions/GreatLakesInformation/GreatLakesWaterLevels/ WaterLevelForecast/MonthlyBulletinofGreatLakesWaterLevels.aspx

Great Lakes Hydro-Climate Dashboard (Beta)

Download Data

Screenshot



Great Lakes Hydro-Climate Dashboard (Beta)

Screenshot Down



Great Lakes Hydro-Climate Dashboard (Beta)



Great Lakes Hydro-Climate Dashboard (Beta)

Download Data

Screenshot

? To ft & in Toggle Fullscreen Contacts About 🗹 Superior 🗹 Michigan-Huron 🔚 St. Clair 🗹 Erie 📕 Ontario Legend and Menu 184.2 Water Level Observations 183.8 Monthly Level Forecasts 183.4 Superiol Current Forecasts 183 10 month forecast Surface water elevation (meters: IGLD 85) (AHPS r÷ 182.6 Experimental) Archived Forecasts 182.2 3 month forecast 181.8 (AHPS - \checkmark Experimental) 6 month forecast (AHPS - \checkmark 177.4 Experimental) <u>Michigan-Huron</u> 177 Info on monthly forecasts 176.6 176.2 175.8 175.4 175.2 174.8 174.4 Multi-Decadal Level Forecasts <u>Erie</u> Paleological Reconstructions 174 Hydrological/Climatological Data 173.6 Ice Cover 173.2 Return Series to Default Colors 172.8 Dark Background Zoom 1996 1998 2000 2002 2004 2006 2008 2010 2012 2014 Pan Tue Mar 5 2013 02:45:21 AM Timespan 1996 2015 Great Lakes RESTORATIO Equalize vertical scale

Great Lakes Hydro-Climate Dashboard (Beta)

Dowr

Screenshot



Equalize vertical scale

Surface water elevation (meters: IGLD 85)

HTML 5

Great Lakes Hydro-Climate Dashboard (Beta)

RESTORATIO

Download Data

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Ţ

L÷

L.

÷

Ŀē

Ļ

Ţ

Ţ

Ţ

w.



Water level models (multi-decadal forecasts)



From: Hayhoe, et al., (2010)



э

Great Lakes Hydro-Climate Dashboard (Beta)

Screenshot Dou











Models and forecasting: take home messages



Models and forecasting: take home messages

• Continuous model skill assessment and improvements



Models and forecasting: take home messages

- Continuous model skill assessment and improvements
- Maintain and expand monitoring infrastructure



Models and forecasting: take home messages

- Continuous model skill assessment and improvements
- Maintain and expand monitoring infrastructure
- Multiple models, multiple model inputs range of results



Conclusions



Conclusions

• Current low water levels: economic and ecosystem impacts




Conclusions

- Current low water levels: economic and ecosystem impacts
- Lots of variability (and uncertainty) in long-term forecasts





Conclusions

- Current low water levels: economic and ecosystem impacts
- Lots of variability (and uncertainty) in long-term forecasts
- More at "glerl.noaa.gov" and "tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov"



Acknowledgements





Acknowledgements

• NOAA-GLERL: T. Hunter, A. Clites, J. Smith, F. Quinn, M. Lansing





Acknowledgements

- NOAA-GLERL: T. Hunter, A. Clites, J. Smith, F. Quinn, M. Lansing
- NOAA-COOPs: J. Oyler, C. Wong, T. Landon, C. Roche, L. Austin





Acknowledgements

- NOAA-GLERL: T. Hunter, A. Clites, J. Smith, F. Quinn, M. Lansing
- NOAA-COOPs: J. Oyler, C. Wong, T. Landon, C. Roche, L. Austin
- USEPA (GLRI), USGS, USACE, and EC



Great Lakes Economies and Ecosystems: will extreme low water levels leave them high and dry?

Steve Gill¹, Drew Gronewold², and Thomas Landon¹ stephen.gill@noaa.gov, drew.gronewold@noaa.gov, thomas.landon@noaa.gov

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

¹Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services (COOPs) ²Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (GLERL)

> NOAA Central Library Brown Bag Seminar Silver Spring, MD April 23, 2013

> > Image: A matrix and a matrix



Water levels: observations (paleo)



Figure 8. Hydrograph of late Holocene lake level and historical lake level for Lake Michigan-Huron. The red line is interpreted from beach-ridge studies, whereas the lower black line is an inferred lower limit using the range of the historical record as a guide.



æ

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Great Lakes economy and ecosystems: shipping

Impact of Dredging Crisis on Per-Trip Carrying Capacity Major Great Lakes Vessel Classes

Major Great Lakes Vessel Classes	Vessel Length (feet)	Per-Trip Carrying Capacity (net tons)	Capacity Per Fool Of Draft (net tons)
A	1,000	69,664	3,204
	806	34,720	1,752
Accessory of the second s	767	28,336	1,524
	730	27,558	1,380
	635	22,064	1,284
÷	501 Source: Great Lake	13,776 s Maritime Task F	852 orce 2013



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Water levels: data (long-term)





ъ



Huron: Spectacle Reef

Courtesy: P. Blanken (UC Boulder)

Superior: Stannard Rock

a car

MARRIN

Measurements at Stannard Rock



June 7, 2012

- Land Warmer than Lakes:
- Clear-skies over lakes (subsidence H pressure)
- cumulous clouds
 over land (convective
 surface L)
- •Lake-breeze fronts (NW Superior Shore)



Courtesy: P. Blanken (UC Boulder)

December 13, 2010

- Lakes (ice-free) Warmer than Land:
- Cloudy over lakes (cloud streets)
- Downwind Lakeeffect snow
- Massive quantity of heat and moisture removed from lakes



Seasonal Evaporative Water Loss

