Office of Grants and Training Preparedness Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security Washington, DC 20531



G&T Information Bulletin No. 215 August 04, 2006

- TO: All State Administrative Agency Heads All State Administrative Points of Contact All State Homeland Security Directors All Urban Area Core City/Core County Points of Contact All Protective Security Advisors
- FROM: Tracy A. Henke Assistant Secretary, Office of Grants and Training

SUBJECT: FY 2006 Risk Analysis for the Homeland Security Grant Program

On July 11th and 12th, the DHS Office of Grants and Training (G&T) hosted the FY 2006 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) After Action Conference to solicit State and local feedback on the overall FY 2006 homeland security planning process. At this conference, participants made three recommendations with regard to risk analysis:

- 1. Provide detailed briefings to state and local partners on the core components of the risk methodology used in the FY 2006 process.
- 2. Involve state and local representatives in the data vetting process.
- 3. Establish/convene a working group of federal, state, and local representatives to provide additional input on the specific components of the risk analysis process

DHS is responding to this valuable feedback through a joint effort between G&T and the Infrastructure Protection Risk Management Division (RMD).

To meet the first recommendation, DHS will deliver a presentation of the FY 2006 HSGP Risk Analysis Methodology for Homeland Security Advisors and State Administrative Agencies via teleconference on **August 4th**. A more detailed explanation and facilitated discussion on the core components of the methodology will be provided at the Preparedness Directorate regional meetings in eight of the 10 FEMA regions this summer. For the two regions (4 & 5) where these regional meetings have already occurred, we are exploring other options for this outreach effort. A description of the DHS Risk-Based Approach is also available on the G&T website. (See http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/odp/newsreleases/HSGP_risk_analysis.pdf) To meet the second recommendation, DHS is providing all States and 90 candidate areas with immediate visibility of the asset data used in the FY 2006 HSGP risk calculation¹². This will be done through a web-accessible system (iMapData). It is important to understand that only asset information used in the asset risk portion of the equation will appear, and that data does not represent all infrastructures in the jurisdiction, but those infrastructures that met the threshold values set in particular asset types. Attachment A lists the 90 candidate areas considered in the UASI risk analysis. Attachment B describes the asset data and the thresholds used.

Each State Administrative Agency (SAA) should coordinate the selection of **up to two designated individuals for each State, Territory, and candidate area** included in the risk analysis³ to receive iMapData accounts. These individuals will also be able to provide corrections and additions through the iMapData system to DHS for consideration in the risk analysis. All feedback must be submitted by **August 18th** to be considered for the FY 2007 analysis.

The individuals must be State, Territory, or Urban Area employees, or contractors working directly for the agency⁴. In some cases, an individual may be granted access to multiple candidate areas. Ideally, people who already have iMapData accounts⁵ will be designated as they are already familiar with the system. Attachment C provides a current list of active iMapData users.

Please email the following information for each designated individual to Lauren Fernandez at Lauren.Fernandez@dhs.gov

- Name (first, last)
- Organization
- Email address
- Address (street, city, state, zip)
- Phone number
- Specify if the individual already has a DHS iMapData account (see Attachment C)
- Specify what access should be granted: which state and/or candidate areas (see Attachment A)

Upon receipt of this information, each designated individual will be provided with a notice of account activation and instructions on the use of the iMapData system.

¹ The asset data used in the FY 2006 HSGP risk calculation was a snapshot taken in October 2005 and does not include any information provided to DHS since that time.

² Note that the asset data used in this risk analysis is not pulled exclusively from the National Asset Database (NADB). The NADB was one of many data sources queried to provide data for the analysis. Very limited infrastructure information was available on US Trust Territories.

³ Urban Area Working Groups should identify individuals for access to the Urban Area risk data and communicate this to the SAA.

⁴ Designated individuals cannot share their login information, and are responsible for maintaining the security of the For Official Use Only (FOUO) asset data to which they have access. They may display the data for others in their state or urban area who have a need to know.

⁵ iMapData accounts have been provided by DHS to states free of charge since March of 2003.

To meet the third recommendation, DHS will open discussion on the specific components of the risk analysis process with dialogue at the regional meetings. DHS is also exploring how to establish additional channels for input over time.

Questions on this information bulletin may be directed to the G&T Centralized Scheduling and Information Desk at <u>askcsid@dhs.gov</u> or 1-800-368-6498.

Attachments B and C are For Official Use Only. These attachments will not be posted on the G&T Website.

Attachment A States and Candidate Areas included in the FY 2006 HSGP Risk Analysis

As described in Information Bulletin #200, all cities with a population greater than 100,000 and any city with reported threat data during the past year were included in the risk calculation. Cities on the list with shared city boundaries were combined into a single entity for data count purposes. A 10-mile buffer was then drawn from the border of that city or combined entity to establish the geographical area in which data was evaluated. These areas are listed below. Each State may identify up to two individuals from each candidate area for access to the asset data.

State	Candidate Area	State	Candidate Area	State	Candidate Area
AK	Anchorage	IL	Aurora-IL Area	OH	Cincinnati
AL	Birmingham	IL	Chicago	OH	Cleveland
AL	Montgomery	IN	Fort Wayne	OH	Columbus
AZ	Phoenix Area	IN	Indianapolis	OH	Hebron
AZ	Tucson	KS	Wichita	OH	Oak Harbor
CA	Bakersfield	KSMO	Kansas City KS & MO Area	ОН	Toledo Area
CA	Fresno	KY	Lexington-Fayette	OK	Oklahoma City Area
CA	Lancaster-CA Area	KY	Louisville	OK	Tulsa
CA	Lodi	LA	Baton Rouge	ORWA	Portland OR & Vancouver WA Area
CA	Los Angeles Area	LA	New Orleans	PA	Masontown
CA	Modesto	MA	Boston Area	PA	Philadelphia
CA	Ontario CA Area	MD	Annapolis	PA	Pittsburgh
CA	Orange-CA Area	MD	Baltimore	PR	Bayamon
CA	Riverside Area	MI	Detroit Area	PR	San Juan Area
CA	Sacramento Area	MN	Minneapolis-St.Paul Area	TN	Memphis
CA	San Diego Area	MO	St. Louis	TN	Nashville-Davidson
CA	San Francisco Area	NC	Charlotte	TX	Austin
CA	Stockton	NC	Greensboro	TX	Corpus Christi
CA	Ventura Area	NC	Raleigh Area	TX	Dallas Area
CO	Colorado Springs	NE	Lincoln	TX	El Paso
CO	Denver Area	NE	Omaha	TX	Houston Area
DC	National Capital Region	NJ	Atlantic City	TX	Laredo
FL	Daytona Beach	NJ	Newark Area	TX	Lubbock
FL	Fort Lauderdale Area	NM	Albuquerque	TX	San Antonio
FL	Jacksonville	NV	Henderson	UT	Salt Lake City Area
FL	Miami Area	NV	Las Vegas Area	VA	Norfolk Area
FL	Orlando	NY	Buffalo	VT	Burlington
FL	Tampa Area	NY	New York City Area	WA	Seattle Area
GA	Atlanta	NY	Rochester	WI	Madison
HI	Honolulu	OH	Akron	WI	Milwaukee

Attachment A States and Candidate Areas included in the FY 2006 HSGP Risk Analysis

The following States and Territories were included in the FY 2006 HSGP Risk Analysis

State	Urban Area	State	Urban Area
AK	Alaska	MS	Mississippi
AL	Alabama	MT	Montana
AR	Arkansas	NC	North Carolina
AS	American Samoa	ND	North Dakota
AZ	Arizona	NE	Nebraska
CA	California	NH	New Hampshire
СО	Colorado	NJ	New Jersey
CT	Connecticut	NM	New Mexico
DC	District of Columbia	NV	Nevada
DE	Delaware	NY	New York
FL	Florida	OH	Ohio
GA	Georgia	OK	Oklahoma
GU	Guam	OR	Oregon
HI	Hawaii	PA	Pennsylvania
IA	Iowa	PR	Puerto Rico
ID	Idaho	RI	Rhode Island
IL	Illinois	SC	South Carolina
IN	Indiana	SD	South Dakota
KS	Kansas	TN	Tennessee
KY	Kentucky	TX	Texas
LA	Louisiana	UT	Utah
MA	Massachusetts	VA	Virginia
MD	Maryland	VI	U.S. Virgin Islands
ME	Maine	VT	Vermont
MI	Michigan	WA	Washington
MN	Minnesota	WI	Wisconsin
MO	Missouri	WV	West Virginia
MP	Northern Mariana Islands	WY	Wyoming