

IPAWS Alert Origination Service Provider Webinar Series

Mark Lucero, Chief Engineer IPAWS Division mark.lucero@dhs.gov 202-646-1386 August 7, 2013

- Concept and Purpose
- Technical Requirements Demonstrated
- The Scenario Flow and Observations
- Alerting Tool Company Introductions




IPAWS Vision

"Timely Alert And Warning To American Citizens In The Preservation of Life And Property"





IPAWS Architecture



Concept and Purpose

- State and local EMA must use an alerting tool to access IPAWS
 - Q: "What alerting tools work with IPAWS?"
 - A: "The U.S. government neither endorses nor guarantees in any way the external organizations, services, advice, or products"
- 150 tools to choose from
 - 150 companies have developer MOAs with IPAWS
 - 75 claim to have public alerting capability
 - #? actually work
- We need to give them a better answer than this!



Concept and Purpose

- Demonstrate the alerting tool has successfully integrated with IPAWS for public alerting
- Alerting tool will have **20 minutes** to demonstrate
- Utilize the IPAWS demo environment at JITC
 - Observe EAS and WEA devices trigger via web cam
 - Verify valid/invalid in the IPAWS admin console
- All alerting tools will follow the same scenario



- Concept and Purpose
- Technical Requirements Demonstrated
- The Scenario Flow and Observations
- Alerting Tool Company Introductions




Technical Requirements

- 4 requirements based on most essential IPAWS functions
 - 1. Demonstrate successful posting of an IPAWS-conforming Common Alerting Protocol (CAP) alert
 - Send a valid Emergency Alert System (EAS) message
 - Send a valid Wireless Emergency Alert (WEA) message
 - Send a valid Non-Weather Emergency Message (NWEM) aka NOAA HazCollect and NOAA Weather Radio
 - 2. Retrieve message status to verify alert was successfully transmitted to the intended audience
 - 3. Demonstrate interoperable CAP exchange with other IPAWS users aka COG-to-COG messaging
 - 4. Verify connectivity with IPAWS (using the getAck function)



- Concept and Purpose
- Technical Requirements Demonstrated
- The Scenario Flow and Observations
- Alerting Tool Company Introductions





Brief Plume EPZ Description

The Indian Head Nuclear Plant is located in the State of Maryland in west Charles County on the east bank of the Potomac River. The topography of the 10-mile plume Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ) is gently rolling terrain and low hills.

The 10-mile EPZ contains a population of 62,000 within three counties in two states: Charles County, Maryland - 19,400; Prince William County, Virginia - 27,300; and Stafford County, Virginia - 15,300. The land use is a mixture of residential, light industry, retail, and agricultural production.

The area is served by limited highway access, including MD Route 210, MD Route 301 in Maryland, and Interstate 95 in Virginia, all of which run mainly north-south. Two-lane access roads connect to and from these main highways. There is light commercial and recreational boat traffic on the Potomac River.

There are 17 schools, 6 senior centers/homes, and 12 pre-school/day-care centers; 4 state recreation parks and 1 National Park are located within the EPZ.

Temperature at the time of the accident is 65 degrees Fahrenheit, with 75% relative-humidity. Winds are WSW at 10mph.



Accident Brief


At 1003 EDT, a magnitude 6.2 earthquake, with epicenter six miles below the city of Richmond, Virginia, rattles the entire Virginia, Maryland, and the District of Columbia area, as well as other states along the Eastern Seaboard from North Carolina to New York. The earthquake and subsequent aftershocks cause widespread power outages .

Standby power at the Indian Head Nuclear Plant comes on-line as expected; however, an earthquake related fire causes the power switching equipment to suffer a catastrophic failure at 1005 EDT causing one of the three reactors to shut down. Additionally, a fire takes place in the main fuel storage facility. An unshielded fuel element catches fire rapidly, leading to a large explosion. A large quantity of radioactive material is released into the atmosphere and on-site and off-site emergency plans have been activated.

A Public Warning Message is drafted and sent



• Scenario: Fictitious nuclear plant accident





- 1. AOSP shows connectivity (Req #4)
- 2. AOSP sends alert (Req #1)
- 3. JITC Lab verify EAS and WEA alerts
- 4. AOSP shows message status (Req #2)
- 5. IPAWS admin verify valid/invalid EAS, WEA, NWEM alert
- 6. AOSP sends/receives COG-to-COG message to Neighboring County (Req #3)
- 7. Neighboring County verifies receipt
- 8. Q&A if time allows



- Concept and Purpose
- Technical Requirements Demonstrated
- The Scenario Flow and Observations
- Alerting Tool Company Introductions



Alerting Tool introductions

1. GSS Alert Studio

Presented by Jim Lowery GSS Point of Contact: Matthew Straeb mstraeb@gssnet.us Info@alertfm.com (601) 709 4240

2. NC4 E Team

Presented by Eric Kant NC4 Point of Contact: support@nc4.us (310) 606-4444 (877) 624-4999

3. Federal Signal Corporation

Presented by Bruce Ross Federal Signal Corporation Point of Contact: Keith Conklin kconklin@federalsignal.com 0: (708) 587-3318





FEMA