



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
WASHINGTON DC

27 Jan 14

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS JACKSON MS

FROM: AFMSA/SG3PB

SUBJECT: Revised Response for Radiation Dose Information on Veteran [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

1. The Air Force Office of the Surgeon General recently re-evaluated internal processes for completing ionizing radiation dose assessments for veterans involved in the 1966 U.S. Air Force nuclear weapons incident response at Palomares, Spain. Congress initiated this review to ensure a comprehensive and consistent approach is applied to all dose assessments previously completed for this incident and to those assessments which will be conducted in the future.
2. The health risk for U.S. Air Force veterans exposed to plutonium at Palomares remains low, overall, as supported by the fact that we have not seen, nor do we expect to see, many incidents of illness directly attributable to plutonium exposure from this event. Even so, in the best interest of veterans who responded to this accident, our office will now provide a broad range of possible dose values using worst case estimates from both environmental sampling data and biomonitoring results. This veteran's estimated maximum dose value actually increased as a result of this effort.
3. Previously, we addressed Palomares-related claims using data from the 1960s along with descriptions of duties performed. Upon review of available 1960s bioassay data for those determined to be the highest exposed (and most studied) 26 individuals, it is possible that a fraction of the remaining U.S. responders (~1600 individuals) received a committed effective dose (CED) greater than the 0.31 rem maximum estimated using contemporary dose models and the 1960s environmental sampling data. These responders would not receive doses greater than the highest exposed 26 individuals.
4. Despite the better sampling techniques and dose models of today, the Air Force cannot accurately and efficiently determine specific doses outside the highest exposed (and most studied) 26 individuals. Therefore, those responders outside that group of 26 will now be assigned a CED range of 0.31 rem to 10.5 rem based on the application of contemporary models to both environmental and bioassay data from the 1960s. The corresponding upper bounds for critical organ doses will now be 103 rem for the bone surface, 40.6 rem for the lungs, and 4.9 rem for the liver.

The information herein is For Official Use Only (FOUO) which must be protected under the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. Unauthorized disclosure or misuse of this PERSONAL INFORMATION may result in criminal and/or civil penalties.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

5. This office acknowledges that the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) retains the authority to determine whether or not an individual veteran's specific health condition may be attributed to plutonium exposure.

6. The AF/SG POC is Major AJ Cagle, (703) 681-6988 or EMAIL  
anthony.j.cagle.mil@mail.mil.



ANTHONY J. CAGLE, Maj, USAF, BSC  
Chief, Contingency Radiation Operations  
Air Force Medical Support Agency  
Office of the Surgeon General

2 Attachments:

1. AFSEC/SEWN tables—Estimated Equivalent Organ Doses from Single Intake Plutonium (Dr. Rademacher, 9 Jan 14)
2. AFMSA/SG3PB memo to VA—Exposure Estimates for AF Nuclear Accident Responders—Palomares (Colonel Ashworth, 6 Dec 13)

Equivalent Organ Doses from Single Intake of  $^{239+240}\text{Pu}$ ,  
 Based on ICRP 26/30/48 and ICRP 71.

				Intake (nCi) = 34	
Class Y	ICRP 26/30/48 Inhalation Exposure Pathway		Type S	ICRP 71 Inhalation Exposure Pathway	
	Organ	Dose (rem)		Organ	Dose (rem)
	Gonads	1.51		Adrenals	0.040
	Breast	0.00005		Bladder Wall	0.040
	Lung	40.6		Bone Surface	21.4
	Red Marrow	8.3		Brain	0.040
	Bone Surface	103		Breast	0.040
	Thyroid	0.00005	GI-Tract	Oesophagus	0.040
	Liver	3.80		St Wall	0.040
	Effective	10.5		SI Wall	0.040
				ULI Wall	0.040
				LLI Wall	0.042
				Colon	0.042
				Kidneys	0.10
			Liver	4.9	
			Muscle	0.040	
			Ovaries	0.30	
			Pancreas	0.040	
			Red Marrow	1.14	
			Respiratory Tract	ET Airways	4.8
				Lungs	10.9
				Skin	0.040
				Spleen	0.040
				Testes	0.31
				Thymus	0.040
				Thyroid	0.040
				Uterus	0.040
				Remainder	0.043
				Effective Dose	2.0

This letter is protected by the PRIVACY ACT of 1974 and HIPAA  
 Use of the personal information is for official use only.

**For Official Use Only**



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
WASHINGTON, DC

6 December 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, JACKSON, MS  
ATTN: Ms. Gail Berry

FROM: Air Force Medical Support Agency/SG3PB

SUBJECT: Radiation Exposure Estimates for USAF Nuclear Weapon Accident Responders –  
Palomares, Spain

The Air Force Office of the Surgeon General recently evaluated internal processes for completing ionizing radiation dose assessments for veterans involved in the 1966 USAF incident response at Palomares, Spain. A review was initiated to ensure a conservative and consistent approach was applied to all dose reconstructions for this incident. This office continues to strive toward timely, scientifically-based responses for all health-related veteran claims.

Our review found that approximately 1,600 personnel, including Army, Navy, Air Force, and other US federal employees, were involved at various stages of the response with 1,586 individuals submitting at least one sample for analysis. We have records for 19 USAF veterans who submitted claims since 2001 in association with the Palomares response, with three individual appeals for re-assessment for a total of 22 claims. For several of these claims, dose estimates and subsequent responses involved assistance from the Air Force Safety Center.

A review of these 22 cases indicates inconsistencies in dose assignment over the past 12 years. In some cases, the assigned dose was based on the maximum expected dose as derived from average ambient air monitoring results (311 mrem). A dose value of this magnitude likely applies to the average response participant, but appears to underestimate doses to some individuals. In more recent claims, a detailed dose reconstruction was performed using plutonium (Pu) intake values based on initial and follow-up urine samples. The committed dose to specific organs and/or tissues was then assessed using various modeling protocols based on publications of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). Previous assessments from this office varied due to data availability and interpretation, updates to ICRP models, and differences in professional opinion on the appropriate use of multiple data sets (ambient air monitoring vs. urine excretion biomonitoring).

Following a comprehensive review of all data generated from 1966, this office has decided to formally standardize our response methodology for radiation dose inquiries involving Palomares participants. In doing so, we will use a common approach to provide a conservative, i.e. worst case, dose estimate for the veteran to afford him or her the benefit of the doubt. This office will use the following methodology to respond to VA/veteran dose inquiries for Palomares responders:

- a. Establish the veteran's presence at the incident site.

b. Perform a review of duties based on historical records and statements provided by the veteran.

c. Review available bioassay data for the veteran and assign an intake value.

(1) If the veteran is a member of the cohort with the highest exposure potential (designated as the "High 26"), use their established intake estimates. The established intakes range from 34,000 to 570,000 picocuries (pCi).

(2) For the remaining responders, define intake as "does not exceed the intake calculated for the least exposed member of the High 26 group." The intake range for this group will be conservatively set at 1,100 to 34,000 pCi.

d. Estimate committed doses for the organ(s) of concern. The primary organs of concern from plutonium exposure are the lung, liver, and bone surface, based on International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) Publication 30 (used by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Environmental Protection Agency) and ICRP Publication 68 (used by the Department of Energy and the Defense Threat Reduction Agency). We will provide both ICRP-model results in responses to the VA.

e. If the member does not have a valid urine sample, reconstruct the dose based on similar exposures using their specific duties, if possible. If that is not possible, consider having the member provide a urine sample for analysis using the latest analytical procedures that claim to eliminate or greatly reduce confounding factors such as radioactivity from natural or background sources.

This office, with assistance from the Air Force Safety Center, will reevaluate the 19 claims previously addressed and apply the above methodology to rectify inconsistencies in dose determination. We may request your assistance in identifying claims adjudicated prior to the year 2000 and for which we may not have records on hand.

The AF/SG point of contact is Major Dan Shaw, 703-681-7855 or e-mail at [daniel.shaw@pentagon.af.mil](mailto:daniel.shaw@pentagon.af.mil).



RICHARD A. ASHWORTH, Col, USAF, BSC  
Chief, Bioenvironmental Engineering Branch  
Office of the Air Force Surgeon General

cc:  
AFSEC/SEWN (Dr. Steven Rademacher)