BOB GOODLATTE, Virginia CHAIRMAN

F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR., Wisconsir LAMAR S. SMITH, Texas STEVE CHABOT, Ohio DARRELL E. ISSA, California J. RANDY FORBES, Virginia STEVE KING, Iowa TRENT FRANKS, Arizona LOUIE GOHMERT, Texas JIM JORDAN, Ohio TED POE, Texas JASON CHAFFETZ, Utah TOM MARINO, Pennsylvania TREY GOWDY, South Carolina RAUL R. LABRADOR, Idaho BLAKE FARENTHOLD, Texas DOUG COLLINS, Georgia RON DESANTIS, Florida MIMI WALTERS, California KEN BUCK, Colorado JOHN RATCLIFFE, Texas DAVE TROTT, Michigan

MIKE BISHOP, Michigan

ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

2138 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6216

(202) 225–3951 http://www.house.gov/judiciary

December 22, 2015

The Honorable Daniel R. Elliott III
Chairman

United States Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW

Washington, DC 20423-0001

The Honorable Ann D. Begeman Vice Chairman United States Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001

The Honorable Debra Miller Member United States Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001

Dear Chairman Elliott and Surface Transportation Board Members:

We are writing in connection with the ongoing developments between Canadian Pacific (CP) and Norfolk Southern Corporation (NS). As Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee and Subcommittee Chairman of the Regulatory Reform, Commercial and Antitrust Law Subcommittee, which has oversight over our nation's antitrust laws, the antitrust enforcement agencies, and competition issues generally, we are watching these developments closely and with interest.

In 2001, the Surface Transportation Board (the Board) adopted new rules under which it reviews proposed mergers.¹ Under these rules, "merger applicants must clearly show that a merger is in the public interest by demonstrating that public benefits, such as improved service and enhanced competition, outweigh any negative effects, such as potential service disruptions

JOHN CONYERS, JR., Michigan RANKING MEMBER

JERROLD NADLER, New York
ZOE LOFGREN, California
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, Texas
STEVE COHEN, Tennessee
HENRY C. "HANK" JOHNSON, JR., Georgia
PEDRO R. PIERLUISI, Puerto Rico
JUDY CHU, California
TED DEUTCH, Florida
LUIS V. GUTIÉRREZ, Illinois
KAREN BASS, California
CEDRIC L. RICHMOND, Louisiana
SUZAN K. DELBENE, Washington
HAKEEM S. JEFFRIES, New York
DAVID CICILLINE, Rhode Island
SCOTT PETERS. California

¹ Major Rail Consolidation Procedures, STB Ex Parte 582 (Sub-No. 1).

and harm that cannot be mitigated."² Additionally, at the time, the Board "indicated that it will be looking for merger proposals to *add* competition"³ Finally, the Board stated that it would "take a more skeptical view of claims of merger benefits, that it will hold applicants more accountable for those claims if a merger is approved, and that applicants will be required to address whether claimed benefits can be achieved by means other than a merger."⁴

CP's purchase offers for NS include a voting trust structure that would allow the to-be merged company to be managed by a new Chief Executive Officer and would appoint CP's existing Chief Executive Officer into this position after he severs ties with CP. This structure would exist during the pendency of the Board's regulatory review of the proposed transaction.

Additionally, it has been reported that this transaction may prompt additional consolidation in the railway industry.⁷

The Committee on the Judiciary historically has exercised vigilant oversight of issues related to competition. To assist us in better understanding the potential issues that may affect competition within the railway industry, please answer the following questions by January 11, 2015:

- 1. Does the Board anticipate any revisions to the existing rules on railway merger reviews?
 - a. Does the Board continue to consider whether proposed transactions add competition to the railway industry?
- 2. Does the Board consider as a factor whether a transaction is likely to prompt further consolidation within the railway industry? If so, how heavily does the Board weigh this factor in its overall review?
- 3. In the past, has the Board approved a proposed purchaser's past CEO to manage the to-be acquired company during the Board's regulatory review? If so, what factors did the Board consider when approving this arrangement?

² "The Surface Transportation Board's New Merger Rules": Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Surface Transportation and Merchant Marine of the S. Comm. on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, 107th Cong. (2001) (testimony of Linda J. Morgan, Chairman of the Surface Transportation Board).

³ *Id.* (emphasis added).

Jacquie McNish, Canadian Pacific Adds Financial Incentive in Quest for Norfolk Southern, WALL ST. J. (Dec. 16, 2015).

⁶ Id.

⁷ Id.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and we look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Bob Goodlatte Chairman

House Committee on the Judiciary

Tom Marino

Chairman

Subcommittee on Regulatory Reform,

Commercial and Antitrust Law

House Committee on the Judiciary