



Recreational Trails Program: Report on State Trail Projects



**COALITION
FOR
RECREATIONAL
TRAILS**

1225 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 450
Washington, D.C. 20005
202-682-9530
FAX 202-682-9529

For the Federal Highway Administration by the
Coalition for Recreational Trails

Recreational Trails Program
Report on State Trail Projects

October 30, 2002

**For the Federal Highway Administration by the
Coalition for Recreational Trails
(Order No. DTFH61-02-C-00024, Requisition No. 67-01-1051)**

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest in information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. The contents of this report reflect the views of the contractor, who is responsible for the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official policy of the Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

**Recreational Trails Program
Report on State Trail Projects
October 30, 2002**

Table of Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	3
Background	3 - 4
Database Findings	5 - 14
Trail Projects and Funding Statistics: 1993-2001	5 - 6
Trail Project Work Descriptions	6 - 7
Maintenance Project Funding Summary	7 - 8
Construction Project Funding Summary	9-10
Trail User Category Summary	10 -11
Nonmotorized Trail Project Funding Summary	11 -12
Motorized Trail Project Funding Summary	12 - 13
Education Project Funding Summary	13 - 14

RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM DATABASE PROJECT

Executive Summary

In 1999, the Coalition for Recreational Trails (CRT), working in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), developed a database of State trail projects that had received funding from the Recreational Trails Program (RTP) since the RTP's inception as part of, first, the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) and, then, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) in 1998. In July 2000, again in cooperation with the FHWA, CRT initiated an update of the database. By late December 2000, reports had been received from 47 States showing that the number of RTP projects had increased 58% to 3,983 while total RTP funding had increased more than 97% to \$103.6 million. The States also reported that more than \$112 million in additional funding – a 109% increase over the previous total – had been obtained from other sources, including other Federal agencies, as well as State and local governments and trails group. In October 2001, FHWA and CRT entered into a three-year agreement, with the American Recreation Coalition acting as CRT's agent, to continue the database project on a more systematic basis. As part of this most recent effort, a preliminary report was prepared for the FHWA in March 2002, adding 797 projects from 29 States and bringing the total number of projects to 4,780. This October 2002 report updates that information – adding 792 projects in 22 States for a total of 5,572 – and marks the completion of the first year of the three-year agreement. The total RTP funding now reported has reached \$160,228,492 and has been matched by \$150,555,382 in other funding. The information contained in this latest report has also been compiled into a searchable database that will be accessible on the Internet through the FHWA's Web site (www.fhwa.dot.gov).

According to this latest report, the leading use of RTP funds – 38% – is trail construction or development, which continues the trend observed in earlier reports. The gap between RTP spending on trail construction or development and spending on trail maintenance has widened slightly as 90% more in RTP funds – a difference of \$35.5 million – has been directed toward those types of projects, up from 86% in December 2000. Hiking and walking trails continue to attract more funds than other trails, with hiking's share now at 63% (up slightly from 61% in 2000) and walking at 51% (up from 48%). Three quarters of projects can be clearly identified as benefiting motorized and/or nonmotorized trail uses. The ratio of nonmotorized to motorized projects has increased slightly and is now at 2.7:1 versus the 2.6:1 of two years ago.

Each State is allowed to use up to 5% of its RTP funds for educational programs that promote trail-related safety and environmental protection. However, the States continue to focus on other priorities, reporting that less than 2% (90) of their projects were educational. Two years ago, the percentage was similarly low when 56 projects were reported from a total of 3,983.

Background

A Federal assistance program for recreational trail construction, renovation and maintenance was created under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA). Under the program, known initially as the National Recreational Trails

Funding Program, funds were allocated to all States and the District of Columbia during only three of the legislation's initial six years (a total of \$37.5 million) as well as during the transitional period of October 1997 to June 1998.

The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) amended the program – now known as the Recreational Trails Program (RTP) – most notably by significantly increasing funds apportioned to the States (reaching \$50 million annually for the last four of the legislation's six years) and providing contract authority for the program.

The legislation establishes requirements for project eligibility but provides substantial flexibility to the States on project selection. Presently, there is no unified reporting process from the States to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), which administers the program, on use of the funds. It should be noted, however, that most States have substantial, though not uniform, information available to the public on use of RTP funds.

The Coalition for Recreational Trails (CRT), representing all major national trail interests, has taken an active role in the RTP since its inception and shares FHWA's interest in ensuring that the program is efficient, operates in full compliance with the law, and is understood by all interests. CRT regards it as essential that RTP projects can be identified and evaluated by the Administration, the Congress, and program advocates. The increase in funding for RTP approved as part of TEA-21 demonstrated Congressional support for trails programs, but this support will be sustained only if sufficient accomplishments can be demonstrated. Moreover, FHWA has a need to monitor projects for compliance with statutory direction.

For these reasons, in 1999, CRT worked cooperatively with FHWA and the States to collect initial information on projects funded under RTP since the program's beginning. The data requested included project date, location and description, contact name, amount of RTP funding and other funding, types of trail uses, the Congressional district involved, and project highlights. All the States and the District of Columbia reported information, in varying levels of detail. CRT compiled this information into a database, which it made available to FHWA, trail administrators, and major national trail organizations. A report highlighting key information from the database was prepared and submitted to FHWA. As part of that report, CRT recommended that the data-collection effort be continued.

In July 2000, again in cooperation with FHWA, CRT contacted the States to obtain information on RTP trail projects undertaken since the initial request for data in 1999. By December, 47 states had responded with data on new 1999 and 2000 projects and 16 States provided updated information regarding earlier projects. Arizona, Florida, Ohio and the District of Columbia did not submit information because they had not allocated funding in those years. In October 2001, a new three-year effort to update the database was initiated by CRT under a contract between the FHWA and the American Recreation Coalition. An interim report published in March 2002 included 4,780 projects. That report has been updated and now includes 5,572 projects. As part of the contract, the data from this newest summary report have also been compiled into a searchable database that will be accessible on the Internet through the FHWA's Web site. In addition to reviewing the basic findings summarized in the following tables, those accessing the database on the Internet will be able to search for projects by specific criteria, including State, type of project, type of trail activity and level of project funding.

Database Findings

By October 30, 2002, all the States had updated the data that had been reported in December 2000 regarding their use of Recreational Trails Program (RTP) funds. Total trail project funding reported now includes 2001 projects and totals \$160,228,492, an increase of \$56,617,990 – or nearly 55% – over the total reported previously for the first eight years of the program. The number of projects reported increased 40% from 3,983 to 5,572. In addition, the States reported that an amount equivalent to almost 94% of the RTP funding level – \$150,555,382 – had been provided by other sources, including Federal agencies like the USDA Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management, as well as States, towns, counties, and trail clubs like mountain biking groups, equestrian councils, and snowmobiler associations. This total represents an increase of \$38,509,391 – 34% – over the amount of other funding reported previously. The projects identified as new in the chart below reflect data that have been updated since the December 2000 report.

RTP Database Projects and Funding Statistics 1993 - 2001

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
AK	32	111	\$1,616,819	\$1,647,628
AL	28	105	\$3,874,999	\$1,495,525
AR	40	106	\$3,455,183	\$1,865,418
AZ	2	7	\$429,982	\$1,287,284
CA	38	140	\$10,176,945	\$6,476,270
CO	7	48	\$3,292,379	\$9,965,153
CT	23	102	\$1,986,062	\$1,269,251
DC	9	10	\$1,924,402	\$579,575
DE	11	33	\$1,320,100	\$2,477,865
FL	21	60	\$2,697,653	\$2,419,138
GA	17	61	\$4,276,815	\$5,130,265
HI	193	380	\$2,301,525	\$828,812
IA	5	30	\$2,855,222	\$2,040,168
ID	73	173	\$3,114,457	\$8,040,978
IL	41	75	\$4,808,888	\$3,376,162
IN	6	28	\$2,591,444	\$1,084,734
KS	55	187	\$4,589,762	\$3,966,783
KY	88	147	\$3,372,150	\$4,233,436
LA	23	76	\$2,500,248	\$1,177,835
MA	1	74	\$727,889	\$948,137
MD	25	173	\$3,665,174	\$3,585,174
ME	22	131	\$2,195,228	\$1,489,895
MI	12	67	\$4,541,544	\$2,001,042
MN	13	96	\$2,800,841	\$6,906,214
MO	20	81	\$3,253,779	\$6,503,608
MS	25	63	\$3,257,479	\$609,764
MT	41	166	\$2,011,460	\$1,165,683
NC	31	164	\$4,852,368	\$7,225,495
ND	12	81	\$2,196,770	\$616,909

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
NE	5	22	\$1,216,984	\$87,500
NH	46	165	\$1,549,167	\$1,688,886
NJ	47	223	\$2,655,399	\$3,291,472
NM	7	45	\$2,315,374	\$1,003,293
NV	19	72	\$1,607,841	\$1,416,226
NY	43	139	\$4,254,231	\$3,365,565
OH	34	67	\$3,580,089	\$1,490,849
OK	11	77	\$2,165,926	\$1,981,821
OR	17	130	\$2,537,712	\$4,102,219
PA	19	160	\$5,961,903	\$5,101,714
RI	17	52	\$1,452,560	\$3,293,261
SC	15	103	\$2,053,325	\$828,125
SD	46	83	\$2,625,267	\$1,448,154
TN	15	61	\$3,001,701	\$1,304,597
TX	35	229	\$8,916,460	\$5,663,768
UT	29	110	\$3,764,484	\$3,555,004
VA	43	134	\$4,818,975	\$3,147,124
VT	61	247	\$2,208,267	\$5,604,518
WA	37	107	\$4,033,399	\$4,993,892
WI	87	179	\$4,084,845	\$4,099,690
WV	17	69	\$1,957,187	\$714,562
WY	18	123	\$2,779,829	\$1,958,941
TOTALS	1589	5572	\$160,228,492	\$150,555,382

The trail projects reported were varied and included: building new trails and adding trail connections; building restrooms; providing water fountains; developing and implementing educational programs; maintaining trails, resurfacing trails tread; providing accessibility for mobility-impaired persons; and more. The following table represents a categorization of the different projects reported. The percentages shown reflect the percentage of all projects that reported trail project descriptions. The leading use of funds, by a substantial margin, in both the current and previous reports was trail construction or development, followed by bridge construction or renovation, sign purchase or installation, trail maintenance, and trail renovation or relocation. The percentages reported for each category did not change markedly, with the exception of trail construction or development, which showed an increase from 32% to 38%. A new category, land acquisition (2%), was added to the 2002 report.

RTP Database Trail Project Work Descriptions Summary 4,836 Trail Projects that Reported Descriptions				
Description of Work Done	12/00 Report		10/02 Total	
	#	%	#	%
Trail construction or development	1,111	32%	1,831	38%
Bridge construction or renovation	415	12%	600	12%

Description of Work Done	12/00 Report		10/02 Total	
	#	%	#	%
Trail maintenance (does not include renovation, grooming, improvements)	398	11%	554	11%
Signs purchase/installation	410	12%	561	12%
Trail renovation/relocation	329	9%	513	11%
Trail improvements	288	8%	415	9%
Trail equipment purchased	154	4%	262	5%
Trail grooming	139	4%	175	4%
Trailhead work	197	6%	295	6%
Parking lots	157	4%	226	5%
Restroom facilities	97	3%	133	3%
Educational	56	2%	90	2%
Maps	47	1%	58	1%
Brochures	32	1%	50	1%
Land acquisition	N/A	--	117	2%

A broader definition of trail maintenance was used for the following table, which includes projects reported as renovations, improvements and grooming, as well as maintenance. The 1,476 projects reported (representing a 77% increase over the 2000 report) with those descriptions accounted for \$39.3 million in RTP funding (up 52%) and another \$35.9 million in matching funding (up 39%).

RTP Database Maintenance Project Funding Summary 1993-2001

Includes maintenance, improvements, renovations and grooming.

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
AK	11	44	\$705,406	\$670,877
AL	4	18	\$530,107	\$293,976
AR	5	9	\$228,400	\$99,660
AZ	2	2	\$20,600	\$5,805
CA	20	36	\$2,631,480	\$1,171,300
CO	3	5	\$460,528	\$2,147,354
CT	13	30	\$347,977	\$112,550
DC	3	4	\$1,071,150	\$319,550

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
DE	9	16	\$570,900	\$781,515
FL	4	11	\$416,000	\$316,000
GA	1	6	\$331,000	\$133,231
HI		2	\$704,049	\$429,442
IA	2	8	\$821,395	\$294,202
ID	29	59	\$1,071,574	\$974,231
IL	20	31	\$1,696,247	\$1,682,031
IN		1	\$113,470	\$113,470
KS	16	35	\$370,374	\$322,627
KY	16	32	\$633,481	\$659,336
LA		3	\$108,400	\$27,100
MA	1	25	\$280,708	\$285,755
MD	9	38	\$704,133	\$674,133
ME	21	45	\$903,225	\$502,774
MI	7	24	\$1,149,600	\$1,060,811
MN	11	36	\$921,770	\$2,948,512
MO	5	12	\$421,304	\$419,878
MS		3	\$255,500	\$43,000
MT	63	100	\$994,532	\$642,541
NC	9	31	\$976,761	\$528,735
ND	1	19	\$538,685	\$155,916
NE		1	\$2,608	
NH	31	76	\$680,256	\$692,251
NJ	29	46	\$495,041	\$482,554
NM	2	10	\$390,085	\$169,490
NV	1	10	\$148,853	\$136,315
NY	15	30	\$1,126,184	\$647,018
OH	6	17	\$417,388	\$55,106
OK		6	\$174,900	\$102,129
OR	8	28	\$722,224	\$1,062,852
PA	22	65	\$2,523,331	\$1,927,959
RI	4	15	\$188,230	\$169,131
SC	6	16	\$635,032	\$111,074
SD	10	25	\$852,154	\$380,481
TN	3	9	\$369,487	\$111,122
TX	8	50	\$1,592,776	\$774,208
UT	5	18	\$602,130	\$594,880
VA	14	34	\$1,136,251	\$482,735
VT	71	123	\$1,127,009	\$4,054,856
WA	37	61	\$2,586,433	\$2,888,458
WI	57	79	\$1,509,744	\$1,502,651
WV	5	12	\$230,254	\$119,311
WY	24	60	\$1,776,589	\$1,605,130
TOTALS	643	1476	\$39,265,715	\$35,886,023

While the quantity of projects incorporating trail construction versus trail maintenance is some 27% higher (1,878 vs. 1,476), the total amount of funding for trail construction is more than

double the amount used for trail maintenance funding. As would be expected, the average per-project cost for construction projects is markedly higher than for maintenance projects: \$81,095 vs. \$50,916. However, since the 2000 report, the per-project costs for both construction and maintenance have decreased by 32% and 18% respectively.

RTP Database Construction Project Funding Summary 1993-2001

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
AK	8	24	\$302,801	\$308,793
AL	37	78	\$2,999,681	\$1,202,748
AR	38	52	\$2,188,172	\$1,010,904
AZ		4	\$366,382	\$1,281,479
CA	31	57	\$4,217,725	\$3,749,746
CO	11	37	\$2,628,428	\$7,107,599
CT	30	38	\$715,036	\$964,917
DC	5	5	\$561,502	\$161,475
DE	2	10	\$669,700	\$682,850
FL	13	28	\$1,318,171	\$1,330,211
GA	15	35	\$2,509,987	\$3,468,438
HI		2	\$704,049	\$429,442
IA	3	11	\$1,493,352	\$1,917,054
ID	12	37	\$664,437	\$5,794,871
IL	12	18	\$2,046,104	\$1,377,784
IN	4	22	\$2,040,026	\$739,629
KS	52	84	\$2,706,309	\$2,548,109
KY	85	105	\$2,681,066	\$2,980,999
LA	41	44	\$1,699,290	\$587,455
MA	7	17	\$125,040	\$136,883
MD	19	50	\$1,393,796	\$1,343,796
ME	10	16	\$352,397	\$253,455
MI	9	25	\$1,923,861	\$620,750
MN	15	32	\$1,077,522	\$2,084,261
MO	22	48	\$1,878,011	\$3,594,467
MS	13	30	\$1,863,455	\$375,864
MT	24	34	\$624,343	\$345,635
NC	29	91	\$2,655,189	\$5,679,706
ND	25	36	\$1,086,366	\$339,878
NE		2	\$290,100	\$87,500
NH	12	25	\$243,248	\$252,460
NJ	25	41	\$686,971	\$853,455
NM	11	23	\$1,455,266	\$619,883
NV	22	37	\$1,085,591	\$727,668
NY	17	26	\$1,200,293	\$1,056,574
OH	28	30	\$1,968,336	\$831,389
OK	4	25	\$844,155	\$697,393
OR	12	38	\$992,926	\$2,822,941

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
PA	24	54	\$2,273,328	\$2,212,993
RI	8	21	\$795,146	\$2,422,652
SC	25	61	\$1,013,208	\$553,748
SD	5	15	\$526,143	\$443,930
TN	31	46	\$2,339,461	\$1,035,526
TX	50	105	\$4,872,693	\$3,066,271
UT	11	23	\$1,407,868	\$1,352,208
VA	37	72	\$2,974,756	\$1,915,203
VT	18	36	\$420,786	\$621,413
WA	1	8	\$733,285	\$1,035,300
WI	32	56	\$1,588,988	\$1,844,401
WV	27	45	\$1,301,123	\$449,489
WY	5	19	\$299,387	\$169,666
TOTALS	987	1878	\$74,805,256	\$77,491,261

Trail users on trails that received RTP funding represented every category of trail-related recreation although data on trail use were not reported for all projects. The table that follows displays those categories. Hiking and walking have been the dominant trail uses reported since the data-collection project was initiated.

RTP Database Trail Use Categories				
Trail Use Category	Total # of Projects 12/00	% of all reported 2,497	Total # of Projects 10/02	% of all reported 3,728
Hiking	1,527	61%	2,342	63%
Mountain Biking	903	36%	1,304	35%
Walking	1,193	48%	1,918	51%
Running	653	26%	1,205	32%
Equestrian	554	22%	800	21%
Cross Country Skiing	548	22%	793	21%
Snowmobiling	460	18%	675	18%
Paved Trail Biking	498	20%	816	22%
All Terrain Vehicle	389	16%	572	15%
Off Road Motorcycle	315	13%	467	13%
In-Line Skating	151	6%	298	8%

Trail Use Category	Total # of Projects 12/00	% of all reported 2,497	Total # of Projects 10/02	% of all reported 3,728
Four Wheel Driving	145	6%	228	6%
Snowshoeing	166	7%	305	8%
Paddling	76	3%	129	3%

As shown by the following two tables, 3,068 of the reported projects can be clearly identified as benefiting nonmotorized trail uses and 1,142 as benefiting motorized trail uses. While there is some overlap where projects accommodate both motorized and nonmotorized trail uses, the trend favoring the expenditure of RTP funds for nonmotorized trail uses is very clear. The average per-project cost of projects benefiting nonmotorized trail use is also higher than for projects identified as motorized: \$67,436 vs. \$59,898. Although per-project costs have risen slightly since the 2000 report (less than 2%), the spread between them has stayed roughly the same, \$7,538 currently versus \$7,280 in the 2000 report.

RTP Database Nonmotorized Trail Project Funding Summary 1993-2001

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
AK	26	42	\$705,266	\$613,594
AL	26	38	\$1,610,707	\$402,674
AR	39	70	\$2,791,674	\$1,311,913
AZ	2	6	\$287,381	\$329,885
CA	18	91	\$6,533,719	\$4,940,827
CO	7	44	\$3,182,379	\$9,768,553
CT	23	88	\$1,841,218	\$1,197,360
DC	9	10	\$1,924,402	\$579,575
DE	11	14	\$559,750	\$212,500
FL	18	50	\$2,345,613	\$2,166,898
GA	15	57	\$4,075,815	\$5,078,240
HI	12	81		
IA	2	25	\$2,319,973	\$1,922,268
ID	61	135	\$2,392,765	\$7,382,071
IL	30	50	\$3,365,639	\$1,366,077
IN	4	24	\$2,089,696	\$1,053,914
KS	37	154	\$3,994,698	\$3,772,227
KY	88	144	\$3,313,133	\$4,157,436
LA	23	44	\$1,685,047	\$583,895
MA	1	20	\$144,544	\$174,156
MD	23	80	\$1,904,934	\$1,904,934
ME	15	105	\$1,775,959	\$1,268,167
MI	9	53	\$3,775,863	\$940,950
MN	12	58	\$1,626,470	\$3,652,875
MO	15	44	\$2,116,650	\$5,101,001
MS		28	\$1,706,355	\$329,464
MT	11	39	\$400,186	\$264,215

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
NC	29	76	\$2,860,580	\$5,992,146
ND	11	67	\$1,477,349	\$428,613
NE		2	\$290,100	\$87,500
NH	20	124	\$965,300	\$1,283,744
NJ	46	199	\$2,195,243	\$2,647,554
NM	5	18	\$1,436,857	\$592,131
NV	19	36	\$1,054,386	\$654,133
NY	30	56	\$2,410,021	\$1,681,701
OH	25	57	\$2,741,404	\$1,440,849
OK	1	33	\$1,038,697	\$872,434
OR	12	34	\$932,971	\$3,098,218
PA	12	127	\$4,857,518	\$4,258,162
RI	16	51	\$1,400,560	\$3,263,261
SC	12	16	\$738,879	\$163,090
SD	15	17	\$526,451	\$328,636
TN	15	60	\$2,928,057	\$1,230,953
TX	25	112	\$4,598,418	\$2,012,023
UT	9	20	\$1,131,282	\$1,075,622
VA	41	62	\$3,058,244	\$1,268,657
VT	21	26	\$469,261	\$1,857,620
WA	31	96	\$3,759,622	\$4,608,194
WI	66	105	\$2,055,206	\$2,237,934
WV	14	30	\$1,225,673	\$330,641
WY	14	50	\$1,095,752	\$1,286,165
TOTALS	1026	3068	\$103,717,667	\$103,175,650

RTP Database Motorized Trail Project Funding Summary 1993-2001

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
AK	20	32	\$581,417	\$597,526
AL	1	2	\$72,000	\$18,000
AR		6	\$254,926	\$69,800
AZ		2	\$222,781	\$180,060
CA	7	44	\$2,466,028	\$1,449,910
CO	3	7	\$535,328	\$525,029
CT	3	13	\$100,647	\$26,437
FL	2	7	\$239,607	\$139,807
GA	4	16	\$970,987	\$849,830
HI	31	54		
IA	3	13	\$1,272,951	\$117,900
ID	42	102	\$1,992,837	\$5,963,658
IL	9	12	\$1,557,207	\$499,603
IN	2	6	\$635,300	\$116,820
KS	4	12	\$349,183	\$123,434
KY	7	17	\$345,665	\$261,950
LA	6	11	\$368,226	\$91,130
MA		20	\$310,071	\$190,367

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
MD	3	18	\$461,680	\$431,680
ME	12	66	\$1,101,920	\$742,133
MI	6	26	\$2,031,038	\$1,483,011
MN	6	45	\$1,294,057	\$2,733,809
MO	5	20	\$772,081	\$1,075,559
MS	1	7	\$633,500	\$104,500
MT	11	41	\$550,134	\$358,134
NC	4	21	\$637,195	\$277,556
ND	2	15	\$748,271	\$187,596
NH	26	101	\$1,077,595	\$1,140,907
NJ	2	13	\$511,443	\$565,246
NM		2	\$16,405	\$16,405
NV	4	10	\$173,744	\$143,518
NY	22	32	\$1,242,855	\$540,184
OH	1	12	\$388,207	\$397,841
OK		7	\$239,516	\$110,917
OR	4	26	\$554,317	\$441,833
PA	12	67	\$2,007,920	\$1,639,137
RI		12	\$262,866	\$176,866
SC	5	6	\$284,179	\$6,000
SD	6	8	\$291,361	\$149,839
TN		3	\$116,033	\$102,982
TX	5	17	\$1,917,736	\$599,138
UT	11	25	\$793,652	\$793,652
VA	1	8	\$290,179	\$79,206
VT	3	5	\$353,375	\$1,354,142
WA	11	39	\$1,448,663	\$2,333,360
WI	38	58	\$1,583,202	\$1,609,327
WV	3	5	\$349,788	\$95,697
WY	10	51	\$1,527,689	\$1,556,224
TOTALS	358	1142	\$35,935,762	\$32,467,660

A State is allowed to use up to 5% of its RTP funds for educational programs that promote trail-related safety and environmental protection. Only 90 such programs have been reported by 26 States. Those programs used \$1,801,555 in RTP funds and an additional \$3,091,258 in other funding, bringing the per-program cost to \$54,365. However, if substantial spending on three programs in California and four programs in Vermont is eliminated, the per-program cost drops to \$34,219. Measured either way, the per-program costs have increased, by nearly 48% overall, or if the California and Vermont projects are eliminated, by more than 16% since 2000.

RTP Database Education Project Funding Summary 1993-2001

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
AK	3	4	\$36,838	\$12,050
AL		1	\$40,275	\$10,068
CA		3	\$302,336	\$228,643
CT	1	5	\$45,875	\$64,190

State	New Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
ID	1	6	\$86,655	\$107,736
IL		2	\$37,823	\$37,824
KS	5	5	\$89,546	\$318,319
KY	1	2	\$10,000	\$10,000
MA	1	3	\$12,861	\$7,740
MD		6	\$61,086	\$61,086
MO		1	\$3,076	\$14,742
MT	3	5	\$101,209	\$58,209
NC		3	\$33,000	\$10,375
NE		1		
NJ		1	\$8,000	\$3,000
NV		1	\$12,309	\$275,092
NY	1	1	\$26,400	\$11,315
OR	1	3	\$86,469	\$7,800
PA	1	4	\$88,300	\$46,475
SD	1	1	\$5,760	\$1,440
TX	2	6	\$130,723	\$100,025
UT	4	8	\$214,195	\$214,195
VT	1	3	\$172,803	\$1,348,839
WA	6	10	\$80,183	\$112,075
WI	1	2	\$17,333	\$21,549
WY	1	3	\$98,500	\$8,471
TOTALS	34	90	\$1,801,555	\$3,091,258