U.S. Department of Transportation Office of the Secretary of Transportation Office of Inspector General

Memorandum

Subject: **INFORMATION**: Airline Industry Metrics

From: Kenneth M. Mead Inspector General

JA-50 x69970

Reply to

Attn of:

Date: July 2, 2003

 To: The Secretary Deputy Secretary Chief of Staff Associate Deputy Secretary Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs Assistant Secretary for Transportation Policy Federal Aviation Administrator Deputy Director, Bureau of Transportation Statistics

Attached is the fourth in a series of periodic updates to our airline industry metrics. As a result of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, the war in Iraq, and the weakness in business travel that has persisted since early 2001, the airline industry is facing its greatest challenge since deregulation. The attached metrics were developed as a means for monitoring airline industry trends relating to domestic system demand and capacity, performance, finances, and air service at small airports. Overall, the metrics illustrate a number of important trends, including:

- The continuing weakness in passenger demand (down 11 percent in May 2003 from May 2000) coupled with the lack of full-fare business travelers (business travel dropped 26 percent in December 2002 from December 2000) and the drop in fare prices, continue to hamper the industry's ability to increase yields, and in turn, return to profitability.
- Due to the lessening distinction between full-fare and discount ticket prices, the Air Transport Association ceased collecting and reporting data on business fares as of January 2003. We are in the process of determining whether an alternative source for these data is available.

- The larger network airlines, facing growing losses, have cut service and/or shifted capacity to their regional affiliates—leading to low-fare and other smaller airlines expanding their domestic market shares.
- Although service cuts have helped increase passenger load factors, the "break even" load factor (the average percentage of paying passengers needed on all flights to cover airline costs) has risen even faster, due in large part to declining fares and higher fuel costs.
- ➤ While the number of flight operations is approaching pre-September 11 levels, the average size of aircraft being flown has dropped due to the rapid introduction of regional jets and the retirement of larger aircraft.
- Finally, the smallest airports (non-hub) continue to experience a disproportionate reduction in air service.

If you have any questions or if I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me at (202) 366-1959, or Mark R. Dayton, Assistant Inspector General for Competition and Economic Analysis, at (202) 366-9970.

Attachment

#

AIRLINE INDUSTRY METRICS

Trends on Demand and Capacity, Aviation System Performance, Airline Finances, and Service to Small Airports

> Number: CC-2003-048 Date Issued: July 2, 2003

SUMMARY OF AIRLINE INDUSTRY METRICS

This is the fourth in a series of periodic updates to our airline industry metrics. As a result of the September 11 terrorist attacks, the war in Iraq, and the weakness in business travel demand that has persisted since early 2001, the airline industry is facing its greatest challenge since deregulation.

Based on data obtained from the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), and Air Transport Association (ATA), the Office of Inspector General has developed 38 metrics (see Figures 1 through 38, pages 6 through 12) for monitoring airline industry trends relating to domestic system demand and capacity, performance, finances, and air service at small airports.¹ Although subject to change, these metrics provide decisionmakers with past, present, and future indicators of domestic service levels and general state of the airline industry.

I. Air Service Demand and Capacity

- ✓ <u>AIR TRAFFIC DEMAND</u>: Although the number of air travelers had been increasing from the sharp decline following September 11, 2001, the number began falling again during the latter part of 2002. While the December 2002 number showed some improvement (down only 4 percent from 2 years earlier), this was due in large part to the timing of holiday travel. With the approach of the war in Iraq, however, passenger enplanements again began dropping, with February through May 2003 numbers down between 16 percent and 18 percent from the same period in 2000. [Figure 1]
- ✓ <u>CAPACITY VERSUS DEMAND</u>: Actual domestic capacity as measured in available seat miles (ASMs) increased after September 11, 2001, at a faster rate than passenger demand as measured by revenue passenger miles (RPMs)—especially during the spring and early summer of 2002. However, during the latter part of 2002 and first 2 months of 2003, the reverse appeared to be the case as airlines brought capacity in line with travel demand. As of May 2003, passenger demand (RPMs) and actual capacity (ASMs) were down 11 percent and 12 percent, respectively, from May 2000. [Figure 2]
- ✓ <u>FLIGHT OPERATIONS</u>: FAA's Air Route Traffic Control Centers reported handling nearly the same number of flight operations in January 2003 as in January 2000.² Nevertheless, the last 4 months have shown a significant decrease with the number of flight operations down 7 percent in May 2003 versus May 2000. [Figure 3]
- ✓ MAJOR AIRLINES ARRIVALS: The decline in capacity has not been uniform among major carries as roughly shown by flight arrivals. Southwest and Alaska reported increases in the number of flight arrivals (i.e., 9 percent and 2 percent, respectively)

¹ Due to the sizable impact that the terrorist attacks had on domestic flight service during the latter part of 2001, we used 2000 as the base year in many of our metrics.

² Includes both scheduled and non-scheduled (for example, general aviation and military) air traffic.

between April 2000 and April 2003. The other seven major airlines reported declines ranging from 2 percent for America West to 38 percent for US Airways. *[Figure 4]*

- ✓ <u>AIRLINE SCHEDULES—SUMMER 2003</u>: Although the number of flights offered in airline schedules increased in 2002 after initially dropping in the months following September 11, 2001, this trend reversed in August 2002. The war in Iraq worsened this reversal so that by May 2003 the number of scheduled flights nearly equaled the lows reached in November 2001. With the end of the war, flight schedules have begun to recover, with the airlines currently scheduling 12 and 13 percent fewer flights and available passenger seats, respectively, in June 2003 versus June 2000. Schedules for this summer, however, show little added improvement, with flights and available passenger seats remaining down, between 9 and 13 percent, from the same period in 2000. *[Figure 5]*
- ✓ <u>REGIONAL DIFFERENCES</u>: When comparing all airports, the Northeast region continues to experience the largest decline in air service as compared to other parts of the country. For example, between June 2000 and June 2003, the Northeast experienced an 18 percent drop in scheduled available passenger seats, versus the Midwest (-13 percent), West (-13 percent), and South (-11 percent). [Figure 6]
- ✓ AIRPORT RECOVERY RATES: The recovery rate among the Nation's largest airports continues to vary significantly as measured in scheduled available passenger seats. For example, only one airport saw an increase between June 2000 and June 2003 (Fort Lauderdale +11 percent). All other large airports experienced varying levels of decline during this period—the 10 worst being: San Francisco (-32 percent), Dulles (-32 percent), St. Louis (-32 percent), Honolulu (-28 percent), Pittsburgh (-28 percent), Boston (-27 percent), Los Angeles (-26 percent), Newark (-20 percent), Reagan National (-17 percent), and Miami (-16 percent). [Figure 7]
- ✓ Loss of Short HAUL AIR SERVICE: For scheduled flights of less than 250 miles, nearly one in four (or 24 percent) were dropped between June 2000 and June 2003. In comparison, flights of 500 miles or more experienced far less change in service levels. Moreover, during this period, the major network airlines were more likely to cut their short haul flights, which declined 39 percent, than either the low fare (-6 percent) or other smaller (-22 percent) airlines.³ [Figures 8 and 9]
- ✓ LOW-FARE AND OTHER SMALLER AIRLINES GAIN MARKET SHARE: In contrast to the major network airlines, many low-fare and other smaller airlines have continued to expand their market shares (as measured in scheduled available passenger seats), increasing approximately 5 and 3 percentage points, respectively, between June 2000 and June 2003. Consequently, the major network airlines have seen their share of

³ *Network airlines* include American, Alaska, America West, Continental, Delta, Northwest, United, and US Airways.

Low fare airlines include AirTran Airways, American Trans Air, Delta Song, Frontier Airlines, JetBlue Airways, National Airlines, Pan American Airways, Southwest Airlines, Spirit Airlines, Sun Country, and Vanguard Airlines. However, Vanguard Airlines and National Airlines ceased operations in July and November 2002, respectively.

Other airlines include smaller regional, commuter, and national airlines (many of which are affiliated with the major network carriers).

domestic air service decline from 65 percent to 56 percent during this same period. [Figure 10]

- ✓ MARKET SHARE AND GROWTH OF LOW-FARE AIRLINES: Of the total number of passenger seats scheduled by the nine low-fare airlines, Southwest represented approximately 68 percent in June 2003. Southwest also provided nearly 41 percent of the total growth in low-fare service over the last 5 years, followed by JetBlue (17 percent), American Trans Air (13 percent), Airtran (10 percent), Frontier (8 percent), Spirit (6 percent), and Delta Song (4 percent). [Figures 11 and 12]
- ✓ GROWTH IN REGIONAL JET (RJ) FLIGHTS: Another significant development involves the phenomenal growth in RJ flights.⁴ Scheduled flights involving RJs increased 142 percent (from 71,764 to 173,732) between June 2000 and June 2003. Flights involving other aircraft types experienced far less growth or sharp declines, including piston (no change), turboprop (-44 percent), and large jets (-18 percent). Overall, the portion of scheduled flights involving RJs has grown from 8 percent to 21 percent between June 2000 and June 2003. [Figures 13 and 15]
- ✓ <u>RJ FLIGHTS AT LARGE AIRPORTS</u>: RJs are also assuming a larger share of the total number of scheduled flights at the 31 largest airports. Those airports with the highest percentages of RJ flights as of June 2003 are: Cincinnati (72 percent), Houston (40 percent), Newark (36 percent), Reagan National (32 percent), Dallas-Ft. Worth (31 percent), Salt Lake City (31 percent), Boston (30 percent), LaGuardia (30 percent), Atlanta (25 percent), and Chicago O'Hare (24 percent). [Figure 14]

II. Air System Performance

- ✓ FLIGHT DELAYS AND CANCELLATIONS: For most of the last 3 years, flight delays and cancellations have remained well below levels reached in 2000. For example, between April 2000 and April 2003, gate arrival delays were down 60 percent (from 74,655 to 29,885), while cancellations dropped more than 76 percent (from 8,853 to 2,096). Likewise, gate departure delays were down approximately 61 percent (from 63,372 to 24,496). Poor weather conditions in February 2003, however, resulted in a noticeable increase in flight delays and cancellations—although these numbers were still below those reported in February 2000 and February 2001. [Figures 16, 17, and 18]
- ✓ **OTHER INDICATORS OF DELAYS:** Other indicators of flight delays were also down in April 2003 from April 2000, including the percentage of flights arriving late (from 23 percent to 12 percent), the percentage of flights departing late (from 20 percent to 10 percent), the average length of gate arrival delays (from 52 minutes to 46 minutes), and the average length of gate departure delays (from 54 minutes to 47 minutes). [*Figures 19, 20, 21, and 22*]

⁴ For this analysis, we defined RJs as those jet aircraft seating from 30 to 80 passengers.

III. Airline Finances

- ✓ BUSINESS AND LEISURE TRAVEL: The drop in higher-fare business travelers, which began before September 11, has especially hurt the airlines. Although business (first-class and full-fare coach) and leisure traffic improved significantly in the months immediately following the terrorist attacks, both remained consistently down in 2002. Business travel, in particular, was down 26 percent in December 2002 from December 2000. As of January 2003, ATA ceased collecting and reporting business versus leisure fares due to the lessening distinction between full-fare and discount ticket prices. [Figure 23]
- ✓ <u>AIRLINE TICKET PRICES AND YIELDS</u>: The decline in high-fare business travel, coupled with an overall drop in ticket prices, has significantly affected airline yields. Between May 2000 and May 2003, for instance, the average ticket price for a 1,000 mile flight dropped from \$147 to \$118, resulting in a 20 percent decline in airline yields from passenger traffic. [Figures 24 and 25]
- ✓ AIRLINE LOAD FACTORS: Because of the airlines' continuing efforts to constrain capacity and the gradual return of passengers in response to fare discounting, aircraft load factors reached 70 percent for the quarter ending December 2002—matching the level achieved during the same period in 2000. Yet, the "break even" load factor (the average percentage of paying passengers needed on all flights to cover airline costs) has risen more than 13 percentage points (from 72 to 85 percent) during this same period. Among the major airlines, the break even load factor ranged from a low of 58 percent for Southwest to a high of 106 percent for United. [Figures 26 and 27]
- ✓ <u>AIRLINE REVENUES AND EXPENSES</u>: Airline operating revenues were down more than expenses in 2002. For the quarter ending December 2002 as compared to the same period in 2000, operating revenues declined 22 percent, whereas operating expenses declined 10 percent.⁵ One recent factor hampering the airlines' efforts to reduce expenses was the rapid increase in jet fuel costs, which increased over 20 percent between April 2002 and April 2003. [Figures 28 and 29]
- ✓ <u>AIRLINE DEBT TO INVESTMENT</u>: Due to large operating losses, airline debt to investment ratios climbed from 50 percent in 2000 to 66 percent in 2001. For the quarter ending December 2002, the ratio had increased further to 87 percent, with individual airline ratios ranging from 28 percent for Southwest to 314 percent for US Airways.⁶ Debt to investment, in part, measures an airline's ability to finance operations, given fluctuations in demand and revenue. *[Figures 30 and 31]*
- ✓ <u>AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND</u>: Lower demand and ticket prices have also reduced tax collections for the Airport and Airway Trust Fund. Prior to September 11, 2001, FAA projected overall collections of \$11.9 billion for Fiscal Year

⁵ Airline operating revenue was also affected by a sharp drop in domestic mail shipments, which declined 60 percent between 2000 and 2002.

⁶ DOT publishes debt to total investment ratios in the Major Airline Quarterly Financial Review. Debt is defined as long-term debt, capital leases, and advances from associated companies, less unamortized debt expenses. Total investment includes all the debt items plus stockholder's equity.

(FY) 2003. FAA now estimates \$9.4 billion in tax collections in FY 2003, a drop of more than 21 percent. Current estimates also show that over the next 5 years (FY 2004 through FY 2008) Trust Fund tax revenues are expected to be about \$13 billion less than projections made in April 2001. *[Figure 32]*

III. Air Service at Small Airports

- ✓ <u>CHANGES IN AIR SERVICE</u>: Over the last 5 years, the smallest airports (non-hubs) have experienced deeper cuts in air service than their larger counterparts. As of June 2003, for instance, non-hub airports saw a 19 percent reduction in scheduled available passenger seats from June 1998. This compares to a 3 percent reduction for the larger airports. Airline schedules currently project little improvement in air service by September 2003, with non-hub airports down 18 percent versus 4 percent for larger airports when compared to September 1998. [Figure 33]
- ✓ <u>REGIONAL DIFFERENCES</u>: Non-hub airports in the Northeast and Midwest have had far larger drops in air service than other parts of the country in the last 3 years. Between June 2000 and June 2003, these two regions lost 36 percent and 26 percent, respectively, of their scheduled available passenger seats versus an 18 percent decline in the South and an 8 percent decline in the West. [*Figure 34*]
- ✓ ACCESS TO LARGE AIRPORTS: Non-hub airports also experienced a greater loss of direct service to and from the largest airports than did other airports. Non-hub airports lost 23 percent of scheduled flights to the largest airports between June 2000 and June 2003. In comparison, small, medium, and large airports experienced reductions of only 5 percent to 13 percent. [Figure 35]
- ✓ <u>RJ GROWTH</u>: Overall, scheduled flights at non-hub airports involving RJs increased 155 percent between June 2000 and June 2003. In comparison, flights involving other aircraft types experienced either far less growth or sharp declines, including piston (no change), large jets (-29 percent), and turboprop (-35 percent). [Figure 36]
- ✓ LOW FARE SERVICE: Non-hub airports can anticipate little or no added air service by low-fare airlines—even though these airlines are one of the few segments of the industry experiencing continued expansion. Overall, low-fare airlines scheduled service to only 8 of the more than 400 non-hub airports in June 2003,⁷ representing approximately 3 percent of the total available passenger seats to these airports. In comparison, the major network and other smaller airlines comprised roughly 19 percent and 78 percent, respectively, of scheduled service. [Figure 37]
- ✓ ESSENTIAL AIR SERVICE (EAS): In the aftermath of September 11, 2001, congressional funding and the number of small communities requesting EAS subsidies increased significantly. For example, between FYs 2001 and 2003, funding rose 126 percent (from \$50 million to \$113 million), while the number of subsidized communities increased 9 percent (from 115 to 125). For FY 2004, however, the President's budget request calls for a return to the \$50 million level and is proposing significant changes in how subsidies are allocated. *[Figure 38]*

⁷ These data include only those non-hub airports that receive at least one scheduled flight per week.



Figure 3: Actual Flight Operations

Percent Change in Air Route Traffic Control Center Operations from 2000 (FAA Data)



Figure 5: Scheduled Capacity Percent Change in Scheduled Flights and Available Seats at All Airports from 2000 (FAA Data) 4% الرالي في الم 0% Percentage -4% -8% -12% -16% Jan-01 Sep-01 Nov-01 Jan-02 Mar-02 Jul-02 Sep-02 Nov-02 Jan-03 Mar-03 May-03 Jul-03 Sep-03 Mar-01 May-01 May-02 Jul-01 Scheduled Flights Available Seats



Figure 4: Major Airlines Actual Arrivals Percent Change in Actual Arrivals by Airline 4/03 vs. 4/00

(FAA Data)



Figure 6: Regional Differences at All Airports Percent Change in Available Seats at All Airports 6/03 vs. 6/00 (FAA Data)











*Figure 10: Airline Market Share Airline Market Share by Available Seats (FAA Data)**



















9



Figure 23: Business and Leisure Travel Percent Change in Business and Leisure Travel from 2000 (ATA Data)*



Figure 25: Airline Yield





Figure 24: Air Fares for Major Network Airlines Average Fare for 1,000 Mile Trip, Excluding Taxes (ATA Data)















Figure 30: Debt to Investment Ratio

Airline Debt to Investment Ratio for All Major Airlines (DOT Data)





Airline Industry Metrics



Figure 35: Access to Large Airports

Percent Change in Number of Scheduled Flights 6/03 vs. 6/00 (FAA Data)



Figure 37: Airline Market Share at Non-Hubs Airline Market Share by Available Seats at Non-Hub Airports (FAA Data)*





Figure 36: Type of Aircraft at Non-Hub Airports

Percent Change in Scheduled Flights by Type of Aircraft 6/03 vs. 6/00 (FAA Data)



Figure 38: Essential Air Service Congressional Funding and Subsidized Communities (DOT Data) \$140 140 \$120 120 \$100 100 Appropriations (Millions) EAS Communities \$80 80 \$60 60 \$40 40 \$20 20 \$0 0 FY 99 FY 00 FY 01 FY 02 FY 03 FY 04* Appropriations *President's proposed FY '04 budget Subsidized Communities

Airline Industry Metrics

Figure 1: Passenger Enplanements Percent Change in Revenue Passenger Enplanements from 2000 (ATA Data)

	2001	2002	2003
Month	(Percent Change	(Percent Change	(Percent Change
	from 2000)	from 2000)	from 2000)
January	4%	-10%	-8%
February	-3%	-15%	-16%
March	-2%	-12%	-16%
April	0%	-13%	-18%
May	-2%	-13%	-17%
June	-2%	-13%	Not Given
July	0%	-11%	Not Given
August	3%	-8%	Not Given
September	-34%	-16%	Not Given
October	-22%	-14%	Not Given
November	-19%	-18%	Not Given
December	-14%	-4%	Not Given

Note: September 2001 Enplanements Down 34 Percent Note: May 2003 Enplanements Down 17 Percent

Figure 2: Capacity versus Demand Percent Change in Available Seat Miles Versus Revenue Passenger Miles from 2000 (ATA Data)

Month	2001 Change in Available Seat Miles	2001 Change in Revenue Passenger Miles	2002 Change in Available Seat Miles	2002 Change in Revenue Passenger Miles	2003 Change in Available Seat Miles	2003 Change in Revenue Passenger Miles
January	5%	6%	-8%	-7%	-6%	-1%
February	-1%	-2%	-12%	-11%	-14%	-11%
March	0%	0%	-9%	-7%	-10%	-10%
April	2%	0%	-7%	-10%	-11%	-12%
May	3%	-2%	-6%	-9%	-12%	-11%
June	3%	-1%	-4%	-8%	Not Given	Not Given
July	4%	1%	-3%	-6%	Not Given	Not Given
August	4%	4%	-4%	-4%	Not Given	Not Given
September	-19%	-32%	-8%	-12%	Not Given	Not Given
October	-15%	-20%	-9%	-10%	Not Given	Not Given
November	-14%	-17%	-10%	-14%	Not Given	Not Given
December	-10%	-12%	-6%	0%	Not Given	Not Given

Note: May 2003 Available Seat Miles Down 12 Percent Note: May 2003 Revenue Passenger Miles Down 11 Percent

Figure 3: Actual Flight Operations Percent Change in Air Route Traffic Control Center Operations from 2000 (FAA Data)

	2001	2002	2003
Month	Percent Change	Percent Change	Percent Change
	in Operations	in Operations	in Operations
January	5%	-3%	0%
February	-4%	-8%	-10%
March	-2%	-7%	-7%
April	0%	-3%	-5%
May	-1%	-4%	-7%
June	-3%	-4%	Not Given
July	1%	-1%	Not Given
August	0%	-4%	Not Given
September	-16%	-5%	Not Given
October	-8%	-4%	Not Given
November	-8%	-7%	Not Given
December	-5%	-1%	Not Given

Note: September 2001 Actual Flight Operations Down 16 Percent Note: May 2003 Actual Flight Operations Down 7 Percent

Figure 4: Major Airlines Actual Arrivals

Percent Change in Actual Arrivals by Airline April 2003 Versus April 2000 (FAA Data)

	2003
	Percentage
Airline	Change
Southwest	9%
Alaska	2%
America West	-2%
Northwest	-6%
Continental	-19%
American	-20%
Delta	-27%
United	-29%
US Airways	-38%

Figure 5: Scheduled Capacity Percent Change in Scheduled Flights and Available Seats at All Airports from 2000 (FAA Data)

	2001	2001	2002	2002	2003	2003
Month	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
WIOIIII	Change	Change	Change	Change	Change	Change in
	in Flights	in Seats	in Flights	in Seats	in Flights	Seats
January	2%	3%	-11%	-10%	-11%	-11%
February	0%	2%	-11%	-10%	-12%	-12%
March	-1%	1%	-11%	-10%	-12%	-12%
April	1%	1%	-8%	-8%	-11%	-12%
May	0%	1%	-9%	-8%	-14%	-15%
June	0%	1%	-8%	-7%	-12%	-13%
July	1%	2%	-7%	-6%	-9%	-11%
August	0%	1%	-7%	-7%	-11%	-13%
September	-1%	0%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-12%
October	-5%	-4%	-11%	-11%	Not Given	Not Given
November	-15%	-15%	-12%	-12%	Not Given	Not Given
December	-15%	-14%	-11%	-12%	Not Given	Not Given

Figure 6: Regional Differences at All Airports

Percent Change in Available Seats at All Airports June 2003 Versus June 2000 (FAA Data)

Region	Percent Change in Available Seats
Northeast (includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont)	-18%
Midwest (includes Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin)	-13%
West (includes Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming)	-13%
South (includes Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia)	-11%
National Average	-13%

Figure 7: Large Airports

Largest Airports	Percent Change	Percent Change in		
	in Flights	Available Seats		
Fort Lauderdale	2%	11%		
Baltimore	-12%	0%		
Kennedy	-39%	-4%		
Las Vegas	-6%	-5%		
Salt Lake City	9%	-5%		
Houston	-4%	-5%		
Charlotte	-1%	-5%		
Tampa	-16%	-5%		
Minneapolis	-3%	-7%		
San Diego	-6%	-7%		
Atlanta	-2%	-7%		
Detroit	-2%	-7%		
Cincinnati	8%	-8%		
Seattle	-15%	-8%		
LaGuardia	2%	-9%		
Phoenix	-9%	-10%		
Orlando	-16%	-10%		
Denver	-7%	-11%		
Chicago O'Hare	-1%	-11%		
Philadelphia	-10%	-12%		
Dallas-Ft. Worth	-9%	-14%		
Miami	-28%	-16%		
Reagan National	-4%	-17%		
Newark	-14%	-20%		
Los Angeles	-26%	-26%		
Boston	-31%	-27%		
Pittsburgh	-21%	-28%		
Honolulu	-20%	-28%		
St. Louis	-17%	-32%		
Dulles	-43%	-32%		
San Francisco	-31%	-32%		

Percent Change in Scheduled Flights and Available Seats at the 31 Largest Airports June 2003 Versus June 2000 (FAA Data)

Figure 8: Length of Flight Percent Change in Scheduled Flights by Length of Flight June 2003 Versus June 2000 (FAA Data)

Range in Miles	2003 Percent Change in Flights
0 to 249 miles	-24%
250 to 499 miles	-12%
500 to 999 miles	5%
1,000 miles or more	-2%

Figure 9: Short Haul Flights by Type of Airline Percent Change in Scheduled Flights Less Than 250 Miles by Type of Airline June 2003 Versus June 2000 (FAA Data)

Type of Air Carrier	2003 Percent Change in Type
Network	-39%
Low Fare	-6%
All Others	-22%

Figure 10: Airline Market Share Airline Market Share by Available Seats (FAA Data)

Carrier Type	June	June	June	June
	2000	2001	2002	2003
Network	65%	64%	60%	56%
Low Fare	15%	17%	19%	21%
All Others	20%	20%	21%	23%

Note: All percentages are rounded.

Figure 11: Market Share of Low-Fare Service Airline Share of Service by Available Seats, June 2003 (FAA Data)

Low-Fare Airline	Market Share Percentage
Southwest	68%
Airtran	9%
American Trans Air	8%
JetBlue	6%
Frontier	4%
Spirit	3%
Delta Song	2%
Other	1%

Note: All Percentages are rounded.

Figure 12: Low-Fare Service Growth

Airline Share of Growth by Available Seats, June 2003 Versus June 1998 (FAA Data)

Low-Fare Airline	Service Growth Percentages
Southwest	41%
JetBlue	17%
American Trans Air	13%
Airtran	10%
Frontier	8%
Spirit	6%
Delta Song	4%
Other	1%

Note: All percentages are rounded.

Figure 13: Type of Aircraft

Percent Change in Number of Scheduled Flights by Type of Aircraft June 2003 Versus June 2000 (FAA Data)

Type of	Percent Change in
Aircraft	Flights
Turboprop	-44%
Large Jets	-18%
Piston	0%
Regional Jets	142%

Figure 14: Regional Jets at Large Airports Regional Jets Share of Scheduled Flights at 31 Largest Airports June 2003 Versus June 2000 (FAA Data)

Largest Airports	June 2000	June 2003
	Percentage	Percentage
	Share of Flights	Share of Flights
Cincinnati	55%	72%
Houston	14%	40%
Newark	10%	36%
Reagan National	7%	32%
Dallas-Ft. Worth	6%	31%
Salt Lake City	11%	31%
Boston	7%	30%
LaGuardia	14%	30%
Atlanta	9%	25%
Chicago O'Hare	14%	24%
Pittsburgh	2%	21%
Charlotte	3%	19%
Kennedy	4%	18%
Detroit	2%	18%
Orlando	4%	17%
St. Louis	4%	16%
Philadelphia	6%	16%
San Francisco	0%	14%
Denver	1%	14%
Phoenix	5%	11%
Minneapolis	1%	11%
Dulles	16%	9%
Los Angeles	0%	8%
Tampa	0%	7%
Miami	2%	6%
Fort Lauderdale	2%	5%
Baltimore	1%	5%
Seattle	0%	3%
San Diego	0%	3%
Las Vegas	0%	1%
Honolulu	0%	0%

Figure 15:	Market Share by Aircraft Type
Percent Sha	are of Scheduled Flights by Type of Aircraft (FAA Data)

Aircraft Type	June 2000	June 2001	June 2002	June 2003
Large Jets	58%	58%	57%	54%
Regional Jets	8%	11%	16%	21%
Turboprop	28%	25%	21%	18%
Piston	6%	6%	6%	7%

Note: All percentages are rounded.

Figure 16:	Arrival Delay	ys (FAA Data)
------------	---------------	---------------

	2000	2001	2002	2003
	Arrival	Arrival	Arrival	Arrival
Month	Delays	Delays	Delays	Delays
January	71,485	69,926	49,657	37,552
February	69,499	72,135	36,355	45,191
March	71,757	73,004	57,281	41,095
April	74,655	61,285	46,842	29,885
May	77,400	56,141	47,038	Not Given
June	100,115	72,641	56,011	Not Given
July	93,399	69,392	54,355	Not Given
August	96,550	76,237	47,160	Not Given
September	66,251	38,967	30,598	Not Given
October	75,543	39,694	41,050	Not Given
November	81,731	38,464	37,357	Not Given
December	105,180	52,064	54,108	Not Given

Note: April 2003 Versus April 2000 Down 60 Percent

	2000	2001	2002	2003
	Departure	Departure	Departure	Departure
Month	Delays	Delays	Delays	Delays
January	59,344	62,032	40,524	30,598
February	59,316	61,044	30,542	36,228
March	61,678	65,503	49,324	32,712
April	63,372	53,421	37,751	24,496
May	67,571	47,207	37,706	Not Given
June	90,115	63,980	49,880	Not Given
July	85,049	62,108	49,973	Not Given
August	85,760	67,209	42,680	Not Given
September	55,667	39,229	25,672	Not Given
October	63,742	41,444	32,072	Not Given
November	70,997	35,169	28,495	Not Given
December	98,386	48,710	47,855	Not Given

Figure 17: Departure Delays (FAA Data)

Note: April 2003 Versus April 2000 Down 61 Percent

riguite to. Cancenations (rAA Data)	Figure 18:	Cancellations	(FAA Data)
-------------------------------------	------------	---------------	------------

	2000	2001	2002	2003
Month	Cancellations	Cancellations	Cancellations	Cancellations
January	18,512	12,077	4,199	2,813
February	11,477	10,706	2,361	8,873
March	7,585	11,753	3,063	3,743
April	8,853	7,086	2,265	2,096
May	12,835	5,796	2,399	Not Given
June	14,407	10,135	4,621	Not Given
July	11,985	7,189	3,659	Not Given
August	11,538	8,528	2,834	Not Given
September	8,057	64,947	1,861	Not Given
October	7,977	2,966	2,188	Not Given
November	8,150	2,371	1,767	Not Given
December	21,333	2,161	4,057	Not Given

Note: April 2003 Versus April 2000 Down 76 Percent Note: September 2001 Cancellations Totaled 64,947

		-		
Month	2000	2001	2002	2003
January	23%	21%	18%	14%
February	23%	24%	14%	19%
March	22%	22%	20%	15%
April	23%	19%	17%	12%
May	24%	17%	16%	Not Given
June	32%	22%	20%	Not Given
July	28%	21%	18%	Not Given
August	29%	22%	16%	Not Given
September	21%	16%	11%	Not Given
October	23%	14%	14%	Not Given
November	26%	14%	14%	Not Given
December	33%	19%	20%	Not Given

Figure 19: Percent of Flights Arriving Late (FAA Data)

Note: April 2003 12 Percent of Flights Arrived Late Note: December 2000 33 Percent of Flights Arrived Late

Figure 20: Percent of Flights Departing Late (FAA Data)

Month	2000	2001	2002	2003
January	19%	19%	15%	11%
February	20%	21%	12%	15%
March	19%	20%	17%	12%
April	20%	17%	14%	10%
May	21%	14%	13%	Not Given
June	28%	20%	17%	Not Given
July	26%	18%	17%	Not Given
August	26%	20%	14%	Not Given
September	17%	16%	9%	Not Given
October	19%	15%	11%	Not Given
November	22%	13%	11%	Not Given
December	31%	18%	18%	Not Given

Note: April 2003 10 Percent of Flights Departed Late Note: December 2000 31 Percent of Flights Departed Late

March	2000	2001	2002	2003
Nonth	(In Minutes)	(In Minutes)	(In Minutes)	(In Minutes)
January	49	47	44	45
February	51	50	41	48
March	50	49	44	46
April	52	51	47	46
May	58	47	47	Not Given
June	59	57	55	Not Given
July	58	52	51	Not Given
August	55	56	49	Not Given
September	50	55	47	Not Given
October	49	44	42	Not Given
November	48	43	43	Not Given
December	54	42	53	Not Given

Figure 21: Length of Arrival Delays (FAA Data)

Note: April 2003 Arrivals Delayed 46 Minutes Note: June 2000 Arrivals Delayed 59 Minutes

Figure 22: Length of Departure Delays (FAA Data)

	2000	2001	2002	2003
Month	(In Minutes)	(In Minutes)	(In Minutes)	(In Minutes)
January	51	49	46	46
February	53	52	43	48
March	53	51	45	47
April	54	53	49	47
May	60	49	48	Not Given
June	59	58	54	Not Given
July	60	54	51	Not Given
August	56	57	50	Not Given
September	52	55	48	Not Given
October	52	42	45	Not Given
November	50	44	46	Not Given
December	55	42	52	Not Given

Note: April 2003 Departures Delayed 47 Minutes Note: May 2000 Departures Delayed 60 Minutes

Figure 23: Business and Leisure Travel Percent Change in Business and Leisure Travel from 2000 Analyzed by Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (ATA Data)

Month	2001 Change in Business	2001 Change in Leisure	2002 Change in Business	2002 Change in Leisure
January	-10%	4%	-30%	-11%
February	-19%	-3%	-35%	-15%
March	-20%	-1%	-34%	-12%
April	-18%	0%	-29%	-15%
May	-23%	-1%	-32%	-14%
June	-20%	-1%	-32%	-13%
July	-18%	1%	-26%	-12%
August	-19%	4%	-28%	-8%
September	-47%	-34%	-31%	-16%
October	-37%	-23%	-30%	-14%
November	-31%	-20%	-32%	-19%
December	-23%	-15%	-26%	-4%

Note: September 2001 Business Travel Down 47 percent Note: December 2002 Business Travel Down 26 Percent

	2000	2001	2002	2003
	Average	Average	Average	Average
Month	Fare Cost	Fare Cost	Fare Cost	Fare Cost
January	\$143	\$148	\$124	\$119
February	\$150	\$151	\$130	\$123
March	\$149	\$145	\$126	\$121
April	\$147	\$143	\$126	\$120
May	\$147	\$136	\$123	\$118
June	\$145	\$133	\$120	Not Given
July	\$134	\$122	\$111	Not Given
August	\$139	\$121	\$109	Not Given
September	\$147	\$120	\$121	Not Given
October	\$151	\$123	\$124	Not Given
November	\$1 49	\$125	\$120	Not Given
December	\$139	\$119	\$1 17	Not Given

Figure 24: Air Fares for Major Network Airlines Average Fare for 1,000 Mile Trip, Excluding Taxes (ATA Data)

Note: May 2003 Air Fare \$118 Note: October 2000 Air Fare \$151

Figure 25: Airline Yield Percent Change in Airline Yield from 2000 (ATA Data)

	2001	2002	2003
Month	Percent Change	Percent Change	Percent Change
	in Yield	in Yield	in Yield
January	4%	-13%	-16%
February	0%	-13%	-18%
March	-3%	-16%	-19%
April	-3%	-14%	-18%
May	-7%	-16%	-20%
June	-9%	-17%	Not Given
July	-9%	-18%	Not Given
August	-13%	-21%	Not Given
September	-18%	-17%	Not Given
October	-19%	-18%	Not Given
November	-16%	-20%	Not Given
December	-15%	-16%	Not Given

Note: October 2001 Yield Down 19 Percent Note: May 2003 Yield Down 20 Percent Figure 26: Passenger Load Factors Actual Versus Breakeven Percentages (DOT Data)

	Actual	Breakeven
Quarter	Load Factor	Load Factor
First Quarter 2000	69%	68%
Second Quarter 2000	76%	67%
Third Quarter 2000	76%	71%
Fourth Quarter 2000	70%	72%
First Quarter 2001	68%	74%
Second Quarter 2001	74%	76%
Third Quarter 2001	72%	88%
Fourth Quarter 2001	66%	90%
First Quarter 2002	70%	85%
Second Quarter 2002	74%	83%
Third Quarter 2002	74%	87%
Fourth Quarter 2002	70%	85%

Note: Fourth Quarter 2002 Breakeven Load Factor 85 Percent Note: Fourth Quarter 2002 Actual Load Factor 70 Percent

Figure 27: Individual Airline Load Factors

Actual Versus Breakeven Percentages for Quarter Ending December 2002 (DOT Data)

	Actual	Breakeven
Airline	Load Factor	Load Factor
Southwest	63%	58%
Delta	66%	74%
Continental	71%	75%
Alaska	67%	81%
America West	73%	82%
US Airways	68%	82%
American	70%	89%
Northwest	74%	103%
United	72%	106%

Figure 28: Revenues Versus Expenses Airline Operating Revenues Versus Operating Expenses (DOT Data)

Quarter	Operating Revenues In Billions	Operating Expenses In Billions
First Quarter 2000	\$22.6	\$21.8
Second Quarter 2000	\$25.4	\$22.6
Third Quarter 2000	\$25.9	\$24.0
Fourth Quarter 2000	\$24.2	\$24.1
First Quarter 2001	\$23.3	\$24.1
Second Quarter 2001	\$24.3	\$25.0
Third Quarter 2001	\$21.2	\$24.4
Fourth Quarter 2001	\$16.6	\$20.9
First Quarter 2002	\$18.2	\$20.9
Second Quarter 2002	\$20.4	\$21.9
Third Quarter 2002	\$20.2	\$22.6
Fourth Quarter 2002	\$18.9	\$21.8

Note: Fourth Quarter 2002 Operating Expenses Were \$21.8 Billion Note: Fourth Quarter 2002 Operating Revenues Were \$18.9 Billion

Month	2000	2001	2002	2003
IVIUIIII	Average Cost	Average Cost	Average Cost	Average Cost
January	\$0.70	\$0.86	\$0.60	\$0.84
February	\$0.73	\$0.85	\$0.62	\$0.88
March	\$0.75	\$0.80	\$0.62	\$1.05
April	\$0.74	\$0.77	\$0.69	\$0.83
May	\$0.72	\$0.78	\$0.70	Not Given
June	\$0.70	\$0.81	\$0.67	Not Given
July	\$0. 77	\$0.77	\$0.71	Not Given
August	\$0.78	\$0.77	\$0.72	Not Given
September	\$0.86	\$0.79	\$0.77	Not Given
October	\$0.89	\$0.71	\$0.81	Not Given
November	\$0.89	\$0.66	\$0. 77	Not Given
December	\$0.91	\$0.57	\$0.76	Not Given

Figure 29: Cost Per Gallon for Jet Fuel (ATA Data)

Note: April 2003 Jet Fuel Cost Was 20 Percent Higher Than April 2002

508 Compliant 7-03.doc 07/03/2003 Figure 30: Debt to Investment Ratio Airline Debt to Investment Ratio for All Major Airlines (DOT Data)

Quarter	Ratio (Percentage)
First Quarter 2000	50%
Second Quarter 2000	48%
Third Quarter 2000	48%
Fourth Quarter 2000	53%
First Quarter 2001	54%
Second Quarter 2001	54%
Third Quarter 2001	60%
Fourth Quarter 2001	66%
First Quarter 2002	68%
Second Quarter 2002	70%
Third Quarter 2002	73%
Fourth Quarter 2002	87%

Figure 31: Debt to Investment Ratio by Airline Airline Debt to Investment Ratio for Quarter Ending December 2002 (DOT Data)

Airlines	Ratio (Percentage)
Southwest	28%
Alaska	61%
America West	71%
Northwest	72%
American	86%
Continental	88%
Delta	89%
United	108%
US Airways	314%

Figure 32: Airport and Airway Trust Fund Estimated Revenues February 2003 Versus Pre-September 11, 2001 (FAA Data)

Fiscal Year	February 2003 (In Billions)	Pre-September 11 (In Billions)
2003	\$9.4	\$11.9
2004	\$10.2	\$12.6
2005	\$10.9	\$13.3
2006	\$11.5	\$14.1
2007	\$12.2	\$14.9
2008	\$12.8	\$15.8

Figure 33: Non-Hub Versus Larger Airports Percent Change in Available Seats from 1998 (FAA Data)

Month	Non-Hub	Larger
	Airports	Airports
January 1999	-2%	5%
February 1999	0%	6%
March 1999	0%	7%
April 1999	0%	7%
May 1999	0%	9%
June 1999	-1%	8%
July 1999	-2%	7%
August 1999	0%	8%
September 1999	0%	7%
October 1999	0%	8%
November 1999	3%	8%
December 1999	2%	7%
January 2000	-2%	10%
February 2000	3%	15%
March 2000	0%	12%
April 2000	-2%	12%
May 2000	1%	13%
June 2000	-2%	11%
July 2000	-3%	9%
August 2000	-3%	11%
September 2000	-3%	9%
October 2000	-1%	11%
November 2000	0%	11%
December 2000	-4%	10%
January 2001	-4%	14%
February 2001	-5%	13%
March 2001	-6%	13%
April 2001	-7%	13%
May 2001	-5%	15%
June 2001	-8%	12%
July 2001	-8%	12%
August 2001	-8%	13%
September 2001	-10%	10%
October 2001	-10%	8%

Month	Non-Hub	Larger
NIONIN	Airports	Airports
November 2001	-18%	-5%
December 2001	-19%	-6%
January 2002	-17%	0%
February 2002	-17%	0%
March 2002	-17%	1%
April 2002	-15%	3%
May 2002	-14%	4%
June 2002	-16%	3%
July 2002	-15%	4%
August 2002	-13%	3%
September 2002	-18%	-1%
October 2002	-18%	0%
November 2002	-18%	-2%
December 2002	-19%	-4%
January 2003	-21%	-1%
February 2003	-19%	-2%
March 2003	-19%	-1%
April 2003	-20%	-1%
May 2003	-20%	-4%
June 2003	-19%	-3%
July 2003	-17%	-3%
August	-17%	-3%
September	-18%	-4%

Note: September 2003 Larger Airports Down 4 Percent Note: September 2003 Non-Hub Airports Down 18 Percent

Figure 34: Regional Differences at Non-Hubs Percent Change in Available Seats at Non-Hub Airports June 2003 Versus June 2000 (FAA Data)

Region	Percent Change in Available Seats
Northeast (includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont)	-36%
Midwest (includes Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin)	-26%
South (includes Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia)	-18%
West (includes Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming)	-8%
National Average	-18%

Figure 35: Access to Large Airports

Percent Change in Number of Scheduled Flights June 2003 Versus June 2000 (FAA Data)

	Percent Change
Hub Access	In Flights
Large Hub to Large Hub	-13%
Medium Hub to Large Hub	-6%
Small Hub to Large Hub	-5%
Non-Hub to Large Hub	-23%

Figure 36: Type of Aircraft at Non-Hub Airports Percent Change in Scheduled Flights by Type of Aircraft June 2003 Versus June 2000 (FAA Data)

Aircraft Type	Percent Change
Turboprop	-35%
Large Jets	-29%
Piston	0%
Regional Jets	155%

Figure 37: Airline Market Share at Non-Hubs Airline Market Share by Available Seats at Non-Hub Airports (FAA Data)

Airline Market	June 2000	June 2001	June 2002	June 2003
Network	21%	21%	20%	19%
Low Fare	2%	3%	3%	3%
All Others	77%	77%	77%	78%

Note: All Percentages are rounded.

Figure 38: Essential Air Service

Congressional Funding and Subsidized Communities (DOT Data)

Fiscal Year	Appropriations In Millions	Number of Communities Subsidized
1999	\$50	100
2000	\$50	106
2001	\$50	115
2002	\$113	123
2003	\$113	125
President's Proposed Budget 2004	\$50	Undetermined