List of Exhibits

Introduction

Summary of Recovery Act Funding Received by DOT, by Appropriation Title	XXXV
---	------

Executive Summary

Key Findings	
--------------	--

Chapter Overviews

Chapter 1

Average Annual Person Miles per Household by Trip Purpose	CO-2
Age of Household Vehicles	CO-2
Weight of Shipments by Transportation Mode (Millions of Tons)	CO-3
Chapter 2	
2010 Mileage and Bridges by Owner	CO-4
2010 Percentage of Highway Miles, Bridges, and Vehicle Miles Traveled by Functional System	CO-4
Annual U.S. Unlinked Transit Passenger Trips, 1995–2011	CO-5
Chapter 3	
Percent of Federal-aid Highway VMT on Pavements With Good and Acceptable Ride Quality	CO-6
Percentage of NHS Bridges Classified as Deficient, 2000–2010	CO-6
Distribution of Asset Physical Conditions by Asset Type for All Rail	CO-7
Chapter 4	
Highway Fatality Rates, 2000 to 2010	CO-8
Highway Fatalities by Crash Type, 2000 to 2010	CO-8
Annual Transit Fatality Rates by Highway Mode, 2002–2010	CO-9
Annual Transit Fatality Rates by Rail Mode, 2002–2010	CO-9
Chapter 5	
Sources of Congestion	O-10
Rail and Nonrail Vehicle Revenue Miles, 2000–2010 C	0-11
Chapter 6	
Revenue Sources for Highways, 2010 C	0-12
Highway Expenditure by Type, 2010C	0-12
Applications of Federal Funds for Transit Operating and Capital Expenditures, 2000–2010 C	O-13

Chapter 7 Projected Change in 2030 Average Delay per VMT Compared With 2010 Levels, for Various Comparison of Current and Needed Annual Investment to Support Asset Preservation and Capacity Expansion in All Urbanized and Rural Areas CO-17 Impact of Preservation Investment on 2030 Transit State of Good Repair Backlog in All Urbanized and Rural Areas...... CO-17 Chapter 8 Average Annual Cost by Investment Scenario (Billions of 2010 Dollars) CO-18 Annual Average Cost by Investment Scenario (2010–2030)..... CO-19 **Chapter 9** Gap Between Average Annual Investment Scenarios and Base Year Spending, as Identified in the 1997 to 2013 C&P Reports CO-20 Illustration of Potential Impact of Inflation on the Improve Conditions and Performance Scenario........... CO-20 Causes of the Increase in the SGR Backlog between the 2010 C&P Report and the 2013 C&P Report .. CO-21 Hybrid and Alternative Fuel Vehicles: Share of Total Bus Fleet, 2000–2010 CO-21 Chapter 10 Impact of Alternative Assumptions on Highway Scenario Average Annual Investment Levels (Billions of 2010 Dollars)......CO-22 Impact of Alternative Replacement Condition Thresholds on Transit Preservation Chapter 11 Economic Benefits of Federal Lands......CO-24 Chapter 12 Chapter 13 Fuel Cell Electric Buses Operating in the United States, 2006–2012 CO-26

Main Report

Exhibit I-1	Performance Management Planning and Programming Elements	I-5
Exhibit 1-1	VMT by Type of Travel	1-3
Exhibit 1-2	Summary Statistics on Total Travel, 1990–2009 NHTS (Millions)	1-3
Exhibit 1-3	Total Annual Household VMT (Billions)	1-4
Exhibit 1-4	Summary of Daily Travel Statistics, 1969–2009 NHTS	1-4
Exhibit 1-5	Annual Person Trips and Person Miles per Capita by Urban/Rural Residence	1-5

Exhibit 1-6	Average Annual Person Miles and Person Trips per Household by Trip Purpose	1-6
Exhibit 1-7	Number of Vehicle Trips by Start Time and Trip Purpose	1-7
Exhibit 1-8	Percentage Agreement Between Usual Mode to Work and Actual Commute Mode or Travel Day	
Exhibit 1-9	Average Daily Person Trips and Miles per Person	1-8
Exhibit 1-10	Average Daily Miles and Daily Trips per Person by Age	1-9
Exhibit 1-11	Household Size and Vehicles Owned over Time, 1969–2009 NHTS	1-10
Exhibit 1-12	Age of Household Vehicles	1-10
Exhibit 1-13	Annual VMT per Person by Trip Purpose, Age, and Worker Status	1-11
Exhibit 1-14	Impact of Population Density on Transportation Mode	1-12
Exhibit 1-15	Walk and Transit Rates by Area Type	1-13
Exhibit 1-16	Distribution of Person Trips and Person Miles by Mode and Household Vehicles	1-13
Exhibit 1-17	Characteristics of Zero-Vehicle Households	1-14
Exhibit 1-18	Person Miles by Private Vehicle, Transit, and Walk by Age and Travel Disability	1-15
Exhibit 1-19	Percent of Person Trips by Selected Purpose During Peak and Off-Peak Hours	1-16
Exhibit 1-20	Average Gas Price per Month and Daily VMT per Driver, 2001–2002 and 2008–2009.	1-17
Exhibit 1-21	Goods Movement by Mode, 2007	1-19
Exhibit 1-22	Tonnage on Highways, Railroads, and Inland Waterways, 2007	1-19
Exhibit 1-23	Average Daily Long-Haul Freight Truck Traffic on the National Highway System, 2007	′1-20
Exhibit 1-24	Weight of Shipments by Transportation Mode (Millions of Tons)	1-21
Exhibit 1-25	Average Daily Long-Haul Freight Truck Traffic on the National Highway System, 2040)1-21
Exhibit 1-26	The Spectrum of Freight Moved in 2007	1-22
Exhibit 1-27	Major Truck Routes on the National Highway System, 2007	1-23
Exhibit 1-28	Trucks and Truck Miles by Range of Operations	1-23
Exhibit 1-29	U.S. Ton-Miles of Freight (BTS Special Tabulation) (Millions)	1-24
Exhibit 2-1	Highway Miles by Owner and by Size of Area, 2000–2010	2-3
Exhibit 2-2	Revised Highway Functional Classification	2-4
Exhibit 2-3	Cumulative Percentage Distributions of Mileage by AADT Volume, by Functional System	2-5
Exhibit 2-4	Percentage of Highway Miles, Lane Miles, and VMT by Functional System	2-6
Exhibit 2-5	Highway Route Miles by Functional System, 2000–2010	2-7
Exhibit 2-6	Highway Lane Miles by Functional System and by Size of Area, 2000–2010	2-8
Exhibit 2-7	Annual VMT Growth Rates, 1990–2010	2-9
Exhibit 2-8	Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) and Passenger Miles Traveled (PMT), 2000–2010	2-9
Exhibit 2-9	Highway Travel by Functional System and by Vehicle Type, 2008–2010	2-10
Exhibit 2-10	Federal-Aid Highway Miles, Lane Miles, and VMT, 2000–2010	2-11
Exhibit 2-11	Highway Route Miles, Lane Miles, and VMT on the NHS Compared With All Roads, by Functional System, 2010	2-13

Exhibit 2-12	Interstate Highway Miles, Lane Miles, and VMT, 2000–2010	2-14
Exhibit 2-13	National Network for Conventional Combination Trucks, 2009	2-15
Exhibit 2-14	Bridges by Owner, 2000–2010	2-17
Exhibit 2-15	Bridge Inventory Characteristics for Ownership, Traffic, and Deck Area, 2010	2-18
Exhibit 2-16	Interstate, STRAHNET, and NHS Bridges Weighted by Numbers, ADT, and Deck Area, 2010	2-18
Exhibit 2-17	Number of Bridges by Functional System, 2000–2010	2-20
Exhibit 2-18	Bridges by Functional System Weighted by Numbers, ADT, and Deck Area, 2010.	2-20
Exhibit 2-19	Number of Bridges by Functional Class and ADT Group, 2010	2-21
Exhibit 2-20	Rail Modes Serving Urbanized Areas	2-25
Exhibit 2-21	Transit Active Fleet by Vehicle Type, 2010	2-27
Exhibit 2-22	Composition of Urban Transit Road Vehicle Fleet, 2010	2-27
Exhibit 2-23	Maintenance Facilities for Directly Operated Services, 2010	2-28
Exhibit 2-24	Transit Rail Mileage and Stations, 2010	2-28
Exhibit 2-25	Rural Transit Vehicles, 2010	2-29
Exhibit 2-26	Urban Transit Operators' ADA Vehicle Fleets by Mode, 2010	2-30
Exhibit 2-27	Urban Transit Operators' ADA-Compliant Stations by Mode, 2010	2-30
Exhibit 2-28	Percentage of Urban Bus Fleet Using Alternative Fuels, 2000–2010	2-31
Exhibit 2-29	Hybrid Buses as a Percentage of Urban Bus Fleet, 2005–2010	2-31
Exhibit 3-1	Pavement Condition Criteria	3-2
Exhibit 3-2	Percent of NHS VMT on Pavements With Good and Acceptable Ride Quality, 2000–2010	3-5
Exhibit 3-3	Percent of VMT on Pavements with Good and Acceptable Ride Quality, by Functional System, 2000–2010	3-6
Exhibit 3-4	Percent of Mileage with Acceptable and Good Ride Quality, by Functional System, 2000–2010	3-8
Exhibit 3-5	Lane Width by Functional Class, 2008	3-9
Exhibit 3-6	Rural Alignment by Functional Class, 2008	3-10
Exhibit 3-7	Bridge Condition Rating Categories	3-13
Exhibit 3-8	Bridge Condition Ratings, 2010	3-14
Exhibit 3-9	Bridge Appraisal Rating	3-14
Exhibit 3-10	5 11 2 2 5	
	Bridge Appraisal Ratings Based on Geometry and Function, 2010	3-15
Exhibit 3-11		
Exhibit 3-11 Exhibit 3-12	Bridge Appraisal Ratings Based on Geometry and Function, 2010	3-16
	Bridge Appraisal Ratings Based on Geometry and Function, 2010 Systemwide Bridge Deficiencies, 2000–2010	3-16 3-17
Exhibit 3-12	Bridge Appraisal Ratings Based on Geometry and Function, 2010 Systemwide Bridge Deficiencies, 2000–2010 NHS Bridge Deficiencies, 2000–2010	3-16 3-17 3-18
Exhibit 3-12 Exhibit 3-13	Bridge Appraisal Ratings Based on Geometry and Function, 2010 Systemwide Bridge Deficiencies, 2000–2010 NHS Bridge Deficiencies, 2000–2010 STRAHNET-Deficient Bridges	3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19

Exhibit 3-17	Bridge Deficiencies by Period Built, as of 2010	3-21
Exhibit 3-18	Definitions of Transit Asset Conditions	3-23
Exhibit 3-19	Distribution of Asset Physical Conditions by Asset Type for All Modes	3-24
Exhibit 3-20	Estimated Replacement Value of the Nation's Transit Assets, 2010	3-24
Exhibit 3-21	Urban Transit Bus Fleet Count, Age, and Condition, 2000–2010	3-25
Exhibit 3-22	Age Distribution of Buses and Vans, 2010	3-26
Exhibit 3-23	Distribution of Estimated Asset Conditions by Asset Type for Bus	3-27
Exhibit 3-24	Urban Transit Rail Fleet Count, Age, and Condition, 2000–2010	3-28
Exhibit 3-25	Age Distribution of Rail Transit Vehicles, 2010	3-29
Exhibit 3-26	Distribution of Asset Physical Conditions by Asset Type for All Rail	3-30
Exhibit 3-27	Distribution of Asset Physical Conditions by Asset Type for Heavy Rail	3-30
Exhibit 3-28	Age Distribution of Rural Transit Vehicles, 2010	3-31
Exhibit 4-1	Crashes by Severity, 2000–2010	4-3
Exhibit 4-2	Summary of Fatality and Injury Rates, 1966–2010	4-4
Exhibit 4-3	Fatalities Related to Motor Vehicle Operation, 1980–2010	4-4
Exhibit 4-4	Fatality Rates, 1980–2010	4-5
Exhibit 4-5	Highway Fatalities by Crash Type, 2000–2010	4-6
Exhibit 4-6	Intersection-Related Fatalities by Functional System, 2010	4-7
Exhibit 4-7	Pedestrian and Other Nonmotorist Traffic Fatalities, 2000–2010	4-8
Exhibit 4-8	Fatalities by Functional System, 2000–2010	4-9
Exhibit 4-9	Fatalities by Functional System, 2000–2010 (per 100 Million VMT)	4-10
Exhibit 4-10	Annual Transit Fatalities Excluding Suicides, 2002–2010	4-14
Exhibit 4-11	Transit Fatality Rates by Person Type, 2002–2010, per 100 Million PMT	4-15
Exhibit 4-12	Annual Transit Fatalities Including Suicides, 2002–2010	4-16
Exhibit 4-13	Transit Injury Rates by Person Type, 2002–2010, per 100 Million PMT	4-16
Exhibit 4-14	Annual Transit Fatality Rates by Highway Mode, 2002–2010	4-17
Exhibit 4-15	Annual Transit Fatality Rates by Rail Mode, 2002–2010	4-17
Exhibit 4-16	Transit Incidents and Injuries by Mode, 2004–2010	4-18
Exhibit 4-17	Commuter Rail Fatalities, 2002–2010	4-18
Exhibit 4-18	Commuter Rail Incidents, 2002–2010	4-19
Exhibit 4-19	Commuter Rail Injuries, 2002–2010	4-19
Exhibit 5-1	Potential Livability Performance Measures	5-5
Exhibit 5-2	Sources of Congestion	5-10
Exhibit 5-3	Peak-Period Congestion on High-Volume Truck Portions of the National Highway System, 2007	5-11
Exhibit 5-4	Average Truck Speeds on Selected Interstate Highways, 2010	5-12

Exhibit 5-5	Peak-Period Congestion on High-Volume Truck Portions of the National Highway System, 2040	5-13
Exhibit 5-6	Average Speeds for Passenger-Carrying Transit Modes, 2010	5-17
Exhibit 5-7	Unadjusted Vehicle Occupancy: Passengers per Transit Vehicle, 2000–2010	5-18
Exhibit 5-8	Average Seat Occupancy Calculations for Passenger-Carrying Transit Modes, 2010	5-18
Exhibit 5-9	Vehicle Service Utilization: Vehicle Revenue Miles per Active Vehicle by Mode	5-19
Exhibit 5-10	Distribution of Passengers by Wait-Time	5-19
Exhibit 5-11	Share of Working-Age Residents With Access to Transit, 100 Metropolitan Areas	5-20
Exhibit 5-12	Mean Distance Between Failures, 2004–2010	5-20
Exhibit 5-13	Transit Urban Directional Route Miles, 2000–2010	5-21
Exhibit 5-14	Rail and Nonrail Vehicle Revenue Miles, 2000–2010	5-22
Exhibit 5-15	Capacity-Equivalent Factors by Mode	5-22
Exhibit 5-16	Capacity-Equivalent Vehicle Revenue Miles, 2000–2010	5-23
Exhibit 5-17	Unlinked Passenger Trips (Total in Billions and Percent of Total) by Mode, 2010	5-23
Exhibit 5-18	Passenger Miles Traveled (Total in Billions and Percent of Total) by Mode, 2010	5-24
Exhibit 5-19	Transit Urban Passenger Miles, 2000–2010	5-24
Exhibit 5-20	Transit Ridership versus Employment, 2006–2011	5-25
Exhibit 5-21	Washington, DC, Transit Mode Share, 2007–2011	5-25
Exhibit 6-1	Government Revenue Sources for Highways, 2010	6-2
Exhibit 6-2	Disposition of Highway-User Revenue by Level of Government, 2010	6-3
Exhibit 6-3	Highway Trust Fund Highway Account Receipts and Outlays, Fiscal Years 2000–2011.	6-5
Exhibit 6-4	Government Revenue Sources for Highways, 2000–2010	6-6
Exhibit 6-5	Percent of Highway Revenue Derived From User Charges, Each Level of Government, 2000–2010	
Exhibit 6-6	Direct Expenditures for Highways, by Expending Agencies and by Type, 2010	6-8
Exhibit 6-7	Expenditures for Highways by Type, All Units of Government, 2000–2010	6-8
Exhibit 6-8	Funding for Highways by Level of Government, 2000–2010	6-9
Exhibit 6-9	Highway Capital, Noncapital, and Total Expenditures in Current and Constant 2010 Dollars, All Units of Government, 1990–2010	6-10
Exhibit 6-10	Highway Expenditures Funded by Federal and Non-Federal Sources, in Current and Constant 2010 Dollars, 1990–2010	6-11
Exhibit 6-11	Comparison of Inflation Indices (Converted to a 2003 Base Year), 1990–2010	6-12
Exhibit 6-12	Highway Capital Outlay by Improvement Type, 2010	6-13
Exhibit 6-13	Distribution of Capital Outlay by Improvement Type and Functional System, 2010	6-14
Exhibit 6-14	Capital Outlay on All Roads by Improvement Type, 2000–2010	6-15
Exhibit 6-15	Comparison of FHWA Expenditures by Type, Prior to and During the Recovery Act	6-16
Exhibit 6-16	Capital Outlay on Federal-Aid Highways, by Improvement Type, 2000–2010	6-17

Exhibit 6-17	Capital Outlay on the NHS, by Improvement Type, 2000–2010	6-17
Exhibit 6-18	Capital Outlay on the Interstate System, by Improvement Type, 2000–2010	6-18
Exhibit 6-19	2010 Revenue Sources for Transit Funding	6-21
Exhibit 6-20	2010 Public Transit Revenue Sources (Billions of Dollars)	6-21
Exhibit 6-21	Mass Transit Account Receipts and Outlays, Fiscal Years 2000–2011	6-22
Exhibit 6-22	Recovery Act Funding Awards Compared to Other FTA Fund Awards	6-23
Exhibit 6-23	State and Local Sources of Transit Funding (Millions of Dollars)	6-24
Exhibit 6-24	Average Fares and Costs per Mile—Top 10 Transit Systems, 2000–2010 (Constant Dollars)	6-24
Exhibit 6-25	Funding for Transit by Government Jurisdiction, 2000–2010	6-25
Exhibit 6-26	Current and Constant Dollar Funding for Public Transportation (All Sources)	6-26
Exhibit 6-27	Applications of Federal Funds for Transit Operating and Capital Expenditures, 2000–2010	6-26
Exhibit 6-28	Sources of Funds (Billions of Dollars) for Transit Capital Expenditures, 2000–2010	6-27
Exhibit 6-29	2010 Transit Capital Expenditures by Mode and Type	6-28
Exhibit 6-30	Sources of Funds for Transit Operating Expenditures, 2000–2010	6-29
Exhibit 6-31	Transit Operating Expenditures by Mode, 2000–2010	6-30
Exhibit 6-32	Operating Expenditures by Mode and Type of Cost, 2010	6-31
Exhibit 6-33	Rail Operating Expenditures by Type of Cost, Millions of Dollars	6-31
Exhibit 6-34	2010 Nonrail Operating Expenditures by Type of Cost, Millions of Dollars	6-31
Exhibit 6-35	Operating Expenditures per Vehicle Revenue Mile, 2000–2010 (Constant Dollars)	6-32
Exhibit 6-36	Growth in Operating Costs—UZAs over 1 million, 2000–2010	6-32
Exhibit 6-37	Operating Expenditures per Capacity-Equivalent Vehicle Revenue Mile by Mode, 2000–2010 (Constant Dollars)	6-33
Exhibit 6-38	Operating Expenditures per Passenger Mile, 2000–2010 (Constant Dollars)	6-33
Exhibit 6-39	Farebox Recovery Ratio by Mode, 2004–2010	6-34
Exhibit 6-40	Rural Transit Funding Sources for Operating Expenditures, 2010	6-34
Exhibit 7-1	Distribution of 2010 Capital Expenditures by Investment Type (Billions of Dollars)	7-4
Exhibit 7-2	Annual Projected Highway VMT Based on HPMS Forecasts or Actual 15-Year Average Growth Trend	7-9
Exhibit 7-3	Description of Ten Alternative HERS-Modeled Investment Levels Selected for Further Analysis	7-11
Exhibit 7-4	Benefit-Cost Ratio Cutoff Points Associated With Different Possible Funding Levels for Federal-Aid Highways	7-12
Exhibit 7-5	Minimum and Average Benefit-Cost Ratios (BCRs) for Different Possible Funding Levels for Federal-Aid Highways	7-13
Exhibit 7-6	Projected 2030 Average Pavement Roughness on Federal-Aid Highways Compared with Base Year, for Different Possible Funding Levels	

Exhibit 7-7	Projected 2030 Pavement Ride Quality Indicators on Federal-Aid Highways Compared with 2010, for Different Possible Funding Levels	7-15
Exhibit 7-8	Projected Changes in 2030 Highway Travel Delay and Speed on Federal-Aid Highways Compared with Base Year, for Different Possible Funding Levels	7-17
Exhibit 7-9	Projected Changes in 2030 Highway Travel Delay and Speed on Federal-Aid Highways Compared with Base Year, for Different Possible Funding Levels, Assuming Trend-Based VMT Growth	7-18
Exhibit 7-10	Projected 2030 Average Total User Costs and VMT on Federal-Aid Highways Compared with Base Year, for Different Possible Funding Levels	7-20
Exhibit 7-11	Projected Changes in 2030 Average Highway User Costs on Federal-Aid Highways Compared With Base Year, for Different User Cost Components and Different Possible Funding Levels	7-21
Exhibit 7-12	Projected 2030 Pavement Ride Quality Indicators on the NHS Compared with 2010, for Different Possible Funding Levels	7-24
Exhibit 7-13	Projected Changes in 2030 Delay, Speed, and Highway User Costs on the NHS Compared with 2030 for Different Possible Funding Levels	7-25
Exhibit 7-14	Projected 2030 Pavement Ride Quality Indicators on the Interstate System Compared with 2010, for Different Funding Levels	7-27
Exhibit 7-15	Projected Changes in 2030 Speed, Delay, and Highway User Costs on the Interstate System Compared with 2010, for Different Possible Funding Levels	7-28
Exhibit 7-16	Projected 2030 Bridge Condition Indicators for All Bridges, for Different Funding Scenarios	7-31
Exhibit 7-17	Projected 2030 Bridge Condition Indicators for Bridges on Federal-Aid Highways, for Different Possible Funding Levels	7-32
Exhibit 7-18	Projected 2030 Bridge Condition Indicators for Bridges on the NHS, for Different Possible Funding Levels	7-33
Exhibit 7-19	Projected 2030 Bridge Condition Indicators for Bridges on the Interstate System, for Different Funding Levels	7-34
Exhibit 7-20	2010 Transit Capital Expenditures (Billions of Dollars)	7-37
Exhibit 7-21	Impact of Preservation Investment on 2030 Transit State of Good Repair Backlog in All Urbanized and Rural Areas	7-38
Exhibit 7-22	Impact of Preservation Investment on 2030 Transit Conditions in All Urbanized and Rural Areas	7-39
Exhibit 7-23	New Ridership Supported in 2030 by Expansion Investments in All Urbanized and Rural Areas	7-41
Exhibit 7-24	Impact of Level of Preservation Investment on 2030 Transit Conditions in Urbanized Areas Over 1 Million in Population	7-42
Exhibit 7-25	Impact of Preservation Investment on 2030 Transit State of Good Repair Backlog in Urbanized Areas Over 1 Million in Population	7-43
Exhibit 7-26	New Ridership Supported in 2030 by Expansion Investments in Urbanized Areas Over 1 Million in Population	7-44
Exhibit 7-27	Impact of Preservation Investment on 2030 Transit Conditions in Urbanized Areas Under 1 Million in Population	7-45

Exhibit 7-28	Impact of Preservation Investment on 2030 Transit State of Good Repair Backlog in Urbanized Areas Under 1 Million in Population	7-46
Exhibit 7-29	New Ridership Supported in 2030 by Expansion Investments in Urbanized Areas Under 1 Million in Population	7-47
Exhibit 8-1	Capital Investment Scenarios for Highways and Bridges, Derviation of Components	8-3
Exhibit 8-2	Summary of Average Annual Investment Levels, by Scenario	8-5
Exhibit 8-3	Systemwide Highway Capital Investment Scenarios for 2011 through 2030: Derivation and Distribution	8-8
Exhibit 8-4	Systemwide Highway Capital Investment Scenarios for 2011 through 2030: Derivation and Distribution, Assuming Lower Trend-Based VMT Growth	8-9
Exhibit 8-5	Systemwide Highway Capital Investment Scenarios for 2011 through 2030: Distribution by Capital Improvement Type Compared to 2010 Spending	8-10
Exhibit 8-6	Projected Impact of Systemwide Capital Investment Scenarios on Average Bridge Sufficiency Rating in 2030	8-11
Exhibit 8-7	Federal-Aid Highway Capital Investment Scenarios for 2011 through 2030: Derivation, Distribution, and Projected Impacts	8-12
Exhibit 8-8	Federal-Aid Highway Capital Investment Scenarios for 2011 through 2030: Derivation, Distribution, and Projected Impacts, Assuming Lower Trend-Based VMT Growth	8-13
Exhibit 8-9	Sustain 2010 Spending Scenario for Federal-Aid Highways: Distribution of Average Annual Investment for 2011 Through 2030 Compared With Actual 2010 Spending, by Functional Class and Improvement Type	8-14
Exhibit 8-10	Maintain Conditions and Performance Scenario for Federal-Aid Highways: Distribution of Average Annual Investment for 2011 Through 2030 Compared With Actual 2010 Spending, by Functional Class and Improvement Type	8-15
Exhibit 8-11	Intermediate Improvement Scenario for Federal-Aid Highways: Distribution of Average Annual Investment for 2011 Through 2030, Compared With Actual 2010 Spending, by Functional Class and Improvement Type	8-16
Exhibit 8-12	Improve Conditions and Performance Scenario for Federal-Aid Highways: Distribution of Average Annual Investment for 2011 Through 2030 Compared With Actual 2010 Spending, by Functional Class and Improvement Type	8-17
Exhibit 8-13	NHS Capital Investment Scenarios for 2011 through 2030: Derivation, Distribution, and Projected Impacts	8-19
Exhibit 8-14	Interstate System Capital Investment Scenarios for 2011 through 2030: Derivation, Distribution, and Projected Impacts	8-20
Exhibit 8-15	Estimated Highway and Bridge Investment Backlog as of 2010	8-22
Exhibit 8-16	2010 C&P Analysis Scenarios for Transit	8-23
Exhibit 8-17	Annual Average Cost by Investment Scenario (2010–2030)	8-24
Exhibit 8-18	Annual Transit Capital Expenditures, 2004 to 2010 (Billions of Current-Year Dollars)	8-25
Exhibit 8-19	Sustain 2010 Spending Scenario: Average Annual Investment by Asset Type, 2010–2030 (Billions of 2010 Dollars)	8-25

Exhibit 8-20	Sustain 2010 Spending Scenario: Over-Age Forecast by Asset Category, 2010–2030
Exhibit 8-21	Investment Backlog: Sustain 2010 Spending (\$10.3 Billion Annually)8-27
Exhibit 8-22	Sustain 2010 Spending Scenario: Capacity Utilization by Mode Forecast, 2010–2030
Exhibit 8-23	Projected Versus Currently Supported Ridership Growth8-28
Exhibit 8-24	SGR Benchmark: Average Annual Investment by Asset Type, 2010–2030 (Billions of 2010 Dollars)
Exhibit 8-25	Investment Backlog: State of Good Repair Benchmark (\$18.5 Billion Annually)8-30
Exhibit 8-26	Proportion of Transit Assets Not in State of Good Repair (Excluding Tunnel Structures)8-31
Exhibit 8-27	Percent Reduction in Revenue Service Disruptions Relative to 2010 for State of Good Repair Benchmark
Exhibit 8-28	Low and High Growth Scenarios: Average Annual Investment by Asset Type, 2010–2030 (Billions of 2010 Dollars)8-33
Exhibit 8-29	Scenario Investment Benefits Scorecard
Exhibit 9-1	Selected Highway Investment Scenario Projections Compared With Comparable Data From the 2010 C&P Report (Billions of Dollars)9-3
Exhibit 9-2	Comparison of Average Annual Highway and Bridge Investment Scenario Estimates With Base Year Spending, 1997 to 2013 C&P Reports9-4
Exhibit 9-3	1991 C&P Report Highway and Bridge Investment Scenario Estimates and Cumulative Spending, 1990 Through 20099-5
Exhibit 9-4	Selected Pavement, Bridge, and Congestion Metrics, 1989, 2008, and 20109-7
Exhibit 9-5	Illustration of Potential Impact of Alternative Inflation Rates on Selected Systemwide Investment Scenarios
Exhibit 9-6	Distribution of Spending Among 5-Year HERS Analysis Periods and Projected Impacts on Average IRI and Average Delay, for Alternative Approaches to Investment Timing9-11
Exhibit 9-7	Distribution of Spending Among 5-Year Periods in NBIAS and Projected Impacts on the Average Bridge Sufficiency Rating, for Alternative Approaches to Investment Timing9-13
Exhibit 9-8	Asset Condition Forecast for All Existing and Expansion Transit Assets
Exhibit 9-9	SGR Baseline Scenario: Asset Percent of Useful Life Consumed9-16
Exhibit 9-10	Sustain 2010 Spending Scenario: Asset Percent of Useful Life Consumed
Exhibit 9-11	Low Growth Scenario: Asset Percent of Useful Life Consumed
Exhibit 9-12	High Growth Scenario: Asset Percent of Useful Life Consumed9-18
Exhibit 9-13	Asset Condition Forecast for All Existing and Expansion Transit Assets Under Alternative Methodology9-18
Exhibit 9-14	Causes of the Increase in the Backlog between the 2010 C&P Report and the 2013 C&P Report
Exhibit 9-15	Comparison of Projected Investment Needs for 2010 and 2013 C&P Report Investment Scenarios

Exhibit 9-16	Passenger Miles Traveled, All Urbanized and Rural Areas	9-20
Exhibit 9-17	Passenger Miles Traveled, UZAs over 1 Million in Population	9-21
Exhibit 9-18	Passenger Miles Traveled, UZAs Under 1 Million in Population	9-22
Exhibit 9-19	Hybrid and Alternative Fuel Vehicles: Share of Total Bus Fleet, 2000–2030	9-23
Exhibit 9-20	Impact of Shift to Vehicles Using Hybrid and Alternative Fuels on Investment Needs: Low Growth Scenario	9-24
Exhibit 9-21	Impact of Shift from Diesel to Alternative Fuels and Hybrid Vehicles on Annual Investment Needs	9-24
Exhibit 9-22	Impact of Shift to Vehicles Using Hybrid and Alternative Fuels on Backlog Estimate: Sustain 2010 Spending Scenario	9-25
Exhibit 9-23	Projection of Fleet Size by Scenario	9-25
Exhibit 9-24	Projection of Guideway Route Miles by Scenario	9-26
Exhibit 9-25	Projection of Stations by Scenario	9-26
Exhibit 9-26	Stock of Fixed Guideway Miles by Year Under Low Growth Scenario, 2010–2030	9-27
Exhibit 10-1	Impact of Alternative Value of Time Assumptions on Highway Investment Scenario Average Annual Investment Levels	10-3
Exhibit 10-2	Impact of Alternative Assumptions About Growth in the Real Value of Time on Highway Investment Scenario Average Annual Investment Levels	10-6
Exhibit 10-3	Impact of Alternative Value of Life Assumptions on Highway Investment Scenario Average Annual Investment Levels	10-8
Exhibit 10-4	Impact of Alternative Discount Rate Assumption on Highway Investment Scenario Average Annual Investment Levels	10-9
Exhibit 10-5	Impact of Alternative Future Fuel Price Assumption on Highway Investment Scenario Average Annual Investment Levels	10-10
Exhibit 10-6	Impact of Alternative Bridge Maintenance, Repair, and Rehabilitation Strategies on the Economic Bridge Investment Backlog and Future Capital Investment Scenarios	
Exhibit 10-7	Impact of Alternative Operations Strategies Deployment Rate Assumptions on Selected Performance Indicators and Highway Investment Scenarios	10-13
Exhibit 10-8	Impact of Alternative Replacement Condition Thresholds on Transit Preservation Investment Needs by Scenario (Excludes Expansion Impacts)	10-15
Exhibit 10-9	Impact of an Increase in Capital Costs on Transit Investment Estimates by Scenario	10-16
Exhibit 10-10	Impact of Alternative Value of Time Rates on Transit Investment Estimates by Scenario	10-17
Exhibit 10-11	Impact of Alternative Discount Rates on Transit Investment Estimates by Scenario	10-17
Exhibit 11-1	Major Federal Lands	11-2
Exhibit 11-2	Types of Lands Managed by Federal Land Management Agencies	11-3
Exhibit 11-3	Summary of Annual Recreation Use and Visits	11-4
Exhibit 11-4	Federal Land Use	11-5
Exhibit 11-5	Economic Benefits of Federal Lands	11-6

Exhibit 11-6	Roads Serving Federal Lands	11-6
Exhibit 11-7	Forest Roads Pavement Condition (Paved Roads Only)	11-7
Exhibit 11-8	Park Roads and Parkways Pavement Condition (Paved Roads Only)	11-8
Exhibit 11-9	Wildlife Refuge Roads Condition	11-9
Exhibit 11-10	BLM Roads Pavement Condition (Paved Roads Only)	.11-10
Exhibit 11-11	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Road Condition	.11-13
Exhibit 11-12	FLHP Annual Authorizations (\$M)	.11-14
Exhibit 12-1	Selected Every Day Counts Initiatives	12-4
Exhibit 12-2	Every Day Counts State-Based Structure	12-5
Exhibit 12-3	Design-Build Process	12-8
Exhibit 12-4	Construction-Manager-General Contractor Process	12-8
Exhibit 12-5	Diverging Diamond Interchange	.12-11
Exhibit 12-6	3D Modeling	.12-12
Exhibit 12-7	Intelligent Compaction	.12-13
Exhibit 13-1	Diagram of Fuel Cell Operation	13-3
Exhibit 13-2	Progress Toward Achieving Technical Performance Objectives	13-6
Exhibit 13-3	Fuel Cell Electric Buses Operating in the United States, 2006–2012	13-6
Exhibit 13-4	Fuel Cell Electric Bus Demonstration Sites	13-7
Exhibit 13-5	Fuel Cell Bus Configurations	13-7
Exhibit A-1	Types of Operations Strategies Included in Each Scenario	A-5
Exhibit A-2	Impacts of Operations Strategies in HERS	A-6
Exhibit A-3	Typical Costs per Lane Mile Assumed in HERS, by Type of Improvement	A-8
Exhibit A-4	Estimated 2010 Values of Travel Time by Vehicle Type	A-11
Exhibit C-1	Definitions of Transit Asset Conditions	C-4
Exhibit C-2	Scale for Determining Asset Condition Over Time, From Acquisition to Replacement	C-5
Exhibit C-3	Distribution of Asset Physical Condition by Asset Type for All Modes	C-6
Exhibit C-4	Weighted Average by Asset Category, 2010–2029	C-7
Exhibit C-5	Assets in Marginal or Poor Condition, 2010–2029	C-7
Exhibit C-6	TERM Asset Decay Curve for 40-Foot Buses	C-8