# EVALUATING WINDOW RETENTION OF MOTORCOACH SIDE WINDOWS

SAE Government Industry Meeting: January 2014 Washington DC

Aloke K. Prasad, NHTSA J. Stephen Duffy, TRC, Inc.





#### **Outline of Presentation**

- Background
- Safety Problem
- Dynamic Test Procedure Development
- NHTSA Research Summary
  - Bus frame testing
    - Latch impacts
      - Establish unlatching threshold conditions
      - Latch countermeasure development
    - Center impacts
      - Pre-broken glazing impacts
        - Development of glass breaking procedure
        - Thicker PVB Interlayer

#### Background

- 2003 Transport Canada (T.C.) and NHTSA focused on improving glazing and window retention on motorcoaches to prevent ejection.
  - Develop dynamic test procedure for evaluating advanced glazing materials and bonding
  - Research conducted by Martec Ltd.
- 2007 NHTSA Approach to Motorcoach Safety
  - Reduce risk of passenger ejection
  - Improve rollover structural integrity
  - Enhance emergency evacuation
  - Upgrade fire safety
  - http://www.nhtsa.gov/DOT/NHTSA/Vehicle Safety/Articles/Associated Files/481217.pdf
- 2009 DOT Motorcoach Safety Action Plan
  - Require installation of seat belts on motorcoaches
  - Improve structural integrity
  - Follow up with test procedure and more stringent window retention performance requirements
  - <u>http://www.nhtsa.gov/DOT/NHTSA/reports/HS811177.pdf</u>
  - http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safety-security/pcs/Motorcoach-Safety-Action-Plan.aspx (updated 2012)
- ➢ 2012 MAP-21
  - Issue regulations for improved occupant protection with anti-ejection safety countermeasures (by July 2014)
  - Consider requiring advanced glazing standards for motorcoach portals

#### **Safety Problem**

- > 17 Motorcoach Occupant Fatalities per year (2001 2011)
  - Higher average number fatalities than earlier decade
- Rollovers cause 61 percent of motorcoach occupant fatalities
  - 70 percent of these fatalities are partial or full ejections through side windows



Motorcoach Fatalities by Most Harmful Event (FARS 2001-2010)

5

#### **Dynamic Test Procedure**

- FE modeling and testing was used to determine impact test conditions representing the loading of a 50<sup>th</sup> percentile male occupant falling from the far-side of the bus on window glazing during a motorcoach rollover event.
  - Largest load on glazing due to torso impact
- ➤ End result → Dynamic impact test rig
  - 26 kg impactor mass
  - 21.6 km/h (13.4 mph) velocity
  - Martec study conditions



#### **Dynamic Test Procedure (con't)**

- Linear Constrained to Uniaxial Motion at Specified Speed
- Represents Mass and Stiffness of SID Dummy Torso
- Mass of Impactor: 26 Kg (Effective Mass Measurements from Computer Modeling)
- Spring Used to Replicate Compression of Thorax (from Computer Modeling)
- Shoulder Foam Part from SID Affixed to Impactor Face
- Impactor Face Geometry Estimated as Contact Area Between Shoulder and Glazing
- Piezoelectric Force Transducer
- Linear Potentiometer records impactor displacement





This is a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright in the United States; it may be used or reprinted without permission.

6

# 2104 SAE Government Industry Meeting: Commercial Vehicle Safety

#### **Test Matrix**

# Motorcoach Frame Testing

Van Hool, Prevost



➢ MCI-E/J,

Double-glazed laminated(interior)/tempered Single-glazed laminated Double-glazed tempered/tempered

- Center and near latch impacts
- Center impacts with pre-broken glazing



#### **MCI E/J Series**

- Single Glazed Laminated Glass
- Double Glazed-Tempered Outside/Laminated Inside
- Latching Mechanism Similar to Passenger Vehicle



9

#### **MCI-E/J Near Latch Impact**

- Glazing Type: Single Glazed Laminated
- Impact Speed: 21.6 km/h
- Peak Excursion Beyond Window Plane: 337 mm



#### Van Hool C2045

- Double Glazed Tempered/Tempered Glass
- Sliding Latch Mechanism





#### Van Hool Near Latch Impact

- Glazing Type: Double Glazed Tempered Outside/Tempered Inside
- Impact Speed: 11.0 km/h (TC speed is 21.6 kph)
- Peak Excursion Beyond Window Plane: 88 mm





- Double Glazed Tempered/Tempered
- Latch Bar Latching Mechanism



13

#### **Prevost Near Latch Impact**

- Glazing Type: Double Glazed Tempered Outside/Tempered Inside
- Impact Speed: 12.5 km/h (TC speed is 21.6 kph)
- •Peak Excursion Beyond Window Plane: 225 mm



#### **Unlatching Threshold Velocity**



#### **Threshold Test Results**

- Windows from all three manufacturers exhibited latch openings.
  - Van Hool exhibited latch opening in the 9 10 km/h range.
  - Prevost exhibited latch opening in the 11 12 km/h range.
  - MCI E/J-series exhibited latch opening in the 18 21 km/h range.

#### Frame Impacts - Countermeasure







MCI E/J countermeasure

Prevost countermeasure

Van Hool production

#### Near latch impact with countermeasure latches:

- MCI: latch & glass intact, simple countermeasure
- Prevost: latch failed, glass intact
- Van Hool: latch failed, glass intact

#### **Center impacts with countermeasure latches:**

- MCI: latch intact, laminated pane broke
- Prevost: latch intact, glass panes intact
- Van Hool: latch intact, both panes shatter



Van Hool countermeasure

#### **MCI Countermeasure Latch**



This is a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright in the United States; it may be used or reprinted without permission.

17

18

#### **Glass Breakage Procedure**

- Addresses glazing strength in case window is pre-broken prior to occupant loading in rollover incident
- Applies to laminated glass panes (only MCI currently uses laminated glass)
- Impact Testing on MCI Laminated Glass
  - Center of Daylight Opening at Martec Study Conditions (21.6 kph, 26 kg.)
  - Countermeasure Latches Used (latches need to stay shut)
  - One unbroken glass test to establish lower bound
  - Three fully pummeled glass (both panes) to establish upper bound
  - Two 50 mm grid; two 75 mm grid pattern (grid on inner glass ply was offset from outer glass grid pattern to avoid punching through plastic
    - 75 mm pattern results in 52% less effort
  - Electric Staple gun used (automatic center punch tool used in Ejec Mit not practical on large windows)
    - Allows for one person operation
- Results follow expected trend that more glass breakage results in more peak excursion

## **Pre-Broken Glazing**

#### **Horizontally Staggered**







#### **Diagonally Staggered**



#### **Center Impacts – Pre-Broken**

- Glazing Type: MCI Laminated Glass Pre-Broken
- Impact Speed: 21.6 km/h
- Excursion from linear potentiometer on impactor face plate



### Center Impacts – Pre-Broken (Cont.)

- Glazing Type: MCI Laminated Glass Pre-Broken
- Impact Speed: 21.6 km/h
- Excursion from linear potentiometer on impactor face plate

50 mm Diagonally Staggered Grid Pattern

50 mm Diagonally Staggered Grid Pattern Thicker PVB Interlayer

**199 mm Excursion** 





#### **Glass Breaking Test Results**

- Center of daylight opening impacts (Martec study conditions) into fully pummeled production glazings resulted in an average maximum excursion of 214 mm.
  - The 50 mm diagonally offset breakage pattern produced an average maximum excursion of 184 mm (86 percent of fully pummeled).
  - The 75 mm diagonally offset breakage pattern produced an average maximum excursion of 175 mm (82 percent of fully pummeled).
  - The 75 mm horizontally offset breakage pattern produced an average maximum excursion of 151 mm (71 percent of fully pummeled).
- There was little difference in maximum excursions between the 50 and 75 mm diagonally offset pattern methods.
- Use of an electric staple gun (without the staples) to pre-break the glass panes was practical, allowed for single person operation, and did not produce tears in the PVB layer.
- Thicker PVB interlayer produced maximum excursions that were 13 percent less than similar impacts into the pre-broken production glazings.

22

#### Reference

- NHTSA Technical Report
  - Motorcoach Side Glazing Retention Research, DOT HS 811 862, November 2013
  - http://www.nhtsa.gov/DOT/NHTSA/NVS/Vehicle
    Research & Test Center (VRTC)/cw/811862.pdf
- Martec Study Report
  - "Motorcoach Glazing Retention Test Development for Occupant Impact During a Rollover." Docket No. NHTSA-2002-11876, August 2006

#### The End