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## Objective

■ Present Case-Study for probability assessment of aircraft overruns and undershoots in support of costbenefit studies to select infrastructure alternatives for existing SFO RSAs, including the use of Engineered Materials Arrestor Systems (EMAS).

## Alternatives to Improve RSAs

- Extend existing RSA
- Modify or relocate the runway
- Implemente declared distances

■ Use arresting systems (e.g. EMAS)

## ACRP Methodology

- ACRP Report 3 - Analysis of Aircraft Overruns and Undershoots for Runway Safety Areas
- Methodology for quantitative assessment of Runway Safety Areas

http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/acrp/acrp_rpt_003.pdf


## Model Structure



## ACRP Report 3

- Approach to quantitatively estimate the probability that an aircraft will exit the runway and stop beyond a given distance from the runway end


$$
P\{\text { Location }>x\}=e^{-a x^{n}}
$$

## Frequency Models

- Probability $=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (under certain operation conditions)

$$
P\{\text { Event }\}=\frac{1}{1+e^{b_{0}+b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}+b_{3} X_{3}+\ldots}}
$$

- P\{Event\} is the probability ( $0-100 \%$ ) of an accident type occur given certain operational conditions.
■ $X_{i}=f($ ceiling, visibility, crosswind, gusts, rain, type of aircraft, etc.)


## A Simple Example



## Location Models



## Location Model - Example



## Analysis with EMAS - Basic Concept
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## Analysis with EMAS

$$
v=3.0057-6.8329 \log (W)+31.1482 \log (S)
$$



$$
S_{R S A}=\frac{a_{E M A S}}{a_{R S A}} S_{E M A S}=R L F \cdot S_{E M A S}
$$

## Aircraft Movements

| Arrival <br> End/RSA | LDOR | LDUS | TOOR | Total \# of <br> Movements <br> Challenging the RSA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19R | 17 | 3,864 | 75,728 | 79,609 |
| 19L | 101 | 17,660 | 138,738 | 156,499 |
| $01 R$ | 17,660 | 101 | 294 | 18,055 |
| 01L | 3,864 | 17 | 1,355 | 5,236 |
| $28 R$ | 433 | 189,570 | 12,160 | 202,163 |
| 28 L | 251 | 131,294 | 11,809 | 143,354 |
| $10 R$ | 131,294 | 251 | 51,806 | 183,351 |
| 10 L | 189,570 | 433 | 49,322 | 239,325 |
| Total | 343,190 | 343,190 | 341,212 | $1,027,592$ |

## Existing Conditions - Rwys 01/19



## SFO RSA Alternatives

- Refinement A
- Bay fill to install standard EMAS on 19s
- Shift 1R/19L north
- Refinement B
- Create standard RSAs for 28s


## Refinement A



## Summary of Results

| Average Probability for all Movements (Existing) | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-07$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Airport Probability if Complying w/ Standard | 7.48E-08 |  |  |  |
|  | Refinement A |  |  |  |
| RSA | 01 R | 01L | 19R | 19L |
| Total Airport Probability |  |  |  |  |
| RSA Contribution to Airport Probability Decrease | -0.5\% | 0.1\% | 9.3\% | 14.7\% |
| \% Protection Relative to FAA Standard | 23.6\% |  |  |  |
|  | Refinement B |  |  |  |
| RSA | 10R | 10L | 28R | 28L |
| Total Airport Probability |  |  | $07$ |  |
| RSA Contribution to Airport Probability Decrease | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.8\% | 6.4\% |
| Total \% Decrease (all RSAs combined) |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Protection for Refinement A + Refinement B |  |  |  |  |

## Impact of Runway Shift on Total Airport Probability



## Impact of Rwy 01R/19L Shift




## Thank You!

