



Preparing a Benefit-Cost Analysis for a Rural TIGER Grant Application

Presented by the Office of the Assistant Secretary for
Transportation Policy

United States Department of Transportation

August 31, 2011



U.S. Department
of Transportation

TIGER₃

- \$527 million multimodal, merit-based competitive grant program
- \$140 million for rural areas
- Up to \$150 million for TIFIA payments
- Last round the average award was \$13.25 million
- Geographic diversity requirement

Why Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA)?

- President's commitment to data-driven decision-making
- Requirement from TIGER I and II
 - No funding for projects for which $C > B$
- Value of BCA in project selection
 - BCA quality matters more than size of the B/C ratio
 - Focus your analysis on how it demonstrates need for your project

BCAs for Rural Projects

- Rural projects are usually smaller
 - Sophisticated statistical analysis and ridership forecasts may not be cost-effective
- A transparent, reproducible, thoughtful and reasonable BCA is possible for **all** projects
- No Applicant is exempt from BCA requirement
- General and more detailed BCA webinars are available

EIA vs BCA

- Economic Impact Analysis (EIA) focuses on local benefits – **this is not a BCA**
 - Ignores costs to other localities
 - Includes transfer payments as “impacts”
 - Payrolls, tax revenues, real estate investments
- BCA focuses on national benefits (including local)
 - Nets out costs to other areas
 - Includes only productivity increases resulting from job creation, increases in property values

Basic Requirements

- Project Summary
- Monetized estimates of benefits & costs
 - Year-by-year stream of benefits and costs
 - Discounted to present value (3% & 7%)
- Replicable methodology
- Demonstrate Independent Utility
- Appendix A of 8/12/11 Federal Register NOFA provides guidance

Rural Ridership

- Most benefits for any project are driven by ridership (“usership”) estimates
 - Provide reasonable, multi-year forecast estimates
- Rural projects generally have lower ridership
 - Emphasize commitments by industry to expand operations if transportation facilities are improved
- Sophisticated forecasts may not be possible
 - Do what you can (e.g. sample count of usership at peak/off-peak hours with reasonably projected growth)
 - Emphasize recent increases in traffic (or increases in traffic that can confidently be forecast) that current facilities cannot accommodate.

Benefits

- Livability
- Economic Competitiveness
- Safety
- State of Good Repair
- Sustainability

Which benefits apply to your project?

Costs

- Provide costs from all sources (local, State, other Federal grants, private)
- Direct capital costs: construction, design, land acquisition
- Beyond capital costs
 - O&M, rehabilitation, life-cycle costs
 - External costs: noise, congestion, pollutants
 - Cost to users during project construction: increased delay, vehicle operating costs
- **Costs of whole project should be compared with benefits of whole project (no “leveraging”)**
 - Or, if TIGER funds only a part of a project, you can compare costs and benefits for TIGER-funded portion only
 - But only if that portion has independent utility

BCA Ratings

- BCAs are reviewed and rated by BCA Review team for **quality**:
 - Very Useful
 - Useful
 - Marginally Useful
 - Not Useful
- ...and for **net benefits**
 - Benefits > Costs
 - Benefits < Costs
 - Uncertain

Lessons Learned

- **ALWAYS** document and provide reliable sources for data and calculations
 - If a number does not have a source or reproducible calculation, explain how you got it
- Be **realistic** in assumptions and estimates
- **Quantify** where you can, **Qualify** where you can't
 - Every project has difficult-to-measure benefits & costs
 - A good qualitative analysis helps supplement understanding of the project BCA

Lessons Learned (cont'd)

- Emphasize what your area lacks and is taken for granted in more urban areas
 - e.g. sidewalks, shoulders, pavement, basic transit service
- **Prioritize** your projects
 - Focus on one or two projects where benefit/cost arguments are most compelling
- Consider the viewpoint of objective reviewers
 - Are estimates plausible and reasonable?
- Focus on overall evaluative process, **not** just B/C ratio

Notable TIGER Rural BCAs

- [Staples North/South Corridor with Railroad Overpass Project](#) (Staples, MN)
- [East Foster Wells Road Extension – Phase 2](#) (Franklin County, WA)
- [Woodside Boulevard Complete Streets Initiative](#) (Hailey, ID)
- [Southwest Oregon Freight Rail Revitalization Project – Rail Line Rehabilitation](#) (Coos Bay, OR)

BCA Resources

- August 12, 2011: [Federal Register NOFA](#) – *Appendix A: Additional Information on Benefit-Cost Analysis*
- August 17, 2011: [TIGER Benefit/Cost Analysis Special Topics Webinar](#)
- 2010 archived webcast for [Benefit/Cost Analysis for Transportation Infrastructure: A Practitioner's Workshop](#)
- General inquiries about BCA to TIGERGrants@dot.gov before October 31, 2011

Additional Application Help

TIGER Website: www.dot.gov/tiger/

Special Topics Webinars

August 22nd [Project Readiness/NEPA](#)

August 24th [Public Private Partnerships & TIFIA](#)

August 30th MARAD Port Outreach

Archived Webinars

July 18th [How to Compete for TIGER](#)

July 27th [“Talking Freight”](#)

Parting Words...

- BCA is an opportunity to objectively demonstrate the need for your project
 - Highlight benefits that are well-documented and align well with program's selection criteria
- Document, document, document
- Be realistic in your assumptions and estimates
 - Don't forget about true costs of the project
- It is possible to produce a quality BCA no matter what the size of your project

TIGER