

How to Compete for a TIGER Grant

Lessons for Rural Communities

August 3, 2011



Coos Bay, OR

Milton, KY & Madison, IN



Navajo Nation, NM

Cates Landing, TN



- \$527 million national, multimodal, merit-based grant program
- \$140 million for rural areas
- Up to \$150 million for TIFIA payments

- Last round the average award was \$13.25 million
- Geographic diversity requirement





2011 Application Process

September 9th - Pre-Application Registration

October 3rd - Pre-Applications Due

October 4th - Final Applications Open

October 31st - Final Applications Due



Changes from TIGER 2

- No Planning Funds this round
- 3 Application Limit (per sponsor)
- TIFIA Letter of Interest



Eligibility

- Surface transportation capital projects only
- Open to state, tribal, and local entities, and other subdivisions
- Private entities, including non-profits, must partner with a public lead
- Eligible ≠ Competitive



Rural Applicants

- Defined as any area not in an Urbanized Area (pop. 50,000), as defined by the Census Bureau
- \$1 million minimum grant
- No match requirement, though competitive applications often feature a match



Address Criteria and Outcomes

- Application outline in the NOFA
- Detail how federal funds will be used to address the selection criteria

Primary Criteria

- State of Good Repair
- Economic Competitiveness
- Livability
- Environmental Sustainability
- Safety
- Job Creation/Near-Term Economic

Secondary Criteria

- Innovation
- Partnership





Competitive Applications

- Clarity: Clear and concise project descriptions
 Use pictures and maps
- Leveraging: non-federal match, including private
 - All TIGER 3:1 (Rural Applicants 1:1)
- Independent Utility
 - operable segment w/ transportation benefits
- Project Readiness: ready to begin construction quickly. NEPA process begun.





Competitive Applications

Benefit-Cost Analysis:

- Demonstrate demand for project
- Well-documented calculations and references
- Show project Net Societal Benefits, not just local.

Innovative:

- Multi-modal, multi-jurisdictional
- Difficult to fund through formula funds





Application Pitfalls

- Ineligibility: Applicants or Projects
- Priorities/outcomes not aligned with selection criteria
- Project readiness
- Insufficient matching funds
- Non-construction requests
 - Operating & Maintenance assistance
 - Right of Way acquisition
 - Demonstration projects
- Grouping unrelated projects





Case Study: Milton-Madison Bridge

Project Description

- Multi-State, Multi-Jurisdictional Bridge Project
- \$20 M TIGER, Last piece of \$120 M project

- Livability (Existing Communities, Links, Choices)
- Economic Competitiveness
- Significant leverage
- Helps COMPLETE a project





Case Study: US 491 Safety Improvements

Project Description

- Separate traffic, incorporate turning lanes
- Commercial corridor in economically distressed area

- Exceptional Safety Benefits
- Segmented/Phased project
- Economic benefits





Case Study: Coos Bay Rail Rehabilitation

Project Description

- Rehabilitate 133 mile rail link (closed 2007)
- Reconnect Coos Bay (OR) with shippers

- Supports Regional and National Economies
- Improve speed/efficiency of freight in region
- Re-establishes transportation links & choice



Technical Assistance

- USDOT offers technical assistance to help applicants through the TIGER process
- Preparation of benefit cost analysis
- Previous TIGER application debriefs
- Special Topics Webinars



Additional Application Help

TIGER Website: www.dot.gov/tiger/

Archived Special Topics Webinars

July 27th

- "Talking Freight"

August 17th

Benefit Cost Analysis

August 22nd

- Project Readiness/NEPA

August 24th

- Public Private Partnerships & TIFIA

Upcoming Special Topics Webinars

August 30th

- MARAD Port Outreach



For This Year's Round...

- Focus on best project(s) those that align well with the program's selection criteria
- Develop an application that your neighbor could read and understand
- Questions sent to <u>TIGERGrants@dot.gov</u>







Questions?

