Special Topics Webinar

Project Readiness and NEPA: What TIGER Applicants Should Know

Presented by the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Transportation Policy United States Department of Transportation





- Requirements of NOFA
- Overview of NEPA and Environmental Review
- Examples from previous successful TIGER applicants
- Important Considerations
- Question and Answer





- \$527 million multimodal, merit-based discretionary grant program
- Heavily Oversubscribed
- Funds must be obligated by September 30, 2013
- NEPA Decision and most permits by June 30, 2013



TER

2011 Application Process

September 9th - Pre-Application Registration

October 3rd

- Pre-Applications Due

October 4th

- Final Applications Open

October 31st

- Final Applications Due





- NEPA should be substantially complete at time of application.
- Applicants must demonstrate compliance with NEPA and all applicable Federal, State and local requirements
 - Completed NEPA decision documents for transportation element
 - Drafts of NEPA documents with discussion of status of NEPA review
 - Approved permits





NEPA



National Environmental Policy Act

- President Nixon signed into law on January 1, 1970
- Applies to all major Federal actions
- A procedural statute that requires Federal agencies to consider the environmental impacts of their actions



Other Environmental Laws and Considerations

- Clean Water Act
- Clean Air Act
 - Transportation Conformity
 - General Conformity
- Endangered Species Act
- National Historic Preservation Act
- Section 4(f) of the DOT Act
- Environmental Justice
- Noise
- Tribes

- Wetlands
- State and local environmental requirements



NEPA Classes of Action

- Categorical Exclusion
 - Projects that have been determined through experience to not have significant effects on the environment
 - Effects are cumulative OR individual
 - Must be listed in lead agency implementing procedures (resource available on TIGER website)
 - Extraordinary circumstances can result in further documentation



NEPA Classes of Action

- Environmental Assessment
 - Completed when impacts of a proposed project are unknown
 - May lead to a Finding of No Significant Impact
 - May lead to an Environmental Impact Statement
 - Public involvement in preparation-agency discretion as to how but not discretionary to do it



NEPA Classes of Action

- Environmental Impact Statement
 - Comprehensive accounting of the impacts of a proposed project
 - Requires extensive public and agency involvement
 - Identifies mitigation
 - Results in a Record of Decision



FHWA CE Examples

- New Jersey Meadowlands Adaptive Signal System for Traffic Reduction (MASSTR)
- Ann Arbor East Stadium Bridges Improvement Project
- US 17 Septima Clark Parkway Drainage Improvements, Charleston, SC



Charleston Infrastructure Needs



FHWA EA/FONSI Examples

- Staples, Minnesota North/South Corridor with Railroad Overpass Project
- US 491 Navajo Nation Roadway Widening and Safety Improvements
- Milton-Madison Replacement Bridge Project, Kentucky and Indiana



Replacement Bridge Under Construction Using Truss Sliding



FHWA EIS/ROD Examples

- Otay Mesa East Port of Entry Interchange
- Doyle Drive Golden Gate Bridge Approach
- Seattle South Park Bridge Replacement



South Park Bridge Conceptual Design



Interchange at State Route 905/125



FTA CE Examples

- Intermodal/Multimodal Center, Bus Acquisition, Railcar Rehabilitation
- Past TIGER Recipients:



TIGER II, \$1.5MM

Des Moines Multimodal Hub (Des Moines, Iowa) TIGER II, \$10MM



FTA EA/FONSI Examples

- Bus Storage and Maintenance Facility,
 Streetcars, Bus Rapid Transit
- Past TIGER Recipients:



Atlanta Streetcar (Atlanta, Georgia)
TIGER II, \$47.7MM



Dilworth Plaza & Concourse Improvements (Philadelphia, PA), TIGER II, \$15MM



FTA EIS/ROD Examples

- Projects involving new right-of-way,
 Commuter Rail, Light Rail Transit
- Past TIGER Recipients:



Woodward Avenue Light Rail Transit (Detroit, Michigan)
TIGER I, \$25MM



FRA TIGER CE Examples

- Indiana-Station Improvements
- Maine-Rail Preservation
- Nebraska-Freight Rail Reactivation and Rehabilitation
- Pennsylvania-Rail and Road Expansion
- Texas-Station Enhancements
- North Carolina-Multimodal Station Area Plan
- Massachusetts-Bridge Rehabilitation

Aroostook Rail Preservation, Maine



Tower 55, Texas





FRA TIGER EA/FONSI Examples

Colton Crossing, California



 Major Rail Facilities on Existing Rail System

- Crescent Corridor (with FHWA) Memphis and Birmingham Intermodal Facilities
- National Gateway Phase 1, Ohio/Pennsylvania (with FHWA)
- Colton Crossing, California (by FHWA)
- Niagara Falls, New York Intermodal Terminal (passenger rail station and bus terminal)



MARAD Examples





MARAD NEPA EXAMPLES

Typical scenarios:

EIS

-Projects where port infrastructure/expansion activities are expected to have significant impacts or are of a large/complex scope that exceed the existing footprint and use activities or may significantly affect sensitive areas.

EA

-Projects where port infrastructure/expansion activities are within the existing footprint but activities exceed or change existing uses.

CE

-Projects that meet criteria defined in MAO 600.1 that typically involve repair, replacement, or modernization activities where the character and footprint of the facility has not changed.



Segmentation/Independent Utility

Large projects unlikely to get full funding

 Can project be broken into finite components?

 If so, each component must have independent utility



Multi-Modal Projects

- TIGER funds a variety of projects
- Frequently multiple modes involved in project
- Not always clear who is modal lead
- Determination made by the Department on a case-by-case basis



Question and Answer Session

- Representatives from the following modes are available:
 - Federal Highway Administration
 - Federal Transit Administration
 - Federal Railroad Administration
 - Maritime Administration
 - Office of the Secretary

Additional questions can be sent to: <u>TigerGrants@dot.gov</u>

