

P3-VALUE 2.0 Webinar January 25, 2016







Instructor

Patrick DeCorla-Souza

P3 Program Manager Office of Innovative Program Delivery









- P3: Public Private Partnership
- P3-VALUE 2.0: Analytical tool to help practitioners understand processes used to quantitatively evaluate P3 options
- This is the first of five webinars on P3-VALUE
 - P3 Evaluation Overview (today)
 - Value for Money Analysis
 - Project Delivery Benefit-Cost Analysis
 - Risk Valuation
 - Financial Viability Assessment







- Lesson 1 Types of Project Delivery Evaluation
- <u>Lesson 2</u> Timing of Project Delivery Evaluation
- Lesson 3 Value for Money Analysis
- Lesson 4 Project Delivery Benefit-Cost Analysis
- Lesson 5 Risk Valuation
- Lesson 6 Financial Viability Evaluation
- Lesson 7 FWHA's P3 Toolkit
- Recap Summary of Webinar





After this webinar you should be able to:

- Describe various types of P3 evaluation
- Identify their key limitations
- Explain the types of tools available in FHWA's P3 Toolkit









Types of Project Delivery Evaluation







Delivery Method Evaluation

- Project Evaluation:
 - Is the project worthwhile for society?
- Project Delivery Evaluation:
 - Is the project financially viable under conventional or P3 delivery?
 - Would P3 procurement add value relative to conventional procurement?
 - Which P3 options would add most value?











* Cash flow analysis

** Net economic benefits excludes transfers and financing cash flows





Financial Evaluation

- Considers financial elements only, i.e., "cash flows"
- Perspective is that of the procuring agency

Economic Efficiency Evaluation

- Considers full range of costs and benefits to society
- Perspective is that of society as a whole









- Is the project affordable to the public agency?
- Will P3 procurement enhance the financial position of the public sponsor?







- Does the project yield benefits to society that exceed the costs to society?
 - What is the best project design alternative?
 - When should a project be undertaken?
- Will P3 delivery increase net benefits to society compared with conventional procurement?







Test Your Knowledge

True or False

 Financial evaluation considers the full range of costs and benefits to society.







Submit a question using the chat box







13





Timing of Project Delivery Evaluation













- Project characteristics
- Legal framework
- Institutional capacity
- Market interest





FHWA's P3-SCREEN Screening Tool

May be used in conjunction with the development of an Initial Financial Plan for major projects

CRITERIA	QUESTION	CRITERIA	QUESTION	
LEGAL		PROJECT SCOPE & COMPLEXITY		
SPONSOR AUTHORITY	Does the project sponsor have legal authority to pursue delivery of the project as a P3?	SIZE	is the project size and scope suitable for delivery via P3 (generally costing more than \$100 million)?	
PLANNING & ENVIRONMENTAL		RISK ALLOCATION	Is there potential to allocate risks to the party	
LONG-RANGE PLANNING	is the project consistent with the project sponsor's		most capable of managing those risks by delivering the project as a P3?	
	and regional long-term transportation goals?	INNOVATION	is there potential to derive benefits from technological or other types of innovation	
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW	Will the required NEPA decision document be completed within two to three years?		delivery of the project?	
		EFFICIENCY	Is there potential to achieve cost/schedule savings by delivering the project as a P3?	
PUBLIC SUPPORT		QUALITY	is there potential for	
LOCAL SUPPORT	is there consensus among local and regional stakeholders to pursue the project?		higher quality product/ service delivery with a P3?	
		LIFE-CYCLE COSTS	Have the life-cycle costs of the proposed project been determined?	
POLITICAL	is there political support	AFFORDABILITY		
SUPPORT	for delivering the project?	NEAR- & LONG- TERM FINANCIAL CAPACITY	Does the project sponsor have the financial capacity to meet the project's life-cycle costs using conventional public funding	
ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY				
TECHNICAL CAPACITY	Does the sponsor have access to sufficient internal and external technical resources to successfully manage all phases of the D for	REVENUE POTENTIAL	Does the project have the revenue generation potential to repay substantial project costs?	
	option (development,	INDUSTRY INTEREST		
	procurement, negotiation and long-term contract oversight) in the public interest?	INDUSTRY CAPACITY	Do three or more private sector firms have the capability to deliver the project as a P3?	
POLICY GUIDELINE\$	Has the project sponsor established guidelines and regulations for procuring and managing P3 projects?	INDUSTRY INTEREST	Have three or more private entities demonstrated interest in the project to suggest the opportunity exists for a competitive process?	





17



Detailed Evaluation

- Technical Studies
 - Traffic and revenue
 - Cost estimates
 - Risk assessment
 - VfM and BCA
 - Affordability
- Market outreach
 - Market analysis
 - Market outreach







P3-VALUE 2.0 Tool Structure



🕢 Innovative Program Delivery





Test Your Knowledge

True or False

Value for Money analysis may only be conducted in a project's procurement phase.







Submit a question using the chat box









Lesson 3

Value for Money Analysis







Value for Money (VfM)

The optimum combination of life cycle costs and quality of a good or service to meet the user's requirements

VfM Analysis

Quantitative analysis, expressed as dollar or % difference

Public Sector Comparator (or PSC)

Conventional procurement's baseline cost against which P3 option will be compared

P3 Shadow Bid (or P3)

Net cost of P3 option to Agency, including estimated payments to private partner as well as other costs incurred by public sponsor









🕢 Innovative Program Delivery



24

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration





* Retained by Agency





VfM Analysis Challenges

- Estimating cost differences between P3 and conventional delivery
- Identifying and pricing risk
- Sensitivity of results to discount rates







- Non-financial costs and benefits?
- PSC possible in the same time frame as P3?
- Project scope changes proposed by a P3 bid?







Test Your Knowledge

True or False

 VfM analysis assumes that, under conventional project delivery, the project can be delivered and operated in the same time frame as the P3 option.





Submit a question using the chat box









Lesson 4

Project Delivery Benefit-Cost Analysis







Benefit-Cost Evaluation Process



- Cost impacts
- Quality impacts
- Scope optimization













32



Delayed Conventional Delivery compared to No Build









Delayed Conventional Delivery (Delayed PSC) compared to Conventional Delivery (PSC)









Conventional Delivery (PSC) compared to P3



🕢 Innovative Program Delivery





In P3-VALUE, all three options are compared to No Build







Perspective Considerations

Perspective	Financial Analysis (VfM Analysis)	Economic Analysis (PDBCA)
Agency	Costs to Agency's balance sheet	Agency costs plus societal benefits
State	Costs to State	State costs plus societal benefits
National	Societal costs	Societal costs and benefits (true BCA)







Test Your Knowledge

True or False

 Benefits from project acceleration should always be attributed to P3 delivery.







Submit a question using the chat box







39



Lesson 5

Risk Valuation







P3-VALUE 2.0 Risk Approach

• **Costs**: Costs are adjusted for risk and uncertainty

Revenues:

- PSC: If tolled, toll revenues are adjusted for uncertainty based on P3 toll risk premium included in P3 financing
- P3: No uncertainty adjustment is made to toll revenues, but costs and revenues are discounted based on P3's weighted average cost of capital (WACC)
- Benefits: P50 (i.e., most likely) traffic forecasts are used without any uncertainty adjustment. A sensitivity factor is included to facilitate sensitivity testing.







P3-VALUE 2.0 Risk Cost Categories

Base Variability

Example: Uncertainty in volume of asphalt

Pure Risks

Example: Accident at construction site, causing cost overrun and/or delays

Lifecycle Performance Risks

Example: Conflicts between DB and O&M contractors, supervening events exceeding liability caps, inflation







For VfM analysis, no lifecycle performance risk adjustments are made to P3 costs, since lifecycle performance risk is already included in the P3 financing premium







Test Your Knowledge

True or False

 For VfM analysis, an estimate for lifecycle performance risk should be included in the Conventional Delivery and Delayed Conventional Delivery cost estimates.





Submit a question using the chat box







45





Financial Viability Assessment







Financial Calculations

Sources of Funds

- Equity & debt
- Subsidies
- Toll revenues

Uses of Funds

- Capital expenses
- Operating expenses
- Debt service
- Tax & dividends

P3-VALUE 2.0 Financial Model



- Capacity of project revenues to repay debt
- Capacity to attract equity
- Required public subsidy payments





Key Metrics for Public Agency

- Concession fee for "revenue positive" projects
- Public subsidy for "revenue negative" projects
- Toll rates
- Concession term









- Debt service coverage ratio (DSCR)
- Gearing or leverage (debt/equity ratio)
- Equity IRR = Equity Internal Rate of Return
- WACC = Weighted Average Cost of Capital
- Project IRR = Project Internal Rate of Return







Debt service coverage ratio (DSCR) =

Cash Flow Available for Debt Service (CFADS)

Annual debt service

Higher minimum debt service coverage ratio requirement reduces debt capacity







Leverage or Gearing (i.e., debt-to-equity ratio) =

Debt percentage

Equity percentage

Higher gearing can increase debt capacity (if minimum required DSCR can be met)







Test Your Knowledge

True or False

 A higher DSCR will allow a project to obtain a higher amount of debt.







Submit a question using the chat box











FWHA's P3 Toolkit







FHWA P3 Toolkit

Fact Sheets	Primers	Guidebooks	Analytical Tools
FHWA P3 Toolkit	Establishing a P3	Risk Assessment	P3-SCREEN
Risk Valuation &	Program	Value for Money	P3 viability
Allocation	Risk Assessment	Assessment	evaluation prior
Value for Money	Value for Money	Benefit-Cost	to project
Analysis	Assessment	Analysis for P3	development
Financial	Financial	Delivery	
Structuring	Structuring &	P3 Project	P3-VALUE
Analytical	Assessment	Financing	 Risk Assessment
Studies			 Value for Money
Conducting			Benefit-Cost
Procurements			Analysis
Monitoring &			Financial Viabilit
Oversight			Assessment

Webinar recordings on P3 evaluation are also available on the web.



55



What is P3-VALUE 2.0?

- An analytical tool
- Educates users
- A component of FHWA's P3 Toolkit







FHWA's P3-VALUE 2.0











Training Navigator User Interface



🕢 Innovative Program Delivery





Tool and References

P3-VALUE 2.0 Excel Spreadsheet

User Guide

Primers & Guidebooks







Webinar Summary







- Lesson 1 Types of Project Delivery Evaluation
- <u>Lesson 2</u> Timing of Project Delivery Evaluation
- Lesson 3 Value for Money Analysis
- Lesson 4 Project Delivery Benefit-Cost Analysis
- Lesson 5 Risk Valuation
- Lesson 6 Financial Viability Evaluation
- Lesson 7 FWHA's P3 Toolkit





Upcoming P3-VALUE Training

- February 8 Value for Money Analysis
- February 22 Project Delivery Benefit Cost Analysis
- March 7 Risk Valuation
- March 21 Financial Viability Assessment

To register for the P3-VALUE webinars, please visit:

https://www.eventbrite.com/e/p3-value-webinar-seriesregistration-19955277773







FHWA's Office of Innovative Program Delivery Website:

http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/ipd/

P3 Website:

http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/ipd/p3/







P3 Program Manager

Office of Innovative Program Delivery

Federal Highway Administration

(202) 366-4076

Patrick.DeCorla-Souza@dot.gov







Submit a question using the chat box







66