Page 1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

+ + + + +

GAS PIPELINE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TECHNICAL PIPELINE SAFETY STANDARDS COMMITTEE)

AND

LIQUID PIPELINE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TECHNICAL HAZARDOUS LIQUID PIPELINE SAFETY STANDARDS COMMITTEE)

+ + + + +

JOINT MEETING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY
OCTOBER 21, 2014

+ + + + +

The Joint Meeting convened in the Washington Georgetown Marriott, West End Ballroom, 1221 22nd St. N.W., at 1:00 p.m., Colette D. Honorable and Jeffrey Wiese, presiding.

GAS PIPELINE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS: HONORABLE COLETTE D. HONORABLE

DENISE M. BEACH

LINDA K. BREATHITT

MARK BROWNSTEIN

CHERYL F. CAMPBELL

J. ANDREW DRAKE

SUSAN L. FLECK

ROBERT W. HILL

DONALD J. STURSMA

RICHARD L. WORSINGER

JEFF C. WRIGHT

CHAD J. ZAMARIN

Page 2

LIQUID PIPELINE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

VADM(R)BRIAN SALERNO

LANNY W. ARMSTRONG

TIMOTHY C. FELT

MICHELE F. JOY

RICHARD B. KUPREWICZ

CHARLES LESNIAK, III

RONALD G. McCLAIN

CRAIG O. PIERSON

CARL M. WEIMER

DEPARTMENT STAFF PRESENT:

JEFF WIESE, Designated Federal Official

TIMOTHY BUTTERS, PHMSA

LINDA DAUGHERTY, PHMSA

STEPHEN DOMOTOR, PHMSA

JOHN GALE, PHMSA

SAM HALL, PHMSA

MIKE ISRANI, PHMSA

KENNETH LEE, PHMSA

ALAN MAYBERRY, PHMSA

DAVE MURK, PHMSA

STEVE NANNEY, PHMSA

KATE ROSENBERG, PHMSA

CAMERON SATTERTHWAITE, PHMSA

VANESSA ALLEN SUTHERLAND, PHMSA

VASILIKI TSAGANOS, PHMSA

CHERYL WHETSEL, PHMSA

NANCY WHITE, PHMSA

ALSO PRESENT:

BOBBY JAGGER, TECHNICAL WRITER

JULIA PAAJANEN, TECHNICAL WRITER

Page 3
TABLE OF CONTENTS
Call to Order By Jeff Wiese 5
Agenda Item 1: Briefing: PHMSA Acting Administrator By Tim Butters
Committee Discussion and Q&A N/A
Agenda Item 2: Briefing: Opening Remarks By Jeff Wiese
Committee Discussion and Q&A N/A
Agenda Item 3: Briefing: Emergency Response/Public Awareness Update By Sam Hall and Tim Butters 45
Committee Discussion and Q&A 70
Agenda Item 4: Briefing: Regulatory Update By John Gale
Committee Discussion and Q&A
Agenda Item 5: Safety Management Systems and Safety Culture
By Ron McClain 126
Committee Discussion and Q&A 139

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

Agenda Item 6:
Briefing: Revisions to the National
Pipeline Mapping System
By Amy Nelson and Alan Mayberry159
Committee Discussion and Q&A
Agenda Item 7:
Briefing: LNG
By Alan Mayberry and Kenneth Lee 200
Committee Discussion and Q&A
Wrap-up and Adjournment 214

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1:03 p.m.

MR. WIESE: Good afternoon,
everyone. Thank you for coming. My name is
Jeff Wiese. I'm Associate Administrator for
Pipeline Safety in PHMSA DOT. Good to see you
all, and thank you for taking time out of your
schedules to come in and join us.

I've got a few kind of quick
remarks I'd like to make up-front before we
actually get the meeting going. So I don't
have to ask permission at this point, but
after that I always have to ask for Colette's
permission. She is always very gracious about
giving me an opportunity, so I'm trying to
stay on her good side as best I can.

So a couple of things I'm kind of inter-leading between some remarks that Cheryl suggested to me and some things that I wanted to do. But I'd be remiss if I don't start out by telling you that we want you to understand how to get out of the building should the need

arise. So very simple in this case. So for everyone in the audience and anyone here, out these doors, not those, out these doors down to the lobby. There will be people from the hotel will be up here, and they'll be in the lobby. And if necessary, they'll direct us out of the building and where to go. So very clear. Just out here. The escalators will stop. You can go down that way, and there's a fire exit door on the other side of that So making sure you know how to get out in the event of some form of an emergency.

The other portion is a comfort moment, and the restrooms are just out this door, if you need to know that. So I wanted to make sure you had that information.

I appreciate the indulgence of the Committee, as this is a joint meeting of both the Gas and Liquid Pipeline Advisory Committee meetings. I won't do this many more times, Cheryl; I promise. But I will say we have renamed the committees. I remember it used to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- be I'd have to figure out DHLPSSC. So it's

 now just the Liquid Pipeline Advisory

 Committee and the Gas Pipeline Committee.

 There have been no other changes that you need
- There have been no other changes that you need to be concerned.

I run the meeting as what's called the designated government official. That's a FACA term. And I'm sort of the presiding official there.

A couple of other things just really quickly. I wanted to underscore the purpose of today's meeting so everybody can just have their minds right. This is a policy-only meeting, so we will not -- hey, Brian, good to see you -- and it's informal. We're not even on the record at this point, so I can stop temporarily.

But I wanted to say it's a policylevel meeting. There are no votes taking
place. I'd like to have votes, and we hope to
have some at the next meeting. We're working
hard on that but none today. So today our job

is really just to kind of give you an update
on a number of things that we're engaged in
and to ask for your input and your advice.

That's the purpose of this committee. So
strictly a policy.

Your agendas -- I'm going to try to help you and hurt you a little bit on the agenda for today. I'm going to skip opening remarks because I'll probably have a an opportunity to talk a lot through this. I've been involved in a number of the presentations that we're going to have today and look forward -- with your permission, so I will ask for kind of an indication from the Committee you're okay with it, if we get ahead of calendar today, I'm thinking of moving the LNG discussion that's scheduled for tomorrow to today. I'm trying to be mindful of the fact we have a lot of people traveling tomorrow, and if we can get them out a little bit early. The schedule for today is a little lighter than tomorrow, and it might help us.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

anybody has a travel conflict, you know, and really interested in the LNG, we'll reconsider that notion. So I'll mention a little bit later in the day, but I'm pretty sure we'll move to the end of today.

get going that there is a public record of the meeting. The meeting is transcribed. We ask that members, when you want to talk, it's very simple. You know, I think you just, you know, put your card up, and then we'll call on you. And state your name, if you would, and who you represent, and then go into it, because it is being transcribed.

I will say to the public that I really don't have an opportunity for public comment until the end of the day. I want to make sure we get through the agenda since we always will provide an opportunity if there's a vote, but there are no votes today. So I'm going to punt that to the end. Our purpose today is to hear from the Committee, so you'll

have opportunities, of course. And that's really what we're here for.

You should all have in your binders, the agenda will be fairly close to that. I do want to, before I turn it over to the Chair and get into the business of this, I would like to do a couple of things. One is I'd like to just take time out for people to introduce themselves. I think we're particularly thankful we have at least four So if you don't mind, the new members here. new members, Cheryl, Mark, and Linda -- I don't know if it's fair to call you a new member. He's an old friend but sort of new If you guys wouldn't mind just taking member. -- I have bios here, but if you wouldn't mind taking just a couple of seconds to tell us a little bit about yourselves. Otherwise, maybe it will start over at the far end with our friend from Marathon, Mr. 811 I'm proud to say. And we'll start and we'll do a quick round of introductions, including staff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

fire chief, City of Pasadena, Texas.

22

Liquid

- 1 Pipeline.
- 2 MR. FELT: Tim Felt, Colonial
- 3 Pipeline, Liquid Pipeline Advisory Committee.
- 4 MR. MCCLAIN: I'm Ron McClain,
- 5 Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, Liquids
- 6 Advisory Committee.
- 7 MS. BREATHITT: Good afternoon.
- 8 I'm Linda Breathitt, Commissioner from the
- 9 | Kentucky Public Service Commission, and I'm
- 10 one of the new members that Jeffrey just
- 11 mentioned. And I'm very pleased to be here,
- 12 and I look forward to meeting all of you.
- 13 | Thank you.
- 14 VICE ADMIRAL SALERNO: Hello. I'm
- 15 Brian Salerno. I'm also a new member. I am
- 16 a government representative. I'm currently
- 17 the Director of the Bureau of Safety and
- 18 | Environmental Enforcement within the U.S.
- 19 Department of the Interior. My bureau is, the
- 20 | shorthand is called BSEE. It makes it a
- 21 | little bit easier to say it. But what we
- focus on is offshore oil and gas exploration

and production. So it's the drilling and production side of things, which tie into a lot of the pipeline infrastructure offshore so natural linkage to this committee.

And I guess I should have mentioned I am part of the Liquid Pipeline Advisory Committee.

MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake from Spectra Energy. I'm with the Gas Committee.

MS. WHETSEL: I'm Cheryl Whetsel. I'm with the regulations group at PHMSA, and I'm also the committee manager. So just let me take a couple of seconds for little administrative things. I have a packet of materials going around with your names and bios and so forth. If you would, please, take a minute and look at it and make sure we have everything spelled right and make sure we have the most up-to-date bio you want us to post because it is on the website. And if anybody has any information about travel, please come and see me after the meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

	_
1	MS. DAUGHERTY: Linda Daugherty.
2	I am one of two of Jeff's deputies, and I
3	focus on field operations.
4	MS. HONORABLE: I'm Colette
5	Honorable. I chair the Arkansas Public
6	Service Commission. And for about a month
7	more, I'll be president. I'm at the end of
8	this fun journey. And it's really a pleasure
9	to chair this joint meeting and to get to
10	interact with all of you. I want to welcome
11	the older members back and especially the new
12	ones. And a special, special welcome to my
13	colleague, Linda Breathitt of Kentucky.
14	MR. BUTTERS: Good afternoon. My
15	name is Tim Butters. I'm the Acting
16	Administrator for PHMSA.
17	MR. MAYBERRY: Hi. I'm Alan
18	Mayberry. I'm the Deputy Associate
19	Administrator for Policy and Programs at
20	PHMSA.
21	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Cameron
22	Satterthwaite, regulations, PHMSA.

1	MR. GALE: John Gale, Director of
2	Standards and Rulemaking, PHMSA.
2	MP RECONSTRUCT Mark Brownstein

I am Associate Vice President and Chief Counsel of the U.S. Climate and Energy Program for the Environmental Defense Fund. a new member on this committee, on the natural gas side of things. I lead our oil and natural gas work at Environmental Defense Fund. It's focused on many things, but one of the issues that we're focused on are methane emissions associated with the production and distribution of natural gas. And we have a variety of studies underway, working with National Grid and others, looking at the entire natural gas supply chain and ways to make that tighter and more environmentally sound.

Prior to EDF, I spent time doing
EH&S at an electric and gas utility, so I have
some appreciation for that side of things.
And prior to that was an air regulator from

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

	Page 10
1	the state of New Jersey. So I've had a chance
2	to look at some of these issues from a variety
3	of different perspectives, and I hope I can be
4	helpful on this committee.
5	MR. WRIGHT: Jeff Wright, Federal
6	Energy Regulatory Commission. And I'm on the
7	Gas Pipeline Advisory Committee.
8	MS. CAMPBELL: Cheryl Campbell
9	with Xcel Energy. I, too, am one of the newer
10	members, and I'm responsible for the gas
11	business at Xcel. Prior to Xcel, I worked for
12	Colorado Interstate Gas and the Coastal
13	Corporation, so I've spent my entire career in
14	the gas pipeline side.
15	MR. HILL: I'm Robert Hill,
16	Brookings County, South Dakota. I'm a public
17	member of the Gas Pipeline Advisory Committee.
18	MS. BEACH: Denise Beach, National
19	Fire Protection Association. I also represent
20	the public on the Gas Pipeline Advisory
21	Committee.

MR. WEIMER:

22

Carl Weimer with the

Pipeline Safety Trust.	I represent	the	public
on the Liquid Committee	ł.		

MR. ZAMARIN: Chad Zamarin with Cheniere Energy on the Gas Committee.

MR. WORSINGER: Rich Worsinger with the City of Rocky Mount, North Carolina with the American Public Gas Association representing the Gas Committee.

MR. WIESE: Okay. Thank you so much. And I'd particularly like to thank the new members for joining. I hope you'll think this is fun. When you're over, you can talk with some of your colleagues over here. We will have bios that will go in here, so you'll get to know who everybody is pretty quickly. It's a fairly casual group.

Before we launch into things, I
thought I would mention that we have some
other folks from PHMSA here. Since you might
run across them or have heard their names, I
thought I would ask them to stand up. Nancy
down there. You want to introduce yourselves?

1	MS. WHITE: I'm Nancy White,
2	Senior Policy Advisor for the Office of
3	Pipeline Safety.
4	MS. TSAGANOS: Vasiliki Tsaganos,
5	Deputy Chief Counsel.
6	MS. ALLEN SUTHERLAND: Vanessa
7	Allen Sutherland, Chief Counsel at PHMSA.
8	MR. HALL: I'm Sam Hall. I'm in
9	the Program Development Office with PHMSA and
LO	work on damage prevention and emergency
L1	response issues.
L2	MR. DOMOTOR: Good afternoon. I'm
L3	Stephen Domotor. I'm the Chief Safety Officer
L 4	and Assistant Administrator for PHMSA.
L5	MR. MURK: I'm Dave Murk. I'm the
L6	new Director of Field Operations.
L7	MR. NANNEY: Steve Nanney, Project
L8	Manager of Engineering and Research at PHMSA.
L9	MR. LEE: And I'm Ken Lee,
20	Director of Engineering.
21	MS. ROSENBERG: I'm Kate
22	Rosenberg, and I'm an analyst.

	Page 19
1	MR. ISRANI: Mike Israni. I'm
2	Senior Technical Advisor for PHMSA.
3	MR. WIESE: I'm inclined to have a
4	little fun to ask those people who are former
5	employees of PHMSA to stand up, but we won't
6	do that. There are a number of them in the
7	crowd. Who did I miss?
8	MR. JAGGER: Bobby Jagger,
9	Technical Writer for PHMSA.
LO	MR. WIESE: Julia. I'm sorry. I
L1	didn't see you guys. Stand up.
L2	MS. PAAJANEN: Julia Paajanen.
L3	I'm also a Technical Writer with the Office of
L 4	Pipeline Safety.
L5	MR. WIESE: You guys are popping
L6	up everywhere. Is there anybody working
L7	today? I'm getting mixed up on who used to
L8	work for us and who works for us now, so if
L9	I've missed anyone please come forward. No?
20	Okay, excellent. I'm sorry about that. I
21	don't mean to embarrass people by that.

So you're about ready to

Okay.

get rid of me. You're probably lucky on that account. I do want to remind people that the transcript and presentations will be available on PHMSA's website, which is really probably the easiest place to find it. But you can go to regulations.gov. We have a new docket number. It's PHMSA-2014-0124.

And so with that, it's my pleasure to introduce the chair for today and my usual partner in crime in chairing these meetings because she's so good at it, Colette Honorable.

I was just conferring, because I'm such a sensitive fellow, as most of our employees will tell you, to see if it was okay that I let you know that Colette has been nominated by the President to be one of the commissioners at FERC. So we're really happy and sad at the same time. We're working on ways of keeping her, but we're thrilled and she certainly deserved that. And love working with Colette.

So with that, I'll call the meeting to order and turn it over to the real chair.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Jeff.

And, again, good afternoon. It's really a

pleasure to work with you all. I learn so

much through this experience, and I'm looking

forward to another day of great meetings.

phone after it rang, I want to ask you all to check your devices, as well. And, also, just another housekeeping matter, particularly for our new members, when the light is red, your mike is hot. And then when you're done, please turn it off so that it doesn't interfere with or diminish someone else's ability to speak during our meetings today.

Our first matter of business is to hear from the PHMSA Acting Administrator. On October 4, 2014, Timothy Butters became the Acting Administrator for PHMSA, where he had served before that time as the Agency's Deputy

1	Administrator. And Tim is certainly no
2	stranger to this committee. I certainly
3	consider him a friend but also wanted to
4	reference a few of his past experiences, which
5	have been quite relevant to his current work.
6	Tim served as Assistant Fire Chief
7	for the City of Fairfax, and he also served as
8	Chairman of the Hazmat Committee for the
9	International Association of Fire Chiefs. I
LO	know he has kindred spirits among this group
L1	today.
L2	He served as a member of the PHMSA
L3	Pipeline Advisory Board. He served ten years
L 4	as Managing Director for CHEMTREC. He served
L5	with PHMSA and has been here with FEMA and
L6	here at PHMSA for a number of years.
L7	Please help me welcome the Acting
L8	Administrator of PHMSA, Timothy Butters.
L9	(Applause.)
20	MR. BUTTERS: Thanks, Alan.
21	Thanks Colotto Itls smart to be have among
	Thanks, Colette. It's great to be here among

1 anybo	dy at	the	office	right	now?
---------	-------	-----	--------	-------	------

2	Well, thank you. Thanks for
3	having me over this afternoon, and I'm happy
4	to join you in my new position here as Acting
5	Administrator. As you know, Cynthia
6	Quarterman, who was my predecessor and had
7	been with PHMSA about five years, tendered her
8	resignation to pursue other interests and left
9	the Agency earlier this month. I think we
10	would all agree that Cynthia's tenure here as
11	one of the longest, if not the longest,
12	serving administrator for PHMSA was extremely
13	influential and advanced pipeline safety
14	issues and was loved by not only her coworkers
15	here at PHMSA but well respected by her
16	colleagues at the Department of
17	Transportation. I know Secretary Fox
18	certainly was sad to see her go, as well.
19	So the question is how are we
20	going to proceed from here and what's the
21	future look like? In terms of the next
22	administrator, I can't really speak to that at

1	this point because, obviously, that's a
2	decision that the Secretary and the President
3	have to discuss. But it's in my intent to
4	carry forward the course that Cynthia set and
5	maintain that same level of engagement and
6	energy. I think we all recognize that there's
7	a number of important issues that we are
8	facing. And as I met with the Secretary
9	earlier today, I assured him that we can't
10	lose any ground and we need to continue moving
11	with good speed and progress. And I certainly
12	look forward to continuing our relationship
13	with this committee because you're an
14	important part of, you know, achieving those
15	successes and accomplishing some of the things
16	we need to get done.
17	As you know, over the past several
18	years, we've faced many challenges. A number
19	of incidents, including Marshall, Michigan,
20	San Bruno, California, a series of gas

incidents in Pennsylvania, West Virginia, New

York, have all underscored the importance of

21

pipeline safety. And as we see the energy
change in our economy with the tremendous
growth of what I call unconventional gas and
energy production, the shale regions, we're
going to continue to see and understand that
safety is going to still be a top priority.

Of course, as you know, in addition to pipeline safety, PHMSA has another very important program safety role, and that is in the transportation of hazardous materials by air, rail, ground, and marine.

And with over a million shipments of these hazmats everyday, it is a continuing challenge for us to ensure that these products run safely through transportation.

Pipeline and hazmat transportation have a very good safety record. But when things happen, they can happen in a big way and it can have tremendous consequences. So we need to continue to focus on reducing those incidents and reducing the risk to the public.

You know, in terms of safety, as

Colette mentioned, I come to the job with a
fair amount of operational perspective in
dealing with emergency response related to
hazardous materials, and so I certainly
understand the challenges of ensuring the safe
movement of gas and oil. And as we move
forward, I assure you that two of our big
priorities is getting the gas rule and the
liquid rule through, and that's going to be
one of my top priorities, as it was with
Cynthia. And I did reenforce that to the
Secretary when I spoke with him earlier today.

PHMSA's often described as one of the most important small agencies you've never heard of but with a huge responsibility. But I think that mystique is changing. We've been on the forefront of a lot of hot topics in the national spotlight lately, and we're all, all of us are working and fully engaged to address these issues. Most recently, the Ebola situation has involved PHMSA because of our role in the transportation of hazardous

medical waste and the waste that was generated as a result of the three individuals who were diagnosed with ebola brought PHMSA directly into the forefront, and we've been working very closely with other agencies of government, with CDC primarily, to ensure that that waste is moved safely and disposed of properly. Obviously, high sensitivity on that issue, and that will be continued to the foreseeable future.

The other major issue that we've been dealing with is the rail transportation of shale crude oil. As a result of the production of this oil in the shale plays in North Dakota, the movement of oil has been significant. In fact, a 4,000-percent increase over the past four or five years and, as a result of a number of rail incidents involving the transportation of this flammable liquid, there has been a very strong push to improve not only rail safety but the rail cars that carry this material.

And this is an area that I believe
the pipeline and other transportation modes
will intersect, as we try to look for improved
and more safe ways of moving this flammable
liquid. We're going to be needing to work in
a more cohesive way between our pipeline and
hazmat programs to ensure that there's

consistency in safety.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

With regard to the budget, as many of you know, we are operating under a continuing resolution right now for the FY 15 That will be in place until December budget. 11th. Hopefully, Congress will take action to get a budget in place, but those who are familiar with this, you know, the Congress in terms of their budget action, we're not optimistic that that's going to happen. It is an election year in November, and so it's really hard to say what will happen. our 2015 budget does get moved forward, there has been very -- the President did advance a budget that did increase resources for the

pipeline program. We have been getting favorable signals from Congress that they, indeed, support that, as well. So we certainly hope that we will be able to move forward on some of these initiatives because we all recognize that there's a number of important things that we need to do that require resources.

One of the things that Secretary Fox has placed as one of his priorities is really what he's calling a 30-year national transportation policy framework or 30-year It's a DOT-wide effort to really drive plan. improvements in transportation, and the role that PHMSA plays in terms of pipeline safety and hazardous materials are going to be an important part of that program. Domotor, our chief safety officer, is sort of our lead on that. And as they begin to finalize what that 30-year plan looks like, we'll be able to share the direction with these committees once that's finalized.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I know your agenda has a number of important topics you'll be covering in the next day and a half but just to touch on a couple of things that are, again, important to This safety management systems and safety Clearly, you understand the importance of that, whether you're operating it as a pipeline operator or in the industry or an organization that is dealing with Safety management systems and safety cultures are critical to ensuring that organizations maintain a high degree of safety and reduce incidents and risk, and that requires a commitment from the top all the way throughout the organization.

Jeff and his team have done an excellent job of moving SMS and the importance of SMS in safety culture in the pipeline One of our counterpart agencies within DOT, FAA, has got a long history with safety culture and SMS because of their role in airline safety, and we've been able to

20

21

garner some very good best practices and utilize the expertise that FAA brings to the Agency to help us formulate how we want to move forward, as well.

Jeff mentioned or he introduced
Steve Domotor, our chief safety officer. I
hope you take a moment to meet him during the
break. He's very interested in, he's one of
our lead with regard to safety in PHMSA, and
I know he's very interested in knowing more
about what some of you are doing specifically
in that area and how we can use better metrics
to measure and to develop effective safety
programs.

We've made a lot of progress in a number of areas, including our Integrity

Management Program, which has been around about ten years. And we need to continue to impress upon the industry to embrace that so that we believe is clearly a big solution to address some of these safety issues, and the Integrity Management Program is an effective

approach to doing that. There are a number of gaps that we've identified, and we'll be working to address those gaps in what we're calling IMP 2.0 by incorporating the whole safety culture issue and SMS.

We're also working on integrity verification, IVP, leak detection and valve programs, as well as increasing the use of meaningful metrics and near-miss reporting to help better understand what these risks are. Using metrics, using good data to drive our organization's priorities is clearly something that we are going to continue to focus on and better understand the data that we are currently collecting to make it more useful and to see what additional data that we need to collect to help improve our safety systems I understand Ron McClain will be out there. talking more about the consensus standard with regard to the safety management system later in the session.

As related to safety within PHMSA,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we've also taken steps to really walk the walk within the organization. Steve has been able to get our safety manual for PHMSA in place. He has also identified collateral safety officers in our regional offices to help ensure that, within PHMSA, that we are doing the right thing by our employees to make sure that they are performing in a safe manner and that we are embracing that safety culture throughout PHMSA.

An issue that is becoming more and more prominent in the national discussion is ethane emissions. Many of you are certainly involved in that, and I know there's a panel tomorrow to talk about that. But it is beginning, it is taking sort of front row stage at the national level, and we are working with EPA and other agencies within the federal level to ensure that we are addressing that issue as part of our overall safety focus.

As an infrastructure, again,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

another area that we continue to focus on,		
back in 2011 Secretary LaHood instituted a		
call to action following a number of those		
significant pipeline incidents that focused		
on, you know, the repair, rehabilitation, and		
replacement of aging pipelines. The industry		
has really stepped forward in addressing that		
issue. There's been a lot of good success in		
terms of addressing the aging pipeline		
infrastructure. We certainly recognize that		
aging, in and of itself, is not a sole		
indicator of risk but is certainly a factor.		
And the better we understand the age of our		
pipeline infrastructure out there and address		
those high-risk pipes, the more effective		
we'll be in addressing those safety concerns		
and risks.		

We also are glad to see that the states have stepped forward in terms of instituting infrastructure cost recovery programs and mechanisms. Back in 2007, only 11 states had those mechanisms in place. And

Page 35

this year, 38 states now have accelerated replacement programs, which clearly is something that is needed out there to advance that PHMSA replacement issue.

So I know that we'll have more to talk about in some of the other areas that PHMSA is advancing in. I do want to mention the National Pipeline Mapping System. We have plans to redouble our efforts to improve that tool. That's an important tool not only for operators, for the regulated community, but for local communities, as well, to understand the pipeline systems, particularly emergency responders, so they know what those risks are, where they are, and can be effectively prepared to deal with any potential emergency.

I mentioned performance metrics.

We're going to continue to focus on metrics

and using good data to drive our program

priorities to ensure that we're using the

right resources in the right place.

And we want to continue to develop

available not only to the operators in the industry but also the regulators at the state and local level to help them improve their ability to ensure safety of the pipeline systems in those communities, whether they be distribution systems, gathering systems, etcetera.

And, finally, something that those of you who know me is sort of near and dear to my heart is sort of the emergency response public awareness issue. Sam Hall will be up here in a few minutes to talk about some of the progress we've made. But, you know, as I've said before, when it comes to emergency response, emergency responders out there don't make a discrimination between hazmat that comes out of a railcar or a hazmat that comes out of a pipeline. It's the same cast of characters that has to deal with it. that's one of the things we try to work more closely with our colleagues throughout the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Agency is on emergency response where we can
2	work together to ensure, you know, communities
3	are ready to deal with emergencies. We
4	obviously want to focus on preventing the
5	risks, but the reality is is they do occur,
6	and we want to make sure that we're as
7	prepared as we can be to deal with those
8	incidents to not only reduce death and injury
9	but to ensure that the community can get back
10	on its feet as quickly as possible.

So as I mentioned, we have a lot going on. We know that we're not perfect yet. We're going to try to get there. But we are going to be relying on you and your input to help us move the Agency forward.

So I will turn it back over to

Colette now, but I'll be around for a couple

more hours and look forward to speaking with

you and answer any questions if you might have

them.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Acting Administrator Butters. We're delighted that

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

you joined us today and look forward to working with you, also, as we have in the past.

I want to yield now to Jeff Wiese, who actually has a couple of things he'd like to reference now.

I'm just going to pick MR. WIESE: up really quickly. I promise to make it I wanted to pick up where Tim left off, and I think it's appropriate. The not perfect yet, you know. You know, I know that Ron and Linda, you know, are on the committee with me. As you get into the fields we're going to cover, Ron is going to give you an update on safety management systems. know, one of the most striking changes in the past few years, I think, in this industry has been a widespread embrace of zero as a goal. Many of you will remember the years we used to argue that's impractical, you know, trying to get 2.6 million miles of pipeline to produce zero is impossible. But I think, you know,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

kudos to all involved. I think we've really shifted past that to the point where that damn well better be our goal, you know. Nothing less is acceptable, right?

And that sets in motion a lot of things. It gives you the opportunity to learn, saying you're not perfect. Losing that arrogance gets rid of some of the complacency. It moves you into how do we get better, right? Looking for that.

So you'll hear a lot more on that as we go forward. I wanted to take time out, in particular, to acknowledge Brian's joining us because Brian actually -- and we have worked together on many things, and I would consider him an expert in SMS and safety culture, as well. Brian, maybe at the right time you can kind of give us some insight into the academy studies that you guys have going on on safety culture because I'm pretty sure that all the lessons are parallel in our industry, as well. So I want to thank you for

joining us. It's very important.

The other thing that I should have mentioned before, the 30-year strategic plan -- my apologies -- I should have said this is a really important stakeholder group. So perhaps next time we'll ask Steve to come do a presentation on the 30-year strategic plan where we sit and take some input from your group. I mean, I think this is a pretty diverse and important group of stakeholders. So maybe we'll try to send you something out in advance so that they can look at that and, you know, give us some feedback there.

And then I also wanted to quickly say that I, like many of you, will see this as a critical time in our history. I do, and I think you should be able to look back on this point in time and see that some important changes were taking place. And we're going to be here shaping those things.

Amongst those are things like infrastructure modernization. Tim mentioned

the Secretary's call to action. This is in
the wake of San Bruno and Marshall, Michigan,
you know, and a number of others. I'll cite
our old boss. Cynthia told me when we were
talking to her about coming over to PHMSA, she
said, "You know, I've been looking at your
data and it looks like you've been on a
downward trend for about ten years. In my
book, that spells trouble." And I go, "No,
no, no, things are working great. Don't worry
about it." She joined, and, shortly
thereafter, we had two of the largest
accidents in our history. And she was very
helpful in charting the path forward on that.
So I'm not going to make any bets,
but the direction and the trend of things is
positive. I think that's due to a lot of work

but the direction and the trend of things is positive. I think that's due to a lot of work on everyone's part, you know, the companies, the regulators, the public, you know, the advocacy community working together.

So there's a lot more to say on infrastructure modernization. I'm

particularly thankful to have added strength
in the commissioner field, so thank you, Linda
Breathitt, for joining us. Linda, for those
of you who don't know, is a former FERC
commissioner, as well, so she brings federal
experience. She was with the Southern States
Energy Board, as well. So she brings a lot of
experience on the energy front to this, and
I'm very thankful that you've joined us.

I would be remiss if I didn't say
we went all the way through the process and
have gotten nominated and confirmed John
Quakenbush who's a commissioner from Michigan
Public Service Commission. He will be joining
us on the Gas Committee and the next one.
He's on, I believe, NARUC business out of the
country right now. So, yes, I look forward to
having John on. He comes highly recommended
by our pipeline people, honestly. The state
people, the state regulatory people who have
met with John think a lot of him.

If you'll allow me, I'll take two

seconds to say, and we'll hear more from him tomorrow, but I welcome Paul Roberti. Paul is a commissioner, a NARUC commissioner from Rhode Island, longstanding friend and head of the Pipeline Safety Task Force at NARUC. So we'll be asking Paul to talk a little bit tomorrow as we get into the methane emission panel.

Other things that are happening at the same time that we're talking about this is, you know, the things that are coming together, the call for infrastructure modernization, the whole issue of methane emission reduction, which Tim touched on, and tomorrow we're going to have a panel. Brownstein, thank you very much for joining us. Mark has a lot of expertise in this area. He'll be joining a panel that will be talking to you about what's going on in methane emission reduction and then, you know, kind of taking your views. I've asked several of the stakeholder groups to kind of talk about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

things that they're doing in that general
field.

And I think the whole issue of, you know, infrastructure and the changes to the regulatory program, and, again, Tim alluded to those things, means that we need to have people on who are really impacted by this. So Cheryl Campbell wanted to say --Cheryl will definitely find herself in that field, along with others here, but we wanted to thank her. We've known Cheryl for a while, been very active with our NAPSER partners, the state regulatory people, as well, in fact, I think we may have met out somewhere out in the Rockies. And I was really impressed with Cheryl's ability to handle their unruly crowd. So that's why we've asked her to join this one. No, no, no, it was a great meeting, and I want to thank you for taking time out to join us, as well.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

be in when people finally said we're not
perfect. We have room to improve, and we have
the mind to do it and the will to do it. And
we'll move forward. All of the things you're
going to be seeing on the agenda today and
tomorrow I think all interweave in that as a
path to help us get better.

So I think, with that, I'll thank
Commissioner Honorable for her indulgence, and
we'll move on.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Jeff.

Great updates, and I'm glad that you

acknowledged Chairman Quakenbush and also

Chairman Roberti. I'm looking forward to

hearing Paul's remarks tomorrow, as well.

Next on our agenda, we're moving right along. You heard Acting Administrator Butters reference this next agenda item, a briefing on the emergency response/public awareness effort, and he'll be joined by Sam Hall.

MR. BUTTERS: Well, as I mentioned

earlier -- well, first off, one thing I did
mention earlier is the great people we have
working at PHMSA and how committed they are to
the mission. And that is reenforced everyday,
and we're able to get a lot of this done
simply because of the folks. We're a small
agency by comparison, but we got a lot of
great folks. And Sam is one of them.

You know, when I came to PHMSA, one of the areas that we recognized needed some additional focus was how PHMSA could work and improve emergency response to pipeline incidents. Obviously, our regulations require operators to engage with local communities and But as someone who was on the awareness. other side of the table, so to speak, you know, when our pipeline operators in Virginia would call upon us to do awareness programs, you know, they would have what I call the rubber chicken dinner with trinkets, and we'd go and listen to the pipeline message and how safe they were and those sorts of things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

But as, you know, a fire chief, we have career and volunteer personnel. So just from the logistics perspective, I used to say, you know, that was a great program you offered for a B shift, but, unfortunately, A shift and C shift were off, and we didn't have many volunteers. And so, you know, what's going to happen is the pipeline incidents are going to happen on A shift or C shift and B shift to be off.

So we have to really look and better understand how the system works out there. It's a complicated system. Thirty-two thousand fire departments, and there's thirty-two thousand ways of doing business.

And the other thing is that fire departments are only one player in that emergency response continuum. Talking to, after, you know, the Marshall, Michigan incident, I went up and talked to some of the local folks about how they responded to that incident and the role of 911. And one of the

things that I continue to say to folks that
was just a compelling story is that 911
supervisor said something to the effect of,
you know, "We could have probably shortened
this incident by about ten hours," and I said,
"Really? How so?" And he said, "Well, when
the 911 board lit up at 9:30 in the evening up
there with all sorts of calls about petroleum
odors and whatnot, they sent a unit out to
check it. It was at night, so they couldn't
see anything. They said we probably think it
has to do with mercaptan gate station on the
gas side, so we've been having trouble with
this, so we think that's what it is. It will
go away." And I'm kind of paraphrasing all of
this.

And so, of course, Enbridge, seeing something different on their system, because of the fact that they had just shut that line down and they're bringing it back up, were trying to compensate for that pressure loss, thinking it might have been

ramp pressure up -- and the point was the 911 guy said, "Well, if I had been able to call the command center, the control room, and say, hey, are seeing anything on your system in Marshall, Michigan because we're getting a lot of calls," the dots might have been connected. So that told me that we need to really ensure that everybody in that system needs to better understand their role in terms of emergency response.

And the other group that was compelling that I really didn't think of was public health, the fact that many people were reporting to emergency rooms, reporting to doctors with respiratory issues because of what was going on in the atmosphere, and that was, again, underscored at Mayflower when ExxonMobil had that incident. And the public health system was really overwhelmed because they didn't really anticipate that this would really affect them.

So that's one of the things that
we've talked about and what we've been working
on within PHMSA to try to improve how we're
educating the local communities. Lanny
Armstrong can speak, of course, to pipelines.
He's in pipeline central there in Pasadena,
Texas.

But we initiated a number of programs, including a state-wide initiative in Georgia, building on our pipeline emergency response training program with the National Association of State Fire Marshals and really engaging a lot of the stakeholder organizations that represent the emergency response community to help them better understand why it's important.

And, again, you know, as a fire chief, they have a responsibility, as well. They have a responsibility to understand what the risks are in their community and to seek out information about how they can be better prepared. It's not a one-way street. I tell

my colleagues or former colleagues that if you
sit in the firehouse or your office and wait
for the pipeline guys or the hazmat guys to
knock on your door, you're not doing your job.
You know your community. You should be asking
some questions about, you know, what those
pipelines are, what's carried in them, how
they operate, where the valves are, who's
going to show up if there's an incident, all
of those sorts of issues.

So I'm going to kind of turn it over to Sam to get into some of the details.

But I'm very, very happy with how Jeff's team has really moved forward on this whole emergency response issue, and I think we have made tremendous progress and we're going to continue to do so, as well as how the operators, I think, have responded, as well, in their engagement. So Sam?

MR. HALL: Thank you, Tim. That's a great introduction to a lot of the issues that we're working on now. My name is Sam

Hall. I work with PHMSA in the Office of
Pipeline Safety in the Program Development
section. I spend a lot of time on improving
emergency response, especially communications
and outreach. And I also spend a fair amount
of time on damage prevention and grant
administration, all of which are certainly
related.

Tim gave me a great introduction.

And if you'll bear with me, I'm having -okay. Wonderful. Thank you, Cameron.

Quickly, especially considering that we do
have some new members on the committees, I'd
like to just give you a very brief background
and history on emergency response, to include
our regulations, and then dive into some
details on some current efforts that are
ongoing both within PHMSA, among other
stakeholders in pipeline safety, and then wrap
up with a vision for the future.

We're talking largely about emergency response specifically in this

session, but, as you know, emergency response and communicating with emergency responders is a very important component of public awareness. And I'll touch on some of those things as I go through these slides.

Briefly, background. Our federal regulations at 49 CFR 192 through 195 require operations plans and emergency response plans, to include spill response plans, OPA 90 plans, so on and so forth. We have a long history of working with emergency responders. Pipeline operators and regulators have been dealing with emergency response issues for quite a while. I want to call out our public awareness regulations, especially for our newer members who may not be aware. regulations incorporate the American Petroleum Institute Recommended Practice 1162 and call for communications and interactions with four primary stakeholder groups: the affected public, local public officials, excavators, and then, of course, emergency responders. I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

bring this up -- or emergency officials.

Pardon me.

I bring this up because

communication with emergency officials is a

major component of public awareness. It's not

something that we do in a vacuum. Engaging

with emergency responders is not sort of its

own project. It's part and parcel of our

regulations, recommended practices from the

industry, and beyond. So it's interwoven in

what we do on a daily basis.

Past and ongoing activities. I
wanted to touch briefly on some things that
Tim did mention in the past that we've done
and also things that we are currently doing.
Really, one of the main things we've focused
on is providing resources for pipeline
emergency response. The first is the awardwinning Pipeline Emergencies training
curriculum that we funded through a
cooperative agreement with the National
Association of State Fire Marshals. That

training curriculum, we had a relationship or
have had a relationship with NASFM since 2002.
And the training curriculum that was produced
from that has been made available to the
public online. It's been used widely across
the country. We've funded train-the-trainer
sessions for firefighters in particular. It
has a long history there, and the industry has
taken up pipeline emergencies and they have
modified it in concert with NASFM to be
modular, to focus on sort of a beginner,
intermediate, and expert audiences in the
emergency response community, which was
something that the emergency response
community actually asked for through various
fora. So that training curriculum exists and
is showing great success.

The National Pipeline Mapping

System Tim mentioned. That will be on the agenda later today. It's a resource for emergency responders at this point and in its current state in that emergency responders,

local officials, those with responsibility for
pipeline safety can find those pipelines in
their communities, can get contact information
for pipeline operators, and plan for potential
emergencies with pipelines. It's not a system
that is very useful for understanding what's
in a pipe at a given time. I think many of us
know what the limitations are out of the
National Pipeline Mapping System in its
current state, but it is a resource that
exists for at least becoming aware of
pipelines in your communities.

The Emergency Response Guidebook
that was published in 2012 and will again be
published in 2016 includes some white pages on
emergency response for pipelines for the first
time ever. That's a resource that's used by
emergency responders across the country.

Pipelines and Informed Planning

Alliance is an effort to address land

development in the vicinity of pipelines, land

use and land development in the vicinity of

pipelines. You know, these emergencies, when they do occur, will have much less impact if development is planned wisely in the vicinity of pipelines. We see a strong tie between PIPA, smart development, and effective and safe emergency response.

Grants, in particular the technical assistance grants which come out of the Office of Pipeline Safety, many of the grants that we've awarded to the tune of \$50,000 to communities, to advocacy groups, and groups of individuals essentially, some of those grants have gone to improving emergency response, to include purchase of everything from detectors, improvement of GIS systems at the local level, specifically for emergency response communications and coordination, and improvement of training facilities at the local level for dealing with pipeline And then, finally, of course, emergencies. our websites, brochures, presentations at many, many conferences over the past several

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

years, and many meetings with interested stakeholders.

We've been really educating ourselves and others. That's something that we have done pretty seriously over the last several years. We've done that through a variety of activities. We hosted an emergency response forum back in 2011. The industry has hosted several forums. There are several working groups that have been stood up. There are a variety of places now to, a variety of places, organizations, and groups where pipeline operators, regulators, and communities can share information and start talking about how we communicate best, how we deal with pipeline emergencies when they occur.

And through, of course, those interactions, we've been building partnerships, partnerships between industry, federal government, and emergency responders to try to address a lot of these issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I want to talk a little bit about what we've learned through all these partnerships and interactions. This is not, by any means, comprehensive, but I want to touch on these three points because I think that they're particularly important when it comes to improving emergency response.

The first lesson that we've learned through our interactions with all of the stakeholders that we've been talking to over the last four or five years is that we need to leverage existing resources and learn from other industries. There are lots of ways to crack this nut, and there's no need to reinvent the wheel. We can work within the systems that exist in the fire service in the public safety realm, and we can also learn from other industries that have similar issues, fixed facilities for example and other industries.

So there are some -- we don't need to start from scratch when it comes to

improving pipeline emergency response. We can leverage existing resources.

The second is learn from past incidents. What went well in these incidents? What did not go well in these incidents? recently funded a study through the Hazardous Materials Cooperative Research Program under the Transportation Research Board. We funded the Transportation Research Board to conduct a study of past pipeline incidents that were investigated by the NTSB and looked for trends in the incident responses, specifically how the response went. What did we do well? did we not do well? How can we look for areas to improve? We need to learn from past incidents and improve upon the areas where we can.

And then, lastly, the solutions that we come up with need to be sustainable. In other words, you know, Tim mentioned the chicken dinner with firefighters. While that's certainly an opportunity for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

communication between pipeline operators and emergency responders and it's effective in many cases, it requires constant vigilant communication and activity on the part of both the pipeline operators and the emergency responders. You have the issues of communicating with the right folks at the right time: A shift, B shift, C shift, as Tim mentioned. There are ways to communicate between pipeline operators and emergency responders that are more sustainable, and I want to talk about those in a minute, too.

A couple of current initiatives, current efforts. There are many. This is not a complete list, by any means at all. I just wanted to highlight a few of these. I won't go into great detail in the interest of time and keeping on schedule. But Tim did mention the Georgia Pipeline Emergency Responders initiative. This is a really innovative approach to ensuring that firefighters are trained in pipeline awareness. Folks in

Georgia, the public safety officials in
Georgia, the folks who are responsible for
establishing the training standards for
firefighters in Georgia, have bought in and
are saying, yes, this is a priority for us.
These pipelines are conduits for hazardous
materials in our communities. We need to take
them seriously. We need to train our
firefighters to be aware of pipelines and how
to stay safe in the event of a pipeline
emergency, how to save lives, how to mitigate
impacts to the environment.

This initiative, the GPERI as it's called, is unique in that it shifts some of the responsibility for training from the pipeline operators to the firefighters themselves. They are taking on their responsibility to ensure that their people are properly trained, and that's a key, a key to success to ensure adequate training. The trainers themselves, the firefighters themselves, as Tim mentioned, have a

responsibility. And in Georgia, they are accepting that responsibility through an innovative model, and we're looking forward to good results from that.

We have a member of the NFPA here on the committee. We are working with NFPA 472 Committee, which is, essentially, competencies for hazardous materials responders. And in the past, that standard, NFPA 472 standard, did not mention pipelines. It is now going to mention pipelines. That's exciting because those standards are, in many cases, adopted by state fire training agencies. And now the word pipeline and the issues surrounding pipelines will be incorporated into state training curricula based on that standard.

The National Emergency Number
Association, we've worked with NENA to create
a standard for call takers in 911 centers, a
protocol for dealing with calls regarding
pipeline emergencies. Tim mentioned the 911

issues in Marshall. There is now a standard that addresses pipeline emergencies in particular, and that standard needs to be incorporated and pushed forward over time throughout the country.

The American Petroleum Institute is creating Recommended Practice 1174 called Pipeline Emergency Response. That's a short title. This is focusing on the liquids industry and improving pipeline emergency response through recommended practice.

The industry has also created training portals that leverage the Pipeline Emergencies curriculum that I mentioned. They have taken that Pipeline Emergencies

Curriculum, they've broken it down into three modular training curricula for beginners, intermediate, and experts in the emergency response community. And the good work that many people put in to the original Pipeline Emergencies curriculum is now being used in a different way and in a way that the emergency

1 responders were hoping.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We're working with FEMA to create We've actually written it. a primer. It's now currently under review at FEMA. primer is on pipelines and state and local hazard mitigation plans. How do you incorporate pipelines into state and local hazard mitigation plans? Once you do that, once you incorporate those pipelines into hazard mitigation plans, their importance in the communities are raised. Now the communities are seeing pipelines as part of the hazard mitigation plan, a lot of activity happens as a result of what's in hazard mitigation plans, and FEMA is becoming a partner in that.

MR. BUTTERS: Let me add something to that, Sam. And that also allows communities to get grants from FEMA to help with pipeline preparedness, which has been a challenge for locals in the past. But by incorporating into those plans that FEMA

recognizes and adopts, then it becomes eligible for some funding so . . .

MR. HALL: So that would be a big win there if we can get that primer published and promoted. I think that we could have some great successes in improving local emergency response capability for pipelines.

We've also stood up a Public

Awareness Program Working Group. PHMSA has

stood that up. We are conducting strengths,

weaknesses, opportunities, and threats

analyses, or SWOT analyses, on various aspects

of public awareness programs, to include

communications with emergency responders. We

will publish a SWOT report from that working

group sometime in the coming calendar year,

2015, and we hope that that SWOT report can be

used by various stakeholders to better

understand how to improve public awareness

programs, including emergency response.

We've stood up a Pipeline

Emergency Response Working Group, which is

essentially now a forum for those of us who are engaged in these issues to share experiences, talk about what needs to be done, and demonstrate to one another what's going on on the leading edges. And that's been a very successful group for staying in touch with one another and making sure that we're all moving in the same direction.

Industry has done similar, has
made similar efforts to create working groups,
particularly API, AOPL have created an
emergency response working group that is
focused on these issues and is making great
progress. And, of course, our partnerships
with key players to include federal agencies,
and many others are delivering results.

The future is not set, certainly.

There's been a flurry of activity over the

last several years in improving emergency

response. I hope that what's come across is

that there is no silver bullet to the problem.

You know, I think that there's a tendency to

want to focus specifically on training, for example, or on some other aspect of the solution. And I think that all of these solutions are important, but it's really a complex issue. There is no silver bullet, and I think multi-faceted solutions, like some of the ones I've just talked about and several others that are in the works, are really the way to improve pipeline emergency response.

We need sustainable solutions. We need solutions that institutionalize pipeline awareness among public safety stakeholders.

Pipelines need to be a part of the conversation for public safety as much as any other public safety issue, whether it be tanker truck rollovers, house fires, burglaries, emergency medical. Whatever it might be, pipelines need to be a part of that conversation. And I think communities need to step up and become more aware that pipelines are arteries for hazardous materials in their communities.

-	
	_
	_

that will matter.

We need to coordinate

complimentary activities. I mentioned that
there's a lot of activity going on right now.
There are many solutions being pushed forward.
I think that all of them are quite positive.
I think that there needs to be, you know, a
continued spirit of shared responsibility
among the stakeholders pushing forward and
focusing on the activities and the solutions

And, finally, stakeholders need to understand and fulfill their responsibilities regarding pipeline emergency response. And in particular, I think that PHMSA is going to be pushing for communities to begin to take responsibility for their end of the bargain.

It's a shared responsibility. You could draw some parallels to damage prevention in that sense. Communities do have a responsibility for understanding the infrastructure, who to contact when something goes wrong, ensuring that they have the right equipment on hand to

	Page 70
1	deal with those emergencies, and so forth.
2	And then that concludes my
3	comments.
4	MS. HONORABLE: Thank you. So at
5	this time, we'll first take questions from the
6	joint committee with regard to the
7	presentation that you just saw. And then
8	afterward, we'll allow a separate time for Q&A
9	for Acting Administrator Butters for anyone
10	who had any thoughts or comments regarding his
11	earlier remarks.
12	So we'll begin first with
13	questions or comments based on this
14	presentation, and I thought I saw Craig. The
15	floor is yours.
16	MR. PIERSON: Thanks. More of a
17	comment than a question. I first wanted to
18	thank Acting Administrator Butters for his
19	leadership on this. Sam had a great long list
20	of good things that are happening. And on the
21	liquid side, which I represent, in 2013, as we

were going through our strategic planning

1	process, we identified this as one of our
2	highest initiatives in '14, and it's helped
3	lead to some of the accomplishments.
4	One of the things that you might
5	have mentioned, Sam, at the latter part of
6	your remarks, there's an Emergency Response
7	Advisory Board that's got a lot of energy
8	around it. It's bringing industry together
9	and a lot of the responders, and it's helping
LO	to drive API 1174, which I think is slated for
L1	publication in November.
L2	So, anyway, I want to make mention
L3	of that board. That's been pretty helpful for
L 4	us.
L5	Anyway, just echoing a lot of your
L6	comments. A lot of energy around it, and I
L7	think we're going to start reaping the
L8	benefits here soon. So thank you.
L9	MS. HONORABLE: Thank you. Rich?
20	MR. WORSINGER: Thank you. I'd
21	like to also thank Acting Administrator

Butters, as well as Sam, for your comments and

presentation, for your efforts in the areas of emergency response and public awareness.

John Erickson with APGA, as well as Bill Deford who is with the Municipal Gas Association of Georgia, participate on the public awareness working group. They have shared very positive comments about the group, so I'd like to applaud those efforts.

I would just like to share one caution. APGA represents the almost 1,000 small public gas systems throughout the country. Their needs, as well as the issues they deal with, are very different from large distribution companies, as well as interstate and intrastate pipelines.

As an example, one of our primary public awareness messages has to do with when one of our customers smells gas in their home. And that might deal with interior house piping, which is neither under PHMSA's jurisdiction, nor the LDC's ownership or jurisdiction. However, we think it's very

	Page /
1	important to share that message with our
2	customers that if they smell gas they need to
3	get out and contact us and etcetera, etcetera.
4	So please make sure that that message is not
5	lost in your efforts.
6	And regarding the rubber chicken
7	dinners, I've attended many of those. The
8	message is greatly received. We found that

message is greatly received. We found that

when you feed people, they will come. Thank

you.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Rich.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Rich.

Any other questions or comments regarding the presentation? Yes?

MS. WHETSEL: If you all would please state your names before you speak.

Just for the record, that was Craig Pierson and Rich Worsinger. Thanks.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Cheryl.

And I'm new to say that, and I'm always

kindly, maybe not so kindly, reminding you of

that. So thank you for reminding me. And I

recognize Linda Breathitt.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MS. BREATHITT: Hi. Again, Linda
2	Breathitt. Sam, you referenced an emergency
3	preparedness manual, and it was maybe three or
4	four slides back. And I wondered if it was
5	produced by FEMA or DOT. You said you had
6	added a new section to it. It's the Emergency
7	Response Guidebook. Who publishes and you
8	had mentioned you had added a section. Who
9	publishes that?
10	MR. HALL: PHMSA publishes the
11	Emergency Response Guidebook.
12	MS. BREATHITT: PHMSA does.
13	MR. HALL: Yes, ma'am.
14	MS. BREATHITT: So is it, do the
15	state pipeline safety inspectors have a copy
16	of that?
17	MR. HALL: Yes, I would imagine
18	they would. The Emergency Response Guidebook
19	is really a resource for emergency responders
20	dealing with hazardous materials incidents, in
21	particular.
22	MR. BUTTERS: And transportation.

1	MR. HALL: Transportation
2	incidents, hazardous materials incidents in
3	transportation, yes.
4	MS. BREATHITT: And this is just a
5	comment. When I first went back on this state
6	commission several years ago and we would have
7	small incidents in our state, and I would ask
8	our inspectors, "Well, why haven't you hit the
9	road? Why aren't you driving down there now?"
10	and they said, "Well, we don't go immediately.
11	We have to wait for the emergency responders
12	to get there and give us a report." So that
13	was interesting to me. It was kind of an
14	awakening. But they're very important in this
15	whole process, aren't they?
16	MR. HALL: Yes, ma'am.
17	MS. BREATHITT: Thank you.
18	MR. HALL: Thank you.
19	MS. HONORABLE: Thank you,
20	Commissioner Breathitt.
21	MR. BUTTERS: One quick thing,
22	Colette. I'm sorry. On the ERG, the

1	Emergency Response Guidebook, you know, that
2	I don't know how old that is. It's
3	probably about 25 years, you know, that it's
4	been published. It's published every four
5	years and was primarily developed around
6	transportation of hazardous materials
7	emergencies, but it has evolved as sort of
8	the, you know Lanny can probably speak to
9	this, as well. It's sort of the guidebook
10	that all emergency responders use for sort of
11	that initial response. And we've added
12	additional information on pipelines, and it is
13	in its, it's going to be, the next version
14	will be coming out in 2016. So we're now in
15	the process of seeking comments and updates
16	and what changes we need to include in the
17	ERG.
18	So it's an opportunity now, if you

folks have some recommendations for the ERG,
we'd love to hear from you so that we can kind
of plug those into the process.

MS. WHETSEL: And I just want to

19

20

21

1	add this is Cheryl Whetsel. I better state
2	my name, right? But in the last newsletter
3	that I sent you, there is a little plug for
4	the Emergency Response Guidebook, and there's
5	the name of the person that you can contact if
6	you want to, you know, give them suggestions.
7	Her name is Suzette Paes. So I just thought
8	I'd note that. Or if you want to get in touch
9	and you don't know where the newsletter is,
10	you can always email me.
11	MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Cheryl.
12	And it is also available electronically, so
13	Cheryl can send you that, also.
14	I'm not sure who was first, Carl
15	or Robert. I'll let you guys figure it out.
16	Oh, across the room. And that would be Rick.
17	MR. LESNIAK: Close. Chuck
18	Lesniak with the Pipeline Advisory Committee.
19	I just had a question. In terms of it's
20	real clear there's a lot going on. At what
21	level is this kind of information being put

out to the local jurisdictions, the local

emergency responders, the operators, sort or
their regional-level, district-level folks?
Is that coming out of PHMSA? Is PHMSA doing
it through the state agencies? You know, how
does the information you talked about, how
does it get to the people on the ground?

There are really a

MR. HALL:

variety of ways that the information gets out.

I think probably the primary avenue is the pipeline operators themselves engaging with communities through public awareness activities. The federal government has a limited ability to, you know, have boots on the ground, so to speak, and engage with every local jurisdiction. But we do work with the organizations, the trade associations so to speak, that represent volunteer firefighters, fire chiefs, you know, and so forth to disseminate information to their members and interested parties.

Through PIPA, we work with a team of folks to try to promote, as I'm sure you're

aware, the PIPA recommended practices. There are just a variety of ways that information gets to the locals. I wouldn't say that there's a one-stop shop for this kind of information.

What we have found, and I think that what you'll see as part of our findings out of the SWOT analysis that we're doing for public awareness, is that people trust the messenger when the messenger is in the same So peers, peers, people trust group, right? It may not be as effective for their peers. messages to come from the federal government, who many don't trust, or from pipeline We're finding and learning that operators. messages from peer groups are heard much more clearly than from outside organizations that are perceived to have a different interest, for example. So farmers talk to farmers. Firefighters talk to firefighters. finding that is a good way to get messages out, and so there are a lot of efforts to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

consider how to push messages through those channels to the lowest levels, to the boots on the ground.

MS. HONORABLE: Thanks, Chuck.

MR. BUTTERS: The other thing I would add is we're trying to leverage existing networks. For example, there's an organization called the North American Fire Training Directors, and these are the 50 state training directors in the United States, as well as Canada, and they're responsible for training in their respective states. And so by using that vehicle, that group, they can, in turn, push it down throughout their respective states.

We also are using our colleagues at FEMA, at the U.S. Fire Administration, the National Fire Academy, where thousands of firefighters, for example, and emergency managers at the Emergency Management Institute attend training. We're utilizing their outreach, their system, to also connect with

1	those	public	safety	officials.
---	-------	--------	--------	------------

So as I mentioned before, just
like the pipeline industry, it's a complicated
system out there. But trying to overlay
something new is probably going to be not as
effective as utilizing and understanding what
systems they use now. And that's what we're
trying to do

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Chuck.
And now Carl?

MR. WEIMER: Thank you. Carl
Weimer, Pipeline Safety Trust. I really
appreciate the presentation and all of the
efforts going into emergency response. You
know, every time there's an incident
somewhere, we get lots of calls from the
public. It's top of their mind.

One of the issues, and I was very thankful to hear, I think it was Tim mention this is that you're not reaching out just to emergency responders like police and fire.

You're also including health departments in

that discussion now. Because one of the
things we saw after the spill in Salt Lake
City, after the Marshall, Michigan spill,
certainly in Arkansas, and even along the
Yellowstone River, was levels of air quality
problems, things like benzene in the air. And
the local health departments, the first
responders, didn't seem to have thought about
that at all beforehand, had no idea what
levels they're supposed to be monitoring,
who's supposed to be having monitoring
equipment.

As we've reviewed even spill response plans, we find some confusion of that even with some companies sending their people into areas that have levels over what some health organizations think are safe. So I'm glad there's some effort to reach out to health departments, and I hope someone is going to step up and kind of take a lead at setting what those levels are for air quality issues because when we've tried to reach out

to CDC and a variety of health organizations, no one seems to want to take on that hot potato. So thanks for that effort.

MR. HALL: Thank you, Carl. Ι would like to say that I think that reaching out to health departments is an area where we can improve our efforts, frankly. We are just in the beginning stages of identifying them as an important stakeholder group. The bulk of our efforts have been focused on working with firefighters, essentially. And I think Tim's comment was pointing to the fact that we really do, not to put words in your mouth, but I think we do need to be much more inclusive with the public safety community. And your points are well taken.

MR. BUTTERS: Yes. I think the important thing is you understand who's going to show up to the party, so to speak, and make sure that they're not really, they're not learning their role for the first time on game day. And, Carl, you're absolutely right on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the public health issues. And they need to
reach out to their, you know, treating
physicians and ERs so they know what this
material is that their patients or these
members of the community are presenting to
them so they know how to treat them and that,
if there is a pipeline incident, they should
expect there's going to be a significant
increase in, you know, visits to ERs and to
medical facilities.

And the other thing, while I have the microphone, my statement about the rubber chicken dinner was not to be, you know, criticize that as a venue because it's very good to feed these guys. That's how you get them in the room. And I appreciate that. My point was sometimes you're only, you know, hitting a fraction of the folks you need to hit. But that is an effective way of doing it, and so I certainly don't want to suggest that we should discontinue that.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Tim. I

1 think we understood what you meant there. But 2 for the record, since we do have a transcript 3 here, it's good to clarify. Robert? 4 MR. HILL: Yes. Robert Hill, 5 Brookings County. And I just want to commend PHMSA for the modern technology. My Emergency 6 7 Response Guide is on my phone. And as a local official, when I do respond to an accident 8 9 that has hazardous material, I usually pop 10 that up just to -- so I do commend them on the 11 modern technology. 12 MS. HONORABLE: That's an 13 excellent show and tell. Thank you, Robert. Any other questions? 14 Rick? MR. KUPREWICZ: More of a 15 16 commentary. As a --17 MS. HONORABLE: Tell us who you 18 are, Rick. MR. KUPREWICZ: 19 Oh, excuse me. Richard Kuprewicz, Liquid Pipeline Committee. 20 21 Thank you. I just want to commend the efforts of PHMSA here. This is a tough nut here. 22

1	It's kind of like oil spill response plans.
2	You hope you never, ever have to use them.
3	They're never going to be exactly the way

They're never going to be exactly the way

you've written them. You hope they're good

enough that they'll keep people from getting

6 killed and deal with the issue effectively.

and resources, I don't know about you folks,
but I can tell you one department, and that is
the emergency responders, whether they be
fire, police, that's an area where they're
probably pretty thin uniformly across the
country. And so throwing more information at
them is a tough nut, so you have to think
about how you're going to do that.

And so as a representative of the public, I want to continue to reenforce and support your efforts here. It's never going to be an ending game, but you can get better at it, and that's, a -- you know, 90 percent of being smart is recognizing what you're dumb at and try to deal with that. So this is a

1	good step, and you guys continue up with the
2	good work.
3	MS. HONORABLE: Hear, hear. And
4	seeing no other tent cards up, I will now
5	inquire of the joint committees. If you have
6	questions for Acting Administrator Butters
7	regarding his opening remarks, now is your
8	time. Questions, comments? You aren't a
9	bashful group, but I won't recognize Jeff
LO	Wiese.
L1	Well, seeing none, on behalf of
L2	all of us here, thank you. Thank you, Tim.
L3	Thank you for being with us this afternoon.
L 4	We look forward to working with you, as well.
L5	And now we'll turn to agenda item
L6	number four. We'll receive a regulatory
L7	update briefing from John Gale.
L8	MR. GALE: Thank you, Colette. I
L9	wish I was here today to give you an update or
20	present a couple of rules to you to vote on
21	and discuss and debate. But regrettably, I

can't. Right now, we're not able to get our

21

rules published to the Federal Register. As
we go through this update, you'll see that
most of the rules are past PHMSA, and we keep
working with the other agencies we have to
work with to get those rules to the Federal
Register. We work on a daily basis with them.
We're taking the information and learning
lessons learned and applying them to the rules
we haven't heard back from.

But I'll let you know that I'm not just professionally disappointed but I'm personally disappointed that we're not getting these rules to you. I'm sure you guys are disappointed that this is not a four- or fiveday meeting that we could debate these rules. But, seriously, I mean, these are important rules that deal with very important safety issues. They don't only just deal with congressional mandates and NTSB recommendations, which many of them do, but they deal with very important issues that we have to address. And we can't start that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

public debate until we can get those documents into the Federal Register.

So I commit to you that our office will continue to work with them, and we're going to try our best to get those rules to the Federal Register. As Tim has said, you know, not only was it Ms. Quarterman's priority, but it's also Tim's to get the hazardous liquid and the gas transmission rule to the Federal Register. And we can bring those rules to these committees and have that public debate.

This is a slide review of several of our past budget committees. It just kind of gives you an idea, the idea of this slide, and we've done it again because we have some new members, is just to give you an idea of the steps that a rule goes through. In other words, PHMSA is not the only cog in this wheel. We have to deal with the Office of the Secretary. That's an office within DOT. We have to deal with the Office of Management

Budget when a rule is significant. It's a non-significant rulemaking action, we can go from PHMSA and, when we're done with it, we go right to the Federal Register and we can bring it to this committee for a vote.

But at the end of the day, the

Office of Management and Budget determines if
a rule is significant. We give our
recommendation. We give our debate or our
reasons why it may be significant or nonsignificant. But at the end of the day, they
make that decision.

Right now, about eight or nine of our rulemakings that were active rulemakings we have going on have been deemed significant or we expect to be deemed significant. We have a couple in play that we continue to work the issue. Even those that have been deemed significant, we're trying to -- you know, if we think it's legit and we really believe they're non-significant actions, we continue to make that point.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

_ _

22

But even still, for those that are deemed significant, you know, some of them have been moved past certain stages for a period of time, and we believe it's time to move forward with those actions and we're going to try our best to keep that moving.

With that being said, what I'm going to do is just go through the nine rules we have going on and give you, again, just a quick summary of what's in the rule and what stage we're at.

The first rule, as Tim said, you know, two of the priorities of our agency is the hazardous liquid rule and the gas transmission rule. And this is the hazardous liquid rule. It came about following the incident up in Marshall, Michigan. The ANPRM, as you can see, was published back in October The rule, the ANPRM itself, has of 2010. moved past PHMSA, has moved past PHMSA for a bit now. But it covers some significant Looking at assessments beyond ATAs and areas.

expansion of that IM process beyond ATA areas.
Looking at the thought of extending leak
detection beyond ATAs, looking at the
recurrent repair criteria for those lines that
are within an ATA. And if we look at
assessing the areas that are beyond ATAs,
should we adopt or look at a different
assessment criteria for those areas?

We're looking at the piggability of lines with the ability of those lines to accept an inline inspection tool. We're looking at reporting requirements for gathering lines that we currently don't regulate. As many of you know, the deal with gathering lines on liquid gathering lines, the statute is very specific on what we can and can't regulate. But it gives us broad latitude on allowing or requiring reporting for almost all gathering lines.

And we're also looking at some of the exceptions that are contained in 195.1, where there's broad exceptions for those

lines. One of the exceptions that we're looking at is the gravity line exception.

But what's also important to know is that this rule deals with close to five congressional mandates, deals with two NTSB recommendations and one GAO report. So as we move forward, getting close to the reauthorization, you know, not only is this important, obviously, for the safety of the public, but this is important to move forward in our regulatory agenda.

The other big rule that we're dealing with, again, the other priority is our gas transmission rule. I've been in the regulatory business for over 25 years, and I didn't even start off with hair then. But this is one of the biggest rules I've ever dealt with, and it's also one of the most important rules that I've ever dealt with.

This rule also had an ANPRM published in August of 2011. And this is one of the things that I can report that is a

little different than what we reported back in February. The rule has moved past PHMSA, and it's dealing with some fairly significant topics. A similar topic is from the hazardous liquid rule. Some people refer to this rule or will refer to them as like sister rules. It's looking at expansion of IM requirements beyond ATAs, such as assessments, looking again at the repair criteria for ATAs and adoption of more stringent repair criteria in non-ATA areas.

Looking at assessment methods, and that's consistent with a petition we received from NACE. Looking at requirements related to cathodic protection and looking at the regulations related to gas gathering. I think our agency has been very specific, very clear in its message related to gas gathering, and that the regulations today do not cover those gas gathering lines that we do not regulate adequately. And we believe we need to change those regulations.

1	But just like the hazardous liquid
2	rule I'm sorry. One more slide on this
3	one. As we were going through this, after the
4	ANPRM, we had the revised, our re-
5	authorization bill come through. And it led
6	us to this process that we call the integrity
7	verification process, or IVP. And what this
8	process deals with, you know, we've had public
9	meetings on this and lots of discussion with
10	different groups, is some congressional
11	mandates. It also deals with what we call
12	pipes of concern, and these are areas that
13	have been raised concern by both NTSB and
14	Congress related to grandfather pipe, pipe
15	with inadequate records, and that's where we
16	changed. Remember we recently revised our
17	annual reporting requirements to collect data
18	on those lines with inadequate records, legacy
19	pipe. And that pipe tested below 110 percent
20	of the MAOP.
21	So this rule has moved past PHMSA.
22	We are going to continue to work this issue.

It's going to be a challenge when we get it to the advisory committee in how we present it and how we discuss it and how we move forward with it and maybe take more, maybe a couple, three or four or five-day meetings with the advisory committee. But I think it's an issue that we definitely need to address; and, hopefully, within early 2015 or maybe late this year, we'll be able to do that.

But, also, just like the hazardous liquid rule, this rule also deals with about five congressional mandates. It deals with three NTSB recommendations and addresses two GAO recommendations. So as you can see, this is important for public safety, and it's also important for our agenda.

Another rule we've been dealing with for several years now deals with excavation damage. This is a rule that actually the advisory committee voted on back in December of 2012. We've moved the rule past PHMSA, the final rule. Basically, the

topic here is a requirement of the Pipes Act
of 2006. It deals with the issue of
enforcement of state excavation damage laws
and addresses the issue where some states have
been deemed or we believe do not have adequate
enforcement of the state damage prevention
laws. Congress gave PHMSA the authority to
enforce damage prevention laws in those states
it's deemed to have an ineffective enforcement
program.

However, in order for us to do
that, we had to go through a rulemaking
exercise to identify the criteria that we
would deem a state to have an ineffective
program and then the process that we would
handle it and then, of course, the enforcement
process for those third-party excavators we
would then enforce against.

So, again, you know, excavation damage is very much a top priority for our agency, and we hope to move this rule forward.

So we, again, we do not, as we

want to be the enforcement agent in these states. We want to be a backstop in those states that don't have adequate enforcement.

But, again, we need to move this rule forward so that we can be that backstop. But at the end of the day, we want the states to take over these programs.

This is another rule that the advisory committee voted on back in 2012. It just addresses a bunch of what we refer to as miscellaneous topics. We added some rules or some petitions from some outside entities. We had petitions from NAPSR, GPTC, and some other folks, issues that we wanted to address.

Nothing that big that deserved its own rulemaking, but we wanted to clean up, move the rule quickly through the system, finalize the rule, and move on to our next topic.

Little did I know that four years later I'd still be talking about this rulemaking.

But some of the topics it deals

with is performance of post-construction
inspections, leak surveys for Type B gas
gathering lines, requirements for plastic pipe
joiners. It deals with ethanol and an NTSB
recommendation related to the transportation
of pipe.

another important rulemaking for us, and this rulemaking, actually, I can report has moved past DOT, so that means it would be at OMB. The ANPRM on this was published back in November 2011, and it deals with the topic of EFVs, which is a topic in our re-authorization. It's been a topic at NTSB for many years, and it's looking at requiring EFVs. As many of you guys know, you know, we addressed EFVs for single-family residences under the DIMP rule.

But this looks at requiring EFVs for branch service lines serving more than one single family residence, multi-family residential dwellings, and commercial buildings or, as is in the act, the small

1 commercial buildings.

basically have two rules right now that have moved past DOT. EFVs is one of them, the hazardous liquid rule is the other. And, hopefully -- I was a little optimistic at one point that this rule actually could get out and we could actually have a vote on this this year, but we can still be optimistic and, hopefully, we can move on this rule within the next couple of months.

This rulemaking on our standards update was voted on in the advisory committees last December and February. And, actually, those are non-significant rulemaking actions we have right now. It was just designated non-significant in the last couple of weeks by OMB. As you recall, basically, over the years, currently we incorporate by reference about 60-plus standards into our regulations. And so when those standards get updated, we have to go through a rulemaking process to

1 update those new standards.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So this is our rulemaking vehicle to do that, and we try to do it about every two to three years based upon, you know, the new standards, based upon our committee, the members that we have on those committees and their recommendations. And so we should be able to publish this final rule probably in the next, I'd say, 45 days, if not sooner. When you do an incorporation by reference rulemaking, not only do you have to go through the process that is normal for a rulemaking, but you also have to get all the documents approved by the Federal Register. gotten the approval, we've gotten the OMB designation, and so we should be able to move on this rule.

Now, we also plan, soon after this rule is done because these standards always are changing, is to initiate a new rule. And one of the issues we're going to have to deal with in the new rule will be the site once

called the Section 24 issue. As you all recall, Section 24, when the re-authorization first came out, mandated that any document that we incorporate by reference be available for free on the internet, be available for free to everyone. It's been recently changed where it just has to be available for free to the public, not just on the internet.

-- the first rule addressed only those standards that were free. Most of the SDOs were making their documents free. So when we do the next standard, we're going to have to look at even those that are not currently available on the internet for free. And we're going to have to deal with the issue of how we make them free to the public when they request it. But before we move on it, we'll make sure we address that issue.

Another rulemaking we have going on, I originally referred to this as the miscellaneous tool rule, but that got a bad

name, so we're not allowed to call it
miscellaneous rules. So we went with the long
name of operator qualification cost recovery
and other pipeline safety proposed changes
because it deals with a variety of topics.
It's not just one topic. This rule deals with
issues such as, you know, are the current
operator qualification requirements, do they
cover all the operator personnel that they
should? It's dealing with a couple of the
congressional mandates on cost recovery.

This dealing with the issue of -we have special permits, as many of you are
aware, but we did not have in our regulations
a process for the renewal of special permits.
We're just dealing with right now those
special permits that are coming up on
expiration dates. But not only having a
process that we deal with, we wanted it to be
a process that's gone through public comment
and get these steps into the regulations.

We're also dealing with the issue

of in-service welding. It's been an issue on our plate for a while that, I think, is API 1104, Appendix B. And we hope to get those into the regulations, as well.

But that rule deals with -- let's see. That deals with, though it's a variety of issues, it ends up dealing with two congressional mandates and like four NTSB recommendations. So though it's small and it deals with a variety of issues, it is definitely a rule to keep your eye on.

We've also combined a bunch of issues and topics together related to plastic pipe. We received a lot of petitions from different elements of the plastic pipe industry, including vast distribution companies related to plastic pipe. We had a lot of recommendations from our own personnel that deal with plastic pipe and things that they wanted to clean up over the years. So we've kind of combined them altogether into this one rule.

1 The rule has -- this is kind of an 2 interesting one. It's not yet been designated 3 by OMB. This is one of the ones we keep 4 trying to argue that we believe, at least, 5 that this is a non-significant action and we're going to keep discussing it with OMB. 6 7 But we're done with it. So in order to keep it moving, we've actually moved the rule past 8 9 PHMSA just in case it ends up being deemed

But it deals with the issue of authorized use of PA12, which was based on a petition from Evonik. It deals with an AGA petition on the design factor of raising it from 0.32 to 0.4. It deals with enhanced tracking and traceability, some miscellaneous provisions related to PA pipe and things like PA11 and some additional provisions for fittings used on plastic pipe.

And the last rulemaking that I
want to discuss is another pretty substantial
rulemaking that we have on our plate. It

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

being significant.

deals with a couple of congressional mandates, specifically from Section 4 and Section 8 dealing with remove-controlled valves and the issue of rupture detection and leak detection. Those sections mandated that we do some studies on these topics, and we did a study and GAO did a study. We've also received two recommendations from NTSB on the topic.

And so based on that, we're currently developing a rulemaking. It's still within PHMSA, this one rule that's still within PHMSA that's a significant action, that would establish and define rupture detection and response times, including the metrics including the integration of ASVs and the RCVs and the replacement, as necessary, with the objective of improving overall incident response.

So this is going to be a tough action. This is, again, one of our more significant rules, up there with the hazardous liquid rule and the gas transmission rule.

1	But it's one of our priorities, and we
2	hopefully should be moving that past PHMSA
3	within the next couple of months.
4	And that's my last one.
5	MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, John.
6	Are there any questions? Don?
7	MR. STURSMA: This is Don Stursma.
8	On the slide you had with the big long title
9	of the rulemaking, operator qualification cost
LO	recovery and on and on
L1	MR. GALE: And anything that Don
L2	Stursma wants rulemaking.
L3	MR. STURSMA: One of the items
L 4	listed was cost recovery, and I'm not clear
L5	exactly
L6	MR. GALE: Well, there's a mandate
L7	or there's an allowance in our re-
L8	authorization bill from 2012 that allowed us
L9	to recover costs associated with certain
20	activities. It's very high thresholds
21	currently that's listed in the mandate at,
22	like, projects that are involving like two and

a half billion dollars, if I recall correctly. 1 2 It would allow us, like, say, if we were to do 3 a special permit, Don, and we're dealing with 4 a large project that's two billion dollars, 5 that work that we're going to be doing related to, like, that special permit, we could 6 7 recover that cost. MR. STURSMA: This would be what's 8 9 called the design review, I believe? 10 MR. GALE: Yes, yes, there you go, 11 yes. 12 MR. STURSMA: Okay. And seeing no 13 other things up, I'll ask one more question. On the subject of public availability of 14 documents incorporated by reference, something 15 16 changed on that last year. There was some 17 different legislation adopted, and I haven't 18 heard much on this subject since. And I'm curious about exactly if it's where I thought 19 it was. 20 21 MR. GALE: Sure. If you'll

recall, the original legislation said that any

standard that we incorporated by reference had
to be available for free on the internet.
What the change was is that they removed,
effectively, the words "on the internet." So
we have to make them available and we will.
They just gave us some more, that change gave
us some more latitude on how we make them
available.

earlier did not deal with any standard that wasn't already publicly available for free on the internet because that's what this section read at the time. So when we initiate the next rule, we have some flexibility.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Don. Andy?

MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake with

Spectra Energy. I appreciate, John, you did
a great job walking us through the rules to
give us kind of an idea what's going on and
updating us and kind of seeing a flight plan
where these are going and what the issues are

around them. I don't know whether this is the right place to bring this up, but I think it's just a question for consideration. We, as a group, do not vote guidance material, and we are not obligated under charter to do so and neither are you. But I think the use of guidance material is very relevant to the issues of pipeline safety. How could we use the space here to help inform the members about pending guidance material that you're going to issue?

We have some on here on the agenda, but there's others that aren't, and we don't usually see them coming. They just sort of fall out of the sky, and then there's a big excitement about what it means and how we're going to do it, usually a workshop or some kind of exchange behind the scenes or in front of the meeting. Is there a way to make that more like the rules where we kind of see them coming and get a little idea of what we're trying to solve and kind of get a little bit

more of a flight plan that's more transparent to everybody?

MR. WIESE: I'll try the first swing and, where I mess up, they can certainly cover for me. I'd like not to make them more like the rules for a million reasons, not the least of which is it might impede our ability to discuss them. But, you know, fair point.

Some of these take a long time to develop, and some of them the Advisory
Bulletin can be more of an urgent nature.
Those would probably be very difficult. They don't create new regulatory requirements. I know some will argue that point, but we don't believe that and our attorneys review all of these in advance of going out. They're largely explaining what's going on.

But you should receive all of
them, but your point is you're receiving it
after the fact. You know, I'd like to think
there aren't a lot of surprises in there, but
maybe there are, you know. I welcome and

1 entertain your ideas on how to do that.

But, again, on the ones that are urgent, I think we need to move those out. They're intended to address, you know, those are oftentimes weather related, snow, you know, hurricane, you know, you name it. I assume you already know most of that anyway, it's just is a prompt for the few on the trailing edge who aren't paying attention.

Other things, you know, I think on, for example, on construction and reversals, you know, that one was probably more significant. That probably could have used more time out. The construction, we've done workshops on and you guys have with us, too.

So I'm open to suggestion. On the ones that aren't urgent, you know, I'll have to consult with my attorneys, as well, about sending out that, you know, as a draft to the committee that said, hey, heads up, we're thinking of doing this guidance, you know, and

1 | welcome it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We also have only been meeting a couple of times a year. So I will have comments later about maybe making greater use of phone updates. I think that, you know, one topic, you know, phone update, here it is, here's the document, we'll open the lines and take your feedback. Maybe that's a way we can deal with that.

I didn't mean to put MR. DRAKE: you on the spot. This is Andy Drake again. I didn't mean to put you on the spot. just thinking out loud because I know it's something that you're using a lot to help clarify issues that are on the table. And I agree it's not all, but it's probably not none. Many of the operators on the table have a lot more familiarity with them than many of the other members of the committee. think that puts some of the members at the table at a little disadvantage about what are the issues because they're not engaged in all

MR. WIESE: Yes, fair point. And I rarely mind being put on the spot. It's sort of a daily occurrence it seems so . . .

MS. HONORABLE: I see two other tent cards. I'm going to yield to Mark, Don, since he hasn't spoken yet, and we'll come back to you. Mark?

MR. BROWNSTEIN: So thank you.

MS. HONORABLE: Please identify yourself for the record.

MR. BROWNSTEIN: Yes, I apologize.

Mark Brownstein, Environmental Defense Fund.

So I apologize if these are rookie questions,

but I'll ask them anyway. With regard to,

John, with regard to the gas transmission and

gathering lines rules, you mentioned that it's

past PHMSA, which I presume, from your earlier

introduction, means that it's at the

Secretary's office at DOT? Okay. So then

I'll ask the rookie and naive question. So

what does it take now to get it to move past
the Secretary's office to OMB? What are we
expecting the Secretary's office to do, and
how can we help them do it so that we can move
to the next phase?

MR. GALE: John Gale here. I'm going to pass.

Well, let me try to MR. BUTTERS: -- I'll throw it to Jeff. As John's slide indicates, the rulemaking process has to go through a number of gates. Each level reviews these things, including cost benefit and anticipating, ensuring that questions that may come from OMB are clearly answered. obviously, within DOT, PHMSA is one of several modal administrations that have regulatory actions in place. So it takes time to work through some of these issues. The more complicated a rule is relates, you know, can affect the time it takes.

But we, at least at the PHMSA level, are no stranger to our colleagues at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	OST in terms of them understanding the
2	importance of these things. And they get it,
3	as well. But part of the challenge is you've
4	got to work through the system, so to speak.
5	So I don't know if you want to add any more to
6	that, Jeff? We feel your pain, I guess is the
7	we're, you know, we're working through it.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you. Don?

I think this is the last one, and we'll take
a break. No, we're going to yield to Jeff
Wiese for a couple of remarks, and then we'll
take a break. Well, now I see two cards. So
Don and then Rick.

MR. STURSMA: Break coming tells
me I need to hurry. Don Stursma again. I
will agree with Mr. Drake that sometimes these
advisory bulletins can be bombs from the blue;
and, whether they are intended to be mandatory
or not, they can have a significant impact.
So I'll just ask a hypothetical question that
if an advisory bulletin came out that people
in this room strongly disagreed with, what

1 recourse do they have?

MR. WIESE: I'm happy to swing at that. You know, as I stated, they don't create new regulatory requirements. You can argue the point if you want to, but I have them reviewed by counsel up through chief counsel before they go out. So my reply to you is that they are not binding in and of themselves. They're meant to help, you know, and provide guidance and explanation.

We get as many questions and calls about what the hell does that rule mean, you know, or questions in the field when we're inspecting people. It becomes apparent that they're struggling over, you know, understanding the intent of the rule. So an advisory bulletin should not, by its very nature, create new requirements. Again, people will take issue with that requirement, but I'm informed by my counsel they don't.

So, in effect, we're not going to come out and inspect, you know, are you in

Rick?

compliance with an advisory bulletin. We
would be inspecting are you in compliance with
the underlying code. And if we have to
quibble about it, we'll say that the advisory
was provided, you know, guidance for you if
you didn't understand how to do it.

Thank you.

MS. HONORABLE:

MR. KUPREWICZ: I just have two quick observations from a public perspective, and I hope I'm not getting this wrong. But PHMSA puts a lot of effort into those rulemaking development. Tremendous resources in PHMSA work towards these. What I'm hearing as a representative of the public is that you busted your butts over the last few years, three or four years. We've got nine rulemakings proposed, and eight of them are going nowhere.

So my first reaction from the public, and I'm going to talk to my members of Congress, is we need to re-prioritize how we're approaching this. We may, all parties,

have the best of intent, but if we're chewing up critical regulatory resources and not getting anywhere, we need to go back and regroup and look at what is a better way to deal with this, rather than just rulemaking?

And I don't have the answer to that one. I'm just raising that question because I'm going to get asked that when I go back and talk to other people representing the public, and it's a fair question.

The other comment would be this:
the efforts on the advisory bulletins, I
believe, in my long career and going back and
forth here, is PHMSA doesn't issue advisory
bulletins lightly. They are not new
rulemaking. They're given a lot of thought.
And when you read those, you can see the pros
and cons being argued in that effort. And if
you don't have a regulatory way to get people
clarified and understand, you better have an
advisory bulletin process, and so I want to
enforce that process from somebody from the

1 public.

I don't have the answer, you know, if an advisory bulletin is complete and thorough. But the ones I've looked at, I consider them to be regulations. It's not exactly. The lawyers can get in a room and argue. That's their job. But from a public perspective, they're pretty darn serious. They're not quite a regulation, but they're getting pretty close.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you. Seeing no other tent cards out, I'll yield now to Jeff.

MR. WIESE: Okay. I just had a couple of quick questions. I'll pick up where Rick left off. Well, I'll just blame Alan for most of these advisory bulletins. So you guys see Alan afterwards. You can gang up on him in the hallway. No, I'm kidding. Alan has done a great job of leading most of that stuff, and they are not done lightly. They're done -- I appreciate your comment that if --

well, maybe I won't go there. I'll just say, you know, you got to do something, you know, and sometimes the advisory bulletin is an option you have in front of you. So I'll just leave it at that.

But I'll pick up on your first point and tell you, just as the committee as a whole, so I'm going to invite you to begin thinking about the topic. Tim kind of referenced it. We'll be talking a lot more about it at the next in-person meeting, and that will be the subject of pipeline safety program re-authorization, which will be afoot That is a big deal for those of next year. you who have been through this cycle with us a number of times. Multiple congressional hearings, you name it, you know. Everybody is standing and delivering their views about what's been done, what needs to be done.

So I'm not going to try to bias your views on that, but I am going to say be thinking about this topic because when we come

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

back together next time, I would really like your input on that subject. I mean, this is a very, believe it or not, very august body, and I think it would be useful, particularly to the extent that we can convey your wishes back to the Hill, as well.

That may not be an easy topic, by the way.

You know, a lot of competing points of view on that one. So re-authorization was one thing.

So it's a balanced consensus.

I did want to mention, I would be remiss, I think someone lightly alluded to on the liquid side, we have an integrity verification process designed by now. going through the final stages of making sure we're comfortable with it. I had mentioned to all of you on the liquid side it would be coming. But our hands have been full with a few things. We have a draft now. We'll run through exactly the same process we ran through on the gas side. We'll have public meetings. We'll be willing to brief any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

parte communication. We'll work it out all in a public setting. We'll bring it here. We'll present it to the advisory committees.

So I hope to, before we another advisory committee meeting, send to you, you know, what our thoughts are on integrity verification process. I will say that we, you know, we've learned a lot on the gas guys, so thanks a lot to the gas people. And I think if you've studied that model, you won't be terribly shocked when you see the liquid one. There are, of course, differences in those things.

And then I'll close my comments
before break by mentioning there was somebody,
somebody mentioned something about relatively
minor rulemakings that don't require much.
They're not controversial. Sadly, I don't
know any of those, but, you know, I'm trying
to figure out how to say. You all acted on
several of our things years ago, and we don't

take that effort on your part lightly. We continue to push those things through.

On the ones that we really believe are non-significant but others might disagree with this, we might propose a phone vote to be mindful of your time. It's difficult to bring everyone together, you know. Cheryl about shoots me every time we schedule one of these because the pulling together of everyone's calendars is really a bit of a challenge.

very, what we deem a non-significant rule, I'm going to propose that we send you a notice that generally describes what we're talking about and ask for your views on holding a phone vote on it. We've done these before, and, actually, they worked pretty well. We can do Microsoft Live if you want so you can just sit at your computer and look at the stuff while do it. But it would be a much more efficient use of your time. We can't do that on some of these. They're too big. The

gas rule, you know, the liquid rule, a lot of these are too big to do that.

But I think that's really what I had.

MS. HONORABLE: All right. It's time for us to take a break. Oh, Chuck has something. You're a very courageous man. So Chuck has something, and he signaled that it was quick. Okay. Very well.

MR. LESNIAK: Chuck Lesniak,
Liquids Committee. And I think Jeff and Alan
know what I'm about to say is we do have some
really big rules coming. For those of us on
the committee that don't live this day-in and
day-out -- I'm one of them. This is not what
I do for my day job. And it's really
important that we get educated and information
in advance, well in advance, especially for
these big rules. I can't walk into a three to
five-day meeting looking at something that is
really, really critical and important, and for
me not having seen it probably multiple times

20

21

the meetings. And so I can't really do this without recognizing, you know, the people who have contributed to this.

You know, there was no one who just sat on the sidelines and just kind of waited for people to tell them what to do. Every person was active. I certainly recognize Jeff Wiese and Linda Daugherty. They were very passionate about this, but so was every other member of the committee. You know, Stacy Gerard, fairly recently from PHMSA but excellent job of representing the public. And so we had gas transmission, liquid operators, distribution. We had small distribution operators. We had state and federal regulators. We had investigators, like the NTSB, participate and the public. I think tremendous effort was put in to having a very broad base of contributors to this, and they were people who really deeply care about safety and improving the situation in the industry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	I always repeat this slide because
2	it was the genesis of our team getting
3	together. And after Marshall, Michigan, this
4	is a direct quote from the NTSB report is the
5	NTSB elevated pipeline safety management
6	systems to their most wanted list, and there
7	were a lot of SMS's out there but not a
8	pipeline-specific one. So that's what this
9	did. And then they identified these several
10	bullets of specific requests, and I can tell
11	you the committee held true to these
12	principles and did not diminish any of them as
13	they developed the RP. We may have expanded
14	on some through our discussion. Maybe there
15	are cases we went a little further expanded
16	on.
17	One is like our second bullet,
18	document safety procedures, require strict

document safety procedures, require strict

adherence to the procedures by safety

personnel. Well, that may mean, for some

people that could be limiting. It's really

for all personnel throughout the organization,

19

20

21

from management, top management, to the person working at the very lowest level in the organization. They're all committed to adherence. So that's a case where not just safety personnel but beyond that.

Anyway, these guys are the team, and I think they were very well thought out recommendations, two API, to create this pipeline standard.

We had ten elements that were debated for hours. We had eight for a while. We had 11 for a while. I think we ended up at ten. They are ordered a little bit by priority. We can't say enough about the impact of leadership and management commitment within the standard, but that doesn't diminish documentation and record-keeping. We also felt the stakeholder engagement was very important and so on. But these elements each focus on improving pipeline safety performance across industry and at operators.

It's a framework of how to build a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

important to keep it at a framework because if it's prescriptive you lose some principles that we had adhered to, like it had to be scalable, it had to work for small operators and large operators, it had to allow people to start from scratch with the development of a safety management system, as well as let people who had highly-evolved systems build upon what they had, rather than start over trying to conform to this document. And I think we did accomplish that.

I hope all of you have downloaded from the API site and read through the document. It's not a particularly difficult task to read. I think it is difficult to understand all the pieces and nuances that are in it. It's about 45 pages long. I would say probably 30 of it are very instructional. You know, there is a little boilerplate in the front, but there are introductions, there's scope development and why it's important. In

Section 5, we began with leadership and
management commitment. In Section 14, we end
with documentation and record-keeping. We
don't really end there. There's a Section 15
that closes out the document, and I think
Section 15 has gone further in tying
principles of safety management to safety
culture than any other document out there.
That's my opinion. But we've taken each of
the ten elements, and we discuss how positive
safety culture, we enforce those things like
leadership and management commitment.

We also discuss how leadership and management commitment reenforces a safety culture. They're tightly related, but Section 15 spends a lot of time developing how safety culture interrelates with each of the ten elements.

I wanted to update you on the current status. We did post the Version 11 for balloting at API and for comments. And the balloting closed August 4th of 2014. It

was successful with 86 percent positive votes.

We had three members vote negatively on the document. I suppose we could say it was finished at that time. We had an adequate number of majority who just published it as it was, but it's really not the best document it could be if you don't receive comments and go back to those members who may have voted negatively.

So we followed up with each of the negative voting member, one, to learn if their concerns could reasonably be resolved. some cases, we heard things that were important to go back and work on. example, maybe we had worded something that was clear to the committee because we had spent months debating it. But really the document has to stand on its own. You know, a person has to read it. I don't mean cold read it but have studied it, and you ought to understand what it means. And, actually, we did gain a lot of good input by going back and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 | working through what people's objections were.

I hope we convert the three negative votes so that we could go away with a unanimous vote in support of the document. But that remains to be seen. I think we did make some very positive steps by talking through with those three members. And I'd like to say they weren't taking it lightly. They weren't anti-safety management system. They just felt there were things they couldn't comply with. And so I hope that, through our discussion and by receiving what we thought was helpful in the document, that maybe we have brought a couple of those votes to a positive.

We also had a thousand comments filed, and we anticipated several hundred.

And, in fact, there were many duplicate comments. So as we began to categorize, you know, what each of the commenters said by section, we ended up putting them within three subcommittees of our team. And all 1,000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

comments have either been assessed, and we had kind of three criteria we could apply to them. And in some cases, we just accepted the comment, that that was a good comment.

Sometimes, we denied things. You know, we just don't agree as a committee with that, but that wasn't done lightly. And sometimes we thought, well, the comment wasn't exactly something we could incorporate, but there was something in there that we should. So those are accepted with conditions.

And I'd like to say I really think going through this process will let us put forth a stronger document. Having gone through all those comments one by one, we've documented how each one was resolved. And the version that we are about to publish I believe is a stronger document. It's not weakened in It's actually been clarified in any sense. places where it was not clear in meaning or what the requirement was. So I think the next round of publication and voting will be very

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 positive.

know, we will be re-posting for a second round of balloting and review of comments. And after edits, I mean from Version 12, we'll be moving to 13. That should receive fewer comments or maybe a lot of positive comments. I would say within the 1,000 comments, a lot of it was very positive. They weren't asking for any change. They were supportive. So a number of those.

This slide says publication of the final RP would be in January of 2015. I think it's looking more like February, as I'll show on a chart in a moment. We don't take lightly resolution of comments, and so we actually go through each one. And then to follow the ANCE standard, if we make substantive edits, and I don't know that we've changed principles of the document but we did edit and clarify in a lot of places, so it actually requires a new comment period, and the shortest it can be is

1 45 days. So that's what we're going out for.

And then supposing that this

version becomes the final publication version,

we'll work from the committee and with trade

organizations to promote implementation

through workshops. I think to have an

interactive workshop with people who are

challenged with implementation or developing

a plan is helpful. And then we'll also assist

trade organizations with strategic initiatives

to help their members promote and implement

the RP.

And as an example of that is I
work from the liquid committee, AOPL, API, we
have seven strategic initiatives, and we had
21 I think. And recognizing you can't do
everything at once, we picked out the seven
that we thought were the most urgent to move
the ball along within industry. And promotion
and helping members implement a management
system, you know, across industry, that's one
of the seven initiatives. So we have a team

to help educate and assist members with what certain things mean and how do you approach it, especially if they're new to safety management systems. And I'm sure AGA, INGAA, and others have similar intent as to come along side their members. And then we'll work across industry lines, as well, to get that done.

This is the actual time line that we're currently striving for. If you look down near the bottom, there's two gray lines where you have comment periods in the next to the last column, and those were originally going to start October 10th. We really couldn't do justice with the number of comments in that period, so that slipped to this Friday. We allow 45 days for those comments to be concluded, which would be December 8th. Then we allowed ourselves a period to make sure that we've dealt properly with all those committees and have our final editorial reviews.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And so this shows February 28th for actual publication. I still hope that it's earlier than that, maybe early February, maybe even the end of January. But as it is with getting rules published, it's not just you wave a hand. I mean, there's a lot of work behind each of these things to take each step.

And, again, I couldn't say enough about the membership for the team and just how hard they worked. And no one has dropped out on about a two-year journey to get to this point. Everyone participated. And what we watched happen from the early meetings, they were pretty chaotic. Everyone brought individual agendas to the meetings and things they wanted in the document. And, candidly, as team chair, I went home with a pretty big But it was really amazing to watch. headache. As we worked through what the ten elements were and how they should be worded, the team recognized the prize and the goal of this

document was improved safety. And so people
began to merge on their views, and they turned
into a team with a common purpose, instead of
a lot of individuals with separate views. So
if you can imagine a team with a common
purpose, and that purpose is advancing
pipeline safety at the industry level, you
know, I couldn't be prouder of how far we've
come. And I hope all of you will take time to
read the document.

And with that, I'll stop for questions.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Ron.

And I should have introduced you as being with

Kinder Morgan, as well. I think everyone

around the table knows that. I think Jeff has

a comment, and I don't see any other tent

cards.

MR. WIESE: Ron's saying uh-oh. I wanted to just take a second to compliment
Ron. I think Ron has shown just exemplary
leadership on that committee. I don't dole

1	that out lightly. I was probably one of the
2	people early on that was really least
3	satisfied with the pace, you know, and the
4	progress. I always want to move faster, you
5	know. That doesn't help me in the regulatory
6	arena, but, you know, in this case, I
7	couldn't, you know, like you, I don't think I
8	could be prouder of a group of people who
9	really argued heavily at the beginning. And
10	now I think you could let anyone on that
11	committee go out and make a presentation, and
12	it would be the same presentation, same
13	delivery.
14	So it's a real testament to of
15	course, it took us, you know, how many months
16	now, as we've been doing this? Like 18 or so.
17	MR. MCCLAIN: About 24 months.
18	MR. WIESE: Twenty-four, yes.
19	MR. MCCLAIN: We thought 18, but I
20	think we're pushing 24 months.
21	MR. WIESE: You know, Ron wasn't a
22	shrinking violet by any means, you know. But

he also arbitrated and made peace, you know.

So I just wanted to personally thank you. I

thought you did a great job with that. I

haven't seen many better. So I wanted to

thank you.

I wanted to say to the committee I echo Ron's recommendation to you that this is not a long document. You know, I think some of us would have liked to have gone into more detail, but it's also the first edition. I believe it's complete. It has the necessary elements in it, and we should be proud of that as a first edition.

SMS, if you're not familiar with it, it does take a while of wrestling with that. You know, Brian and Bessie and people there have been wrestling with SMS for decades. But I also believe that if they're adopted by the operator, you know, in earnest, it will reap heavy dividends, not just in protecting people's lives and the environment, but I believe in a profit sense it will

1 deliver benefits to the companies.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But I've also wrestled with the whole notion and we talked extensively about should PHMSA require this as a compliance item, and I think we're still on the horns of the dilemma on that. I would welcome advice from the committee, honestly. I go back and forth on it. I'm not thinking now, and that's what I've said to the committee. Who knows about the future? But when an operator means it and they adopt it and they take it in earnest, it can really work for them. But if they don't and they're just writing paper where I've seen documents say "insert company name here," it's a waste of time. It's a waste of paper and money. You might as well spend it on something else, you know. But if you try, it will mean a lot to you.

I did want to say that we held three, we had a comment period before those two. Remember, that was the opened to anyone, anybody who wants to comment on this in the

world, have at it. And we had 600 comments on that one. So we went from 600 to a thousand comments, so I think we're getting people's attention.

The other thing I just wanted to quickly mention was the committee and we worked together to host two workshops, all of which we recorded in discrete sections. One was in February of 2014, and we had American Chemistry Council, the Institute for Nuclear Power Operations in there. We had aviation industry come in. I'm probably going to forget somebody right off the top of my head. But it was quite telling to me the similarity. We had people talking about healthcare, you know, safety culture and SMS.

At any rate, I wanted to point that out to you because that one in February I think was really a very good workshop. It's all on YouTube. You can find the individual segments if you just go to YouTube and search PHMSA plus SMS. You'll find them. I'm

particularly fond myself of the American

Chemistry Council presentation by Debra

Phillips. They have really gone a long way in
the same path that I think we're charting

ourselves.

February and from July are on YouTube right now, and you can see those. And I think it does take a while to wrestle with this before you get comfortable with it. Once you are, I think you see the inherent logic of all those pieces. And, Ron, the one graphic that I wish I had now and I'd throw up there, and the committee all agreed to this, the center of the graphic, it's a continuous improvement wheel, but the center of it is leadership because that's really the heart of this. You know, without committeed, serious, consistent leadership, it doesn't work well.

At any rate, I think Ron provided that in the committee, and I just wanted to compliment him.

1

2

MF

MR. MCCLAIN: And if I'm might

just pass along Jeff's appreciation to the

3 members of the committee. And several are

4 here, but if you come in contact with these

5 people tell them thanks.

I think you touched on, Jeff, we

7 didn't work in a vacuum from other standards.

8 We had a number of presentations and web-based

9 calls. We distributed other standards to the

10 committee to consider how that, in particular,

11 | applied to a pipeline system. You know, I

12 believe this is like technology. Sometimes,

we worry about technology: do we have the

14 right tool to detect certain defects or other

15 kinds of technology. This is management

16 technology, and I think it has the potential

17 to move the ball further than the other things

18 | that get a lot of attention. I mean, for

19 senior management, management, employees to be

20 | focused on what's the right thing to do every

21 time, and information going all the way to the

22 top of an organization or the right

information. It's a very powerful thing.

And I know Andy Drake has often used the word acting with intentionality, and I use the word making important things routine. You know, in a world of regulation, sometimes the minutia of what you have to do distracts from really important things. Well, safety management systems causes you to focus on the important things. And if you build it right, you're a force to have certain meetings and take certain steps so you act with intentionality on the important things. And I think it's very powerful.

And then, you know, as for regulation, I do think this is the ultimate performance-based rule. And it's not something you just go assess someone in a few days and understand and you could learn are they making effort toward it or not. But if you can imagine everyone is on some path of continuous improvement, so not everyone is at the same place but they're all moving. And

Page 147

that's really what's powerful about this.

Even within an organization, some locations

may not be as far along as others, but you're

constantly, as a principle, pushing to move

the ball further down the line to higher

performance.

And even if a company ever did have perfection, as soon as you acquired someone or built another facility, now you have something else to work on. So the idea of being finished is never quite there. something -- and I even heard it in the world of regulation. I think you talk about that it's an evolving thing, and that's the way this will be. Ten years from now, we'll look back and think this was a pretty elementary document. I hope we give operators time to wrestle with it for a while, to understand it, to take steps toward it. But we'll see how that works.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Ron.

Very well done. In the interest of time, I'll

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- acknowledge these three tent cards, and then
 we need to move into the next presentation.
- 3 Sue?
- 4 MS. FLECK: Thank you. Susan 5 Fleck representing thoughts of a lot of distribution companies represented by AGA. 6 Ι 7 want to agree with almost everything that Jeff and Ron said. I think safety management 8 9 systems present a great value to the industry, 10 in general. It allows us and enables us to 11 make thoughtful, deliberate decisions, rather 12 than -- you know, become learning organizations, rather than reacting 13 organizations, where I think we've been in the 14

We appreciated the workshops. We think they brought a tremendous value to the business, allowing us to kind of understand safety culture, understand the elements, and really get better with it. And we're delighted to see the process continue through revisions and all of that.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

past.

1	Until it becomes a regulation,
2	though, we're comfortable with moving away
3	from some of the shalls to shoulds, and I
4	think that's probably going to be evident in
5	the next one. And there's only one other
6	thing that just brings a little bit of
7	discomfort, and it's the use of the word
8	"audit." You know, reviews and words like
9	that, evaluations, are a little more
10	comfortable maybe than audit. But all in all,
11	I think it's a tremendous effort, and we
12	really do appreciate it. It's going to add
13	tremendous value to the business. Thank you.
14	MS. HONORABLE: Thank you. May I
15	call you Brian? Okay. Very good. Please
16	proceed.
17	VICE ADMIRAL SALERNO: Well,
18	thanks. I'm new here, so please forgive me.
19	I haven't had a chance to read the drafts yet,
20	but I'm very familiar with the concept. And
21	let me just applaud the group. I do have an
22	appreciation for how difficult it is to put

together a standard of recommended practice of this nature, but I think they're extremely valuable.

In my organization, we are using a, you know, a companion document, if you will, RP 75, which does very much the same thing, but it's older, and I would argue it needs to be updated. Maybe you've offered some ways we can go about updating RP 75, as well.

But, you know, just on the nature of what a safety management system represents, you know, in my view, it's really a strategic shift in the way we regulate and the way we approach safety overall. You know, traditionally, our regulations have been very much a product of hindsight, you know.

Something goes wrong. You create a regulation to try to fix the problem you've already experienced, and you hope that you don't have that problem again. This really is more forward-looking, and it puts the burden of

managing risk on the companies. And as you point out, Ron, not everybody is in the same place, but it is a journey. And I think the ones that maybe are further behind can learn from the ones that are more advanced. And I've seen evidence of, you know, in different industry associations where those, you know, best practices are shared.

Our limited experience with this is that, you know, we have made it a regulation, a requirement for companies to have a safety plan. But we're very nonspecific as to, you know, how they structure their plan. They just have to have it. want them to be thinking about it, to put thought behind it, but we haven't cited anybody because we didn't like their plan. You know, it could take many forms. It has to suit their needs, based on their own assessment of where their vulnerabilities are. But we want them to, we're sort of nudging them onto that journey.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

	_
1	The real trick will be, you know,
2	how do you gauge compliance or not compliance
3	but how do you gauge that level of management
4	commitment? Because it's one thing to have
5	the plans and the placards and the colored
6	vests and the safety videos, which are
7	important. I mean, it's sort of the sub-
8	structure of this. But does it translate down
9	into the worker who's actually doing the job?
10	And I've seen evidence in places

where it absolutely has. If you go and you ask somebody, hey, what are your safety responsibilities, and they can answer you, you know, in a very clear way. You know that plan has meaning and value, and that kind of gives you hope that, hey, we're on the right track here. Unfortunately, there's a few companies where it is a paperwork exercise, so that becomes a challenge.

But I think the important thing is we've got to start that journey to get everybody thinking along these lines. We will

- never have enough regulations, nor do we want
 that many regulations, to try to cover every
 possible decision point in the course of a
 day. I mean, this is something that has to be
 owned by the operating companies in terms of
 risk management.
 - Anyway, I, again, just applaud you for doing this. I think it's really a movement in the right direction.
- 10 MS. HONORABLE: Thank you. Andy?
- 11 MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake with 12 Spectra Energy. As Ron said, we're a big 13 advocate of safety management systems, and I'm not going to go into that and the value of 14 I think many of have spoken to those. 15 them. I think we have gas and oil pipes on both 16 17 sides of the board, very extensive And we've been talking with 18 infrastructures. the NEB and participating in this standard. 19 And I think, you know, I think it's 20 21 appropriate to take time to think through about regulating this. It's a big shift. 22 Ιt

7

8

offers us a big opportunity in performance shift, but it's a very different approach.

It's not a definitive pragmatic requirement that you can check a box and say I did this.

It's subjective, and it's continuous, and it's an ongoing learning and changing and evolving event. And how we regulate that would be very, very, very, very different, and we need to give ourselves a little space to think through that if it ever came to that.

But I guess my question would be is there, is there any thought about engaging the NEB, in particular, in these kind of conversations? I mean, they're in a very similar asset-based mind set. They're at a very similar risk-based, you know, management public scrutiny, social contracts, all those kind of things. They have management systems in place in Canada. We actually have very similar requirements in Canada. We have to make a management test station to our management system that the officer, executive

officer has to sign annually. And now they're talking about safety culture, which is sort of kind of seamlessly woven into this document.

I'm just curious is there any interest in a forum between the NEB and PHMSA, although

PHMSA doesn't own or write this document, to share these thoughts? It is a continuous journey about learning, and they're learning and we're learning, and it might just be a good synergy.

MR. WIESE: You know, and Brian might be thinking the same thing I'm thinking. Some things that we do, we're not hiding them but they're not immediately obvious to people. We've been working with Brian with the NEB for years on this subject. We've met at the Canadian Embassy and shared notes. We've shared the drafts earlier of 1173 with the NEB. They had lots of comments, but they also, you know, I think, on a higher level, I think they were very much aligned with it. On the cultural aspects of what we're doing, I

1 think they were very much aligned with it. 2 They're a little further forward-leaning on 3 that issue than we've been to date. 4 But, you know, I'm not opposed to the idea. 5 I think we have to socialize this, and the NEB, you know, we meet with them 6 7 several times a year. We've now started meeting with the provincial regulators, too. 8 9 So we just got through with Alberta, 10 Saskatchewan, BC, and NEB. So those are going 11 quite well, I think. Yes, up at the IPC when 12 we're in Calgary. I think you were in Calgary 13 like the weekend before we got there, and then you had to come back or something. 14 But I'm 15 game. 16 You know, honestly, and bringing 17 Brian and people in, these concepts are 18 transportable. MS. DAUGHERTY: You had that joint 19 panel with NEB on SMS. 20 21 MR. WIESE: Oh, yes, yes. As

Linda was reminding me, we did do a joint

panel at the IPC with the SMS on this. The new head of the SMS, Peter Watson --

MS. DAUGHERTY: NEB.

MR. WIESE: NEB. I'm sorry. Peter Watson is going to be really good. He really is. I actually enjoyed working with He was really down to earth. that guy. was interested, asking lots of questions. I think same discussion we're having. bringing them in, I know NARUC has brought them in to the gas committee before. Peter I think you'll find to be really good. I hope that he takes on that position. Yes, but I It ought to be, you think we share that. know, North American really.

MS. HONORABLE: I wanted to just add I've met Chairman Watson at their meeting, and he's actually quite familiar with our gas infrastructure I was delighted to learn. Very engaging. You probably know that, Andy. And so I look forward to working with him, also.

Now, on that note, Ron is going to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 have the last word.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MCCLAIN: Thank you. That's the best one to have sometimes. You know, certainly, we operate on both sides of the board, and we comply with the NEB rule for management systems. There are some things that are more prescriptive. This document is not prescriptive. That doesn't mean it's not very demanding of an operator, but it focuses on what to do, not how to do it. And, you know, in the case, you could have two companies pursuing this but implementing it a little differently. But as long as they're moving -- and I think companies, irrespective of regulation, I hope companies have time to wrestle with what it means and how to get started and the trade organizations contribute.

But companies ought to pursue it with a sense of urgency because there is a benefit to getting further down that road. So that would be my final word is, whether it's

regulation or not, the benefit is important
enough for companies to put a sense of urgency
to interpreting what this means and doing it.

MS. HONORABLE: That's a great note on which to end. Thank you, Ron. It's a very good presentation.

And so now we will move into our next to last agenda item. You may recall that Jeff mentioned we'd like to conclude with a briefing on LNG matters, so the next agenda item we'll take up as agenda item number six, a briefing on revisions to the National Pipeline Mapping System. Since it is just about 4:00, I would ask, if you're able, to keep your presentation to about 30 minutes, and that way we'll have time to take up the LNG presentation before we conclude. Thank you.

MR. MAYBERRY: All right. Thank
you, Madam Chair. I'm Alan Mayberry, and I'll
just kick off the subject before I turn it
over to Amy Nelson, who's managing the project

and who's done an excellent job in overseeing this project.

As you might be aware, we went out for an information collection a couple of months ago related to NPMS. And by the way, for those of you that are new, Amy will cover a primer on this, but this is an important information collection, important data collection tool for the Office of Pipeline Safety. And also it's an important tool for first responders because they do rely on this in incident response, as far as location of facilities within their jurisdiction. Briefly, the information collection involved, accuracy of the information that's submitted by operators, and then also the data elements.

Certainly, although we went
through a pilot project with a number of you
in the room before we went out with the
information collection and then also at
various meetings, you know, the result wasn't
without controversy. And we recognize the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

need that perhaps we need to have a conversation or deeper conversation on the subject, and so we're planning a public meeting that Amy will get into.

And also just right up-front, a question you may ask is, well, you know, you're going out for an information collection on this NPMS, and did you consider rulemaking? And we did. And, actually, out of reauthorization, we do have authority that covers information collection, and it's consistent with that. And it's a method that we use to collect data such as this. regardless, the outcome, as we always do, we do engage stakeholders. It's important to us to seek input. And of course, today we're seeking the input of the committee, which we value, as well.

Regarding comments, however, I
might add for the public there will be an
opportunity in this public meeting to provide
comments, to ask questions, so there will be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- an opportunity outside of this meeting here
 today for that purpose. So I would encourage
 you, especially since we're short on time
 today.
- 5 Let's see. Without further ado, 6 I'll turn it over to Amy Nelson.
 - MS. NELSON: Thanks, Alan. I am
 Amy Nelson. I am the GIS manager at PHMSA,
 and I'm going to talk about the NPMS
 information collection.
 - I don't imagine many of you need an overview, but, just in case, the NPMS is a GIS data set. We have gas transmission and hazardous liquid lines. We don't have gas distribution or gathering lines. We also have LNG facilities and breakout tanks. And the authority to submit to the NPMS comes from the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002. Breakout tank submission is currently optional.
 - In last year's presentation, I talked more about how we created the data

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

standards back in 1998. And we had a very
skeletal list of attributes or data elements.
So an attribute is something like the
commodity carried, and the attribute plus the
actual map part of the pipeline constitutes,
basically, the GIS data set.

So the attributes are rather skeletal, and that has to do with when they were created back in '98 when submission was option to the NPMS and GIS technology was not as widespread as it is today.

So, you know, we've found over the years that what we currently have, which is just, basically, like commodity carried, system name, pipe diameter, which is even option itself, and a couple of other minor attributes, that really doesn't help us meet our mission needs. We're missing many basic pieces of information about the pipeline.

We also have a positional accuracy of plus or minus 500 feet. Again, that's a legacy from the earlier days of GPS and GIS.

And we find that's not adequate for parts of our mission, like emergency response and smart growth planning. And as Alan mentioned, in re-authorization there was a passage saying that we had the justification to collect additional geospatial data.

So we put all this together and came out with the information collection 60-day notice at the end of July. The comment period has been extended. Comments are now due December 1st, and we've just started planning a public meeting which will take place on November 17th in Arlington. At the end of this presentation, I'll have the link for the public meeting to register for it. That just hit the streets yesterday, in fact.

I'm not going to go through all of the data elements of the information collection. There's about 20 to 30 of them, depending on how you look at it. I would urge you to look at the Federal Register notice.

That's in the last bullet there, the term that

you can search on for the complete list of attributes. Additionally, it will link to a manual for operators, which gives you specific technical information about what these actually mean. So if we say pipe grade, what your choices are for example, whether that's required or optional. That's all available through this Federal Register notice.

But I do want to talk about the positional accuracy. There's been a lot of discussion about that. We propose that it be tightened to five feet for the could affect segments of high-consequence areas in class three or four locations and 50 feet for other segments.

Again, we can clarify points
during this meeting, but I will ask, if you
want to make a comment about the burden that
constitutes or anything else, please submit
that comment through the Federal Register
where we can officially review it and respond
to you.

1	

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

accuracy.

So there's the positional

There's a couple of attributes

4 optional, like pipe diameter and submitting

becoming mandatory that are currently

5 breakout tanks. And then there's a class of

6 new attributes, and there are things like

7 MAOP, the highest percent operating SMYS, the

8 class location. And the class location, just

9 a footnote, that's a predominant class

10 location.

Another concern we have is the amount of segmentation this will introduce into GIS data sets. I'm not going to go too far into the techie stuff, but it has the potential to make the data set a lot bigger and that's a concern for us, as well as you. And also things like pipe grade and wall thickness. But, again, for the complete list, please do go to the Federal Register.

There's been some concerns about how PHMSA will use the new data. It helps us meet our mission goals in a much more

effective way. For example, emergency responders and government officials can create better and more appropriate emergency response plans. PHMSA is able to more effectively evaluate regulations, operator programs, and procedures. It will help our risk shrinking and evaluation methods.

Our emergency responders are a very important partner to us, and we'll be able to provide them with more reliable and complete data set of pipelines and facilities. And, you know, last year, we'll be able to provide better support to our inspectors with better pipeline locations. As you know, there's many areas where pipelines run so close together, the 500-foot buffer, if you will, could have ten other pipelines running in that swath. Additionally, the inspectors will have access to geospatial data that they can link back up to tabular data in PHMSA's inspection database.

Now, there can also be the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

question if some things are collected
tabularly, why do you need them in GIS? And
the response to that really comes down to a
couple of things. First, patterns are much
easier to detect if you can see certain
characteristics on a map than if you are
thumbing through tabular data and you can see
maybe the county, maybe kind of know the
general area. Once you map them, you can see
in an instant patterns that you cannot see in
tabular data. It also helps us trace the
pipeline's performance as it is bought and
sold by different operators, something that we
can't effectively do with just tabular data.

Once we tie these attributes to
the piece of pipe that's in the ground, then
we can create a more complete picture of
what's happened to the pipe over the years as
it's been bought and sold and, you know,
different events have happened on the pipe.

So just some important dates for the information collection. The public

meeting will be November 17th, that's a
Monday, at the Crystal City Marriott in
Arlington. Again, I'll have the link at the
end of this presentation for that. It will be
pretty much all day, 9:30 to 4:00. It will
have a combination of PHMSA speaking, pipeline
operators speaking, other government
stakeholders speaking, and public speakers, as
well. We're just finalizing the agenda for
that now.

So the comment period closes on December 1st. We wanted to have the public meeting while the comment period was still open. Also, the public meeting will not be webcast, so if you're interested I hope that you can attend in person.

I want to talk a little bit also about the time line for the information collection just to allay any fears that this is something that could happen, you know, next spring. That's not the case. In 2015, that's the earliest year that the information

collection could be final. You know how regulations work in the government. It could be a lot longer.

Say it is final in 2015, the earliest possible year that we'd be collecting this new data is 2016. And, you know, PHMSA is also considering a phased approach. understand this is a significant burden for operators in terms of cost, time, systems, everything else. You know, please submit your comments if you have ideas maybe that you could group the attributes into groups, and there are some attributes that could be There's another submitted the first year. group that might take more time to compile, and you need more time for that, etcetera, we're certainly willing to consider that. And we'll do this in a formal way through the comments in the Federal Register.

Another topic that I wanted to go over was data security because, to me, this is a very important concern when we're collecting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

this new data and there's attributes, like
MAOP, on this data. That's obviously a
sensitive attribute. So we've been working
with TSA to categorize each attribute into,
basically, one of three categories: either SSI
for government officials only which will
correspond to PIMMA which is our password-
protected map viewer application; and the
third class is elements that could be
available to the public on the public viewer.
That's, you know, obviously still being worked
out, still in a draft mode. I don't want to
talk about the security classification of any
specific elements until it's kind of been
reviewed by all stakeholders.

But I will say that if you're worried that these elements are going to be made public, that's not the case for the vast majority. I just have two examples here. One of the attributes in the information collection is what we call commodity detail. So the commodity might be crude oil. We're

proposing an additional level of detail for just a few of those commodities: natural gas, crude oil, and I think product might be the third one. So light, sweet crude might be the commodity detail that is required. If you specify crude, you need to pick one of the specific options. That could be available to the public.

And another very, you know, kind of minor one is whether a pipe is considered onshore or offshore. That, honestly, is just a designation that helps me and my staff because operators, there's really no kind of universal GIS boundary for onshore/offshore. Operators characterize it differently than PHMSA does sometimes, so we need to have a universal this is onshore, this is offshore. We need you to tell us what you designate it so we can, basically so we can match up the mileage for the annual reports and our other systems, our inspection system and the NPMS.

So, again, when we sent in the

1 comment period, we did put some language about 2 the data security in there. But I just, you 3 know, it warrants further discussion, but I 4 just want to say that very few of these things 5 would be available to the public. Again, here's my contact 6 7 information, my email and phone number. Please take a look at the Federal Register 8 9 page. And if you go to that link at the very 10 bottom there, primis.phmsa/dot.gov/meetings, 11 you'll see the NPMS meeting right at the top 12 there, and that's where you can register for 13 the meeting. And when ready, we'll prepare the agenda and notify everybody of the 14 logistics. 15 16 So that's the summary of Okay. 17 the information collection, and now I'd like 18 to take any questions. Thank you, Amy. MS. HONORABLE: 19 Well done. And I see Ron's card up. 20

certainly agree NPMS could be a lot better

Thank you.

MR. MCCLAIN:

21

22

Amy, I

1	than what it is. You know, I do have some
2	concerns about five-foot positional accuracy.
3	You know, you can go to any point on a
4	pipeline and get submeter accuracy. But, you
5	know, we operate 80,000 miles of pipe, and a
6	significant amount of that could affect HCAs.
7	So let's say you have 10,000 miles of HCAs and
8	you get 90 percent of it better than five
9	percent, you're still ten percent non-
10	compliant. You know, given the difficulties
11	in large databases and multiple tools that
12	present different GPS data even, how will you
13	address that? Because we shouldn't pass rules
14	that you can't comply with. And while you can
15	comply largely to have certain 100-percent
16	compliance, it's very, very difficult. So
17	have you thought about that?
18	MS. NELSON: Thanks for your

MS. NELSON: Thanks for your question. Yes, that's been a topic of a lot of discussion. So I see a couple of different elements to your question. The first is what if you can't get 100 percent of your lines to

19

20

21

five-foot accuracy? Our intention is not to
get any operators in trouble or, you know,
come down hard on any operators. I think that
what we'd like to hear from you is what would
it take to get one of two things: what would
it take to get the additional ten percent to
a five-foot accuracy? Is it a question of
just time, you need more time? Is it a
question of just money or a combination? Is
there a horizon in which you could comply with
that?

The second is if you just say it's just not going to happen, you know, these lines, for whatever reason, cannot be brought into that kind of accuracy. They'd have to be, for example, exposed in order to, you know, see where they are because the as-builts are from decades ago and so on. Then please suggest an accuracy that you feel more comfortable with in your comments.

MR. MCCLAIN: I'm sorry I didn't say my name. Ron McClain with Kinder Morgan

on the liquid side. But, you know, we look forward to working with you. I think the workshop and comment process is the right thing to do. I don't know how you would fix up compliance where you're 90-percent compliant with five feet and the rest of your system is six feet. And when you're dealing with very tight tolerances over very large systems, I don't know if you ever get to five feet everywhere.

So we'll wait and work through the comment period, but, as a performance criteria or a prescriptive criteria, I think that's very, very tight for a large system. But, anyway, I think the comment process you've outlined is the right way to do it.

MS. NELSON: Thank you. I just want to add, as well, you know, I'm a GIS professional and I do understand, certainly, that your initial accuracy you start out with gets watered down through processing on your end. And then when it comes to us, it gets

1 watered down even further, as we re-project, 2 So we do understand that the etcetera. 3 accuracy that the operators submit to us may 4 not be the final accuracy that's in the NPMS 5 systems. So that warrants further discussion, and we'll talk about that more at the public 6 7 meeting.

MS. HONORABLE: Craig?

MR. PIERSON: Thank you. Craig
Pierson, hazardous liquids. Just a couple of
points. I'll reiterate that we look forward
to having good dialogue in a workshop, and I
think my company, as well as others, has tried
to work with PHMSA and see you want to advance
this, and we share wanting to advance the
technology and the information.

The positional accuracy that I
think is important to understand is what
you're trying to settle on what is a latitude
and longitude and perhaps a Z-coordinate to
describe the pipe at a particular spot. And
that is different than trying to find the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

pipe. We can find our pipes. In fact, with our ILI, we can find minuscule cracks, where they are in a pipe body. You know, that delivers safety. Knowing the latitude and longitude as we try to drive approval for the organizations, we've not ever heard, I've never had anyone come to me and say, by gosh, if I had just knew the latitude and longitude within plus or minus some feet, we'd be so much safer. It doesn't resonate that it drives safety.

And so if you can help in the workshops, you know, get that, why is it that we need that level of accuracy, because it, frankly, can be just almost impossible to get. And I'll give a quick example. So we run GPS and our ILI tools, and every half a mile you have an above-ground marker, and that has a very accurate reading. So there's an inertial system in the ILI tools that goes from marker to marker, and it's trying to do the best job it can of figuring out where it is, its

latitude and longitude. And that can get off.
As it takes twists and turns, it can get off.
And so then you get your data back and you
plot it on and you try to line it up with an
aerial photograph, and an aerial photograph
has its own amount of error which can be ten
feet. So you end up saying, okay, I've got a
blocked valve site from aerial photograph, and
the latitude and longitude from the ILI is not
lining up. Well, you move the pipeline to
line up with the visual of the latitude and
longitude, and all of a sudden you change the
latitude and longitude more than five feet.

So those things are important that the maps look right and the people can go find the pipe. The five feet is not resonating as something that's so terribly important.

Having said that, we are constantly trying to improve the technology and ILI is trying to approve it, and we'll submit on an annual basis our updated data.

And it feels like we've got to understand

1 that.

From a security perspective, we'll be very anxious to hear about that. I guess to sum that up, it feels like we're making it an easier target by pulling it all together in one spot. If someone is wanting to do harm, it's one-stop shopping. And so if you can address that threat in the workshop, it would be appropriate.

And then, lastly, as we work
through what it is we do want to achieve,
there is some cost and benefit. We talked
about the tabular, putting all this tabular
data into GIS. Can we do it? Heck yes, we
can do it. Could it be expensive? Heck yes,
it can be expensive. So then what are we
trying to achieve with it?

So those are some of the things that we really look forward to working with you on and trying to figure out how we meet your needs and do something that makes sense to us. So thanks for the opportunity to

1 comment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MAYBERRY: Thanks, Craig and I just might add that, you know, in the Ron. conversations that I've had with stakeholders, I think there's common agreement of the end We'd like to be there, and it's where we want to be. What we're looking for is a roadmap in how to get there. And so at this workshop, we'd really like to talk about solutions to, you know, what are the issues. I mean, sure, that's good, we'll discuss But what are ways to work around those those. challenges that are out there? So we look forward to that discussion there.

MS. HONORABLE: Chuck?

MR. LESNIAK: Chuck Lesniak,
Liquids Committee. A couple of comments. One
is you might consider an additional category
of information because I understand the
security concern versus things that are
government official only, public viewer. And
there might be another category that is it's

not available on the public viewer, but it's
available to the public but it has to be by
request so you know where that information
went. And so that if something happens with
that information that you think you want to
know, you know where you've released that
information because you don't want to make
this so that you collect it and the only way
that the public can get it is through a
subpoena or FOIA, both of which are difficult.

And so I'd encourage you, I would way that all of the information ought to be available to the public, but you may want to just track some of it where it goes.

Then another comment is one of the attributes that might be good to have, particularly for emergency responders, also for land development and city planning aspects, is depth of cover, where that information is available.

And I do understand the issue with location, particularly with much older lines,

is as an alternative to X and Y coordinates,
lat and long, is, if the right-of-way
boundaries are known, that would be helpful
and that might be an inexpensive alternative
in certain cases for certain operators is they
may not know plus or minus five feet where
their line is, but they may know where their
right-of-way boundaries are and that they can
say we know our line is in this boundary.
Sometimes, they can't say that either, but
that may be an alternative to exact pipeline
location. Thank you.

MS. NELSON: Okay. Thanks for those comments, Chuck. I'm just kind of stuck on that right-of-way boundaries in that it would be a polygon and not a line, just from a techie perspective. We can talk offline about that a little bit more.

I have noted your request to talk about depth of cover. And tracking data that's available to the public, I'm just not sure how we would do that. So we already

track who makes data requests from government officials. And, you know, there's been just a few cases where we've seen the data be released in an unauthorized way. I'm able to go back to see who from the agency requested the data and kind of figure out what happened there. But I think probably we should talk further, if you'd like, about exactly how you could track information that's given to a member of the public, how you would know who it went to, given that GIS data can be easily converted into different formats.

MS. HONORABLE: I have Andy, Sue, Chad, and Rick, and Carl. Andy?

MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake with

Spectra Energy. I think it's appropriate to
impose some sort of, looking at some way to
improve the data accuracy and even the number
of attributes. The system is 15 years old.

You know, we came up with an idea back 15
years ago. It's time to grow up. We all
agree with that.

Operators, Spectra for example,
we've been working on gathering submeter data
on our pipe for the last ten years, and we
still have a long way to go. And we've been
working very actively trying to gather it just
for our own business value.

I think the thing that strikes me is, first of all, I appreciate you extending the comment period and putting the workshop together. I think that's really appropriate to your end. Let's get together and vet this out.

I think there's a little bit of concern is we have talked about this in the past, and we've seen the data attributes expand and the accuracy grow smaller. So there's some angst that people feel like they're not being heard. They're going the wrong way, saying more metrics, more attributes makes it incredibly more complex and more expensive, and tighter data accuracy does the same thing. And as a result of those

comments, we saw the attributes go up and the data accuracy, the number of attributes go up and the data accuracy drop down.

So that's a little bit of why I
think you got people's attention right now.
I'm not sure what happened the last time you
asked our opinion, but it doesn't look like we
were heard.

But I think the thing that strikes me, and I echo right where Ron is, is, you know, we operate tens and tens of thousands miles of pipe. The difference between what's possible and what's practical is a big deal.

It is possible to get data submeter. We do it all the time. What's not practical is to do it on 70,000 miles of pipe. It's not practical, it's not going to happen, it's not going to happen any time soon.

Time is also our friend. I think you hit on something when you mentioned phasing this. I think setting priorities in both attributes and locations is a big deal.

You're basically creating a sea shift in	
information. If that can be worked into	or
focused in areas and worked in normal wo	rk,
the ability to do that becomes much more	
ready. But when you issue a guidance, a	nd I
know this isn't a rule but it kind of be	comes
an enforcement rule, all becomes all. A	nd
some deep place where I'm buried or in a	
mountainous area where it's difficult to	get
the positional accuracy or I'm far betwe	en
AGMs, not a chance, so now I'm out of	
compliance and that's not good.	

So how do we work through that is a big deal. Where do you need this level of accuracy? Where is it helpful? What kind of information do you need I think is really key. Many of these attributes, I don't even understand the value of them. And I think that's what will be discussed in the public workshop.

But we would agree diameter, MAOP, you know, those kind of things, yes, sure, we

should be able to get those out there quickly.

But, you know, I do think that you have, the

more we can break that down into phases with

priorities over time is a much, much better

solution. So I think maybe that's a thing for

all the stakeholders to come to that workshop

thinking what do you really need urgently and

what do you want and then work it out in both

accuracy and attributes and locations.

Thanks.

MS. NELSON: Thanks, Andy. These are all great questions, great topics that will be discussed at the public meeting.

Again, we understand that the accuracy standard is contentious. We will certainly work with the operators on that.

I just have to mention there's only been maybe two attributes that have been added since I last spoke to you guys, and that's just because I couldn't talk about the entire list of attributes until it was out for comment in the Federal Register. So I just

picked a few that everybody could kind of anticipate were coming. And PHMSA's list did not change much over the past year at all.

Thanks for your comments.

MS. HONORABLE: Sue?

MS. FLECK: Sue Fleck on the Gas
Committee. It's good going towards the end
because all my issues have been covered
almost. I'm just going to throw out a couple
other ideas or a couple of other things to
think about.

You're looking for comments and collecting data, NHCAs, but HCAs are in the process of being redefined. So how are we going to provide comments when we don't even know what HCA is really going to mean until the other gas rule comes out, and we have no idea when that's coming. So the timing could be a little bit of a problem here.

The other thing I want to throw out is we haven't really talked about it. A lot of the larger companies are speaking now,

but the burden on some of the smaller
companies to collect this kind of data, and
maybe they don't even have GIS systems and
they may be working on more rudimentary type
programs. I think could be a real problem.

And then since this is another --This is I'll just throw out one last thought. a guideline. It's not a regulation. So when we go to our regulators to talk about, you know, cost recovery, because this is going to cost a lot of money and it's going to be a very big deal, they're going to want to see the value proposition for the customer, not just for the safety regulatory. So to add to the burden, the already huge burden on customers to pay their bills, we have to think about how this, you know, creates some value for them, as well, because, otherwise, we'll never get it through our regulatory commission. So there's some definite issues there.

And thanks for the opportunity to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

comment, and I look forward to the public meeting.

MS. NELSON: Thanks, Sue. I just want to address the point about the operators who don't have GIS. I find that there's very few of them. We do have maybe ten operators out of 1100 or so who are still using coordinates in an ASCII text file. Ι understand that some of these other operators do pay a contractor, you know, once to do it, and then they just kind of cross their fingers that they'll have no changes and won't have to update it. But most of them have their data in GIS format, no matter if they did it themselves or someone else did it for them.

MS. HONORABLE: Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks. Chad
Zamarin with Cheniere Energy. Just one
comment I think is important because it sounds
sometimes scary to have positional accuracy
that's, you know, not better than 500 feet.
But I think it's important to note NPMS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

mapping is not the same as the integrity
management process where we know relative
positional accuracy of the information that we
need to make decisions. So the location of a
home with respect to our line, you know,
that's stuff that we do and the regulations
account for inaccuracies and we have to factor
those in. So this is about having the ability
to present information for dissemination to
first responders, for the public.

And I participate in my company with PHMSA on this effort, and what really interested me the most was the process. You know, we spend a lot of time submitting information, compiling information, and we need to spend more time on analyzing information, reacting to information. So, you know, I think the idea of a phased approach, the focus of data exchange efficiency that can happen between industry and regulators and the public, and then content becomes easy if you focus first on the process.

1	So not having expanded NPMS in a						
2	long time, you know, we were talking earlier,						
3	it makes a lot of sense to focus on maybe the						
4	most important thing you would use it for						
5	first. And if that's first responder						
6	information, then get the diameter, the						
7	pressure, and the commodity transport. And						
8	with that alone, you can do, you know, an						
9	impact analysis for first response, and that's						
10	probably the single most valuable thing you'll						
11	get out of the data.						
12	So I think phasing it in is really						
13	important and recognizing that just because we						
14	don't have an accurate center line in NPMS						
15	doesn't mean we're not complying with the						
16	regulations to know where our pipelines are						
17	with respect to homes and businesses and						
18	communities around us because that sometimes						
19	gets lost in the conversation.						

So that's it. Thanks.

MS. NELSON: Thank you.

MS. HONORABLE: Thanks, Chad.

20

21

1 Rick, you've been very patient over there.

MR. KUPREWICZ: I'm always very

patient. Rick Kuprewicz with the Liquid

Committee. First of all, I'd just say deep

breath. We're confident that the regulators

will get there in the right place. Don't feel

like you have to be defensive. That's just

the nature of this animal here.

A couple of general observations based on much experience and interactions, some of it many years on the Washington State Citizens Committee after the Bellingham tragedy, we spent years discussing about records and what should be made public.

They're all public record meetings. They're all open and open for discussion. Some of them got pretty contentious. And between myself and Carl, I don't know which one of us was a skunk monkey, being called by some of the members of the public, because we were just trying to, here's the facts.

I think, from what I'm hearing, a

1	couple of observations that might be of some
2	value because I won't be able to probably be
3	at the 17th meeting. There are some
4	additional information that you want to add to
5	your system, and that's valid. What I'm
6	hearing here is you're getting a lot of
7	contentious about location. That probably is
8	way overworked, okay? Many years of
9	discussion at the Citizens Committee, we
10	finally figured out a resolution. It wasn't
11	necessarily the one you want to use, but many
12	of the pipeline operators just don't know
13	their exact location of their pipelines and
14	for many various reasons, nor do you ever want
15	to create the impression that a GIS system
16	gives anybody the exact location of a pipeline
17	when a half an inch can mean the difference
18	between life and death. We have a whole other
19	process in play called One Call that serves
20	that purpose, and we don't want to defeat
21	that.

So I think you're going to end up

being, the way the dynamics is going here and
if it shows up in the workshop is we've got
these other positive things that ought to add
to a very important database. Some of it may
be made public, others may not. But it can
become a battle on, you know, should we get
the resolutions better, and our advice would
be you might want to step back off of that one
because there's great entrapment here to take
that as to be a pipeline locate tool. And
that can be really bad.

So I think people can work and get to where you need to be. There's a little apprehension here. There's a need, I think. There's a recognition to improve that database. I think you'll get general consensus there. I also heard about the phasing of it. This database is going to go exponential. That's just the way this stuff goes, and that's why we have computers.

So I support where you're going with this. I'd be very careful about

creating, and this is a trap that the data
guys love and the techie guys, is more data
means better data and we can use this tool
well beyond its ability. So I think I heard
earlier here about what are you really trying
to do with this database? If it's enhance
first responders and all that, things like
pipe diameter and certain attributes, you
know, what's in the commodity and whatever,
those are things you might want to zero on to
have a more productive workshop. But I'd bet
you, I'd bet you my bottom dollar there will
be a battle about pipe locate, just from what
I'm seeing today. So good luck. Thank you.

MS. NELSON: Thank you.

MS. HONORABLE: All right.

Bringing up the rear, Rich.

MR. WORSINGER: Rich Worsinger,
City of Rocky Mount. Most of the comments
have already been covered but just to build on
a couple of them. I guess my question is I
can understand why you'd want to know

diameter, why you'd want to know product, pressure. But I struggle with why a first responder would need to know the accuracy within five feet.

APGA's members, less than five percent have transmission pipelines. But all of our members receive our gas through pipelines, and this is going to come at a cost. And if the pipelines, as Sue pointed out, are allowed to past this cost along to the customers, that affects us, my customers.

So I'm just concerned about what is the value versus the cost, and what is it that you're really trying to get at? Again,

I just don't understand what a five-foot, plus or minus, location would provide an emergency responder. Thank you.

MR. MAYBERRY: Okay, Rich. Thank you. We'll better address that at the workshop, but I know that's an issue we've heard. Yet, obviously, we need to move the ball forward, so just for some of the reasons

- that Amy had stated regarding busy rights-ofway and that sort of thing. But, anyway, we'll address it further at the workshop. Thanks.
- MR. WORSINGER: I agree we do need to move the ball forward, and I think that comment that the data we have, was it 15 years ago, Andy? Great, yes. I mean, the world has changed since then. But let's be careful what balls we're trying to move forward. We can't afford, necessarily, to move them all forward, especially if some of them don't provide the value, because that diverts money from other things, like maybe complying with PSMS.

MS. HONORABLE: Thank you, Rich.

All right. We ended on a great note, and so
that will whet your appetite to attend the
public meeting, won't it?

And so, as Jeff indicated, we will take up one additional item that was originally on tomorrow's agenda, and it's agenda item number seven from the October 22nd

agenda, a briefing on LNG, and it will be
provided by Alan Mayberry and Ken Lee. Right.

Jeff is whispering to me. Our objective is to
get you out of here at 5:00. The future
depends on you.

Alan, take it away.

MR. MAYBERRY: All right. Thank you. And here again, I'll queue the subject up, Madam Chair. Thank you very much. Since we last met, a lot of things have happened related to the issue of LNG. We're talking liquified natural gas. And Ken will give you an overview of that.

I might add that Ken came on the scene, and, soon thereafter, he, as our new director of engineering, relayed a challenge related to LNG and the influx of import or export facilities. It used to be import facilities, and now it's export facilities, and then, more recently, other applications for LNG that Ken will go into briefly here.

But Ken stepped up to the plate

and had to put additional resources on it. I know we went out and got some additional help from Oak Ridge National Labs. We also added up or beefed up our staff at the Office of Pipeline Safety related to LNG. And I might add that rumor has it the SM office over there at FERC -- Jeff, I don't know if there's any truth to that, but I know we've been joined at the hip through the process, especially in reviewing export facilities.

It's been a big topic when I've
been to the Hill talking to congressional
staff related to, you know, the interest.

It's been high interest on the Hill as far as
moving export applications forward, for
instance. And then also some of the newer
applications for smaller type LNG facilities
that are out there that Ken will discuss.

I might add, too, that these aren't limited to interstate facilities. We are also working with the states. And here more recently, we've worked with the State of

Washington on an application that looks like might get geared up out there and then also in the State of Louisiana.

So it is a very hot topic, and

Ken's here to tell you why. I look forward to

any comments you might have, but I think we're

looking to get out by five or a little bit

thereafter.

MR. LEE: Yes. I just have 13 slides, and I'll do my best to be brief. And I want to thank everyone for staying.

There's a big rush to export LNG, and this map -- okay, we got it here -- this map pretty much summarizes the big economic driver why. The price of LNG in the U.S. is three times lower than in Europe and about five times lower than in Asia. And this is due, you know, in part to the increased shale gas production. We have an abundant source of low-cost natural gas in the U.S.

Just a decade ago, the forecasts were that the U.S. would run out of natural

gas and we would need to import all of our	
gas. And, I mean, it's amazing how much the	
tides have turned. Now we're talking about	
having too much natural gas, and we're poise	d
to be the world's largest exporter of LNG.	
You know, this is due, in part, to the big	
shale gas boom and the low price of natural	
gas here in the U.S.	

There's a lot of work that's being done to convert a lot of the import LNG marine terminals into export. Right now, there's plans to convert six of them from import to export or to add export to existing import.

This slide details the main

federal regulators that apply to LNG. The

Department of Energy authorizes the import or

export of natural gas, or LNG, in and out of

the U.S. FERC is the main authority that

authorizes siting, construction, NEPA review

of LNG.

PHMSA's role, we act as a cooperative agency to FERC, and our role is

1	limited to 49 CFR Part 193 that mainly deals
2	with siting. This is for new construction and
3	for when the plant is in operation for
4	inspection of these plants to meet Part 193.
5	The Coast Guard is also involved

with LNG. They oversee the LNG vessels,
marine transfer, ship navigation, and security
in the ports.

So like I said before, the FERC is the lead agency for siting of LNG for interstate and international commerce. FERC, they prepare 13 resource reports in order to do that.

PHMSA's role is a cooperating role to FERC. We comment on only two of the resource reports, and we evaluate the project to meet Part 193. Another thing which we do is, you know, after the facility is built, we have our field staff that perform inspections to meet Part 193.

A lot of our evaluation is ongoing. We evaluate if any significant

changes are made and also during the operation phase. So our role doesn't stop in the initial design review phase.

We set up a website to answer many of the LNG facility review questions. And this is our website here. We have a list of project status, and we have a list of frequently asked questions because we realize that 193 was written years ago and it didn't take into account the risk of today's modern export and small-scale LNG plants.

So this is from our web page of LNG project status. And here, as you can see, we've issued 13 review letters to FERC since November of 2013. And there's three that are in process that you see are in review.

If you take a look at the FERC website, they show that -- on this map, it shows 13 LNG export that are proposed. Since this map, FERC has added one more. Two more?

Okay. Two more. I know that Downeast LNG and Maine was added to this list. They announced

plans to add export to their existing import application, and there's one more in addition.

Alaska LNG. Thank you.

One of the main parts of the 193
requirements is siting. And the exclusion
zone is the main issue there, and there's two
main exclusion zones. The first one is for
thermal radiation, and this is like the PIR
calculations. And we reference NFPA 59A where
they have three thermal radiation levels that
they need to design to.

The other exclusion zone is based on the flammable vapor gas dispersion, and that's based on a 2.5 per percent natural gas concentration in air. And typically, you know, your property needs to contain these zones. So that's, you know, there's much more to 193, but this is one of the main requirements.

And these are very complicated.

They can involve three dimensional vapor
dispersion models that takes weeks of computer

time to run. This may involve an analysis of hundreds of piping lengths to evaluate which one is the worst case release. We have two engineers working on this, and we have two consultants to try to help us with the tremendous increase in workload in the past year.

One of the things that's made our job a lot more complex is the trend of going from a single containment tank to a double full containment tank. In the past, the worst case release was from the large LNG tank, and that would typically mean you need a large Now, with these double full containment site. tanks, the outer concrete shell will contain any tank release. And so now we need to evaluate, it could be hundreds of piping lines, tanks, valves, to try to figure out which is the worst case release. So it makes the job a lot more tedious.

We are constantly updating our FAQs with a lot of the issues which we've seen

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

here, like vacuum jacket of pipe, new

calculations of wind speed, things to address

the refrigerants which are present for export

but not for import, and the failure rate

models that are being used.

We realize that 193 is pretty old. It was first issued in 1980, and it was focused on LNG import. I mean, this was written before all of the shale gas plays occurred, so it didn't really take into account the risk that we see now with export of small-scale LNG. So we realize that it needs some serious updating, and we started on that path on addressing many of the issues that you see listed here: defining single accidental leakage source to address the additional risks with the liquid faction, the trend, like I said, going from single to double tanks. And assessing the risk is difficult because there's been very few LNG incidents to calculate your risk likelihood So that makes the job very difficult. number.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

But we've created a cross-functional team which includes FERC and a lot of the stakeholders to try to develop these rules.

Another thing is, you know, we realize that we reference an older version of 59A, NFPA 59A. It has created issues because right now it's the 2001 edition, and we're working on trying to resolve that.

So under the big market trends,
you know, that we've seen is the big boom to
export LNG, and this includes building brand
new facilities, as well as converting import
ones, and also construction of dual import and
export in case the tides shift again and we
need to import LNG as a country.

There's also a big boom to build small-scale LNG plants. That's driven by the high cost of crude oil, which includes the price of diesel and fuel oil and the cost of complying with stricter emissions regulations. And so UPS, they have over 1,000 LNG-powered trucks right now, and right now in the U.S.

1	there's over 64 public LNG vehicle fueling						
2	stations, according to US DOE, and that is						
3	just starting to take off. And we've just						
4	started our first discussions with small-scale						
5	LNG operators to address the compliance issues						
6	with Part 193.						
7	Thank you. Alan and I would be						
8	pleased to answer any questions which you may						
9	have.						
10	MS. HONORABLE: Very good						
11	presentation, Ken. Rich?						
12	MR. WORSINGER: Rich Worsinger,						
13	City of Rocky Mount. Would you mind going						
14	back to your first slide?						
15	MR. LEE: This one?						
16	MR. WORSINGER: The next one. So						
17	from your presentation and from this slide, I						
18	take it the driver here is basically the						
19	amount of work that PHMSA needs to do in						
20	regards to the review and siting approvals for						
21	the sitings of these various plants; is that						

That's what the driver is behind

correct?

L	wanting	to	increase	the	LNG	fees?
---	---------	----	----------	-----	-----	-------

MR. MAYBERRY: No, that had to do with how we calculate the fee. It was trying to reset how we calculate it. This wasn't a driver for that, a primary driver anyway.

MR. WORSINGER: So it didn't have to do with the amount of work associated with all of this?

MR. MAYBERRY: Absolutely not, yes.

MR. WORSINGER: Just a couple of comments, observations. APGA's concern with this change to a tiered structure, there are a number of small LDCs that use LNG for storage and for peak shaving. Back in North Carolina and Greenville Utilities is the largest municipal LDC. They have a total of about 22,000 customers. They have storage for 4,875 barrels of LNG, which they currently pay \$1,250 a year. With this proposal, that would go up to \$10,000 a year, which would cost Greenville Utilities \$2.00 per barrel. Sabine

Pass Export Terminal with over five million barrels of storage would pay \$60,000 or slightly more than one penny per barrel. So that's one penny for Sabine Pass versus \$2.00 a barrel for Greenville Utilities.

I'm concerned that the citizens that live in Greenville, North Carolina, as well as other small LDCs, would be paying for these increased costs for the exportation of LNG, which, obviously, is being done to make a profit. My point is pass the cost along to those that are making a profit, not charge those that use LNG simply for peak shaving. Thank you.

MS. HONORABLE: All right. Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Chad Zamarin,

Cheniere Energy. I didn't plan to thank Rich

for suggesting to pass the cost on to me, but

We are building two LNG export terminals, and I just want to make two comments. First, I wanted to compliment you,

I will.

Thanks.

Ken. I've heard from our staff numerous times that you and the PHMSA team have been extremely helpful in not only moving the process forward but making sure it was a better and safer facility. So, you know, really great job and my compliments to you and to the entire team.

And then the second comment was just an invitation to any of the committee members. We have a project underway, the Sabine Pass facility. It's the first LNG terminal under construction. We have about 5,000 people working on the job site today, It really is an incredible 130 cranes. That facility will liquify and project. export 4 BCF a day of natural gas, so it will be one of the largest new markets created in the United States. And so just an open invitation to anyone on the committee, if you'd like to go to the facility or learn anymore about the project, feel free to reach Thank you. out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. HONORABLE: Thanks, Chad. I'm sure some of us will take you up on it. I've had a couple of invites, but it's just been an unusually busy year, so I haven't been able to take you up on that.

Any other questions or comments?

This really was a great end to the day, and we are all quite interested in what's going on with LNG, both with continued efforts underway here and efforts to export, as well.

All right. So it sounds as if no other tent cards. You all are ready to go, aren't you? So as you prepare to leave for the day, please leave your name tags and tent cards on the table. And you can leave your paper items, too, but Cheryl recommends that you take your regs with you. You may not see those there tomorrow.

So in keeping with our plan, we will recess for the day. But before we do, I will yield to Jeff to see if he has any closing matters. But we do plan to begin

1	promptly	at 1	nine	in	the	morning.	So	1'11	turn
2	to Jeff.								

Thank you very much, MR. WIESE: Colette, and thank you so much for doing an excellent job of chairing again. I'm always thankful for that. You do an excellent job.

I thought I would just read the U.S. Constitution to you before we would leave here, just to remind everybody about our foundations.

No, I did want to say to Chad's invitation, you know, we have talked before about meeting outside of Washington. I would really love to do that. I would like to, you know, sometime do it in conjunction with a tour of a facility that is related to the business we're talking about.

Right now until the middle part of December, federal agencies, particularly us, are taking a 30-percent cut on our travel budgets. And we don't affect our inspection and investigation budgets. We won't do that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	So the whole 30 percent comes out of pretty						
2	much everything else. So it's been very						
3	difficult. We've had to postpone a lot of						
4	stuff. But you wonder about, you know, you						
5	don't see these things in the background, why						
6	do things take longer, you know. We'll punt						
7	for several months now on several things, or						
8	we'll hold them in D.C.						
9	But I very much appreciate the						
10	offer. I'd very much love to do that. I've						
11	seen the photos, you know. I think it would						
12	be fascinating to have a committee meeting out						
13	at a facility like that where we can have						
14	hands-on.						
15	But at any rate, I want to thank						
16	you so much for your service today and look						
17	forward to seeing you at 9 a.m. tomorrow						
18	morning.						
19	(Whereupon, the above-referred to						
20	matter went off the record at 4:56						
21	p.m.)						

	178:14 184:18	76:11 98:12	87:6	age 34:13
A 41 250 211 20	185:16,21 186:2,3	188:19 201:3	ADMIRAL 12:14	agencies 26:14 27:5
\$1,250 211:20	187:10,15 188:9		149:17	30:19 33:18 63:14
\$10,000 211:21	188:14 191:20	205:20,22 addition 25:8 206:2	ado 162:5	67:15 78:4 88:4
\$2.00 211:22 212:4				
\$50,000 57:11	192:3 198:3	additional 32:16	adopt 92:7 142:11	215:19
\$60,000 212:2	accurate 178:19	46:11 76:12	adopted 63:13	agency 23:9 31:3
a.m 216:17	193:14	105:18 164:6	108:17 141:19	37:1,15 46:7
ability 21:17 36:5	achieve 180:11,17	172:1 175:6	adoption 94:10	91:13 94:17 97:21
44:16 78:13 92:10	achieving 24:14	181:18 195:4	adopts 66:1	184:5 203:22
111:7 187:4 192:8	acknowledge 39:13	199:20 201:1,2	advance 28:21 35:3	204:10
197:4	148:1	208:17	40:12 111:16	Agency's 21:22
able 29:4,21 30:22	acknowledged	Additionally 165:2	125:18,18 177:14	agenda 3:3,6,9,13
33:2 40:17 46:5	45:13	167:18	177:15	3:16 4:4,11 8:8
49:3 87:22 96:9	acquired 147:8	address 26:19	advanced 23:13	9:18 10:4 30:1
101:8,16 159:14	act 97:1 99:22	31:21 32:3 34:14	151:5	45:5,16,18 55:20
167:4,10,12 184:4	146:11 162:18	56:20 58:22 88:22	advancing 35:7	87:15 93:11 96:16
188:1 195:2 214:4	203:21	96:7 98:15 102:19	139:6	110:13 126:13
above-ground	acted 123:21	112:4 174:13	advice 8:3 142:6	159:8,10,11 169:9
178:18	acting 3:4 14:15	180:8 191:4	196:7	173:14 199:21,22
above-referred	21:19,21 22:17	198:19 199:3	Advisor 18:2 19:2	200:1
126:7 216:19	23:4 37:21 45:17	208:2,16 210:5	advisory 1:3,6,16	agendas 8:6 138:16
absolutely 83:22	70:9,18 71:21	addressed 99:16	2:1 6:19 7:2 11:9	agent 98:2
152:11 211:9	87:6 146:3	102:10	12:3,6 13:7 16:7	aging 34:6,9,11
abundant 202:19	action 28:13,16	addresses 64:2	16:17,20 22:13	AGMs 187:11
academy 39:19	34:3 41:1 90:2	96:13 97:4 98:11	71:7 77:18 96:2,6	ago 75:6 123:22
80:18	105:5 106:12,20	addressing 33:19	96:20 98:10	160:5 175:18
accelerated 35:1	actions 90:21 91:5	34:7,9,16 208:14	100:13 111:10	184:21 199:8
accept 92:11	100:15 115:17	adequate 62:20	116:17,21 117:17	202:21 205:9
acceptable 39:4	active 44:12 90:14	97:5 98:4 132:4	118:1,4 119:12,14	agree 23:10 113:16
accepted 134:3,11	127:7	164:1	119:21 120:3,17	116:16 134:6
accepting 63:2	actively 185:5	adequately 94:21	121:3 123:4,6	148:7 173:22
access 167:19	activities 54:12	adhered 130:4	advocacy 41:20	184:22 187:21
accident 85:8	58:7 69:2,9 78:12	adherence 128:19	57:11	199:5
accidental 208:16	107:20	129:4	advocate 153:13	agreed 144:14
accidents 41:13	activity 61:4 65:13	Adjournment 4:17	aerial 179:5,5,8	agreement 54:21
accomplish 130:12	67:18 69:3	administration	affect 49:22 115:20	181:5
accomplishing	actual 137:9 138:2	52:7 80:17	165:12 174:6	ahead 8:15
24:15	163:5	administrations	215:21	air 15:22 25:11
accomplishments	add 65:17 77:1	115:16	afford 199:11	82:5,6,21 206:15
71:3	80:6 116:5 149:12	administrative	afoot 121:13	airline 30:22
account 20:2 192:7	157:17 161:20	13:14	afternoon 5:3	Alan 2:12 4:7,13
205:10 208:11	176:18 181:3	administrator 3:4	11:17 12:7 14:14	14:17 22:20
accuracy 160:15	190:14 195:4	5:5 14:16,19	18:12 21:5 23:3	120:16,18,19
163:20 165:10	196:3 200:14	18:14 21:19,21	87:13	125:11 159:20
166:2 174:2,4	201:6,19 203:13	22:1,18 23:5,12	afterward 70:8	162:7 164:3 200:2
175:1,7,15,19	206:1	23:22 37:22 45:17	AGA 105:13 137:4	200:6 210:7
176:20 177:3,4,17	added 42:1 74:6,8	70:9,18 71:21	148:6	Alaska 206:3

Alberta 156:9	184:15 188:11	application 171:8	argued 119:18	ATAs 91:22 92:3,6
aligned 155:21	199:8	202:1 206:2	140:9	94:8,9
156:1	angst 185:17	applications	Arkansas 14:5 82:4	atmosphere 49:17
allay 169:19	animal 194:8	200:20 201:15,17	Arlington 164:13	attend 80:21
Allen 2:14 18:6,7	announced 205:22	applied 145:11	169:3	169:16 199:17
Alliance 56:20	annual 95:17	appled 143.11 apply 134:2 203:15	Armstrong 2:2	attended 73:7
allow 42:22 70:8	172:20 179:21	apply 134.2 203.13 applying 88:8	11:21,21 50:5	attention 112:9
108:2 130:6	annually 155:1	apprying 66.6 appreciate 6:17	arrogance 39:8	143:4 145:18
137:17	ANPRM 91:17,19	81:13 84:16	arteries 68:21	186:5
allowance 107:17	93:20 95:4 99:10	109:18 120:22	as-builts 175:17	attorneys 111:15
allowed 103:1	answer 37:19 119:6	149:12 185:8	ASCII 191:8	112:19
107:18 137:19	120:2 152:13	216:9	Asia 202:17	attribute 163:3,4
198:10	205:4 210:8	appreciated 148:16	asked 43:21 44:17	171:3,4
allowing 92:18	answered 115:14	appreciation 15:21	55:15 119:8 186:7	attributes 163:2,7
148:18	anti-safety 133:9	145:2 149:22	205:8	163:17 165:2
allows 65:18	anticipate 49:21	apprehension	asking 22:22 43:6	166:2,6 168:15
148:10	189:2	196:14	51:5 135:9 157:8	170:12,13 171:1
alluded 44:6	anticipated 133:17	approach 32:1	aspect 68:2	170.12,13 171.1
122:12	anticipated 135.17 anticipating 115:13	61:21 137:2	aspects 66:12	184:19 185:15,20
alternative 183:1,4	anxious 180:3	150:15 154:2	155:22 182:19	184:19 183:13,20
183:11	anybody 9:1 13:20	170:7 192:18	assess 146:17	188:9,18,21 197:8
altogether 104:21	19:16 23:1 142:22	approaching	assessed 134:1	audience 6:2
amazing 138:19	151:17 195:16	118:22	assessing 92:6	audiences 55:12
203:2	anymore 213:21	appropriate 38:10	208:19	audit 149:8,10
American 17:7	anyway 71:12,15	153:21 167:3	assessment 92:8	august 93:21 122:3
53:17 64:6 80:8	112:7 114:16	180:9 184:16	94:12 151:20	131:22
143:9 144:1	129:6 153:7	185:10	assessments 91:22	Austin 11:11
157:15	176:15 199:2	approval 101:15	94:8	authority 97:7
amount 26:2 52:5	211:5	178:5	asset-based 154:15	161:10 162:17
166:12 174:6	AOPL 67:11	approvals 210:20	assist 136:9 137:1	203:18
179:6 210:19	136:14	approve 179:20	assistance 57:8	authorization 95:5
211:7	APGA 72:3,10	approved 101:14	Assistant 18:14	107:18 161:10
Amy 4:7 159:22	APGA's 198:5	arbitrated 141:1	22:6	authorized 105:12
160:6 161:4 162:6	211:12	area 28:1 31:12	Associate 5:5 14:18	authorizes 203:16
162:8 173:19,21	API 67:11 71:10	34:1 43:17 83:6	15:4	203:19
199:1	104:2 126:16	86:7,11 168:9	associated 15:12	availability 108:14
analyses 66:12,12	129:8 130:14	187:9	107:19 211:7	available 20:3 36:2
analysis 79:8 193:9	131:21 136:14	areas 31:16 35:6	Association 16:19	55:4 77:12 102:4
207:1	apologies 40:4	46:10 60:14,16	17:7 22:9 50:12	102:5,7,15 109:2
analyst 18:22	apologize 114:13	72:1 82:16 91:22	54:22 63:19 72:5	109:5,8,11 165:7
analyzing 192:16	114:15	92:1,6,8 94:11	associations 78:16	171:10 172:7
ANCE 135:17	apparent 117:14	95:12 165:13	151:7	173:5 182:1,2,13
ANDREW 1:19	Appendix 104:3	167:15 187:3	assume 112:7	182:20 183:21
Andy 13:8 109:16	appetite 199:17	arena 140:6	assure 26:7	avenue 78:9
109:17 113:11	applaud 72:8	argue 38:20 105:4	assured 24:9	aviation 143:11
146:2 153:10,11	149:21 153:7	111:14 117:5	ASVs 106:15	awakening 75:14
157:20 184:13,14	Applause 22:19	120:7 150:7	ATA 92:1,5	award 54:18
	_			

·		<u> </u>	 	l
awarded 57:10	base 127:19	119:1 132:6 151:8	149:6 169:17	202:10
aware 53:16 56:11	based 63:17 70:13	158:3 178:21	183:18 185:13	briefing 3:4,7,10,13
62:9 68:20 79:1	101:4,5 105:12	202:10	186:4 189:19	4:5,12 45:19
103:14 114:1	106:9 151:19	bet 197:11,12	202:7	87:17 126:14
160:3	194:10 206:12,14	bets 41:15	blame 120:16	159:10,12 200:1
awareness 3:10	bashful 87:9	better 31:12 32:10	blocked 179:8	briefly 53:6 54:13
36:12 45:20 46:15	basic 163:18	32:14 34:13 39:3	blue 116:17	160:14 200:21
46:18 53:4,15	basically 96:22	39:9 45:7 47:12	board 11:16 22:13	bring 54:1,3 89:10
54:5 61:22 66:9	100:3,18 163:6,14	49:9 50:15,21	42:7 48:7 60:8,9	90:4 110:2 123:3
66:13,19 68:12	171:5 172:19	66:18 77:1 86:19	71:7,13 153:17	124:6
72:2,6,17 78:11	187:1 210:18	119:4,20 141:4	158:5	bringing 48:20
79:9	basis 54:11 88:6	148:20 167:3,13	Bobby 2:20 19:8	71:8 156:16
	179:21	167:14 173:22	body 122:3 178:3	157:10 197:17
<u>B</u>	battle 196:6 197:13	174:8 188:4	boilerplate 130:20	brings 31:2 42:5,7
B 2:4 47:5,9 61:8	BC 156:10	191:21 196:7	bombs 116:17	149:6
99:2 104:3	BCF 213:16	197:3 198:19	book 41:9	broad 92:17,22
back 14:11 34:2,21	Beach 1:17 16:18	213:5	boom 203:7 209:10	127:19
37:9,16 40:17	16:18	beyond 54:10 91:22	209:16	brochures 57:21
48:20 58:8 74:4	bear 52:10	92:1,3,6 94:8	boots 78:13 80:2	broken 64:16
75:5 88:9 91:18	becoming 33:11	129:5 197:4	boss 41:4	Brookings 16:16
94:1 96:20 98:10	56:11 65:15 166:3	bias 121:20	bottom 137:11	85:5
99:11 114:9 119:3	beefed 201:4	big 25:18 26:7	173:10 197:12	brought 27:3
119:8,13 122:1,6	began 131:1 133:19	31:20 66:3 93:12	bought 62:4 168:12	133:14 138:15
126:9,11 132:8,14	139:2	98:16 107:8	168:19	148:17 157:10
132:22 142:7	beginner 55:11	110:15 121:14	boundaries 183:3,8	175:14
147:16 156:14	beginners 64:17	124:22 125:2,13	183:15	Brownstein 1:18
163:1,9 167:20	beginning 33:16	125:19 138:18	boundary 172:14	15:3,3 43:16
179:3 184:5,20	83:8 140:9	153:12,22 154:1	183:9	114:10,13,14
196:8 210:14	behalf 87:11	186:13,22 187:14	box 154:4	Bruno 24:20 41:2
211:15	believe 28:1 31:20	190:12 201:11	branch 99:19	BSEE 12:20
background 52:14	42:16 90:20 91:4	202:12,14 203:6	brand 209:11	budget 28:9,12,14
53:6 216:5	94:21 97:5 105:4	209:9,10,16	break 31:8 116:10	28:16,20,22 89:14
backstop 98:3,6	108:9 111:15	bigger 166:15	116:12,14 123:16	90:1,7
bad 102:22 196:11	119:13 122:3	biggest 93:17	125:6 126:5 188:3	budgets 215:21,22
balanced 122:7	124:3 134:17	bill 72:4 95:5	breakout 162:16,19	buffer 167:16
ball 136:19 145:17	141:11,18,22	107:18	166:5	build 129:22 130:9
147:5 198:22	145:12	billion 108:1,4	breath 194:5	146:9 197:20
199:6	Bellingham 194:12	bills 190:16	Breathitt 1:18 12:7	209:16
balloting 131:21,22	benefit 115:12	binders 10:4	12:8 14:13 42:3	building 5:22 6:7
135:4	158:21 159:1	binding 117:8	73:22 74:1,2,12	50:10 58:19
Ballroom 1:14	180:12	bio 13:19	74:14 75:4,17,20	209:11 212:20
balls 199:10	benefits 71:18	bios 10:16 13:16	Brian 7:15 12:15	buildings 99:22
bargain 69:16	142:1	17:14	39:14,17 141:16	100:1
barrel 211:22	benzene 82:6	bit 8:7,20 9:3 10:18	149:15 155:11,15	built 147:9 204:18
212:3,5	Bessie 141:16	12:21 43:6 59:1	156:17	bulk 83:9
barrels 211:19	best 5:16 31:1	91:21 110:22	Brian's 39:13	bullet 67:21 68:5
212:2	58:15 89:5 91:6	124:10 129:13	brief 52:14 122:22	128:17 164:22
				l

		1		1
bulletin 111:11	46:19 49:3 53:14	207:3,12,19	Chairman 22:8	11:1 13:10 16:8
116:21 117:17	53:18 63:20 95:6	209:14	45:13,14 157:17	44:8,9,11 73:18
118:1 119:21	95:11 103:1	cases 61:3 63:13	challenge 25:13	77:1,11,13 124:7
120:3 121:3	149:15 171:21	128:15 132:13	65:21 96:1 116:3	214:16
bulletins 116:17	195:19	134:3 183:5 184:3	124:10 152:19	Cheryl's 44:16
119:12,15 120:17	called 7:6 12:20	cast 36:19	200:16	chewing 119:1
bullets 128:10	62:14 64:7 80:8	casual 17:16	challenged 136:8	chicken 46:20
bunch 98:11	102:1 108:9	categories 171:5	challenges 24:18	60:21 73:6 84:13
104:12	194:19 195:19	categorize 133:19	26:5 181:13	chief 11:22 15:4
burden 150:22	calling 29:11 32:4	171:4	chance 16:1 149:19	18:5,7,13 22:6
165:18 170:8	calls 48:8 49:7	category 181:18,22	187:11	29:18 31:6 47:1
190:1,15,15	63:21 81:16	cathodic 94:15	change 25:2 94:21	50:18 117:6
bureau 12:17,19	117:11 145:9	causes 146:8	109:3,6 135:10	chiefs 22:9 78:18
burglaries 68:17	Cameron 2:14	caution 72:10	179:12 189:3	choices 165:6
buried 187:8	14:21 52:11	CDC 27:6 83:1	211:13	Chuck 11:10 77:17
business 10:6 16:11	Campbell 1:19	center 49:4 144:14	changed 95:16	80:4 81:9 125:6,8
21:18 42:16 47:15	16:8,8 44:8	144:16 193:14	102:6 108:16	125:10 126:4
93:15 148:18	Canada 80:11	centers 63:20	135:19 199:9	181:15,16 183:14
149:13 185:6	154:19,20	central 50:6	changes 7:4 38:16	cite 41:3
215:17	Canadian 155:17	certain 91:3 107:19	40:19 44:4 76:16	cited 151:16
businesses 193:17	candidly 138:17	137:2 145:14	103:4 191:12	citizens 194:12
busted 118:15	capability 66:7	146:10,11 168:5	205:1	195:9 212:6
busy 199:1 214:4	card 9:11 173:20	174:15 183:5,5	changing 26:16	city 11:11,22 17:6
Butters 2:8 3:4,11	cards 87:4 114:7	197:8	101:20 154:6	22:7 82:3 169:2
14:14,15 21:20	116:12 120:12	certainly 20:21	channels 80:2	182:18 197:19
22:18,20 37:22	139:18 148:1	22:1,2 23:18	chaotic 138:15	210:13
45:18,22 65:17	214:12,15	24:11 26:4 29:4	characteristics	clarified 119:20
70:9,18 71:22	care 127:20	33:13 34:10,12	168:6	134:19
74:22 75:21 80:5	career 16:13 47:2	52:7 60:22 67:17	characterize	clarify 85:3 113:15
83:17 87:6 115:8	119:13	82:4 84:20 111:4	172:15	135:20 165:16
butts 118:15	careful 196:22	127:7 158:4	characters 36:20	class 165:13 166:5
	199:9	160:17 170:17	charge 212:12	166:8,8,9 171:9
C	Carl 2:6 16:22	173:22 176:19	CHARLES 2:4	classification
C 1:22 2:3 47:6,9	77:14 81:10,11	188:15	chart 135:15	171:13
61:8	83:4,22 184:14	CFR 53:7 204:1	charter 110:5	clean 98:17 104:20
calculate 208:21	194:18	Chad 1:22 17:3	charting 41:14	clear 6:8 77:20
211:3,4	Carolina 17:6	184:14 191:16,17	144:4	94:17 107:14
calculations 206:9	211:16 212:7	193:22 212:15,16	check 21:11 48:10	132:16 134:20
208:2	carried 51:7 163:4	214:1	154:4	152:14
calendar 8:16	163:14	Chad's 215:11	Chemistry 143:10	clearly 30:6 31:20
66:16	carry 11:7 24:4	chain 15:16	144:2	32:12 35:2 79:17
calendars 124:10	27:22	chair 10:6 14:5,9	CHEMTREC	98:1 115:14
Calgary 156:12,12	cars 27:21	20:9 21:3 126:19	22:14	Climate 15:5
California 24:20	case 6:1 105:9	138:18 159:20	Cheniere 17:4	close 10:4 44:22
call 3:2 9:11 10:13	129:4 140:6	200:9	191:18 212:17	77:17 93:4,7
21:1 25:3 34:3	158:11 162:12	chairing 20:10	Cheryl 1:19 2:15	120:10 123:15
41:1 43:12 46:18	169:21 171:18	215:5	5:18 6:21 10:12	167:16

,			I	I
closed 131:22	143:12 145:4	155:19 161:19,22	145:3,10 157:11	company 11:5
closely 27:5 36:22	156:14 175:3	164:10 170:11,19	161:17 181:17	142:14 147:7
closes 131:5 169:11	178:7 188:6 198:8	175:20 181:17	189:7 194:4,12	177:13 192:11
closing 214:22	comes 36:15,18,18	183:14 186:1	195:9 213:9,19	comparison 46:7
Coast 204:5	42:18 59:7,22	189:4,12,15	216:12	compelling 48:2
Coastal 16:12	162:17 168:3	197:19 202:6	committees 6:22	49:13
code 118:3	176:22 189:17	211:12 212:22	29:22 52:13 87:5	compensate 48:21
cog 89:19	216:1	214:6	89:11,14 100:13	competencies 63:8
cohesive 28:6	comfort 6:13	commerce 204:11	101:6 123:4	competing 122:9
cold 132:19	comfortable 122:16	commercial 99:21	137:21	compile 170:15
Colette 1:15,17	144:10 149:2,10	100:1	commodities 172:2	compiling 192:15
14:4 20:11,16,22	175:20	commission 12:9	commodity 163:4	complacency 39:8
22:21 26:1 37:17	coming 5:4 41:5	14:6 16:6 42:14	163:14 171:21,22	complete 61:15
75:22 87:18 215:4	43:11 66:16 76:14	75:6 190:20	172:5 193:7 197:9	120:3 141:11
Colette's 5:13	78:3 103:17	commissioner 12:8	common 139:3,5	165:1 166:18
collateral 33:4	110:14,21 116:14	42:2,5,13 43:3,3	181:5	167:11 168:17
colleague 14:13	122:18 125:13	45:9 75:20	communicate	complex 68:5
colleagues 17:13	189:2,18	commissioners	58:15 61:9	185:20 207:9
23:16 36:22 51:1	command 49:4	20:18	communicating	compliance 11:20
51:1 80:16 115:22	commend 85:5,10	commit 89:3	53:2 61:7	118:1,2 142:4
collect 32:17 95:17	85:21	commitment 30:14	communication	152:2,2 174:16
161:13 164:5	comment 9:17	129:15 131:2,12	54:4 61:1,4 86:7	176:5 187:12
182:8 190:2	70:17 75:5 83:12	131:14 152:4	123:2	210:5
collected 168:1	103:20 114:2	committed 46:3	communications	compliant 174:10
collecting 32:15	119:11 120:22	126:20 129:3	52:4 53:19 57:17	176:6
170:5,22 189:13	134:4,4,8 135:22	144:18	66:14	complicated 47:13
collection 160:4,8,9	137:12 139:17	committee 1:3,4,6,7	communities 35:12	81:3 115:19
160:14,20 161:7	142:20,22 164:9	1:16 2:1 3:5,8,12	36:6 37:2 46:14	206:20
161:11 162:10	165:18,20 169:11	3:15,20 4:9,15	50:4 56:3,12	compliment 139:20
164:8,19 168:22	169:13 173:1	6:18,19 7:3,3 8:4	57:11 58:14 62:7	144:22 212:22
169:19 170:1	176:3,12,15 181:1	8:14 9:22 11:9,12	65:11,12,19 68:19	complimentary
171:21 173:17	182:15 185:9	12:3,6 13:4,7,9,12	68:22 69:15,19	69:2
Colonial 12:2	188:22 191:1,19	15:7 16:4,7,17,21	78:11 193:18	compliments 213:6
Colorado 16:12	199:7 204:15	17:2,4,8 22:2,8	community 35:11	comply 133:11
colored 152:5	213:8	24:13 38:12 42:15	37:9 41:20 50:15	158:5 174:14,15
column 137:13	commentary 85:16	63:6,7 70:6 77:18	50:20 51:5 55:13	175:10
combination 169:6	commenters	85:20 90:5 96:2,6	55:15 64:19 83:15	complying 193:15
175:9	133:20	96:20 98:10 101:5	84:5	199:14 209:20
combined 104:12	comments 70:3,10	112:21 113:19	companies 41:18	component 53:3
104:21	70:13 71:16,22	121:7 123:6	72:14 82:15	54:5
come 5:8 13:21	72:7 73:12 76:15	125:11,14 126:12	104:17 142:1	comprehensive
19:19 26:1 40:6	87:8 113:4 123:15	126:20 127:10	148:6 151:1,11	59:4
57:8 60:19 67:20	131:21 132:7	128:11 132:16	152:17 153:5	computer 124:19
73:9 79:13 95:5	133:16,19 134:1	134:6 136:4,14	158:12,14,15,19	206:22
114:8 115:14	134:15 135:4,7,7	139:22 140:11	159:2 189:22	computers 196:20
117:22 121:22	135:8,16 137:16	141:6 142:7,9	190:2	concentration
137:5 139:9	137:18 143:1,3	143:6 144:14,21	companion 150:5	206:15
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I	I	I	
concept 149:20	consideration	155:7	counterpart 30:19	150:18 167:2
concepts 156:17	110:3	continuum 47:18	country 42:17 55:6	168:17 195:15
concern 95:12,13	considered 172:10	contractor 191:10	56:18 64:5 72:12	created 64:12
166:11,16 170:22	considering 52:12	contracts 154:17	86:13 209:15	67:11 162:22
181:20 185:14	170:7	contribute 158:18	county 16:16 85:5	163:9 209:1,6
211:12	consistency 28:8	contributed 127:3	168:8	213:17
concerned 7:5	consistent 94:13	contributors	couple 5:17 7:10	creates 190:17
198:12 212:6	144:18 161:12	127:19	10:7,17 13:13	creating 64:7 187:1
concerns 34:16	constant 61:3	control 49:4	30:4 37:17 38:5	197:1
132:12 166:20	constantly 147:4	controversial	61:13 87:20 90:17	crime 20:10
174:2	179:19 207:21	123:19	96:4 100:11,17	criteria 92:4,8 94:9
concert 55:10	constitutes 163:5	controversy 160:22	103:10 106:1	94:10 97:13 134:2
conclude 159:9,17	165:19	convened 1:13	107:3 113:3	176:12,13
concluded 137:18	Constitution 215:8	conversation 68:14	116:11 120:15	critical 30:11 40:16
concludes 70:2	construction	68:19 161:2,2	133:14 160:4	119:2 125:21
concrete 207:15	112:11,14 203:19	193:19	163:16 166:2	criticize 84:14
conditions 134:11	204:2 209:13	conversations	168:4 174:20	cross 191:11
conduct 60:9	213:12	154:14 181:4	177:10 181:17	cross-functional
conducting 66:10	consult 112:19	convert 133:2	189:9,10 194:9	209:1
conduits 62:6	consultants 207:5	203:10,12	195:1 197:21	crowd 19:7 44:16
conferences 57:22	CONT'D 4:2	converted 184:12	211:11 214:3	crude 27:13 171:22
conferring 20:13	contact 56:3 69:21	converting 209:12	courageous 125:7	172:3,4,6 209:18
confident 194:5	73:3 77:5 145:4	convey 122:5	course 10:1 24:4	Crystal 169:2
confirmed 42:12	173:6	cooperating 204:14	25:7 48:17 50:5	cultural 155:22
conflict 9:1	contain 206:16	cooperative 54:21	53:22 57:20 58:18	culture 3:17 30:6
conform 130:11	207:15	60:7 203:22	67:14 97:16	30:18,21 32:5
confusion 82:14	contained 92:21	coordinate 69:1	123:13 140:15	33:9 39:17,20
Congress 28:13,15	containment	coordinates 183:1	153:3 161:16	126:15 131:8,11
29:2 95:14 97:7	207:10,11,14	191:8	cover 38:14 94:19	131:15,17 143:16
118:21	content 192:21	coordination 57:17	103:9 111:5 153:2	148:19 155:2
congressional	contentious 188:15	copy 74:15	160:6 182:19	cultures 30:11
88:19 93:5 95:10	194:17 195:7	Corporation 16:13	183:20	curious 108:19
96:12 103:11	CONTENTS 3:1	correct 210:22	covered 189:8	155:4
104:8 106:1	4:2	correctly 108:1	197:20	current 22:5 52:17
121:16 201:12	continue 24:10	correspond 171:7	covering 30:2	55:22 56:10 61:13
conjunction 215:15	25:5,20 31:18	cost 34:20 103:3,11	covers 91:21	61:14 103:7
connect 80:22	32:13 34:1 35:18	107:9,14 108:7	161:11	131:20
connected 49:7	35:22 48:1 51:17	115:12 170:9	coworkers 23:14	currently 12:16
cons 119:18	86:17 87:1 89:4	180:12 190:10,11	crack 59:14	32:15 54:15 65:4
consensus 32:19	90:17,21 95:22	198:9,10,13	cracks 178:2	92:13 100:19
122:7 196:17	124:2 148:21	209:18,19 211:21	Craig 2:5 11:3	102:14 106:10
consequences	continued 27:9	212:11,18	70:14 73:16 177:8	107:21 137:10
25:19	69:7 214:9	costs 107:19 212:9	177:9 181:2	162:19 163:13
consider 22:3 39:16	continuing 24:12	Council 143:10	cranes 213:14	166:3 211:19
80:1 120:5 145:10	25:13 28:11	144:2	create 63:19 65:2	curricula 63:16
161:8 170:17	continuous 144:15	counsel 15:5 18:5,7	67:10 111:13	64:17
181:18	146:21 154:5	117:6,7,20	117:4,18 129:8	curriculum 54:20
	I	I	I	ļ

55.1 2 16 64.14	Doughorty 2.0 14.1	debated 129:11	12.10 22.16 96.0	devices 21:11
55:1,3,16 64:14	Daugherty 2:9 14:1 14:1 127:8 156:19		12:19 23:16 86:9 203:16	DHLPSSC 7:1
64:16,21 customer 190:13		debating 132:17 Debra 144:2		
	157:3	decade 202:21	departments 47:14 47:17 81:22 82:7	diagnosed 27:3
customers 72:18	Dave 2:12 18:15			dialogue 177:12 diameter 163:15
73:2 190:16	day 9:4,17 21:8	decades 141:18	82:19 83:6	
198:11,11 211:18	30:3 83:22 88:15	175:18	depending 164:20	166:4 187:21
cut 215:20	90:6,11 98:7	December 28:12	depends 200:5	193:6 197:8 198:1
cycle 121:15	125:16 153:4	96:21 100:14	depth 182:19 183:20	diesel 209:19
Cynthia 23:5 24:4	164:9 169:5	137:19 164:11		difference 186:12
26:11 41:4	213:16 214:7,14	169:12 215:19	deputies 14:2	195:17
Cynthia's 23:10	214:20	decision 24:2 90:12	Deputy 14:18 18:5	differences 123:13
D	day-in 125:14	153:3	21:22	different 16:3
D 1:15,17	day-out 125:15	decisions 148:11	describe 177:21	48:18 64:22 72:13
D.C 216:8	days 101:9 126:21	192:4	described 26:13	79:18 92:7 94:1
daily 54:11 88:6	136:1 137:17	deem 97:14 124:12	describes 124:14	95:10 104:15
114:5	146:18 163:22	deemed 90:15,16	deserved 20:21	108:17 151:6
Dakota 16:16 27:15	deal 35:16 36:20	90:18 91:2 97:5,9	98:16	154:2,8 168:13,20
damage 18:10 52:6	37:3,7 58:16 70:1	105:9	design 105:14	174:12,20 177:22
69:18 96:19 97:3	72:13,19 86:6,22	deep 187:8 194:4	108:9 205:3	184:12
97:6,8,20	88:17,18,21 89:20	deeper 161:2	206:11	differently 158:13 172:15
damn 39:2	89:22 92:14	deeply 127:20 defeat 195:20	designate 172:18	
darn 120:8	101:21 102:16		designated 2:8 7:7 100:16 105:2	difficult 111:12
data 32:11,14,16	103:19 104:19	defects 145:14		124:6 130:15,16
35:19 41:7 95:17	109:10 113:9	Defense 15:6,9	designation 101:16	149:22 174:16
160:8,16 161:13	119:5 121:14	114:14	172:12	182:10 187:9
162:13,22 163:2,6	186:13,22 187:14 190:12	defensive 194:7	designed 122:14	208:20,22 216:3
164:6,18 166:13		define 106:13	detail 61:17 141:10	difficulties 174:10 dilemma 142:6
166:15,21 167:11	dealing 26:3 27:12	defining 208:15 definite 190:20	171:21 172:1,5	
167:19,20 168:7	30:9 53:12 57:19		detailed 130:1	dimensional 206:21
168:11,14 170:6	63:21 74:20 93:13	definitely 44:9 96:7	details 51:12 52:17	diminish 21:16
170:21 171:1,2	94:3 96:17 103:10	104:11	203:14	
173:2 174:12	103:12,16,22 104:7 106:3 108:3	definitive 154:3 Deford 72:4	detect 145:14 168:5	128:12 129:16
179:3,21 180:14	176:7	degree 30:12	detection 32:7 92:3	DIMP 99:17 dinner 46:20 60:21
183:20 184:1,3,6	deals 93:4,5 95:8	deliberate 148:11	106:4,4,13 detectors 57:15	84:13
184:11,18 185:2	95:11 96:11,12,18	delighted 37:22	determines 90:7	dinners 73:7
185:15,21 186:2,3	97:2 98:22 99:4	148:21 157:19		direct 6:6 128:4
186:14 189:13		deliver 142:1	develop 31:13 35:22 111:10	direction 29:21
190:2 191:13	99:11 103:5,6	delivering 67:16	209:3	41:16 67:8 153:9
192:19 193:11	104:5,6,10 105:11 105:13,15 106:1	121:18	developed 76:5	directly 27:3
197:1,2,3 199:7	204:1	delivers 178:4	128:13	director 12:17 15:1
database 167:21	dealt 93:18,19	delivery 140:13	developing 106:10	
196:4,16,18 197:6	137:20	denvery 140:13 demanding 158:9	131:16 136:8	18:16,20 22:14 200:16
databases 174:11	dear 36:10	demonstrate 67:4	development 18:9	directors 80:9,10
date 156:3	death 37:8 195:18	denied 134:5	52:2 56:21,22	disadvantage
dates 103:18	debate 87:21 88:15	Denise 1:17 16:18	57:3,5 118:12	113:21
168:21	89:1,12 90:9	department 1:1 2:7	130:7,22 182:18	disagree 124:4
100.21	09.1,14 70.7	ucpai unicht 1.1 2./	130.1,44 104.10	uisagi ee 124.4
	1	1	1	1

disagreed 116:22	130:11,15 131:5,8	draft 112:20	easy 122:8 192:21	election 28:18
disappointed 88:11	130:11,13 131:3,8	122:19 171:12	ebola 26:20 27:3	electric 15:20
88:12,14	132.3,0,18 133.4	drafts 149:19	echo 141:7 186:10	electronically
discomfort 149:7	135:20 138:17	155:18	echoing 71:15	77:12
discontinue 84:21	139:1,10 141:8	Drake 1:19 13:8,8	economic 202:14	elementary 147:16
discrete 143:8	147:17 150:5	109:17,17 113:10	economy 25:2	elements 104:15
discrimination	155:3,6 158:7	113:11 116:16	EDF 15:19	129:10,19 131:10
36:17	documentation	146:2 153:11,11	edge 112:9	131:18 138:20
discuss 24:3 87:21	129:17 131:3	184:15,15	edges 67:5	141:12 148:19
96:3 105:21 111:8	documented	draw 69:17	edjes 67.3 edit 135:20	160:16 163:2
131:10,13 181:11	134:16	drilling 13:1	edit 133.20 edition 141:10,13	164:18 171:9,14
201:18	documents 89:1	drive 29:13 32:11	209:7	171:17 174:21
discussed 187:19	101:13 102:12	35:19 71:10 178:5	editorial 137:22	elevated 128:5
188:13	101:13 102:12	driven 209:17	edits 135:5,18	eligible 66:2
discussing 105:6	DOE 210:2	driven 209.17 driver 202:15	educate 137:1	else's 21:16
194:13	doing 15:19 31:11	210:18,22 211:5,5	educated 125:17	email 77:10 173:7
discussion 3:5,8,12	32:1 33:6 44:1	drives 178:11	educating 50:4	embarrass 19:21
3:15,20 4:9,15	47:15 51:4 54:15	driving 75:9	58:3	Embarrass 19:21 Embassy 155:17
8:17 33:12 82:1	78:3 79:8 84:19	drop 186:3	effect 48:3 117:21	embrace 31:19
95:9 128:14	108:5 112:22	dropped 138:11	effective 31:13,22	38:18
133:12 157:9	140:16 152:9	dual 209:13	34:15 57:5 61:2	embracing 33:9
165:11 173:3	153:8 155:22	due 41:17 164:11	79:12 81:6 84:19	emergencies 37:3
174:20 177:5	159:3 215:4	202:18 203:6	167:1	54:19 55:9 56:5
181:14 194:16	dole 139:22	Duly 126:4	effectively 35:15	57:1,20 58:16
195:9	dollar 197:12	dumb 86:21	86:6 109:4 167:4	63:22 64:2,14,15
discussions 210:4	dollars 108:1,4	dunio 80.21 duplicate 133:18	168:14	64:21 70:1 76:7
dispersion 206:13	Domotor 2:9 18:12	dwellings 99:21	efficiency 192:19	emergency 3:10
206:22	18:13 29:18 31:6	dynamics 196:1	efficient 124:21	6:12 18:10 26:3
disposed 27:7	Don 11:14 107:6,7	dynamics 170.1	effort 29:13 45:20	35:13,16 36:11,15
disseminate 78:19	107:11 108:3	E	56:20 82:18 83:3	36:16 37:1 45:19
dissemination	107:11 108:3	earlier 23:9 24:9	118:11 119:18	46:12 47:18 49:10
192:9	116:8,13,15	26:12 46:1,2	124:1 127:18	49:15 50:10,14
distracts 146:7	DONALD 1:21	70:11 109:10	146:19 149:11	51:15 52:4,15,22
distributed 145:9	door 6:10,15 51:4	114:19 138:3	192:12	53:1,2,8,11,13,22
distribution 15:13	doors 6:3,3	155:18 163:22	efforts 35:9 52:17	54:1,4,7,18 55:13
36:7 72:14 104:16	DOT 5:6 30:20	193:2 197:5	61:14 67:10 72:1	55:14,21,22 56:13
127:14,15 148:6	74:5 89:21 99:9	earliest 169:22	72:8 73:5 79:22	56:16,18 57:6,13
162:15	100:4 114:21	170:5	81:14 83:7,10	57:16 58:7,21
district-level 78:2	115:15	early 8:20 96:8	85:21 86:18	59:7 60:1 61:2,5
dive 52:16	DOT-wide 29:13	138:3,14 140:2	119:12 214:9,10	61:10,19 62:11
diverse 40:10	dots 49:7	earnest 141:19	EFVs 99:12,15,16	63:18 64:8,10,18
diverts 199:13	double 207:10,14	142:12	99:18 100:4	64:22 66:6,14,20
dividends 141:20	208:19	earth 157:7	EH&S 15:20	66:22 67:12,19
docket 20:6	Downeast 205:21	easier 12:21 168:5	eight 90:13 118:17	68:9,17 69:13
doctors 49:16	downloaded	180:5	129:11	71:6 72:2 74:2,6
document 102:3	130:13	easiest 20:5	either 134:1 171:5	74:11,18,19 75:11
113:7 128:18	downward 41:8	easily 184:11	183:10	76:1,10 77:4 78:1
110., 120.10			100.10	

80:19,20 81:14,21	49:8 62:18,20	event 6:12 62:10	excitement 110:16	exposed 175:16
85:6 86:10 164:2	ensuring 26:5	154:7	exciting 63:12	extended 164:10
167:1,3,8 182:17	30:11 61:21 69:21	events 168:20	exclusion 206:5,7	extended 104.10 extending 92:2
198:16	115:13	everybody 7:12 9:6	206:12	185:8
emission 43:7,14	entertain 112:1	17:15 49:9 111:2	excuse 85:19	extensive 153:17
43:20	entire 15:16 16:13	17.13 49.9 111.2	executive 154:22	extensive 133.17 extensively 142:3
emissions 15:12	188:21 213:7	152:22 173:14	executive 134.22 exemplary 139:21	extensively 142.3 extent 122:5
33:13 209:20	entities 98:13	189:1 215:9	exemplary 139.21 exercise 97:13	
employees 19:5			152:18	extremely 23:12 150:2 213:3
20:15 33:7 145:19	entrapment 196:9 environment 62:12	everyday 25:13 46:4	exist 59:16	ExxonMobil 49:19
		= :		
enables 148:10	141:21 Environmental	everyone's 41:18 124:9	existing 59:12 60:2 80:6 203:13 206:1	eye 104:11
Enbridge 48:17		1.5		$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$
encourage 162:2 182:11	11:11 12:18 15:6	evidence 151:6	exists 55:16 56:11 exit 6:10	F 1:19 2:3
- :	15:9 114:14	152:10		FAA 30:20 31:2
ended 129:12	environmentally	evident 149:4	expand 185:16	FACA 7:8
133:21 199:16	15:17 EDA 22:19	evolved 76:7	expanded 128:13	faced 24:18
ends 104:7 105:9	EPA 33:18	evolving 147:14	128:15 193:1	facilities 57:18
energy 12:5 13:9	equipment 69:22	154:6	expansion 92:1	59:19 84:10
15:5 16:6,9 17:4	82:12	Evonik 105:13	94:7	160:13 162:16
24:6 25:1,4 42:7,8	ERG 75:22 76:17	ex 123:1	expect 84:8 90:16	167:11 200:18,19
71:7,16 109:18	76:19	exact 183:11	expecting 115:3	,
153:12 184:16	Erickson 72:3	195:13,16	expensive 180:15	200:19 201:10,17 201:20 209:12
191:18 203:16	error 179:6	exactly 86:3 107:15	180:16 185:21	
212:17	ERs 84:3,9	108:19 120:6	experience 21:7	facility 147:9
enforce 97:8,18	escalators 6:8	122:20 134:8	42:6,8 151:9	204:18 205:5
119:22 131:11	especially 14:11	184:8	194:10	213:5,11,15,20
enforcement 12:18	52:4,12 53:15	example 59:19 68:2	experienced 150:20	215:16 216:13
97:3,6,9,16 98:2,4	125:18 137:3	72:16 79:19 80:7	experiences 22:4	facing 24:8
187:7	162:3 199:12	80:19 112:11	67:3	fact 8:18 27:16
engage 46:14 78:14	201:9	132:15 136:13	expert 39:16 55:12	44:13 48:19 49:14
161:15	essence 114:2	165:6 167:1	expertise 31:2	83:12 111:20
engaged 8:2 26:19	essentially 57:12	175:16 178:16	43:17	133:18 164:16
67:2 113:22	63:7 67:1 83:11	185:1	experts 64:18	178:1
engagement 24:5	establish 106:13	examples 171:19	expiration 103:18	faction 208:17
51:19 129:18	establishing 62:3	excavation 96:19	explaining 111:17	factor 34:12 105:14
engaging 50:13	etcetera 36:8 73:3,3	97:3,19	explanation 117:10	192:7
54:6 78:10 154:12	170:16 177:2	excavators 53:21	exploration 12:22	facts 194:21
157:20	ethane 33:13	97:17	exponential 196:19	failure 208:4
engineering 11:15	ethanol 99:4	excellent 19:20	export 200:18,19	fair 10:13 26:2 52:5
18:18,20 200:16	Europe 202:16	30:17 85:13	201:10,15 202:12	111:8 114:3
engineers 207:4	evaluate 167:5	127:12 160:1	203:11,13,13,17	119:10
enhance 197:6	204:16,22 207:2	215:5,6	205:11,19 206:1	Fairfax 22:7
enhanced 105:15	207:17	exception 93:2	208:3,11 209:11	fairly 10:4 17:16
enjoyed 157:6	evaluation 167:7	exceptions 92:21	209:14 212:1,20	94:3 127:11
ensure 25:14 27:6	204:21	92:22 93:1	213:16 214:10	fall 110:15
28:7 33:6,19	evaluations 149:9	exchange 110:18	exportation 212:9	familiar 28:15
35:20 36:5 37:2,9	evening 48:7	192:19	exporter 203:5	141:14 149:20

		1		
157:18	FERC 20:18 42:4	79:20,20 80:19	34:4 54:16 67:13	87:14 91:5 93:7
familiarity 113:18	201:7 203:18,22	83:11	83:10 145:20	93:10 96:3 97:21
family 99:20	204:9,11,15	firehouse 51:2	187:3 208:8	98:5 157:21 176:2
FAQs 207:22	205:14,17,20	fires 68:16	focuses 158:9	177:11 180:19
far 10:19 139:8	209:2	first 21:18 46:1	focusing 64:9 69:9	181:14 191:1
147:3 160:12	fewer 135:6	54:18 56:16 59:8	FOIA 182:10	198:22 199:6,10
166:14 187:10	field 14:3 18:16	70:5,12,17 75:5	folks 17:19 46:6,8	199:11 201:15
201:14	42:2 44:2,10	77:14 82:7 83:21	47:21 48:1 61:7	202:5 213:4
farmers 79:19,19	117:13 204:19	91:12 102:3,10	61:22 62:2 76:19	216:17
fascinating 216:12	fields 38:13	111:3 118:19	78:2,22 84:18	forward-leaning
faster 140:4	figure 7:1 77:15	121:6 141:10,13	86:8 98:15	156:2
favorable 29:2	123:21 180:20	160:11 168:4	follow 135:17	forward-looking
fears 169:19	184:6 207:18	170:14 174:21	followed 132:10	150:22
February 94:2	figured 195:10	185:8 192:10,22	following 34:3	found 73:8 79:6
100:14 135:14	figuring 178:22	193:5,5,9 194:4	91:16	163:12
138:1,3 143:9,18	file 191:8	197:7 198:2 206:7	fond 144:1	foundations 215:10
144:7	filed 133:17	208:7 210:4,14	footnote 166:9	four 10:10 27:17
federal 2:8 16:5	final 96:22 101:8	212:22 213:11	fora 55:16	53:19 59:11 74:4
33:19 42:5 53:6	122:15 135:13	fittings 105:19	force 43:5 146:10	76:4 87:16 88:14
58:21 67:15 78:12	136:3 137:21	five 23:7 27:17	forecasts 202:21	96:5 98:20 104:8
79:13 88:1,5 89:2	158:22 170:1,4	59:11 88:14 93:4	forefront 26:17	118:16 165:14
89:6,10 90:4	177:4	96:12 126:13	27:4	Fox 23:17 29:10
101:14 127:16	finalize 29:20 98:18	165:12 174:8	foreseeable 27:10	fraction 84:18
164:21 165:8,20	finalized 29:22	176:6,9 179:13,16	forget 143:13	framework 29:12
166:19 170:19	finalizing 169:9	183:6 198:4,5	forgive 149:18	129:22 130:2
173:8 188:22	finally 36:9 45:1	202:7,17 212:1	form 6:12	frankly 83:7
203:15 215:19	57:20 69:11	five-day 96:5	formal 170:18	178:15
fee 211:3	195:10	125:20	format 191:14	free 102:5,6,7,11
feed 73:9 84:15	find 20:5 44:9 56:2	five-foot 174:2	formats 184:12	102:12,15,17
feedback 40:13	82:14 143:20,22	175:1,7 198:15	former 19:4 42:4	109:2,11 213:21
113:8	157:12 164:1	fix 150:19 176:4	51:1	frequently 205:8
feel 116:6 175:19	177:22 178:1,2	fixed 59:19	forms 151:18	Friday 137:17
185:17 194:6	179:15 191:5	flammable 27:19	formulate 31:3	friend 10:14,20
213:21	finding 79:15,21	28:4 206:13	forth 13:16 53:10	22:3 43:4 186:19
feels 179:22 180:4	findings 79:7	Fleck 1:20 11:17,18	70:1 78:18 119:14	front 33:16 42:8
fees 211:1	fingers 191:11	148:4,5 189:6,6	134:14 142:8	110:18 121:4
feet 37:10 163:21	finished 132:4	flexibility 109:14	forum 58:8 67:1	130:21
165:12,14 176:6,7	147:11	flight 109:21 111:1	155:5	fuel 209:19
176:10 178:9	fire 6:10 11:22	floor 70:15	forums 58:9	fueling 210:1
179:7,13,16 183:6	16:19 22:6,9 47:1	flurry 67:18	forward 8:13 12:12	fulfill 69:12
191:21 198:4	47:14,16 50:12,17	focus 12:22 14:3	19:19 21:8 24:4	full 122:18 207:11
fellow 20:14	54:22 59:16 63:13	25:20 32:13 33:21	24:12 26:7 28:20	207:14
felt 2:3 12:2,2	78:18 80:8,17,18	34:1 35:18 37:4	29:5 31:4 34:7,19	fully 26:19
129:18 133:10	81:21 86:11	46:11 55:11 68:1	37:15,18 38:1	fun 14:8 17:12 19:4
FEMA 22:15 65:2	firefighters 55:7	129:20 146:8	39:12 41:14 42:17	Fund 15:6,10
65:4,15,19,22	60:21 61:21 62:4	192:19,22 193:3	45:4,14 51:14	114:14
74:5 80:17	62:9,16,21 78:17	focused 15:10,11	63:3 64:4 69:4,8	funded 54:20 55:6
	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>	ı

,	Ī		İ	ı
60:6,8	213:16	gives 39:6 89:15	82:20 83:18 84:8	government 7:7
funding 66:2	gate 48:12	92:17 152:15	86:3,15,18 89:5	12:16 27:6 58:21
further 128:15	gates 115:11	165:3 195:16	90:15 91:6,8,9	78:12 79:13 167:2
131:6 145:17	gather 185:5	giving 5:15	95:3,22 96:1	169:7 170:2 171:6
147:5 151:4 156:2	gathering 36:7	glad 34:18 45:12	101:21 102:13,16	181:21 184:1
158:21 162:5	92:13,15,15,19	82:18	102:20 105:6	GPERI 62:13
173:3 177:1,5	94:16,18,20 99:3	go 6:7,9 9:13 17:14	106:19 108:5	GPS 163:22 174:12
184:8 199:3	114:18 162:15	20:5 23:18 39:12	109:20,22 110:11	178:16
future 23:21 27:10	185:2	41:9 46:21 48:15	110:17 111:16,17	GPTC 98:14
52:20 67:17	gauge 152:2,3	53:5 60:5 61:17	114:7 115:7	gracious 5:14
142:10 200:4	geared 202:2	75:10 88:2 90:2,3	116:10 117:21	grade 165:5 166:17
FY 28:11	general 44:1	91:8 97:12 100:22	118:18,20 119:7	grandfather 95:14
	148:10 168:9	101:11 108:10	119:13 121:8,20	grant 52:6
<u> </u>	194:9 196:16	115:10 117:7	121:21 122:15	grants 57:7,8,10,13
G 2:5	generally 124:14	119:3,8 121:1	124:13 132:22	65:19
gain 132:22	126:21	132:7,14 133:3	134:13 136:1	graphic 144:12,15
Gale 2:10 3:14 15:1	generated 27:1	135:16 140:11	137:14 143:12	gravity 93:2
15:1 87:17,18	genesis 128:2	142:7 143:21	145:21 149:4,12	gray 137:11
107:11,16 108:10	Georgetown 1:14	146:17 150:9	153:14 156:10	great 21:8 22:21
108:21 115:6,6	Georgia 50:10	152:11 153:14	157:5,22 161:7	41:10 44:18,22
game 83:21 86:19	61:19 62:1,2,4	164:17 166:13,19	162:9 164:17	45:12 46:2,8 47:4
156:15	63:1 72:5	170:20 173:9	166:13 171:17	51:21 52:9 55:17
gang 120:18	geospatial 164:6	174:3 179:15	175:13 185:18	61:17 66:6 67:13
GAO 93:6 96:14	167:19	184:5 185:4 186:1	186:17,18 189:7,9	70:19 109:19
106:7	Gerard 127:11	186:2 190:9	189:15,16 190:10	120:20 141:3
gaps 32:2,3	getting 19:17 26:8	196:18 200:21	190:11,12 195:22	148:9 159:4
garner 31:1	29:1 49:6 86:5	211:21 213:20	196:1,18,21 198:8	188:12,12 196:9
gas 1:3,16 6:19 7:3	88:12 93:7 118:10	214:12	207:9 208:18	199:8,16 213:6
11:16,19 12:22	119:3 120:10	goal 38:18 39:3	210:13 214:8	214:7
13:9 15:8,9,13,16	128:2 138:5 143:3	138:22	good 5:3,6,16 7:15	greater 113:4
15:20 16:7,10,12	158:21 195:6	goals 166:22	11:17 12:7 14:14	greatly 73:8
16:14,17,20 17:4	GIS 57:15 162:8,13	goes 69:21 89:18	18:12 20:11 21:5	Greenville 211:16
17:7,8 24:20 25:3	163:6,10,22	150:18 178:20	24:11 25:17 31:1	211:22 212:5,7
26:6,8 42:15	166:13 168:2	182:14 196:20	32:11 34:8 35:19	Grid 11:18 15:15
48:13 72:4,11,18	172:14 176:18	going 5:11 8:6,8,12	63:4 64:19 70:20	ground 24:10 25:11
73:2 89:9 91:14	180:14 184:11	9:7,21 13:15	79:21 84:15 85:3	78:6,14 80:3
93:14 94:16,18,20	190:3 191:5,14	23:20 25:5,6 26:9	86:4 87:1,2	168:16
99:2 106:22	195:15	28:5,17 29:16	132:22 134:4	group 13:11 17:16
114:17 122:21	give 8:1 38:14	32:13 35:18 37:12	143:19 149:15	22:10 40:5,9,10
123:9,10 125:1	39:18 40:13 52:14	37:13,14 38:7,14	155:10 157:5,12	49:12 66:9,16,22
127:13 153:16	75:12 77:6 87:19	38:14 39:19 40:19	159:6 177:12	67:6,12 72:6,7
157:11,18 162:13	89:17 90:8,9 91:9	41:15 43:15,19	181:11 182:16	79:11 80:13 83:9
162:14 172:2	109:20 147:17	45:5 47:7,8 49:17	187:12 189:7	87:9 110:4 140:8
189:6,17 198:7	154:9 178:16	51:9,11,16 63:11	197:14 210:10	149:21 170:12,15
200:12 202:19,20	200:12	67:4 69:3,14	gosh 178:7	groups 43:22 53:20
203:1,2,4,7,8,17	given 56:7 119:16	70:22 71:17 76:13	gotten 42:12	57:11,12 58:10,12
206:13,14 208:9	174:10 184:9,11	77:20 81:5,14	101:15,15	67:10 79:16 95:10
	l			I

122.1 170.12	160.20 104.6	106.17 107.4	highly avalved	122.5 120.12
123:1 170:12	168:20 184:6 186:6 200:10	196:17 197:4 198:21 213:1	highly-evolved 130:9	123:5 130:13
grow 184:21				133:2,11 138:2
185:16	happening 43:9 70:20	hearing 45:15 118:13 194:22	Hill 1:20 16:15,15	139:9 147:17
growth 25:3 164:3			85:4,4 122:6	150:20 152:16
Guard 204:5	happens 65:14	195:6	201:12,14	157:12 158:15
guess 13:5 116:6	182:4	hearings 121:17	hindsight 150:17	169:15
154:11 180:3	happy 20:18 23:3	heart 36:11 144:17	hip 201:9	hopefully 28:13
197:21	51:13 117:2	heavily 140:9	history 30:20 40:16	96:8 100:2,6,10
guidance 110:4,7	hard 7:22 28:19	heavy 141:20	41:13 52:15 53:10	107:2
110:10 112:22	138:11 175:3	Heck 180:14,15	55:8	hoping 65:1
117:10 118:5	harm 180:6	held 128:11 142:19	hit 75:8 84:19	horizon 175:10
187:5	hazard 65:6,8,10	hell 117:12	164:16 186:20	horns 142:5
Guide 85:7	65:13,14	Hello 12:14	hitting 84:18	host 143:7
guidebook 56:13	hazardous 1:6 11:4	help 8:7,22 22:17	hold 216:8	hosted 58:7,9
74:7,11,18 76:1,9	25:10 26:4,22	31:3 32:10,17	holding 124:15	hot 21:14 26:17
77:4	29:16 60:6 62:6	33:5 36:4 37:15	home 72:18 138:18	83:2 202:4
guideline 190:8	63:8 68:21 74:20	45:7 50:15 65:19	192:5	hotel 6:5
guy 49:3 157:7	75:2 76:6 85:9	110:9 113:14	homes 193:17	hours 37:18 48:5
guys 10:15 19:11	89:9 91:14,15	115:4 117:9	honestly 42:19	129:11
19:15 39:19 51:3	94:4 95:1 96:10	136:11 137:1	142:7 156:16	house 68:16 72:19
51:3 77:15 84:15	100:5 106:21	140:5 163:17	172:11	housekeeping
87:1 88:13 99:15	162:14 177:10	167:6 178:12	Honorable 1:15,17	21:12
112:15 120:17	hazmat 22:8 25:16	201:2 207:5	1:17 14:4,5 20:12	huge 26:15 190:15
123:9 129:6	28:7 36:17,18	helped 71:2	21:4 37:21 45:9	hundred 133:17
188:19 197:2,2	51:3	helpful 16:4 41:14	45:11 70:4 71:19	hundreds 207:2,17
	hazmats 25:13	71:13 133:13	73:11,18 75:19	hurricane 112:6
H	HCA 189:16	136:9 183:3	77:11 80:4 81:9	hurry 116:15
hair 93:16	HCAs 174:6,7	187:15 213:3	84:22 85:12,17	hurt 8:7
half 30:3 108:1	189:13	helping 71:9	87:3 107:5 109:15	hypothetical
178:17 195:17	he'll 43:18 45:20	136:20	114:6,11 116:8	116:20
Hall 2:10 3:11 18:8	head 43:4 143:13	helps 166:21	118:7 120:11	
18:8 36:12 45:21	157:2	168:11 172:12	125:5 126:3,11	I
51:20 52:1 66:3	headache 138:19	hey 7:14 49:5	139:13 147:21	idea 82:9 89:15,15
74:10,13,17 75:1	heads 112:21	112:21 152:12,16	149:14 153:10	89:17 109:20
75:16,18 78:7	health 49:14,20	Hi 14:17 74:1	157:16 159:4	110:21 147:10
83:4	81:22 82:7,17,19	hiding 155:13	173:19 177:8	156:5 184:20
hallway 120:19	83:1,6 84:1	high 27:8 30:12	181:15 184:13	189:18 192:18
hand 69:22 138:6	healthcare 143:15	107:20 201:14	189:5 191:16	ideas 112:1 170:11
handle 44:16 97:16	hear 9:22 21:19	209:18	193:22 197:16	189:10
hands 122:18	39:11 43:1 76:20	high-consequence	199:15 210:10	identified 32:2 33:4
hands-on 216:14	81:19 87:3,3	165:13	212:15 214:1	71:1 128:9
happen 25:18,18	175:4 180:3	high-risk 34:15	honored 126:15	identify 97:13
28:17,19 47:8,9	heard 17:20 26:15	higher 147:5	hope 7:20 16:3	114:11
138:14 169:20	45:17 79:16 88:9	155:20	17:11 29:4 31:7	identifying 83:8
175:13 186:17,18	108:18 132:13	highest 71:2 166:7	66:17 67:20 82:19	III 2:4
192:20	147:12 178:6	highlight 61:16	86:2,4 97:21	ILI 178:2,17,20
happened 168:18	185:18 186:8	highly 42:18	104:3 118:10	179:9,20

IM 92:1 94:7	178:15	24:19 31:16 50:9	inexpensive 183:4	input 8:3 37:14
imagine 74:17	impractical 38:20	66:20 81:22	influential 23:13	40:8 122:2 132:22
139:5 146:20	impress 31:19	104:16 106:14,15	influx 200:17	161:16,17
162:11	impressed 44:15	115:12 126:21	inform 110:9	inquire 87:5
immediately 75:10	impression 195:15	inclusive 83:14	informal 7:15	insert 142:14
155:14	improve 27:21	incorporate 53:17	information 6:16	insight 39:18
IMP 32:4	32:17 35:9 36:4	65:7,9 100:19	13:21 36:1 50:21	inspect 117:22
impact 57:2 116:19	45:2 46:12 50:3	102:4 134:9	56:3 58:14 76:12	inspecting 117:14
129:15 193:9	60:15,16 66:19	incorporated 63:16	77:21 78:5,8,19	118:2
impacted 44:7	68:9 83:7 179:19	64:4 108:15 109:1	79:2,5 86:13 88:7	inspection 92:11
impacts 62:12	184:18 196:15	incorporating 32:4	125:17 145:21	167:21 172:21
impede 111:7	improved 28:3	65:22	146:1 160:4,8,14	204:4 215:21
implement 136:11	139:1	incorporation	160:15,20 161:7	inspections 99:2
136:20	improvement	101:10	161:11 162:10	204:19
implementation	57:15,18 144:15	increase 27:17	163:19 164:8,18	inspectors 74:15
136:5,8	146:21 162:18	28:22 84:9 207:6	165:4 168:22	75:8 167:13,18
implementing	improvements	211:1	169:18,22 171:20	instance 201:16
158:12	29:14	increased 202:18	173:7,17 177:16	instant 168:10
import 200:17,18	improving 52:3	212:9	181:19 182:3,5,7	Institute 53:18 64:6
203:1,10,12,13,16	57:13 59:7 60:1	increasing 32:8	182:12,20 184:9	80:20 143:10
206:1 208:4,8	64:10 66:6 67:19	incredible 213:14	187:2,16 192:3,9	instituted 34:2
209:12,13,15	106:17 127:21	incredibly 185:20	192:15,15,17,17	instituting 34:20
importance 24:22	129:20	indicated 199:19	193:6 195:4	institutionalize
30:7,17 65:10	in-person 121:11	indicates 115:10	informed 56:19	68:11
116:2	in-service 104:1	indication 8:14	117:20	instructional
important 24:7,14	inaccuracies 192:7	indicator 34:12	infrastructure 13:3	130:19
25:9 26:14 29:7	inadequate 95:15	individual 138:16	33:22 34:10,14,20	integration 106:15
29:17 30:2,4	95:18	143:20	40:22 41:22 43:12	integrity 31:16,22
35:10 40:1,5,10	inch 195:17	individuals 27:2	44:4 69:20 157:19	32:6 95:6 122:13
40:18 50:16 53:3	incident 47:20,22	57:12 139:4	infrastructures	123:7 192:1
59:6 68:4 73:1	48:5 49:19 51:9	indulgence 6:17	153:18	intended 112:4
75:14 83:9,18	60:12 81:15 84:7	45:9	INGAA 137:4	116:18
88:16,17,21 93:3	91:17 106:17	industries 59:13,18	inherent 144:11	intent 24:3 117:16
93:9,10,19 96:15	160:12	59:20	initial 76:11 176:20	119:1 137:5
96:16 99:7 125:17	incidents 24:19,21	industry 30:8,19	205:3	intention 175:1
125:21 129:19	25:21 27:18 30:13	31:19 34:6 36:3	initiate 101:20	intentionality
130:2,22 132:14	34:4 37:8 46:13	38:17 39:22 54:10	102:9 109:13	146:3,12
146:4,7,9,12	47:8 60:4,4,5,10	55:8 58:8,20	initiated 50:8	inter-leading 5:18
152:7,20 159:1	60:16 74:20 75:2	64:10,12 67:9	initiative 50:9	interact 14:10
160:7,8,10 161:15	75:2,7 208:21	71:8 81:3 104:16	61:20 62:13	interactions 53:19
167:9 168:21	inclined 19:3	127:22 129:21	initiatives 29:5	58:19 59:3,9
170:22 177:18	include 52:15 53:9	136:19,21 137:7	61:13 71:2 136:10	194:10
179:14,17 191:19	57:14 66:13 67:15	139:7 143:12	136:15,22	interactive 136:7
191:22 193:4,13	76:16	148:9 151:7	injury 37:8	interest 61:17
196:4	includes 56:15	192:20	inline 92:11	79:18 147:22
impose 184:17	209:2,11,18	ineffective 97:9,14	innovative 61:20	155:4 201:13,14
impossible 38:22	including 10:22	inertial 178:19	63:3	interested 9:2 31:8
	I		I	I

31:10 58:1 78:20	107:22		138:12 151:3,22	187:6,15,22 189:1
157:8 169:15	Iowa 11:15	J	158.12 151.5,22	190:2 191:11
192:13 214:8	IPC 156:11 157:1	J 1:19,21,22	JOY 2:3	Kinder 12:5 139:15
interesting 75:13	irrespective 158:14	jacket 208:1	Julia 2:21 19:10,12	175:22
105:2	Island 43:4	Jagger 2:20 19:8,8	July 144:7 164:9	kindly 73:20,20
interests 23:8	Israni 2:11 19:1,1	January 135:13	•	kindred 22:10
interests 25:8		138:4	jurisdiction 72:21 72:22 78:15	kinds 145:15
	issue 27:9,11 32:5	Jeff 1:22 2:8 3:2,7	160:13	
interior 12:19	33:11,20 34:8 35:4 36:12 43:13	5:5 16:5 21:4		knew 178:8
72:19 intermediate 55:12		22:22 30:16 31:5	jurisdictions 77:22	knock 51:4
	44:3 51:15 68:5	38:4 45:11 87:9	justice 137:15	know 6:11,15 9:1
64:18	68:15 86:6 90:18	115:9 116:6,10	justification 164:5	9:10,10 10:13
international 22:9	95:22 96:6 97:2,4	120:13 125:11	K	17:15 20:16 22:10
204:11	102:1,16,19	127:8 139:16	K 1:18	23:5,17 24:14,17
internet 102:5,8,15	103:12,22 104:1	145:6 148:7 159:9	Kate 2:13 18:21	25:7,22 28:10,15
109:2,4,12	105:11 106:4	199:19 200:3	keep 86:5 88:3 91:6	30:1 31:10 33:14
interpreting 159:3	110:11 117:19	201:7 214:21	104:11 105:3,6,7	34:5 35:5,14
interrelates 131:17	119:14 156:3	215:2	130:2 159:15	36:10,14 37:2,12
intersect 28:3	182:21 187:5	Jeff's 14:2 51:13	keeping 20:20	38:11,11,11,12,16
interstate 16:12	198:20 200:11	145:2	61:18 214:19	38:20,22 39:3
72:14 201:20	206:6	Jeffrey 1:15 12:10	Ken 18:19 200:2,12	40:13 41:3,6,18
204:11	issued 205:14 208:7	Jersey 16:1	200:14,21,22	41:19 42:4 43:11
interweave 45:6	issues 15:11 16:2	job 7:22 26:1 30:17	201:18 210:11	43:20 44:4,21
interwoven 54:10	18:11 23:14 24:7	51:4 109:19 120:7	213:1	46:9,17,19 47:1,4
intrastate 72:15	26:20 31:21 49:16	120:20 125:16	Ken's 202:5	47:7,19 48:4
introduce 10:9 11:1	51:10,21 53:13	127:12 141:3	Kenneth 2:11 4:13	50:17 51:5,6 53:1
17:22 20:9 166:12	58:22 59:19 61:6	152:9 160:1	Kentucky 12:9	56:8 57:1 60:20
introduced 31:5	63:15 64:1 67:2	178:21 207:9,20	14:13	67:22 69:6 76:1,2
139:14	67:13 72:12 81:18	208:22 213:6,13	key 62:19,19 67:15	76:3,8 77:6,9 78:4
introduction 51:21	82:22 84:1 88:18	215:5,6	187:16	78:13,18 81:15
52:9 114:20	88:21 98:15	John 2:10 3:14	kick 159:21	84:2,3,6,9,13,17
introductions	101:21 103:7	15:1 42:12,18,21	kidding 120:19	86:8,20 88:10
10:22 130:21	104:7,10,13	72:3 87:17 107:5	killed 86:6	89:7 90:19 91:2
investigated 60:11	109:22 110:8	109:18 114:17	kind 5:9,17 8:1,14	91:13 92:14 93:3
investigation	113:15,22 115:18	115:6	39:18 43:20,22	93:8 95:8 97:19
215:22	181:10 189:8	John's 115:9	48:15 51:11 75:13	98:20 99:15,16
investigators	190:20 207:22	join 5:8 23:4 44:17	76:20 77:21 79:4	101:4 103:7 110:1
127:16	208:14 209:6	44:20	82:20 86:1 89:14	111:8,14,20,22
invitation 213:9,19	210:5	joined 38:1 41:11	104:21 105:1	112:4,6,6,7,10,12
215:12	item 3:3,6,9,13,16	42:9 45:20 201:8		112:18,20,22
invite 121:8	4:4,11 45:18	joiners 99:4	109:20,21 110:18 110:20,22 121:9	113:5,6,13 115:19
invites 214:3	87:15 126:13	joining 17:11 39:13	127:5 134:2	116:5,7 117:3,9
involve 206:21	142:5 159:8,11,11	40:1 42:3,14		117:13,15,22
207:1	199:20,22	43:16,18 126:16	148:18 152:15	118:5 120:2 121:2
involved 8:11 26:21	items 107:13	joint 1:9,13 6:18	154:13,18 155:3 168:8 171:14	121:2,17 122:9
33:14 39:1 160:14	214:16	14:9 70:6 87:5		123:1,7,9,20,20
204:5	IVP 32:7 95:7	126:12 156:19,22	172:9,13 175:15 183:14 184:6	124:7 125:1,12
involving 27:19		journey 14:8	103.14 104.0	126:18 127:2,4,11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

*				
130:20 132:18	kudos 39:1	leak 32:7 92:2 99:2	64:13 80:6	136:14 162:14
133:20 134:5	Kuprewicz 2:4	106:4	life 195:18	176:1 194:3
135:3,19 136:21	11:6,7 85:15,19	leakage 208:16	light 21:13 172:4	208:17
139:8 140:3,5,6,7	85:20 118:8 194:2	learn 21:6 39:7	lighter 8:21	liquids 11:4,12
140:15,21,22	194:3	59:12,17 60:3,15	lightly 119:15	12:5 64:9 125:11
141:1,8,16,19		132:11 146:18	120:21 122:12	177:10 181:17
142:17 143:16	L	151:4 157:19	124:1 133:8 134:7	liquified 200:12
144:18 145:11	L 1:20,21	213:20	135:15 140:1	liquify 213:15
146:2,5,14 148:12	Labs 201:3	learned 59:2,9 88:8	liked 141:9	list 61:15 70:19
149:8 150:5,11,13	LaHood 34:2	123:9	likelihood 208:21	128:6 163:2 165:1
150:15,17 151:6,7	Lake 82:2	learning 79:15	limitations 56:8	166:18 188:21
151:10,13,18	land 56:20,21,22	83:21 88:7 148:12	limited 78:13 151:9	189:2 205:6,7,22
152:1,14,14	182:18	154:6 155:8,8,9	201:20 204:1	listed 107:14,21
153:20 154:16	language 173:1	leave 121:5 214:13	limiting 128:21	208:15
155:11,20 156:4,6	Lanny 2:2 11:21	214:14,15 215:8	Linda 1:18 2:9	listen 46:21
156:16 157:10,15	50:4 76:8	led 95:5	10:12 12:8 14:1	lit 48:7
157:20 158:3,11	large 72:13 108:4	Lee 2:11 4:13 18:19	14:13 38:12 42:2	little 8:7,20,21 9:3
160:21 161:6	130:6 174:11	18:19 200:2 202:9	42:3 73:22 74:1	10:18 12:21 13:13
163:12 167:12,14	176:8,14 207:12	210:15	127:8 156:22	19:4 43:6 59:1
168:8,19 169:20	207:13	left 23:8 38:9	line 48:20 93:2	77:3 94:1 98:20
170:1,6,10 171:11	largely 52:21	120:16	137:9 147:5	100:6 110:21,22
172:9 173:3 174:1	111:17 174:15	legacy 95:18	169:18 179:4,11	113:21 128:15
174:3,5,10 175:2	larger 189:22	163:22	183:7,9,16 192:5	129:13 130:20
175:13,17 176:1,4	largest 41:12 203:5	legislation 108:17	193:14	149:6,9 154:9
176:9,18 178:3,13	211:17 213:17	108:22	lines 92:4,10,10,13	156:2 158:13
181:3,10 182:3,6	lastly 60:18 180:10	legit 90:20	92:15,15,19 93:1	169:17 183:18
182:6 183:6,7,9	lat 183:2	lengths 207:2	94:20 95:18 99:3	185:13 186:4
184:2,10,20	late 96:8	Lesniak 2:4 11:10	99:19 113:7	189:19 196:13
186:11 187:6,22	lately 26:18	11:10 77:17,18	114:18 137:7,11	202:7
188:2 189:16	latitude 92:18	125:10,10 181:16	152:22 162:14,15	live 124:18 125:14
190:10,17 191:10	109:7 177:19	181:16	174:22 175:14	212:7
191:21 192:2,5,14	178:4,8 179:1,9	lesson 59:8	182:22 207:18	lives 62:11 141:21
192:18 193:2,8,16	179:11,13	lessons 39:21 88:8	lining 179:10	LNG 4:12 8:16 9:2
194:18 195:12	launch 17:17	let's 104:5 162:5	link 164:14 165:2	159:10,17 162:16
196:6 197:9,22	laws 97:3,7,8	174:7 185:11	167:20 169:3	200:1,11,17,21
198:1,3,20 201:2	lawyers 120:6	199:9	173:9	201:5,17 202:12
201:7,8,13 202:18	LDC 211:17	letters 205:14	linkage 13:4	202:15 203:5,10
203:6 204:18	LDC's 72:21	level 7:19 24:5	liquid 1:6,6 2:1	203:15,17,20
205:21 206:16,17	LDCs 211:14 212:8	33:17,19 36:4	6:19 7:2 11:8,22	204:6,6,10 205:5
209:4,10 213:5	lead 15:8 29:19	57:16,19 77:21	12:3 13:6 17:2	205:11,13,19,21
215:12,15 216:4,6	31:9 71:3 82:20	115:11,22 129:2	26:9 27:20 28:5	206:3 207:12
216:11	204:10	139:7 152:3	70:21 85:20 89:9	208:8,12,20
knowing 31:10	leadership 70:19	155:20 172:1	91:14,16 92:15	209:11,15,17
178:4	129:15 131:1,12	178:14 187:14	94:5 95:1 96:11	210:1,5 211:1,14
known 44:11 183:3	131:13 139:22	levels 80:2 82:5,10	100:5 106:22	211:19 212:10,13
knows 139:16	144:16,19	82:16,21 206:10	122:13,17 123:12	212:20 213:11
142:9	leading 67:5 120:20	leverage 59:12 60:2	125:1 127:13	214:9
		3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		

	l	l	 	l
LNG-powered	179:15 180:19	lots 59:13 81:16	153:6,13 154:16	54:22
209:21	181:13 186:7	95:9 126:20	154:18,21,22	match 172:19
lobby 6:4,6,11	191:1 202:5	155:19 157:8	158:6 192:2	material 27:22 84:4
local 35:12 36:4	205:17 216:16	loud 113:13	manager 11:14	85:9 110:4,7,10
46:14 47:21 50:4	looked 60:11 120:4	Louisiana 202:3	13:12 18:18 162:8	materials 13:15
53:21 56:1 57:16	looking 15:15 21:7	love 20:21 76:20	managers 80:20	25:11 26:4 29:16
57:19 65:5,7 66:6	39:10 41:6 45:14	197:2 215:14	managing 22:14	60:7 62:7 63:8
77:22,22 78:15	63:3 91:22 92:2,3	216:10	151:1 159:22	68:21 74:20 75:2
82:7 85:7	92:9,12,20 93:2	loved 23:14	mandate 107:16,21	76:6
locals 65:21 79:3	94:7,8,12,14,15	low 203:7	mandated 102:3	matter 21:12,18
locate 196:10	99:14 125:20	low-cost 202:20	106:5	69:10 126:8
197:13	135:14 181:7	lower 202:16,17	mandates 88:19	191:14 216:20
location 160:12	184:17 189:12	lowest 80:2 129:2	93:5 95:11 96:12	matters 159:10
166:8,8,10 182:22	202:7	luck 197:14	103:11 104:8	214:22
183:12 192:4	looks 29:20 41:7	lucky 20:1	106:1	Mayberry 2:12 4:7
195:7,13,16	99:18 202:1		mandatory 116:18	4:13 14:17,18
198:16	lose 24:10 130:3	$\frac{\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{M}}$ 1:17 2:6	166:3	159:19,20 181:2
locations 147:2	Losing 39:7	ma'am 74:13 75:16	manner 33:8	198:18 200:2,7
165:14 167:14	loss 48:22		manual 33:3 74:3	211:2,9
186:22 188:9	lost 73:5 193:19	Madam 159:20 200:9	165:3	Mayflower 49:18
logic 144:11	lot 8:10,19 13:3		MAOP 95:20 166:7	McCLAIN 2:5 3:18
logistics 47:3	26:17 31:15 34:8	main 54:16 203:14	171:2 187:21	12:4,4 32:18
173:15	37:11 39:5,11	203:18 206:4,6,7	map 163:5 168:6,9	126:16,17 140:17
long 30:20 53:10	41:17,21 42:7,21	206:18	171:8 202:13,14	140:19 145:1
55:8 70:19 103:2	43:17 46:5,7 49:6	Maine 205:22	205:18,20	158:2 173:21
107:8 111:9	50:13 51:21 52:3	maintain 24:5 30:12	mapping 4:6 35:8	175:21,22
119:13 130:18	58:22 65:13 69:3	major 27:11 54:5	55:18 56:9 159:13	mean 19:21 40:9
141:8 144:3	71:7,9,15,16	_	192:1	88:16 113:10,12
158:13 183:2	77:20 79:22	majority 132:5 171:19	maps 179:15	117:12 122:2
185:4 193:2	104:14,18 111:21	making 6:11 67:7	Marathon 10:20	128:20 132:19
longer 170:3 216:6	113:14,18 118:11	67:13 102:12	11:4	135:5 137:2 138:6
longest 23:11,11	119:16 121:10	113:4 122:15	marine 25:11	142:18 145:18
longitude 177:20	122:9 123:9,10	146:4,19 180:4	203:10 204:7	152:7 153:4
178:5,8 179:1,9	125:1 128:7	212:12 213:4	Mark 1:18 10:12	154:14 158:8
179:12,13	131:16 132:22	man 125:7	15:3 43:15,17	165:5 181:11
longstanding 43:4	135:7,8,21 138:6	management 3:16	114:7,9,14	189:16 193:15
look 8:12 12:12	139:4 142:18	30:5,10 31:17,22	marker 178:18,20	195:17 199:8
13:17 16:2 23:21	145:18 148:5	32:20 38:15 80:20	178:21	203:2 207:13
24:12 28:3 37:18	165:10 166:15	89:22 90:7 126:14	market 209:9	208:8
38:1 40:12,17	170:3 173:22	128:5 129:1,1,15	markets 213:17	meaning 134:20
42:17 47:11 60:14	174:19 189:22	130:1,8 131:2,7	Marriott 1:14	152:15
87:14 92:5,7	190:11 192:14	131:12,14 133:9	169:2 Marshall 24:10	meaningful 32:9 means 44:6 59:4
102:14 119:4	193:3 195:6	136:20 137:4	Marshall 24:19	
124:19 137:10	200:10 203:9,10	145:15,19,19	41:2 47:19 49:6	61:15 99:9 110:16
147:15 157:21	204:21 207:9,20 207:22 209:2	146:8 148:8	64:1 82:3 91:17 128:3	114:20 132:21 140:22 142:10
164:20,21 173:8 176:1 177:11		150:12 152:3	Marshals 50:12	
1/0.1 1//:11	216:3	150.12 152.5	1 VIAI SIIAIS 30:12	158:16 159:3
	1	1	1	1

197:3	198:5,7 213:10	Microsoft 124:18	modular 55:11	67:7 91:6 105:8
meant 85:1 117:9	membership	middle 215:18	64:17	107:2 135:6
measure 31:13	138:10	mike 2:11 19:1	moment 6:14 31:7	146:22 149:2
mechanisms 34:21	mention 9:3 17:18	21:14	135:15	158:14 201:15
34:22	35:7 46:2 54:14	mile 178:17	Monday 169:2	213:3
medical 27:1 68:17	61:18 63:10,11	mileage 172:20	money 142:16	multi-faceted 68:6
84:10	71:12 81:19	miles 38:21 174:5,7	175:9 190:11	multi-family 99:20
meet 31:7 156:6	122:11 143:6	186:12,16	199:13	multiple 121:16
163:17 166:22	188:17	million 25:12 38:21	monitoring 82:10	125:22 174:11
180:20 204:4,17	mentioned 12:11	111:6 212:1	82:11	municipal 72:4
204:20	13:6 26:1 31:5	mind 10:11,15,16	monkey 194:19	211:17
meeting 1:9,13 5:11	35:17 37:11 40:3	45:3 81:17 114:4	month 14:6 23:9	Murk 2:12 18:15
6:18 7:6,12,14,19	40:22 45:22 55:19	154:15 210:13	126:21	18:15
7:21 9:8,8 12:12	60:20 61:9 62:22	mindful 8:18 124:6	months 100:11	mystique 26:16
13:22 14:9 21:2	63:22 64:14 69:2	minds 7:13	107:3 132:17	
44:18 88:15	71:5 74:8 81:2	minor 123:18	140:15,17,20	N
110:19 113:2	109:9 114:18	163:16 172:10	160:5 216:7	N.W 1:14
121:11 123:6	122:16 123:17	minus 163:21 178:9	Morgan 12:5	N/A 3:5,8
125:20 126:12	159:9 164:3	183:6 198:16	139:15 175:22	NACE 94:14
156:8 157:17	186:20	minuscule 178:2	morning 215:1	naive 114:22
161:4,21 162:1	mentioning 123:16	minute 13:17 61:12	216:18	name 5:4 9:12
164:12,15 165:17	mercaptan 48:12	minutes 36:13	motion 39:5	14:15 51:22 77:2
169:1,13,14	merge 139:2	159:15	Mount 17:6 197:19	77:5,7 103:1,3
173:11,13 177:7	mess 111:4	minutia 146:6	210:13	112:6 121:17
188:13 191:2	message 46:21 73:1	miscellaneous	mountainous 187:9	142:15 163:15
195:3 199:18	73:4,8 94:18	98:12 102:22	mouth 83:13	175:22 214:14
215:13 216:12	messages 72:17	103:2 105:16	move 9:5 26:6 29:4	names 13:15 17:20
meetings 6:20	79:13,16,21 80:1	missed 19:19	31:4 37:15 45:4	73:15
20:10 21:8,17	messenger 79:10	missing 163:18	45:10 91:5 93:7	Nancy 2:16 17:21
58:1 95:9 96:5	79:10	mission 46:4	93:10 96:3 97:21	18:1
122:22 127:1	met 24:8 42:21	163:18 164:2	98:5,17,19 100:10	Nanney 2:13 18:17
138:14,16 146:10	44:14 155:16	166:22	101:16 102:18	18:17
160:21 194:15	157:17 200:10	mitigate 62:11	112:3 115:1,4	NAPSER 44:12
member 10:14,15	methane 15:11	mitigation 65:6,8	136:18 140:4	NAPSR 98:14
12:15 15:7 16:17	43:7,13,19	65:10,13,15	145:17 147:4	NARUC 42:16 43:3
22:12 63:5 127:10	method 161:12	mixed 19:17	148:2 159:7	43:5 157:10
132:11 184:10	methods 94:12	modal 115:16	179:10 198:21	NASFM 55:2,10
members 1:16 2:1	167:7	mode 171:12	199:6,10,11	national 4:5 11:18
9:9 10:11,12	metrics 31:12 32:9	model 63:3 123:11	moved 27:7 28:20	15:15 16:18 26:18
12:10 14:11 16:10	32:11 35:17,18	models 206:22	51:14 91:3,20,20	29:11 33:12,17
17:11 21:13 52:13	106:14 185:19	208:5	94:2 95:21 96:21	35:8 50:11 54:21
53:16 78:19 84:5	MICHELE 2:3	modern 85:6,11	99:9 100:4 105:8	55:18 56:9 63:18
89:17 101:6 110:9	Michigan 24:19	205:10	movement 26:6	80:18 159:12
113:19,20 118:20	41:2 42:13 47:19	modernization	27:15 153:9	201:3
132:2,8 133:7	49:6 82:3 91:17	40:22 41:22 43:13	moves 39:9	natural 13:4 15:7,9
136:11,20 137:1,6	128:3	modes 28:2	moving 8:16 24:10	15:13,16 172:2
145:3 194:20	microphone 84:12	modified 55:10	28:4 30:17 45:16	200:12 202:20,22
			l	

203:4,7,17 206:14	133:3	105:5 124:4,12	nut 59:14 85:22	offline 183:17
213:16	negatively 132:2,9	normal 101:12	86:14	offshore 12:22 13:3
nature 111:11	neither 72:20 110:6	187:3	00.14	172:11,17
117:18 150:2,11	Nelson 4:7 159:22	North 17:6 27:15	0	oftentimes 112:5
194:8	162:6,7,8 174:18	80:8 157:15	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 2:5	Oh 77:16 85:19
navigation 204:7	176:17 183:13	211:15 212:7	Oak 201:3	125:6 156:21
near 36:10 137:11	188:11 191:3	note 77:8 157:22	objections 133:1	oil 12:22 15:8 26:6
near-miss 32:9	193:21 197:15	159:5 191:22	objective 106:17	27:13,14,15 86:1
NEB 153:19 154:13	NENA 63:19	199:16	200:3	153:16 171:22
155:5,15,19 156:6	NEPA 203:19	noted 126:4 183:19	obligated 110:5	172:3 209:18,19
156:10,20 157:3,4	networks 80:7	notes 155:17	observations 118:9	okay 8:15 17:9
150.10,20 157.5,4	never 26:14 86:2,3	notice 124:13 164:9	194:9 195:1	19:20,22 20:15
necessarily 195:11	86:18 147:11	164:21 165:8	211:12	52:11 108:12
199:11	153:1 178:7	notify 173:14	obvious 155:14	114:21 120:14
necessary 6:6	190:19	notion 9:3 142:3	obviously 24:1 27:8	125:9 126:17
106:16 141:11	new 10:11,12,13,14	November 28:18	37:4 46:13 93:9	149:15 173:16
need 5:22 6:15 7:4	12:10,15 14:11	71:11 99:11	115:15 171:2,11	179:7 183:13
24:10,16 25:20	15:7 16:1 17:11	164:13 169:1	198:21 212:10	195:8 198:18
29:7 31:18 32:16	18:16 20:6 21:13	205:15	occur 37:5 57:2	202:13 205:21
44:6 49:8 59:12	23:4 24:21 52:13	NPMS 160:5 161:8	58:17	old 10:14 41:4 76:2
59:14,21 60:15,19	73:19 74:6 81:5	162:9,12,17	occurred 208:10	184:19 208:6
62:7,8 68:10,11	89:17 101:1,5,20	163:10 172:21	occurrence 114:5	older 14:11 150:7
68:13,18,19 69:1	101:22 111:13	173:11,22 177:4	October 1:11 21:20	182:22 209:5
69:11 73:2 76:16	117:4,18 119:15	191:22 193:1,14	91:18 137:14	OMB 99:10 100:18
83:14 84:1,18	135:21 137:3	NTSB 60:11 88:19	199:22	101:15 105:3,6
94:21 96:7 98:5	149:18 157:2	93:5 95:13 96:13	odors 48:9	115:2,14
112:3 116:15	160:6 166:6,21	99:4,14 104:8	offer 216:10	once 29:22 65:8,9
118:21 119:3	170:6 171:1	106:8 127:17	offered 47:4 150:8	101:22 136:17
148:2 154:8 161:1	200:15 204:2	128:4,5	offers 154:1	144:10 168:9,15
161:1 162:11	208:1 209:12	nuances 130:17	office 18:2,9 19:13	191:10
168:2 170:16	213:17	Nuclear 143:10	23:1 51:2 52:1	one-stop 79:4 180:7
172:6,16,18 175:8	newer 16:9 53:16	nudging 151:21	57:9 89:3,20,21	one-way 50:22
178:14 187:14,16	201:16	number 8:2,11	89:22 90:7 114:21	ones 14:12 68:7
188:7 192:4,16	newsletter 77:2,9	19:6 20:7 22:16	115:2,3 160:9	105:3 112:2,18
196:13,14 198:3	NFPA 63:5,6,10	24:7,18 27:18	201:4,6	120:4 124:3 151:4
198:21 199:5	206:9 209:6	29:6 30:1 31:16	officer 11:11 18:13	151:5 209:13
203:1 206:11	NHCAs 189:13	32:1 34:3 41:3	29:18 31:6 154:22	ongoing 52:18
207:13,16 209:15	night 48:10	50:8 63:18 87:16	155:1	54:12 154:6
needed 35:3 46:10	nine 90:13 91:8	115:11 121:16	officers 33:5	204:22
needing 28:5	118:16 215:1	126:13 132:5	offices 33:5	online 55:5
needs 49:9 64:3	nominated 20:17	135:11 137:15	official 2:8 7:7,9	onshore 172:11,17
67:3 69:6 72:12	42:12	145:8 159:11	85:8 181:21	onshore/offshore
121:19 150:8	non 90:10 151:12	160:18 173:7	officially 165:21	172:14
151:19 163:18	174:9	184:18 186:2	officials 53:21 54:1	OPA 53:9
180:21 206:16	non-ATA 94:11	199:22 208:22	54:4 56:1 62:1	open 112:17 113:7
208:13 210:19	non-significant	211:14	81:1 167:2 171:6	169:14 194:16,16
negative 132:11	90:2,21 100:15,17	numerous 213:1	184:2	213:18
	, -, -, -,			

	 	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
opened 142:21	options 172:7	P	145:10 154:13	42:19,20,20 44:7
opening 3:7 8:8	order 3:2 21:2	P-R-O-C-E-E-D	177:21	44:13 45:1 46:2
87:7	97:11 105:7	5:1	particularly 10:10	49:14 62:18 64:20
operate 51:8 158:4	175:16 204:12	p.m 1:14 5:2 126:9	17:10 21:12 35:13	73:9 78:6 79:9,11
174:5 186:11	ordered 129:13	126:10 216:21	42:1 59:6 67:11	82:15 86:5 94:5
operating 28:10	organization 30:9	PA 105:17	122:4 130:15	116:21 117:14,19
30:7 153:5 166:7	30:15 33:2 80:8	PA11 105:18	144:1 182:17,22	119:9,19 123:10
operation 204:3	128:22 129:3	PA12 105:12	215:19	126:20 127:2,6,20
205:1	145:22 147:2	Paajanen 2:21	parties 78:20	128:21 130:6,9
operational 26:2	150:4	19:12,12	118:22	136:7 139:1 140:2
operations 14:3	organization's	pace 140:3	partner 20:10	140:8 141:16
18:16 53:8 143:11	32:12	packet 13:14	65:16 167:9	143:15 145:5
operator 30:8	organizations	Paes 77:7	partners 12:5	155:14 156:17
103:3,8,9 107:9	30:12 50:14 58:12	page 173:9 205:12	44:12	179:15 185:17
141:19 142:10	78:16 79:17 82:17	pages 56:15 130:18	partnerships 58:20	196:12 213:13
158:9 167:5	83:1 136:5,10	pain 116:6	58:20 59:3 67:14	people's 133:1
operators 35:11	148:13,14 158:17	panel 33:14 43:8,15	parts 164:1 206:4	141:21 143:3
36:2 46:14,17	178:6	43:18 156:20	party 83:19	186:5
51:18 53:12 56:4	original 64:20	157:1	Pasadena 11:22	perceived 79:18
58:13 61:1,5,10	108:22	paper 142:13,16	50:6	percent 86:20
62:16 78:1,10	originally 102:21	214:16	pass 115:7 145:2	95:19 132:1 166:7
79:15 113:17	137:13 199:21	paperwork 152:18	174:13 212:1,4,11	174:8,9,9,22
127:14,15 129:21	OST 116:1	parallel 39:21	212:18 213:11	175:6 198:6
130:5,6 147:17	ought 132:20	parallels 69:18	passage 164:4	206:14 216:1
160:16 165:3	157:14 158:19	paraphrasing	passionate 127:9	perfect 37:12 38:11
168:13 169:7	182:12 196:3	48:15	password 171:7	39:7 45:2
170:9 172:13,15	outcome 161:14	parcel 54:8	path 41:14 45:7	perfection 147:8
175:2,3 177:3	outer 207:15	Pardon 54:2	144:4 146:20	perform 204:19
183:5 185:1	outlined 176:16	part 13:6 24:14	208:14	performance 35:17
188:16 191:4,6,9	outreach 52:5	29:17 33:20 41:18	patient 194:1,3	99:1 129:20 147:6
195:12 210:5	80:22	54:8 61:4 65:12	patients 84:4	154:1 168:12
opinion 131:9	outside 79:17 98:13	68:13,18 71:5	patterns 168:4,10	176:12
186:7	126:22 162:1	79:7 116:3 124:1	Paul 43:2,2,6	performance-bas
opportunities 10:1	215:13	163:5 202:18	Paul's 45:15	146:16
66:11	overall 33:20	203:6 204:1,4,17	pay 190:16 191:10	performing 33:8
opportunity 5:15	106:17 150:15	204:20 210:6	211:19 212:2	period 91:4 135:22
8:10 9:16,19 39:6	overlay 81:4	215:18	paying 112:9 212:8	137:16,20 142:20
60:22 76:18 154:1	oversee 204:6	parte 123:2	peace 141:1	164:10 169:11,13
161:21 162:1	overseeing 160:1	participate 72:5	peak 211:15 212:13	173:1 176:12
180:22 190:22	overview 162:12	127:17 192:11	peer 79:16	185:9
opposed 156:4	200:13	participated	peers 79:11,11,12	periods 137:12
optimistic 28:17	overwhelmed	138:13	pending 110:10	permission 5:12,14
100:6,9	49:20	participating	Pennsylvania	8:13
option 121:4	overworked 195:8	153:19	24:21	permit 108:3,6
163:10,16	owned 153:5	particular 39:13	penny 212:3,4	permits 103:13,15
optional 162:20	ownership 72:21	55:7 57:7 64:3	people 6:4 8:19	103:17
165:7 166:4		69:14 74:21	10:8 19:4,21 20:2	person 77:5 127:7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

,		I	I	,
129:1 132:19	114:19 115:15,21	186:12,16 197:8	167:11,15,17	plays 27:14 29:15
169:16	118:11,13 119:14	197:13 208:1	193:16 195:13	208:9
personally 88:12	127:11 142:4	pipeline 1:3,3,6,6	198:6,8,9	please 13:16,21
141:2	143:22 155:5,6	1:16 2:1 4:6 5:6	pipes 34:15 95:12	19:19 21:15 22:17
personnel 47:2	162:8 166:21	6:19 7:2,3 11:4,8	97:1 153:16 178:1	73:4,15 114:11
103:9 104:18	167:4 169:6 170:6	11:19 12:1,3,3	piping 72:20 207:2	149:15,18 165:19
128:20,22 129:5	172:16 177:14	13:3,6 16:7,14,17	207:17	166:19 170:10
perspective 26:2	192:12 210:19	16:20 17:1 18:3	PIR 206:8	173:8 175:18
47:3 118:9 120:8	213:2	19:14 22:13 23:13	placards 152:5	214:14
180:2 183:17	PHMSA's 20:4	25:1,8,16 28:2,6	place 7:20 20:5	pleased 12:11
perspectives 16:3	26:13 72:20	29:1,15 30:8,18	28:12,14 33:3	210:8
Peter 157:2,5,11	167:20 189:2	34:4,9,14 35:8,13	34:22 35:21 40:19	pleasure 14:8 20:8
petition 94:13	203:21 204:14	36:5,19 38:21	110:2 115:17	21:6
105:13,14	PHMSA-2014-01	42:19 43:5 46:12	146:22 151:3	plot 179:4
petitions 98:13,14	20:7	46:17,21 47:8	154:19 164:13	plug 76:21 77:3
104:14	phone 21:10 85:7	50:6,10 51:3 52:2	187:8 194:6	plus 126:22 143:22
petroleum 48:8	113:5,6 124:5,16	52:19 53:11 54:17	placed 29:10	163:4,21 178:9
53:17 64:6	173:7	54:19 55:9,18	places 58:11,12	183:6 198:15
phase 115:5 205:2	photograph 179:5	56:2,4,9 57:9,19	134:20 135:21	point 5:12 7:16
205:3	179:5,8	58:13,16 60:1,10	152:10	24:1 39:2 40:18
phased 170:7	photos 216:11	61:1,5,10,19,22	plan 29:13,20 40:3	49:2 55:21 84:17
192:18	physicians 84:3	62:10,16 63:14,22	40:7 56:4 65:13	90:22 100:7 111:8
phases 188:3	pick 38:7,9 120:15	64:2,8,10,13,15	101:18 109:21	111:14,19 114:3
phasing 186:21	121:6 172:6	64:20 65:20 66:21	111:1 136:9	117:5 121:7
193:12 196:18	picked 136:17	68:9,11 69:13	151:12,14,17	138:13 143:17
Phillips 144:3	189:1	74:15 77:18 78:10	152:14 212:17	151:2 153:3 174:3
PHMSA 2:8,9,9,10	picture 168:17	79:14 81:3,12	214:19,22	191:4 212:11
2:10,11,11,12,12	piece 168:16	84:7 85:20 103:4	planned 57:3	pointed 198:9
2:13,13,14,14,15	pieces 130:17	110:8 121:12	planning 56:19	pointing 83:12
2:15,16 3:4 5:6	144:12 163:19	128:5 129:9,20	70:22 161:3 164:3	points 59:5 83:16
13:11 14:16,20,22	Pierson 2:5 11:3,3	139:7 145:11	164:12 182:18	122:9 165:16
15:2 17:19 18:7,9	70:16 73:16 177:9	159:13 160:9	plans 35:9 53:8,8,9	177:11
18:14,18 19:2,5,9	177:10	162:18 163:5,19	53:9 65:6,8,10,15	poised 203:4
21:19,21 22:12,15	piggability 92:9	167:14 169:6	65:22 82:14 86:1	police 81:21 86:11
22:16,18 23:7,12	pilot 160:18	174:4 179:10	152:5 167:4	policy 7:18 8:5
23:15 25:8 26:21	PIMMA 171:7	183:11 195:12,16	203:12 206:1	14:19 18:2 29:12
27:3 29:15 31:9	PIPA 57:5 78:21 79:1	196:10 201:5	plant 204:3	policy-only 7:14
32:22 33:3,6,10 35:4,7 41:5 46:3,9	79:1 pipe 56:7 95:14,14	pipeline's 168:12	plants 204:4 205:11 209:17 210:21	polygon 183:16
46:11 50:3 52:1	95:19,19 99:3,6	pipeline-specific 128:8	plastic 99:3 104:13	pop 85:9
52:18 66:9 69:14	104:14,15,17,19	pipelines 34:6 50:5	104:15,17,19	popping 19:15 portals 64:13
74:10,12 78:3,3	104:14,13,17,19	51:7 56:2,5,12,16	104:13,17,19	portion 6:13
85:6,22 88:3	165:5 166:4,17	56:19,21 57:1,4	plate 104:2 105:22	portion 6:13 ports 204:8
89:19 90:3 91:20	168:16,18,20	62:6,9 63:10,11	200:22	ports 204.8 position 23:4
91:20 94:2 95:21	172:10 174:5	63:15 65:5,7,9,12	play 90:17 195:19	157:13
96:22 97:7 105:9	172.10 174.3	66:7 68:13,18,20	play 90.17 193.19 player 47:17	positional 163:20
106:11,12 107:2	177.21 178.1,3	72:15 76:12	players 67:15	165:10 166:1
100.11,12 107.2	177.10 103.3	12.13 10.12	piajeis 07.13	105.10 100.1
		-	-	

*	1]	
174:2 177:17	162:21 164:14	probably 8:9 20:1	program 15:5 18:9	140:8
187:10 191:20	169:4 210:11,17	20:4 48:4,11 76:3	25:9 29:1,17	provide 9:19
192:3	presentations 8:11	76:8 78:9 81:5	31:17,22 35:19	117:10 161:21
positive 41:17 69:5	20:3 57:21 144:6	86:12 101:8	44:5 47:4 50:11	167:10,13 189:15
72:7 131:10 132:1	145:8	111:12 112:12,13	52:2 60:7 66:9	198:16 199:12
133:6,15 135:1,7	presenting 84:5	113:16 125:22	97:10,15 121:13	provided 118:5
135:9 196:3	president 14:7 15:4	130:19 140:1	programs 14:19	144:20 200:2
possible 37:10	20:17 24:2 28:21	143:12 149:4	28:7 31:14 32:8	providing 54:17
153:3 170:5	presiding 1:15 7:8	157:20 184:7	34:21 35:2 46:18	provincial 156:8
186:13,14	pressure 48:22	193:10 195:2,7	50:9 66:13,20	provisions 105:17
post 13:19 131:20	49:2 193:7 198:2	problem 67:21	98:8 167:5 190:5	105:18
post-construction	presume 114:19	150:19,21 189:19	progress 24:11	PSMS 199:14
99:1	pretty 9:4 17:15	190:5	31:15 36:14 51:16	public 9:7,15,16
postpone 216:3	39:20 40:9 58:5	problems 82:6	67:14 140:4	11:8,13 12:9 14:5
potato 83:3	71:13 86:12 98:1	procedures 128:18	project 18:17 54:8	16:16,20 17:1,7
potential 35:16	105:21 120:8,10	128:19 167:6	108:4 159:22	25:21 36:12 41:19
56:4 145:16	124:17 138:15,18	proceed 23:20	160:2,18 204:16	42:14 49:14,19
166:15	147:16 169:5	149:16	205:7,13 213:10	53:3,14,21,21
Power 143:11	194:17 202:14	process 42:11 71:1	213:15,21	54:5 55:5 59:17
powerful 146:1,13	208:6 216:1	75:15 76:15,21	projects 107:22	62:1 66:8,13,19
147:1	preventing 37:4	92:1 95:6,7,8	prominent 33:12	68:12,14,15 72:2
practical 186:13,15	prevention 18:10	97:15,17 100:22	promise 6:21 38:8	72:6,11,17 78:11
186:17	52:6 69:18 97:6,8	101:12 103:15,19	promote 78:22	79:9 81:1,17
practice 53:18 64:7	price 202:15 203:7	103:20 115:10	136:5,11	83:15 84:1 86:17
64:11 150:1	209:19	119:21,22 122:14	promoted 66:5	89:1,12 93:10
practices 31:1 54:9	primarily 27:6 76:5	122:20 123:8	promotion 136:19	95:8 96:15 98:1
79:1 151:8	primary 53:20	134:13 148:21	prompt 112:8	102:8,17 103:20
pragmatic 154:3	72:16 78:9 211:5	176:3,15 189:14	promptly 126:5 215:1	108:14 118:9,14
predecessor 23:6	primer 65:3,5 66:4	192:2,13,22	properly 27:8	118:20 119:9
predominant 166:9 prepare 173:13	160:7	195:19 201:9 205:16 213:4	62:19 137:20	120:1,7 122:21 123:3 127:12,17
	primis.phmsa/do			<i>'</i>
204:12 214:13	173:10 principle 147:4	processing 176:21 produce 38:21	property 206:16	154:17 161:3,20
prepared 35:16 37:7 50:22	principle 147.4 principles 128:12	produced 55:3 74:5	proposal 211:20 propose 124:5,13	161:21 164:12,15 168:22 169:8,12
preparedness	130:3 131:7	product 150:17	165:11	168:22 169:8,12
65:20 74:3	135:19	172:3 198:1	proposed 103:4	171:18 172:8
prescriptive 130:3	prior 15:19,22	production 13:1,2	118:17 205:19	171.18 172.8
158:7,8 176:13	16:11	15:12 25:4 27:14	proposing 172:1	181:21 182:1,2,9
present 2:7,19	priorities 26:8,10	202:19	proposition 190:13	182:13 183:21
87:20 96:2 123:4	29:10 32:12 35:20	productive 197:11	proposition 170.13	184:10 187:19
148:9 174:12	91:13 107:1	products 25:14	protected 171:8	188:13 191:1
192:9 208:3	186:21 188:4	professional	protecting 141:21	192:10,21 194:14
presentation 40:7	priority 25:6 62:5	176:19	protecting 141.21	194:15,20 196:5
70:7,14 72:1	89:8 93:13 97:20	professionally	94:15	199:18 210:1
73:13 81:13	129:14	88:11	protocol 63:21	publication 71:11
140:11,12 144:2	privilege 126:19	profit 141:22	proud 10:20 141:12	134:22 135:12
148:2 159:6,15,17	prize 138:22	212:11,12	prouder 139:8	136:3 138:2
1.5.2 157.0,15,17	r	,	100.00	100.0 100.2

publicly 109:11	119:7,10 154:11	121:13 122:10	142:12 143:19	90:9 99:5 141:7
publish 66:15	161:6 168:1	164:4	142.12 143.19	recommendations
101:8 134:17	174:19,21 175:7,9	re-posting 135:3	147:1 148:20	76:19 88:20 93:6
published 56:14,15	197:21	re-prioritize	147.1 148.20 149:12 150:13,21	96:13,14 101:7
66:4 76:4,4 88:1	questions 37:19	118:21	153:8 157:5,6,7	'
91:18 93:21 99:11	51:6 70:5,13		, ,	104:9,18 106:8 129:8
132:5 138:5	73:12 85:14 87:6	re-project 177:1 reach 11:6 82:18	157:12,15 163:17 168:3 172:13	recommended
	87:8 107:6 114:15	82:22 84:2 213:21	180:19 181:9	42:18 53:18 54:9
publishes 74:7,9,10 pulling 124:9 180:5	115:13 117:11,13		185:10 187:16	64:7,11 79:1
punt 9:21 216:6	120:15 139:12	reaching 81:20 83:5		150:1
_			188:7 189:16,21 192:12 193:12	
purchase 57:14	157:8 161:22	reacting 148:13 192:17		recommends 214:16
purpose 7:12 8:4	173:18 188:12	reaction 118:19	196:11 197:5	reconsider 9:2
9:21 139:3,6,6 162:2 195:20	205:5,8 210:8 214:6	read 109:13 119:17	198:14 208:10	reconsider 9:2 reconvene 126:5
	· -	130:14,16 132:19	213:6,14 214:7 215:14	reconvene 126:3
pursue 23:8 158:19	queue 200:8	130:14,16 132:19	realm 59:17	25:17 73:16 85:2
pursuing 158:12	quibble 118:4	149:19 215:7		
push 27:20 80:1,14 124:2	quick 5:9 10:21 75:21 91:10 118:9		reap 141:20	114:12 126:8,9,12 194:15 216:20
· ·		reading 178:19	reaping 71:17 rear 197:17	
pushed 64:4 69:4 pushing 69:8,15	120:15 125:9 178:16	ready 19:22 37:3 173:13 187:5	rear 197:17 reason 175:14	record-keeping 129:17 131:3
140:20 147:4	quickly 7:11 17:15	214:12		recorded 143:8
	37:10 38:8 40:14	real 21:2 77:20	reasonably 132:12 reasons 90:10	
put 9:11 64:20 77:21 83:13		140:14 152:1		records 95:15,18 194:14
	52:12 98:18 143:6		111:6 195:14	
113:10,12 114:4 127:18 134:13	188:1	190:5	198:22	recourse 117:1
	quite 22:5 53:13	reality 37:5	reauthorization	recover 107:19
149:22 151:15	69:5 120:9 143:14	realize 205:8 208:6	93:8	108:7
159:2 164:7 173:1	147:11 156:11	208:12 209:5	recall 100:18 102:2	recovery 34:20
201:1	157:18 214:8	really 7:11 8:1 9:2	108:1,22 159:8	103:3,11 107:10
puts 113:20 118:11	quote 128:4	9:16 10:2 14:8	receive 87:16	107:14 190:10
150:22	R	20:4,18 21:5 23:22 28:19 29:11	111:18 132:7	recurrent 92:4
putting 133:21	radiation 206:8,10	29:13 33:1 34:7	135:6 198:7	red 21:13
180:13 185:9	rail 25:11 27:12,18		received 73:8 94:13	redefined 189:14 redouble 35:9
0	27:21,21	38:8 39:1 40:5	104:14 106:7	reduce 30:13 37:8
Q&A 3:5,8,12,15	railcar 36:18	44:7,15 47:11	receiving 111:19 133:12	
3:20 4:9,15 70:8	raised 65:11 95:13	48:6 49:8,13,20		reducing 25:20,21 reduction 43:14,20
Quakenbush 42:13	raising 105:14	49:21,22 50:12 51:14 54:16 58:3	recess 214:20	reduction 43:14,20 reenforce 26:11
45:13	119:7		recognition 196:15	
qualification 103:3	ramp 49:2	61:20 68:4,8 74:19 78:7 81:12	recognize 24:6 29:6 34:10 73:22 87:9	86:17 reenforced 46:4
103:8 107:9	ran 122:20		34:10 /3:22 87:9 127:8 160:22	reenforces 131:14
quality 82:5,21	rang 21:10	83:13,20 90:20 114:1 122:1 124:3	recognized 46:10	refer 94:5,6 98:11
Quarterman 23:6	rarely 114:4			*
Quarterman's 89:7	rate 143:17 144:20	124:10 125:3,13	138:22	reference 22:4 38:6
question 23:19	208:4 216:15	125:16,21,21	recognizes 66:1	45:18 100:19
70:17 77:19	RCVs 106:15	127:1,20 128:21	recognizing 86:21	101:10 102:4
108:13 110:3	re-authorization	131:4 132:6,17 134:12 137:14	127:2 136:16 193:13	108:15 109:1 206:9 209:5
114:22 116:20	99:13 102:2		recommendation	referenced 74:2
111,22 110,20	//,IJ IU2,2	138:19 140:2,9	1 ecommenuation	1 6161 611660 /4:2
			1	!

,	I	I	I	I
121:10	41:19 53:12 58:13	73:21 156:22	151:11 154:3	56:18 58:21 61:2
referred 102:21	127:16 156:8	remiss 5:20 42:10	requirements	61:6,11,19 63:9
refrigerants 208:3	190:9 192:20	122:12	92:12 94:7,14	65:1 66:14 71:9
regard 28:9 31:9	194:5 203:15	remove-controlled	95:17 99:3 103:8	74:19 75:11 76:10
32:20 70:6 114:16	regulatory 3:13	106:3	111:13 117:4,18	78:1 81:21 82:8
114:17	16:6 42:20 44:5	removed 109:3	154:20 206:5,19	86:10 160:11
regarding 63:21	44:13 87:16 93:11	renamed 6:22	requires 30:14 61:3	167:2,8 182:17
69:13 70:10 73:6	93:15 111:13	renewal 103:15	135:21	192:10 197:7
73:12 87:7 161:19	115:16 117:4	repair 34:5 92:4	requiring 92:18	response 18:11
199:1	119:2,19 140:5	94:9,10	99:15,18	26:3 36:11,16
regardless 161:14	190:14,19	repeat 128:1	Research 18:18	37:1 46:12 47:18
regards 210:20	rehabilitation 34:5	replacement 34:6	60:7,8,9	49:11 50:11,15
regional 33:5	reinvent 59:15	35:2,4 106:16	reset 211:4	51:15 52:4,15,22
regional-level 78:2	reiterate 177:11	reply 117:7	residence 99:20	53:1,8,9,13 54:18
regions 25:4	related 26:3 32:22	report 66:15,17	residences 99:17	55:13,14 56:13,16
register 88:1,6 89:2	52:8 94:14,16,18	75:12 93:6,22	residential 99:21	57:6,14,17 58:8
89:6,10 90:4	95:14 99:5 104:13	99:9 128:4	resignation 23:8	59:7 60:1,13 64:8
101:14 164:15,21	104:17 105:17	reported 94:1	resolution 28:11	64:11,19 66:7,20
165:8,20 166:19	108:5 112:5	reporting 32:9	135:16 195:10	66:22 67:12,20
170:19 173:8,12	131:15 160:5	49:15,15 92:12,18	resolutions 196:7	68:9 69:13 71:6
188:22	200:11,17 201:5	95:17	resolve 209:8	72:2 74:7,11,18
regrettably 87:21	201:13 215:16	reports 172:20	resolved 132:12	76:1,11 77:4
regroup 119:4	relates 115:19	204:12,16	134:16	81:14 82:14 85:7
regs 214:17	relationship 24:12	represent 9:13	resonate 178:10	86:1 106:14,18
regulate 92:14,17	55:1,2	16:19 17:1 50:14	resonating 179:16	160:12 164:2
94:20 150:14	relative 192:2	70:21 78:17	resource 55:20	167:3 168:3 193:9
154:7	relatively 123:17	representative	56:10,17 74:19	response/public
regulated 35:11	relayed 200:16	12:16 86:16	204:12,16	3:10 45:19
regulating 153:22	release 207:3,12,16	118:14	resources 28:22	responses 60:12
regulation 120:9	207:19	represented 148:6	29:8 35:21 36:1	responsibilities
146:5,15 147:13	released 182:6	representing 11:8	54:17 59:12 60:2	69:12 152:13
149:1 150:18	184:4	11:12 17:8 119:9	86:8 118:12 119:2	responsibility
151:11 158:15	relevant 22:5 110:7	127:12 148:5	201:1	26:15 50:18,19
159:1 190:8	reliable 167:10	represents 72:10	respect 192:5	56:1 62:15,18
regulations 13:11	rely 160:11	150:12	193:17	63:1,2 69:7,16,17
14:22 46:13 52:16	relying 37:14	request 102:17	respected 23:15	69:19
53:7,15,17 54:9	remains 133:5	182:3 183:19	respective 80:12,15	responsible 11:19
94:16,19,22	remarks 3:7 5:10	requested 184:5	respiratory 49:16	16:10 62:2 80:11
100:20 103:14,21	5:18 8:9 45:15	requests 128:10	respond 85:8	rest 176:6
104:4 120:5	70:11 71:6 87:7	184:1	165:21	restrooms 6:14
150:16 153:1,2	116:11	require 29:8 46:13	responded 47:21	result 27:2,13,18
167:5 170:2 192:6	remember 6:22	53:7 123:18	51:18	65:14 160:21
193:16 209:20	38:19 95:16	128:18 142:4	responder 193:5	185:22
regulations.gov	142:21	required 165:7	198:3,17	results 63:4 67:16
20:6	remind 9:6 20:2	172:5	responders 35:14	reversals 112:12
regulator 15:22	215:9	requirement 97:1	36:16 53:2,11,22	review 65:4 89:13
regulators 36:3	reminding 73:20	117:19 134:21	54:7 55:21,22	108:9 111:15
	l	l	l	l

,		1 _		
135:4 165:21	183:8,15	routine 146:5	rumor 201:6	152:6,12 153:13
203:19 205:3,5,14	rights-of 199:1	row 33:16	run 7:6 17:20 25:14	155:2 160:10
205:16 210:20	risk 25:21 30:13	RP 128:13 135:13	122:19 167:15	162:18 178:4,11
reviewed 82:13	34:12 151:1 153:6	136:12 150:6,9	178:16 202:22	190:14 201:5
117:6 171:15	167:6 205:10	rubber 46:20 73:6	207:1	Salerno 2:2 12:14
reviewing 201:10	208:11,19,21	84:12	running 167:17	12:15 149:17
reviews 115:11	risk-based 154:16	rudimentary 190:4	rupture 106:4,13	Salt 82:2
137:22 149:8	risks 32:10 34:17	rule 26:8,9 89:9,18	rush 202:12	Sam 2:10 3:11 18:8
revised 95:4,16	35:14 37:5 50:20	90:1,8 91:10,12	<u> </u>	36:12 45:20 46:8
revisions 4:5	208:17	91:14,15,16,19		51:12,19,22 65:18
148:22 159:12	River 82:5	93:4,12,14,20	Sabine 211:22	70:19 71:5,22
Rhode 43:4	road 75:9 158:21	94:2,5,5 95:2,21	212:4 213:11	74:2
Rich 17:5 71:19	roadmap 181:8	96:11,11,17,19,21	sad 20:19 23:18	San 24:20 41:2
73:11,17 197:17	Robert 1:20 16:15	96:22 97:21 98:5	Sadly 123:19	Saskatchewan
197:18 198:18	77:15 85:3,4,13	98:9,18,19 99:17	safe 26:5 28:4 33:8	156:10
199:15 210:11,12	Roberti 43:2 45:14	100:2,5,7,10	46:22 57:6 62:10	sat 127:5
212:17	Rockies 44:15	101:8,17,19,20,22	82:17	satisfied 140:3
Richard 1:21 2:4	Rocky 17:6 197:19	102:9,10,22 103:6	safely 25:15 27:7	Satterthwaite 2:14
85:20	210:13	104:5,11,22 105:1	safer 178:10 213:5	14:21,22
Rick 11:7 77:16	role 25:9 26:22	105:8 106:11,22	safety 1:3,6 3:16,16	save 62:11
85:14,18 116:13	29:14 30:21 47:22	106:22 109:9,14	5:6 11:15,19	saw 70:7,14 82:2
118:7 120:16	49:10 83:21	115:19 117:12,16	12:17 17:1 18:3	186:1
184:14 194:1,3	203:21,22 204:14	124:12 125:1,1	18:13 19:14 23:13	saying 39:7 44:22
rid 20:1 39:8	204:14 205:2	146:16 158:5	25:1,6,8,9,17,22	62:5 139:19 164:4
Ridge 201:3	rollovers 68:16	187:6,7 189:17	27:21 28:8 29:15	179:7 185:19
right 7:13 13:18	Ron 3:18 12:4	rulemaking 15:2	29:18 30:5,5,10	says 135:12
23:1 28:11 33:7	32:18 38:12,14	90:2 97:12 98:17	30:10,10,12,18,21	scalable 130:5
35:21,21 39:4,9	126:16 139:13,21	98:21 99:7,8	30:22 31:6,9,13	scary 191:20
39:17 42:17 45:17	139:21 140:21	100:12,15,22	31:21 32:5,17,20	scene 200:15
61:7,8 69:3,22	144:12,20 147:21	101:2,11,12	32:22 33:3,4,9,20	scenes 110:18
77:2 79:11 83:22	148:8 151:2	102:20 105:20,22	34:16 36:5 38:15	schedule 8:21
87:22 90:4,13	153:12 157:22	106:10 107:9,12	39:16,20 43:5	61:18 124:8
100:3,16 103:16	159:5 175:22	115:10 118:12	52:2,19 56:2 57:9	scheduled 8:17
110:2 125:5 126:4	181:3 186:10	119:5,16 161:8	59:17 62:1 68:12	schedules 5:8
143:13 144:7	Ron's 139:19 141:7	rulemakings 90:14	68:14,15 74:15	scope 130:22
145:14,20,22	173:20	90:14 118:17	81:1,12 83:15	scratch 59:22 130:7
146:10 152:16	RONALD 2:5	123:18	88:17 93:9 96:15	scrutiny 154:17
153:9 159:19	rookie 114:15,22	rules 87:20 88:1,3,5	103:4 110:8	SDOs 102:11
161:5 173:11	room 45:2 49:4	88:8,13,15,17	121:12 126:14,15	sea 187:1
176:3,16 179:15	77:16 84:16	89:5,11 91:8	127:21 128:5,18	seamlessly 155:3
186:5,10 194:6	116:22 120:6	93:17,19 94:6	128:19 129:5,20	search 143:21
197:16 199:16	126:1 160:19	98:12 100:3 103:2	130:1,8 131:7,7	165:1
200:2,7 203:11	rooms 49:15	106:21 109:19	131:11,14,16	second 60:3 128:17
209:7,22,22	Rosenberg 2:13	110:20 111:6	137:3 139:1,7	135:3 139:20
212:15 214:11	18:21,22	114:18 125:13,19	143:16 146:8	175:12 213:8
215:18	round 10:22 134:22	138:5 174:13	148:8,19 150:12 150:15 151:12	seconds 10:17
right-of-way 183:2	135:3	209:3	150.15 151.12	13:13 43:1
	l		l	I

Secretary 23:17	216:11	202:18 203:7	137:6 176:1	slides 53:5 74:4
24:2,8 26:12 29:9	segmentation	202.18 203.7	sidelines 127:5	202:10
34:2 89:21	166:12	shalls 149:3	sides 153:17 158:4	slightly 212:3
Secretary's 41:1	segments 143:21	shaping 40:20	sign 155:1	slipped 137:16
114:21 115:2,3	165:13,15	share 29:21 58:14	signaled 125:8	SM 201:6
section 52:3 74:6,8	send 40:11 77:13	67:2 72:9 73:1	signals 29:2	small 26:14 46:6
102:1,2 106:2,2	123:6 124:13	155:7 157:14	significant 27:16	72:11 75:7 99:22
102.1,2 100.2,2	sending 82:15	177:15	34:4 84:8 90:1,8	104:9 127:14
131:6,15 133:21	112:20	shared 69:7,17	90:10,11,15,16,19	130:5 211:14
sections 106:5	senior 18:2 19:2	72:7 151:8 155:17	91:2,21 94:3	212:8
143:8	145:19	155:18	105:10 106:12,21	small-scale 205:11
security 170:21	sense 69:19 134:19	shaving 211:15	112:13 116:19	208:12 209:17
171:13 173:2	141:22 158:20	212:13	170:8 174:6	210:4
180:2 181:20	159:2 180:21	shell 207:15	204:22	smaller 185:16
204:7	193:3	shift 47:5,5,6,9,9,9	silenced 21:9	190:1 201:17
see 5:6 7:15 13:22	sensitive 20:14	61:8,8,8 150:14	silver 67:21 68:5	smart 57:5 86:21
19:11 20:15 23:18	171:3	153:22 154:2	similar 59:18 67:9	164:2
25:1,5 32:16	sensitivity 27:8	187:1 209:14	67:10 94:4 137:5	smell 73:2
34:18 40:15,18	sent 48:9 77:3	shifted 39:2	154:15,16,20	smells 72:18
48:11 57:4 79:7	172:22	shifts 62:14	similarity 143:14	SMS 30:17,18,21
88:2 91:18 96:14	separate 70:8 139:4	ship 204:7	simple 6:1 9:10	32:5 39:16 141:14
104:6 110:14,20	series 24:20	shipments 25:12	simply 46:6 212:13	141:17 143:16,22
114:6 116:12	serious 120:8	shocked 123:12	single 99:20 193:10	156:20 157:1,2
119:17 120:18	144:18 208:13	shocked 123.12 shoots 124:8	207:10 208:15,18	SMS's 128:7
123:12 139:17	seriously 58:5 62:8	shop 79:4	single-family 99:16	SMYS 166:7
144:8,11 147:19	88:16	shopping 180:7	sister 94:6	snow 112:5
148:21 162:5	served 21:22 22:6,7	short 38:9 64:8	sit 40:8 51:2 124:19	social 154:17
168:5,7,9,10	22:12,13,14	162:3	site 101:22 130:14	socialize 156:5
173:11,20 174:20	serves 195:19	shortened 48:4	179:8 207:14	sold 168:13,19
175:17 177:14	service 12:9 14:6	shortest 135:22	213:13	sole 34:11
184:5 190:12	42:14 59:16 99:19	shorthand 12:20	siting 203:19 204:2	solution 31:20 68:3
205:13,16 208:11	216:16	shortly 41:11	204:10 206:5	188:5
208:15 214:17,21	serving 23:12 99:19	shoulds 149:3	210:20	solutions 60:18
216:5	session 32:21 53:1	show 51:9 83:19	sitings 210:21	68:4,6,10,11 69:4
seeing 45:5 48:18	126:22	85:13 135:14	situation 26:21	69:9 181:10
49:5 65:12 87:4	sessions 55:7	205:18	127:21	solve 110:22
87:11 108:12	set 24:4 67:17	showing 55:17	six 159:11 176:7	somebody 119:22
109:21 120:11	154:15 162:13	shown 139:21	203:12	123:16,17 143:13
197:14 216:17	163:6 166:15	shows 138:1 196:2	skeletal 163:2,8	152:12
seek 50:20 161:16	167:11 205:4	205:19	skip 8:8	soon 71:18 101:18
seeking 76:15	sets 39:5 166:13	shrinking 140:22	skunk 194:19	147:8 186:18
161:17	setting 82:21 123:3	167:6	sky 110:15	200:15
seen 125:22 133:5	186:21	shut 48:19	slated 71:10	sooner 101:9
141:4 142:14	settle 177:19	side 5:16 6:10 13:2	slide 89:13,15 95:2	sorry 19:10,20
151:6 152:10	seven 136:15,17,22	15:8,21 16:14	107:8 115:9 128:1	75:22 95:2 157:4
184:3 185:15	199:22	46:16 48:13 70:21	135:12 203:14	175:21
207:22 209:10	shale 25:4 27:13,14	122:13,17,21	210:14,17	sort 7:8 10:14
			l	

	86:1 spirit 69:7	130:10 137:14	stop 6:9 7:17	155:16 159:21
	spirit 69:7			
76.7 0 10 70.1	_	152:21 176:20	139:11 205:2	161:3 200:8
* *	spirits 22:10	started 156:7	storage 211:15,18	subjective 154:5
	spoke 26:12 188:19	158:17 164:11	212:2	submeter 174:4
	spoken 114:8	208:13 210:4	story 48:2	185:2 186:14
155:2 184:17	153:15	starting 210:3	stranger 22:2	submission 162:19
	spot 44:22 113:11	state 9:12 16:1 36:3	115:22	163:9
sorts 46:22 48:8	113:12 114:4	42:19,20 44:13	strategic 40:3,7	submit 162:17
51:10	177:21 180:6	50:12 54:22 55:22	70:22 136:10,15	165:19 170:10
	spotlight 26:18	56:10 63:13,16	150:13	177:3 179:21
	spring 169:21	65:5,7 73:15	street 50:22	submitted 160:15
	SSI 171:5	74:15 75:5,7 77:1	streets 164:16	170:14
	St 1:14	78:4 80:9 97:3,6	strength 42:1	submitting 166:4
	Stacy 127:11	97:14 127:15	strengths 66:10	192:14
	staff 2:7 10:22	181:6 194:11	strict 128:18	subpoena 182:10
Southern 42:6	172:12 201:4,13	201:22 202:3	stricter 209:20	substantial 105:21
space 110:9 154:9	204:19 213:1	state-wide 50:9	strictly 8:5	substantive 135:18
-	staffers 22:22	stated 98:1 117:3	strikes 185:7 186:9	success 34:8 55:17
	stage 33:17 91:11	199:1	striking 38:16	62:20
′	stages 83:8 91:3	statement 84:12	stringent 94:10	successes 24:15
83:19 116:4	122:15	states 34:19,22	striving 137:10	66:6
_	stakeholder 40:5	35:1 42:6 80:10	strong 27:20 57:4	successful 67:6
speaking 37:18	43:22 50:13 53:20	80:12,15 97:4,8	stronger 134:14,18	132:1
169:6,7,8 189:22	83:9 129:18	98:3,4,7 201:21	strongly 116:22	sudden 179:12
-	stakeholders 40:10	213:18	structure 151:13	Sue 11:17 148:3
103:13,15,17	52:19 58:2 59:10	station 48:12	152:8 211:13	184:13 189:5,6
108:3,6	66:18 68:12 69:8	154:21	struggle 198:2	191:3 198:9
specific 92:16	69:11 161:15	stations 210:2	struggling 117:15	suggest 84:20
94:17 128:10	169:8 171:15	status 131:20 205:7	stuck 183:14	175:19
151:13 165:3	181:4 188:6 209:3	205:13	studied 123:11	suggested 5:19
	stand 17:21 19:5,11	statute 92:16	132:20	suggesting 212:18
specifically 31:11	132:18	stay 5:16 62:10	studies 15:14 39:19	suggestion 112:17
	standard 32:19	staying 67:6 202:11	106:6	suggestions 77:6
68:1 106:2	63:9,10,17,20	step 68:20 82:20	study 60:6,10 106:6	suit 151:19
specify 172:6	64:1,3 102:13	87:1 138:8 196:8	106:7	sum 180:4
Spectra 13:9	109:1,10 129:9,16	Stephen 2:9 18:13	stuff 120:21 124:20	summarizes 202:14
109:18 153:12	135:18 150:1	stepped 34:7,19	166:14 192:6	summary 91:10
184:16 185:1	153:19 188:15	200:22	196:19 216:4	173:16
_	standards 1:3,7	steps 33:1 89:18	Stursma 1:21 11:14	supervisor 48:3
spelled 13:18	15:2 62:3 63:12	103:21 133:6	11:14 107:7,7,12	supply 15:16
spells 41:9	100:12,20,21	135:2 146:11	107:13 108:8,12	support 29:3 86:18
spend 52:3,5	101:1,5,19 102:11	147:19	116:14,15	133:4 167:13
142:17 192:14,16	145:7,9 163:1	Steve 2:13 18:17	sub 152:7	196:21
_	standing 121:18	29:17 31:6 33:2	subcommittees	supportive 135:10
_	start 5:20 10:19,21	40:6	133:22	suppose 132:3
132:17 194:13	58:14 59:22 71:17	stood 58:10 66:8,10	subject 108:14,18	supposed 82:10,11
spill 53:9 82:2,3,13	88:22 93:16 130:7	66:21	121:12 122:2	supposing 136:2

sure 6:11,16 9:4,18	T	talked 47:20 50:2	41:8 48:5 129:10	153:10 158:2
13:17,18 33:7	table 3:1 4:2 46:16	68:7 78:5 142:3	129:13 131:10,17	159:5,17,19
37:6 39:20 67:7	113:15,17,21	162:22 180:12	138:20 147:15	173:19,21 176:17
73:4 77:14 78:22	139:16 214:15	185:14 189:21	167:17 174:9	177:9 183:12
83:20 88:13	tabular 167:20	215:12	175:6 179:6 185:3	193:21 197:14,15
102:18 108:21	168:7,11,14	talking 32:19 41:5	191:6	198:17,18 199:15
122:15 137:4,20	180:13,13	43:10,18 47:18	tendency 67:22	200:7,9 202:11
181:11 183:22	tabularly 168:2	52:21 58:15 59:10	tendered 23:7	206:3 210:7
186:6 187:22	tags 214:14	98:21 121:10	tens 186:11,11	212:14,17 213:22
213:4 214:2	take 10:8 13:13,16	124:14 133:6	tent 87:4 114:7	215:3,4 216:15
surprises 111:21	28:13 31:7 39:12	143:15 153:18	120:12 139:17	thankful 10:10
surrounding 63:15	40:8 42:22 62:7	155:2 193:2	148:1 214:12,14	42:1,9 81:19
surveys 99:2	69:15 70:5 82:20	200:11 201:12	tenure 23:10	215:6
Susan 1:20 148:4	83:2 96:4 98:7	203:3 215:17	term 7:8 164:22	thanks 22:20,21
sustainable 60:19	111:9 113:8 115:1	tank 162:19 207:10	terminal 212:1	23:2 70:16 73:17
61:11 68:10	116:9,12 117:19	207:11,12,16	213:12	80:4 83:3 123:10
Sutherland 2:14	124:1 125:6 126:5	tanker 68:16	terminals 203:11	145:5 149:18
18:6,7	135:15 138:7	tanks 162:16 166:5	212:21	162:7 174:18
Suzette 77:7	139:9,20 141:15	207:15,18 208:19	terms 23:21 25:22	180:22 181:2
swath 167:18	142:11 144:9	target 180:5	28:16 29:15 34:9	183:13 188:10,11
sweet 172:4	146:11 147:19	task 43:5 130:16	34:19 49:10 77:19	189:4 190:22
swing 111:4 117:2	151:18 153:21	team 30:16 51:13	116:1 153:5 170:9	191:3,17 193:20
SWOT 66:12,15,17	159:11,16 164:12	78:21 128:2 129:6	terribly 123:12	193:22 199:4
79:8	170:15 173:8,18	133:22 136:22	179:17	212:19 214:1
synergy 155:10	175:5,6 196:9	138:10,18,21	test 154:21	thermal 206:8,10
system 4:6 32:20	199:20 200:6	139:3,5 209:1	testament 140:14	They'd 175:15
35:8 47:12,13	205:10,17 208:10	213:2,7	tested 95:19	thickness 166:18
48:18 49:5,9,20	210:3,18 214:2,5	techie 166:14	Texas 11:22 50:7	thin 86:12
55:19 56:5,9	214:17 216:6	183:17 197:2	text 191:8	thing 33:7 40:2
80:22 81:4 98:18	taken 33:1 55:9	technical 1:3,6 2:20	thank 5:4,7 12:13	46:1 47:16 75:21
116:4 130:1,8	64:15 83:16 126:3	2:21 19:2,9,13	17:9,10 21:4 23:2	80:5 83:18 84:11
133:9 136:21	131:9	57:8 165:4	37:21 39:22 42:2	122:10 143:5
145:11 150:12	takers 63:20	technology 85:6,11	43:16 44:11,19	145:20 146:1
154:22 159:13	takes 115:17,20	145:12,13,15,16	45:8,11 51:20	147:14 149:6
163:15 172:21	157:13 179:2	163:10 177:16	52:11 70:4,18	150:7 152:4,20
176:7,14 178:20	206:22	179:19	71:18,19,20,21	155:12 176:4
184:19 195:5,15	talk 8:10 9:9 17:12	tedious 207:20	73:9,11,18,21	185:7,22 186:9
systems 3:16 30:5	33:15 35:6 36:13	tell 10:17 20:15	75:17,18,19 77:11	188:5 189:20
30:10 32:17 35:13	43:6,22 59:1	50:22 85:13,17	81:9,11 83:4	193:4,10 199:2
36:6,7,7 38:15	61:12 67:3 79:19	86:9 121:7 126:18	84:22 85:13,21	204:17 209:4
57:15 59:16 72:11	79:20 118:20	127:6 128:10	87:12,12,13,18	things 5:17,19 7:10
81:7 126:15 128:6	119:8 147:13	145:5 172:18	107:5 109:15	8:2 10:7 13:2,14
130:9 137:4 146:8	162:9 165:9	202:5	114:10 116:8	15:8,10,21 17:17
148:9 153:13	169:17 171:13	telling 5:21 143:14	118:7 120:11	24:15 25:18 29:7
154:18 158:6	177:6 181:9	tells 116:14	126:3,17 139:13	29:9 30:4 36:21
170:9 172:21	183:17,19 184:7	temporarily 7:17	141:2,5 147:21	38:5 39:6,15
176:9 177:5 190:3	188:20 190:9	ten 22:13 31:18	148:4 149:13,14	40:20,21 41:10,16
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

42.0.11.44.1.6	105 10 106 6 16	154 10 154 15	50 6 5 6 5 15 61 0	160 0 10 106 10
43:9,11 44:1,6	135:13 136:6,16	154:12 174:17	52:6 56:7,17 61:8	160:9,10 196:10
45:4 46:22 48:1	139:15,16,21	190:7 215:7	61:17 64:4 70:5,8	197:3
50:1 53:5 54:13	140:7,10,20 141:8	thoughtful 148:11	81:15 83:21 87:8	tools 36:1 174:11
54:15,16 70:20	142:5 143:3,19	thoughts 70:10	91:4,4 109:13	178:17,20
71:4 82:2,6 93:22	144:4,8,11,20	123:7 148:5 155:7	111:9 112:14	top 25:6 26:10
104:19 105:17	145:6,16 146:13	thousand 47:14,15	115:17,20 122:1	30:14 81:17 97:20
108:13 112:10	146:15 147:13,16	133:16 143:2	124:6,8,21 125:6	129:1 143:13
115:12 116:2 122:19 123:14,22	148:8,14,17 149:4 149:11 150:2	thousands 80:18	126:1,21,22	145:22 173:11
·		186:11	131:16 132:4	topic 94:4 97:1 98:19 99:12,12,13
124:2 131:11	151:3 152:20	threat 180:8 threats 66:11	137:9 139:9	103:6 106:8 113:6
132:13 133:10 134:5 137:2 138:7	153:8,15,16,20,20 153:21 154:9	three 27:2 59:5	142:15 145:21 147:17,22 153:21	121:9,22 122:8
134.3 137.2 136.7	155:20,21 156:1,5	64:16 74:3 96:5	158:15 159:16	170:20 174:19
146:4,7,9,12	156:11,12 157:9	96:13 101:4	162:3 169:18	201:11 202:4
154:18 155:13	157:12,14 158:14	118:16 125:19	170:9,15,16 175:8	topics 26:17 30:2
154:18 155:15	172:3 175:3 176:2	132:2 133:2,7,21	175:8 184:21	94:4 98:12,22
168:1,4 173:4	176:13,15 177:13	134:2 142:20	186:6,15,18,19	103:5 104:13
175:5 179:14	177:18 181:5	148:1 165:14	188:4 192:14,16	106:6 188:12
180:18 181:20	182:5 184:7,16	171:5 202:16	193:2 207:1	total 211:17
187:22 189:10	185:7,10,13 186:5	205:15 206:10,21	times 6:20 106:14	touch 30:3 53:4
196:3 197:7,10	186:9,19,21	thresholds 107:20	113:3 121:16	54:13 59:5 67:6
199:14 200:10	187:16,18 188:2,5	thrilled 20:20	125:22 156:7	77:8
207:8 208:2 216:5	189:11 190:5,16	throw 115:9 144:13	202:16,17 213:1	touched 43:14
216:6,7	191:19,22 192:18	189:9,20 190:7	timing 189:18	145:6
think 9:10 10:9	193:12 194:22	throwing 86:13	Timothy 2:3,8	tough 85:22 86:14
17:11 23:9 24:6	195:22 196:12,14	thumbing 168:7	21:20 22:18	106:19
26:16 38:10,17,22	196:16 197:4	tides 203:3 209:14	title 64:9 107:8	tour 215:16
39:1 40:9,17	199:6 202:6	tie 13:2 57:4 168:15	today 7:22,22 8:8	trace 168:11
41:17 42:21 44:3	216:11	tiered 211:13	8:12,16,18,21 9:5	traceability 105:16
44:14,21,22 45:6	thinking 8:16	tight 176:8,14	9:20,22 19:17	track 152:16
45:8 48:11,14	48:22 112:22	tightened 165:12	20:9 21:17 22:11	182:14 184:1,9
49:13 51:15,18	113:13 121:9,22	tighter 15:17	24:9 26:12 38:1	tracking 105:16
56:7 59:5 66:5	142:8 151:15	185:21	45:5 55:20 87:19	183:20
67:22 68:3,6,19	152:22 155:12,12	tightly 131:15	94:19 161:16	trade 78:16 136:4
69:5,6,14 71:10	188:7	Tim 3:4,11 12:2	162:2,4 163:11	136:10 158:17
71:17 72:22 78:9	third 171:9 172:4	14:15 22:1,6 38:9	197:14 213:13	traditionally
79:6 81:19 82:17	third-party 97:17	40:22 43:14 44:5	216:16	150:16
83:5,11,14,17	thirty 47:14	51:20 52:9 54:14	today's 7:12 205:10	tragedy 194:13
85:1 86:14 90:20	Thirty-two 47:13	55:19 60:20 61:8	told 41:4 49:8	trailing 112:9
94:16 96:6 104:2	thorough 120:4	61:18 62:22 63:22	tolerances 176:8	train 62:8
110:2,6 111:20	thought 17:18,21	81:19 84:22 87:12	tomorrow 8:17,19	train-the-trainer
112:3,10 113:5,20	70:14 77:7 82:8	89:6 91:12 121:9	8:22 33:15 43:2,7	55:6
116:9 122:4,12	92:2 108:19	Tim's 83:11 89:8	43:15 45:6,15	trained 61:22 62:19
123:10 125:3,11	119:16 129:7	time 5:7 10:8 15:19	214:18 216:17	trainers 62:21
127:18 129:7,12	133:12 134:8	20:19 21:22 39:12	tomorrow's 199:21	training 50:11
130:12,16 131:5	136:18 140:19	39:18 40:6,16,18	tool 35:10,10 92:11	54:19 55:1,3,16
133:5 134:12,21	141:3 151:16	43:10 44:19 52:3	102:22 145:14	57:18 62:3,15,20
			l ————————————————————————————————————	1

63:13,16 64:13,17	truth 201:8	206:6 207:3,4	152:17	utility 15:20
68:1 80:9,10,12	try 8:6 28:3 36:21	212:20,21	uniformly 86:12	utilize 31:2
80:21	37:13 40:11 50:3	two-year 138:12	unique 62:14	utilizing 80:21 81:6
transcribed 9:8,14	58:22 78:22 86:22	tying 131:6	unit 48:9	
transcript 20:3	89:5 91:6 101:3	type 99:2 190:4	United 80:10	V
85:2	111:3 115:8	201:17	213:18	vacuum 54:6 145:7
transfer 204:7	121:20 142:18	typically 206:15	universal 172:14	208:1
translate 152:8	150:19 153:2	207:13	172:17	VADM(R)BRIAN
transmission 89:9	178:5 179:4 207:5		unruly 44:16	2:2
91:15 93:14	207:18 209:3	U	unusually 214:4	valid 195:5
106:22 114:17	trying 5:15 8:18	U.S 1:1 12:18 15:5	up-front 5:10 161:5	valuable 150:3
127:13 162:13	38:20 48:21 49:1	80:17 202:15,20	up-to-date 13:19	193:10
198:6	80:6 81:4,8 90:19	202:22 203:8,18	update 3:10,13 8:1	value 148:9,17
transparent 111:1	105:4 110:22	209:22 215:8	38:15 87:17,19	149:13 152:15
transport 193:7	123:20 130:11	uh-oh 139:19	88:2 100:13 101:1	153:14 161:18
transportable	177:19,22 178:21	ultimate 146:15	113:6 131:19	185:6 187:18
156:18	179:19,20 180:17	unanimous 133:4	191:13	190:13,17 195:2
transportation 1:1	180:20 185:5	unauthorized	updated 100:21	198:13 199:13
23:17 25:10,15,16	194:21 197:5	184:4	150:8 179:21	valve 32:7 179:8
26:22 27:12,19	198:14 199:10	unconventional	updates 45:12	valves 51:8 106:3
28:2 29:12,14	209:8 211:3	25:3	76:15 113:5	207:18
60:8,9 74:22 75:1	TSA 171:4	underlying 118:3	updating 109:21	Vanessa 2:14 18:6
75:3 76:6 99:5	Tsaganos 2:15 18:4	underscore 7:11	150:9 207:21	vapor 206:13,21
trap 197:1	18:4	underscored 24:22	208:13	variety 15:14 16:2
travel 9:1 13:21	TUESDAY 1:11	49:18	UPS 209:21	58:7,11,11 78:8
126:22 215:20	tune 57:10	understand 5:21	urge 164:20	79:2 83:1 103:5
traveling 8:19	turn 10:5 21:2,15	25:5 26:5 30:6	urgency 158:20	104:6,10
treat 84:6	37:16 51:11 80:14	32:10,14,18 34:13	159:2	various 55:15
treating 84:2	87:15 159:21	35:12 47:12 49:10	urgent 111:11	66:12,18 160:21
tremendous 25:2	162:6 215:1	50:16,19 66:19	112:3,18 136:18	195:14 210:21
25:19 51:16	turned 139:2 203:3	69:12 83:18 118:6	urgently 188:7	Vasiliki 2:15 18:4
118:12 127:18	turns 179:2	119:20 130:17	use 31:12 32:8	vast 104:16 171:18
148:17 149:11,13	Twenty-four	132:21 146:18	56:22 76:10 81:7	vehicle 80:13 101:2
207:6	140:18	147:18 148:18,19	86:2 105:12 110:6	210:1
trend 41:8,16 207:9	twists 179:2	170:8 176:19	110:8 113:4	venue 84:14
208:18	two 14:2 26:7 41:12	177:2,18 179:22	124:21 146:4	verification 32:7
trends 60:11 209:9	42:22 47:15 91:13	181:19 182:21	149:7 161:13	95:7 122:14 123:8
trick 152:1	93:5 96:13 100:3	187:18 188:14	166:21 193:4	version 76:13 131:20 134:17
tried 82:22 177:13	101:4 104:7 106:7	191:9 197:22	195:11 197:3	
trinkets 46:20	107:22 108:4	198:15	211:14 212:13	135:5 136:3,3 209:5
trouble 41:9 48:13	114:6 116:12	understanding 56:6 69:20 81:6	useful 32:15 56:6	
175:2	118:8 126:21	116:1 117:16	122:4	versus 181:20 198:13 212:4
truck 68:16	129:8 137:11	understood 85:1	usual 20:9	vessels 204:6
trucks 209:22	142:21 143:7	underway 15:14	usually 85:9 110:14	vests 152:6
true 128:11	158:11 171:19	213:10 214:9	110:17	vests 132:6 vet 185:11
trust 17:1 79:9,11	175:5 188:18	unfortunately 47:5	Utilities 11:15	Vice 12:14 15:4
79:14 81:12	204:15 205:20,21	umortunately 47.3	211:16,22 212:5	VICE 14.14 1J.4
	I .	ı	I	ı

149:17	37:6 38:4 39:22	160:21 195:10	216:6,8	44:17 48:13 50:2
vicinity 56:21,22	44:19 53:14 59:1	211:4	we're 7:16,21 8:2	50:2 54:14,16
57:3	59:4 61:12 68:1	waste 27:1,1,7	8:12 10:2,9 15:11	55:6 57:10 58:3,6
videos 152:6	71:12 76:22 77:6	142:15,16	20:18,19,20 25:4	58:19 59:2,8,10
view 122:9 150:13	77:8 83:2 84:20	watch 138:19	26:18 28:5,16	63:19 65:3 66:8
viewer 171:8,10	85:5,21 86:17	watched 138:14	32:3,6 35:18,20	66:21 76:11 82:13
181:21 182:1	98:2,3,7 105:21	watered 176:21	37:6,12,13,22	82:22 89:16 95:8
views 43:21 121:18	116:5 117:5	177:1	38:13 40:19 43:10	96:17,21 101:14
121:21 124:15	119:21 122:11	Watson 157:2,5,17	43:15 45:1,16	101:15 104:12,21
139:2,4	123:1 124:18	wave 138:6	46:5,6 49:6 50:3	105:8 106:7
vigilant 61:3	140:4 142:19	way 6:9 25:18 28:6	51:16,22 52:21	112:14 118:16
violet 140:22	148:7 151:15,21	30:14 42:11 64:22	63:3 65:2 67:7	123:9 124:16
Virginia 24:21	153:1 165:9,18	64:22 68:9 79:21	71:17 76:14 79:8	131:9 134:15
46:17	169:17 171:12	84:19 86:3 110:19	79:15,20 80:6,21	135:19 137:20
vision 52:20	173:4 176:18	113:8 119:4,19	81:7 87:22 88:7	139:8 140:16
visits 84:9	177:14 180:11	122:8 144:3	88:12 89:4 90:3	148:14 152:21
visual 179:11	181:7 182:5,7,13	145:21 147:14	90:19 91:5,11	153:18 155:15,16
voice 11:7	188:8 189:20	150:14,14 152:14	92:9,11,20 93:1	155:17 156:3,7
volunteer 47:2	190:12 191:4	159:16 160:5	93:12 101:21	163:12 164:11
78:17	195:4,11,14,20	167:1 170:18	102:13,15 103:1	171:3 178:6
volunteers 47:7	196:8 197:10,22	176:16 182:8,12	103:16,22 105:6,7	179:22 184:3
vote 9:20 87:20	198:1 202:11	184:4,17 185:4,19	106:9 108:3,5	185:2,4,15 196:2
90:5 100:8 110:4	212:21 215:11	195:8 196:1,19	110:16,21 112:21	198:20 201:8,22
124:5,16 132:2	216:15	199:2	116:7,7,10 117:13	205:14 207:22
133:4	wanted 5:19 6:15	ways 15:16 20:20	117:21 118:22	209:1,10 210:3
voted 96:20 98:10	7:11,18 22:3 38:9	28:4 47:15 59:13	119:1 122:14,16	216:3
100:13 132:8	39:12 40:14 44:8	61:9 78:8 79:2	124:14 126:11,15	weakened 134:18
votes 7:19,20 9:20	44:10 54:13 61:16	150:9 181:12	136:1 137:10	weaknesses 66:11
132:1 133:3,14	70:17 98:15,17	we'll 9:2,4,11 10:21	140:20 142:5	weather 112:5
voting 132:11	103:19 104:20	10:21 11:1 29:21	143:3 144:4	web 205:12
134:22	128:6 131:19	32:2 34:16 35:5	148:20 149:2	web-based 145:8
vulnerabilities	138:17 139:20	40:6,11 43:1,6	151:12,21 152:16	webcast 169:15
151:20	141:2,4,6 143:5	45:4,10 70:5,8,12	153:12 155:9,13	website 13:20 20:4
\mathbf{W}	143:17 144:21	87:15,16 96:9	155:22 156:12	205:4,6,18
	157:16 169:12	102:18 113:7	157:9 161:3,16	websites 57:21
W 1:20 2:2 wait 51:2 75:11	170:20 212:22	114:8 116:9,11	162:3 163:18	weekend 156:13
176:11	wanting 177:15	118:4 121:10	169:9 170:17,22	weeks 100:17
waited 127:6	180:6 211:1	122:19,21,22	171:22 180:4	206:22
wake 41:2	wants 107:12	123:2,3,3 135:5	181:7 193:15	Weimer 2:6 16:22
walk 33:1,1 125:19	142:22	136:4,9 137:6	194:5 199:10	16:22 81:11,12
walking 109:19	warrants 173:3	147:15,19 159:11	200:11 202:6	welcome 14:10,12
walking 109.19	177:5	159:16 167:9,12	203:3,4 209:7	22:17 43:2 111:22
want 5:21 9:9,17	Washington 1:14	170:18 173:13	215:17	113:1 142:6
10:5 13:19 14:10	194:11 202:1	176:11 177:6	we've 24:18 26:16	welding 104:1 went 42:11 47:20
17:22 20:2 21:10	215:13 wasn't 109:11	179:20 180:2 181:11 190:18	27:4,11 30:22 31:15 32:2 33:1	60:4,13 75:5
31:3 35:7,22 37:4	134:7,8 140:21	198:19 199:3	36:14 39:1 44:11	103:2 126:8,9
31.3 33.1,22 37.4	154.7,0 140.21	170.17 177.3	30.14 39.1 44.11	105.2 120.8,9
	•	•	•	•

,	1	•	1	1
128:15 138:18	19:18 21:6 22:5	176:3 177:12	66:16 96:9 100:9	13:3
143:2 160:3,17,19	28:5 36:21 37:2	180:8 181:9 185:9	108:16 113:3	1,000 72:10 133:22
182:4 184:11	41:17 46:11 52:1	187:20 188:6	121:14 156:7	135:8 209:21
201:2 216:20	59:15 64:19 78:15	196:2 197:11	167:12 169:22	1:00 1:14
weren't 133:8,9	78:21 87:2 88:5,6	198:20 199:3	170:5,14 189:3	1:03 5:2
135:9	89:4 90:17 95:22	workshops 112:15	207:7 211:20,21	10,000 174:7
West 1:14 24:21	108:5 115:17	136:6 143:7	214:4	100 174:22
whatnot 48:9	116:4 118:13	148:16 178:13	year's 162:21	100-percent 174:15
wheel 59:15 89:20	123:2 130:5	world 143:1 146:5	years 22:13,16 23:7	107 3:15
144:16	132:14 136:4,14	147:12 199:8	24:18 27:17 31:18	10th 137:14
whet 199:17	137:6 138:7	world's 203:5	38:17,19 41:8	11 34:22 129:12
Whetsel 2:15 13:10	142:12 144:19	worried 171:17	58:1,6 59:11	131:20
13:10 73:14 76:22	145:7 147:10	worry 41:10 145:13	67:19 75:6 76:3,5	110 95:19
77:1	170:2 176:11	Worsinger 1:21	93:15 96:18 98:20	1100 191:7
whispering 200:3	177:14 180:10	17:5,5 71:20	99:14 100:19	1104 104:3
white 2:16 18:1,1	181:12 187:3,13	73:17 197:18,18	101:4 104:20	1162 53:18
56:15	188:8,16 196:12	199:5 210:12,12	118:15,16 123:22	1173 155:18
widely 55:5	203:9 210:19	210:16 211:6,11	147:15 155:16	1174 64:7 71:10
widespread 38:18	211:7	worst 207:3,11,19	163:13 168:18	11th 28:13
163:11	worked 16:11	wouldn't 10:15,16	184:19,21 185:3	12 135:5
Wiese 1:15 2:8 3:2	39:15 63:19	79:3	194:11,13 195:8	1221 1:14
3:7 5:3,5 17:9	124:17 138:11,20	woven 155:3	199:7 205:9	126 3:18
19:3,10,15 38:4,7	143:7 171:11	wrap 52:19	Yellowstone 82:5	13 135:6 202:9
87:10 111:3 114:3	187:2,3 201:22	Wrap-up 4:17	yesterday 164:16	204:12 205:14,19
116:11 117:2	worker 152:9	wrestle 144:9	yield 38:4 114:7	130 213:14
120:14 127:8	working 7:21 15:14	147:18 158:16	116:10 120:12	139 3:20
139:19 140:18,21	19:16 20:19,21	wrestled 142:2	214:21	14 71:2 131:2
155:11 156:21	26:19 27:4 32:3,6	wrestling 141:15	York 24:22	15 28:11 131:4,6,16
157:4 215:3	33:18 38:2 41:10	141:17	YouTube 143:20	184:19,20 199:7
willing 122:22	41:20 46:3 50:2	Wright 1:22 16:5,5	143:21 144:7	159 4:7
170:17	51:22 53:11 58:10	write 155:6		173 4:9
win 66:4	63:6 65:2 66:9,15	Writer 2:20,21	Z	17th 164:13 169:1
wind 208:2	66:22 67:10,12	19:9,13	Z-coordinate	195:3
winning 54:19	72:6 83:10 87:14	writing 142:13	177:20	18 140:16,19
wisely 57:3	88:4 116:7 126:22	written 65:3 86:4	Zamarin 1:22 17:3	192 53:7
wish 87:19 144:12	129:2 133:1	205:9 208:9	17:3 191:17,18	193 204:1,4,17,20
wishes 122:5	155:15 157:6,21	wrong 69:21	212:16,16	205:9 206:4,18
wonder 216:4	171:3 176:2	118:10 150:18	zero 38:18,22	208:6 210:6
wondered 74:4	180:19 185:2,5	185:19	197:10	195 53:7
Wonderful 52:11	190:4 201:21	•	zone 206:6,12	195.1 92:21
word 63:14 146:3,4	207:4 209:8	<u>X</u>	zones 206:7,17	1980 208:7
149:7 158:1,22	213:13	X 183:1	0	1998 163:1
worded 132:15	workload 207:6	Xcel 16:9,11,11	0.32 105:15	1st 164:11 169:12
138:21	works 19:18 47:12	Y	0.32 103:13 0.4 105:15	2
words 60:20 83:13	68:8 147:20	Y 183:1	V•→ 1UJ.1J	
89:19 109:4 149:8	workshop 110:17	year 28:18 35:1	1	2 3:6
work 15:9 18:10	136:7 143:19	jeai 20.10 33.1		2.0 32:4
	l			I

				1490 210
2.5 206:14	4,000-percent	49:2 63:20,22		
2.6 38:21	27:16	98 163:9		
20 164:19	4,875 211:19	70 103.7		
200 4:13	4:00 159:14 169:5			
2001 209:7	4:56 216:20			
2001 209.7 2002 55:2 162:18	45 3:11 101:9			
2006 97:2	130:18 136:1			
2007 34:21	137:17			
2010 91:19	472 63:7,10			
2010 31.13 2011 34:2 58:8	49 53:7 204:1			
93:21 99:11	4th 131:22			
2012 56:14 96:21	401 131.22			
98:10 107:18	5			
2013 70:21 205:15	5 3:2,16 131:1			
2013 70.21 203.13 2014 1:11 21:20	5,000 213:13			
131:22 143:9	5:00 200:4			
2015 28:20 66:17	50 80:9 165:14			
96:8 135:13	500 163:21 191:21			
169:21 170:4	500-foot 167:16			
2016 56:15 76:14	59A 206:9 209:6,6			
170:6				
21 1:11 136:16	6			
21 1.11 130.10 210 4:15	64:4			
210 4.13 214 4:17	60 164:8			
214 4:17 22 3:4	60-plus 100:20			
22,000 211:18	600 143:1,2			
22,000 211:18 22nd 1:14 199:22	64 210:1			
24 102:1,2 140:17 140:20	7			
25 76:3 93:15	7 4:11			
28th 138:1	70 3:12			
28th 138:1	70,000 186:16			
3	75 150:6,9			
3 3:9				
3:03 126:8	8			
3:15 126:6	8 106:2			
3:21 126:10	80,000 174:5			
30 130:19 159:15	811 10:20			
164:19 216:1	86 132:1			
30-percent 215:20	87 3:14			
30-year 29:11,12	8th 137:19			
29:20 40:3,7	9			
38 3:7 35:1				
	9216:17			
4	9:30 48:7 169:5			
4 3:13 21:20 106:2	90 53:9 86:20 174:8			
213:16	90-percent 176:5			
	911 47:22 48:2,7			
	I	I	1 1	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: $_{\mbox{\scriptsize Gas}}$ Pipeline Advisory Committee

Before: US DOT

Date: 10-21-2014

Place: Washington, D.C.

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Meae N Gors &
Court Reporter

Agenda

JOINT MEETING Gas Pipeline Advisory Committee and Liquid Pipeline Advisory Committee

October 21, 2014 (1:00 pm – 5:00 pm)

1:00 pm	Call to Order Committee & Staff Introductions	Jeff Wiese & Committee Chair
1:15	Agenda Item 1: BRIEFING: PHMSA Acting Administrator Committee Discussion and Q&A:	Tim Butters Committee Chair
1:30	Agenda Item 2: BRIEFING: Opening remarks Committee Discussion and Q&A:	Jeff Wiese Committee Chair
1:45	Agenda Item 3: BRIEFING: Emergency Response/Public Awareness Update	Tim Butters Sam Hall
2:15	Committee Discussion and Q&A: Agenda Item 4:	Committee Chair
	BRIEFING: Regulatory Update Committee Discussion and Q&A:	John Gale Committee Chair
2:30	<u>Break</u>	

<u>Agenda Item 5</u>:

BRIEFING: Safety Management Systems

and Safety Culture Ron McClain

Committee Discussion and Q&A: Committee Chair

3:30 Agenda Item 6:

BRIEFING: Revisions to the National Pipeline

Mapping System Amy Nelson/Alan Mayberry

Committee Discussion and Q&A: Committee Chair

Moved from Wednesday's agenda

BRIEFING: Liquefied Natural Gas Alan Mayberry

Ken Lee

5:00 p.m. - Wrap-up and Adjourn: Jeff Wiese