



1200 New Jersey Avenue SE
Washington DC 20590

U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Pipeline and Hazardous
Materials Safety
Administration**

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Pipeline Safety

2013 Natural Gas Scoring Document



U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Pipeline and
Hazardous Materials
Safety Administration**

1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE
Washington, DC 20590

**TO: STATE PIPELINE SAFETY PROGRAM MANAGERS IN STATE'S PARTICIPATING IN THE
PIPELINE SAFETY GRANT PROGRAM**

The Pipeline Safety Grant allocation for calendar year 2013 reflects a 100 percent performance-based formula and the performance criteria of 100 points. The Program Evaluation and the Progress Report scores will each represent 50 percent of the State's Allocation score as was done in the previous year. New state programs will automatically be funded at a score of 90 the first three years assuming they are making satisfactory progress in meeting Progress Report requirements-this will provide an adequate cushion for these programs to get established. Programs that are reinstated will be funded at a score of 90 for two years. Also as a general note, all indirect costs associated to a grant reimbursement shall be limited to 20% of the total direct costs.

The total allocation to states for the gas and liquid programs is \$46,552,036. The total amount assigned to the **Natural Gas** program is \$42,773,155. The funding was allocated for CY2013 without consideration of the State performance scoring. In future grant allocations, if a performance element is found to be deficient for two years in a row it will be counted towards the grant scoring and affect funding. The scoring and subsequent allocation is designed to reflect the degree to which a state has met certain goals established by this office. Numeric Points are assigned for achieving specific levels of performance based on the information in the 2012 Base Grant Progress Report and the results of the Annual Program Evaluation. A list of the point criteria for the **Natural Gas** program (Attachment 1) and a list of state point assignments for the **Natural Gas** program (Attachment 2) are enclosed. A chart (Attachment 3) showing a list of the **Natural Gas** request, state points, allocation (not based on performance points), and percentages of total program funding are enclosed.

The Payment Agreement (Notice of Award) will be generated by you through the FedSTAR process. Those states with an allocation of \$100,000 or more will also generate the "Certification Regarding Lobbying" form.

Thank You for your participation in the Pipeline Safety Grant Program.

Sincerely,

For Jeffrey D. Wiese, Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety

Enclosures

CY 2013 PIPELINE SAFETY GRANT ALLOCATION FORMULA
STATE PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (Natural Gas)

I. RATING FROM STATE EVALUATION (50 PERCENT OF SCORE)

II. SELECTED INFORMATION FROM STATE PROGRESS REPORT/AGREEMENT (50 PERCENT OF SCORE)

A. Extent of Intrastate Safety Jurisdiction (12 Points)

(Progress Report Attachment 1)

N = Number of operator types over which state has jurisdiction

M = Number of operator types located in state

60105 State: $N/M \times 12$

60106 State: $N/M \times 12 \times .5$

Distribution

1. Private
2. Municipal
3. Master Meter
4. LP Gas
5. LNG Plants Transmission
6. Intrastate Other
7. Gathering Lines (jurisdictional)
8. Offshore Facilities (state waters)

B. Inspector Qualifications (8 POINTS)

(Progress Report Attachment 7, 7a)

- 8 - Highest percentage of inspectors in categories I and II
- 4 - Highest percentage of inspectors in categories I, II and III
- 0 - Highest percentage of inspectors in categories III, IV and V

C. Number of Inspection Person-Days (9 POINTS)

(Progress Report Attachment 2)

- 9 - Meets or exceeds the recommended number of inspection person-days.
- 5 - Comes within 25 percent of meeting the recommended number of inspection person-days (achieved 75 percent or above of recommended number).
- 3 - Meets less than 75 percent but at least 50 percent of the recommended number of inspection person-days (achieved 50 to 75 percent of recommended number).
- 0 - Meets less than 50 percent of recommended number of inspection person-days (achieved under 50 percent of recommended number).

D. State Adoption of Maximum Civil Penalty Requirement (2 POINTS)
(Progress Report Attachment 8, Section I, Item 1)

- 2 -Adopted \$100,000/day; up to \$1,000,000 or Adopted but Different Amounts
- 1 -Taking steps to adopts (has filed draft legislation)
- 0 -Not Adopted

E. State Adoption of Applicable Federal Regulations¹ (8 POINTS)
(Progress Report Attachment 8, Section I, Items 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6)

- 8 - Adopted within 24 months of effective date (or two general sessions of the state legislature, whichever is longer).
- 5 - Taking steps to adopt (has filed draft legislation).
- 3 - Adopted all regulations within required time frame except one -- where continuing opposition has blocked adoption and state is not presently attempting to adopt.
- 0 - Not Adopted within 36 months of effective date.

F. One Call System Minimum Requirements under Part 198² (8 POINTS)
(Progress Report Attachment 8 Section III)

- 1.5 - Mandatory coverage of areas having pipeline facilities
- 0.5 - Qualification for operation of one-call system
- 1.5 - Mandatory excavator notification of one-call center
- 0.5 - State determination whether calls to center are toll free
- 1.5 - Mandatory intrastate pipeline operator participation
- 1.0 - Mandatory operator response to notification
- 1.0 - Mandatory notification of excavators/public
- 0.5 - Civil penalties/injunctive relief substantially same as DOT (\$100,000/\$1,000,000)

G. State Attendance at NAPS Regional Meeting (3 POINTS)
(Progress Report Attachment 8, Section III)

- 3 - Attended full time (lead rep or alternate pipeline program staff)
- 1 - Attended less than full time
- 0 - No one attended

H. Penalty Points

- 2 - State CY Progress Report not received at PHMSA HQ by due date
- 4 - points assigned if over 1 month late

1 Applicable Federal regulation for five year period will be listed in Progress Report attachments

2 Established under state law by statute, regulation, license, Progress Report, order, or any combination of these legal means

STATE SCORES			
(FROM PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND PROGRESS REPORT ATTACHMENTS)			
STATE	PROGRAM EVALUATION	PROGRESS REPORT ATTACHMENTS	TOTAL SCORE
ALABAMA	100.00	48.00	98.00
ARIZONA	99.10	50.00	99.55
ARKANSAS	98.60	46.00	95.30
ARKANSAS_OG 2	93.30	50.00	96.65
CALIFORNIA_PUC	87.90	39.00	82.95
COLORADO	98.60	49.50	98.80
CONNECTICUT	100.00	50.00	100.00
DELAWARE	97.10	50.00	98.55
FLORIDA_PSC	100.00	45.00	95.00
GEORGIA	95.50	48.00	95.75
IDAHO	100.00	48.00	98.00
ILLINOIS	100.00	50.00	100.00
INDIANA	99.10	48.00	97.55
IOWA	99.60	49.00	98.80
KANSAS	98.60	48.00	97.30
KENTUCKY	99.10	50.00	99.55
LOUISIANA	99.10	48.00	97.55
MAINE	93.70	50.00	96.85
MARYLAND	95.90	50.00	97.95
MASSACHUSETTS	87.40	47.50	91.20
MICHIGAN	89.10	44.00	88.55
MINNESOTA	100.00	50.00	100.00
MISSISSIPPI	97.30	47.50	96.15
MISSOURI	97.70	34.00	82.85
MONTANA	98.20	43.50	92.60
NEBRASKA	95.50	42.00	89.75
NEVADA	95.80	50.00	97.90
NEW HAMPSHIRE	99.00	50.00	99.50
NEW JERSEY	97.60	50.00	98.80
NEW MEXICO	99.10	49.00	98.55
NEW YORK	95.30	50.00	97.65
NORTH CAROLINA	98.20	44.00	93.10
NORTH DAKOTA	91.30	45.00	90.65
OHIO	100.00	48.50	98.50
OKLAHOMA	100.00	48.00	98.00
OREGON	99.50	48.00	97.75
PENNSYLVANIA	98.20	49.00	98.10
PUERTO RICO	87.40	33.00	76.70
RHODE ISLAND	97.50	50.00	98.75
SOUTH CAROLINA	100.00	47.50	97.50
SOUTH DAKOTA	100.00	50.00	100.00

TENNESSEE	99.10	48.00	97.55
TEXAS	93.80	45.00	91.90
UTAH	88.80	48.00	92.40
VERMONT	92.40	50.00	96.20
VIRGINIA ¹	99.10	45.00	94.55
WASHINGTON	100.00	50.00	100.00
WASHINGTON DC	93.30	50.00	96.65
WEST VIRGINIA	94.00	48.50	95.50
WISCONSIN	95.00	45.50	93.00
WYOMING	97.60	50.00	98.80

Notes:

1 State Programs that have both a 60105 and a 60106 program. These states have had their 60105 and 60106 funding combined and shown as the figure represented in the table, therefore budget, request and allocation figures represent funding for both programs.

2 Within the first 3 years of a new program a minimum score of 90% shall be given, and will not be subject to the 3-year average spending requirement.

STATE SCORES

(FROM PROGRESS REPORT ATTACHMENTS)

STATE	JURISDICTION (12)	INSPECTOR QUAL. (8)	NUMBER OF INSPECTION PERSON DAYS (9)	STATE ADOPTION OF MAX CIVIL PENALTY REGS. (2)	STATE ADOPTION OF APPLICABLE FED REGS. (8)	ONE-CALL NOTIF. REQMNTS. (8)	ATTENDANCE AT FEDS/ STATE MEETING (3)	PENALTY POINTS (-)	TOTAL
ALABAMA	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
ARIZONA	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
ARKANSAS	12	4	9	2	8	8	3	0	46
ARKANSAS_OG	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
CALIFORNIA_PUC	7	4	9	0	8	8	3	0	39
COLORADO	12	8	9	2	8	7.5	3	0	49.5
CONNECTICUT	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
DELAWARE	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
FLORIDA_PSC	9	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	45
GEORGIA	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
IDAHO	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
ILLINOIS	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
INDIANA	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
IOWA	12	8	9	1	8	8	3	0	49
KANSAS	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
KENTUCKY	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
LOUISIANA	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
MAINE	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
MARYLAND	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
MASSACHUSETTS	12	8	9	0	8	7.5	3	0	47.5
MICHIGAN	12	8	5	0	8	8	3	0	44
MINNESOTA	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
MISSISSIPPI	12	8	9	0	8	7.5	3	0	47.5

Attachment 2

MISSOURI	10	8	9	0	0	8	3	4	34
MONTANA	12	8	3	2	8	7.5	3	0	43.5
NEBRASKA	12	8	9	2	0	8	3	0	42
NEVADA	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
NEW HAMPSHIRE	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
NEW JERSEY	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
NEW MEXICO	12	8	9	1	8	8	3	0	49
NEW YORK	12	8	9	1	8	8	3	0	50
NORTH CAROLINA	12	8	9	2	8	2	3	0	44
NORTH DAKOTA	12	8	5	1	8	8	3	0	45
OHIO	12	8	9	2	8	6.5	3	0	48.5
OKLAHOMA	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
OREGON	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
PENNSYLVANIA	11	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	49
PUERTO RICO	12	0	0	2	8	8	3	0	33
RHODE ISLAND	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
SOUTH CAROLINA	12	8	9	0	8	7.5	3	0	47.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
TENNESSEE	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
TEXAS	12	4	9	1	8	8	3	0	45
UTAH	12	8	9	0	8	8	3	0	48
VERMONT	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
VIRGINIA	11	4	9	2	8	8	3	0	45
WASHINGTON	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
WASHINGTON DC	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50
WEST VIRGINIA	12	8	9	1	8	7.5	3	0	48.5
WISCONSIN	10	8	9	0	8	7.5	3	0	45.5
WYOMING	12	8	9	2	8	8	3	0	50

PIPELINE SAFETY GRANT ALLOCATION

STATE	REQUEST	STATE POINTS	ALLOCATION	PERCENT OF FUNDING	WITHOUT SUSPENSION OF STATE FUNDING REQUIREMENT
ALABAMA	\$1,594,110.00	98	\$1,222,239	76.67%	\$1,187,628
ARIZONA	\$1,755,939.91	99.55	\$1,346,317	76.67%	\$1,336,051
ARKANSAS	\$756,137.00	95.3	\$579,747	76.67%	\$486,682
CALIFORNIA_PUC	\$4,971,244.00	82.95	\$3,811,560	76.67%	\$3,811,560
COLORADO	\$594,167.00	98.8	\$455,561	76.67%	\$402,093
CONNECTICUT	\$1,045,323.00	100	\$801,472	76.67%	\$801,472
DELAWARE	\$114,070.00	98.55	\$87,460	76.67%	\$65,900
GEORGIA	\$2,046,501.46	95.75	\$1,569,097	76.67%	\$1,569,097
IDAHO	\$258,225.00	98	\$197,987	76.67%	\$173,169
ILLINOIS	\$2,813,231.00	100	\$2,156,965	76.67%	\$2,156,965
INDIANA	\$1,536,513.00	97.55	\$1,178,078	76.67%	\$1,114,662
IOWA	\$1,144,500.00	98.8	\$877,513	76.67%	\$877,513
KANSAS	\$830,409.72	97.3	\$636,693	76.67%	\$636,693
KENTUCKY	\$483,384.00	99.55	\$370,621	76.67%	\$325,851
LOUISIANA	\$1,313,756.00	97.55	\$1,007,285	76.67%	\$1,002,492
MAINE	\$358,930.00	96.85	\$275,199	76.67%	\$275,199
MARYLAND	\$569,201.00	97.95	\$436,419	76.67%	\$401,622
MASSACHUSETTS	\$1,241,124.64	91.2	\$951,597	76.67%	\$898,859
MICHIGAN	\$1,281,927.00	88.55	\$982,881	76.67%	\$982,881
MINNESOTA	\$1,908,420.00	100	\$1,463,227	76.67%	\$1,402,765
MISSISSIPPI	\$571,145.76	96.15	\$437,910	76.67%	\$430,184
MISSOURI	\$894,555.00	82.85	\$685,875	76.67%	\$662,156

Attachment 3

MONTANA	\$228,053.00	92.6	\$174,853	76.67%	\$174,853
NEBRASKA	\$394,107.00	89.75	\$302,170	76.67%	\$302,170
NEVADA	\$811,955.00	97.9	\$622,543	76.67%	\$425,197
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$777,362.00	99.5	\$596,020	76.67%	\$596,020
NEW JERSEY	\$1,071,176.00	98.8	\$821,294	76.67%	\$821,294
NEW MEXICO	\$869,302.50	98.55	\$666,513	76.67%	\$661,326
NEW YORK	\$3,863,400.00	97.65	\$2,962,152	76.67%	\$2,928,179
NORTH CAROLINA	\$653,833.00	93.1	\$501,308	76.67%	\$495,430
NORTH DAKOTA	\$189,500.00	90.65	\$145,294	76.67%	\$145,294
OHIO	\$1,654,717.07	98.5	\$1,268,707	76.67%	\$1,268,707
OKLAHOMA	\$1,446,507.53	98	\$1,109,069	76.67%	\$1,109,069
OREGON	\$571,105.00	97.75	\$437,879	76.67%	\$378,838
PENNSYLVANIA	\$2,316,295.77	98.1	\$1,775,954	76.67%	\$1,775,954
PUERTO RICO	\$332,746.00	76.7	\$255,124	76.67%	\$240,972
RHODE ISLAND	\$12,732.17	98.75	\$97,628	76.67%	\$66,080
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$370,049.00	100	\$283,725	76.67%	\$283,725
TENNESSEE	\$718,557.40	97.55	\$550,933	76.67%	\$550,933
TEXAS	\$4,789,813.61	91.9	\$3,672,454	76.67%	\$3,660,905
UTAH	\$411,100.00	92.4	\$315,199	76.67%	\$263,098
VERMONT	\$194,369.28	96.2	\$149,027	76.67%	\$149,027
VIRGINIA ⁽¹⁾	\$1,994,938.00	94.55	\$1,529,562	76.67%	\$1,529,562
WASHINGTON	\$2,158,952.00	100	\$1,655,315	76.67%	\$1,610,138
WASHINGTON DC	\$336,793.75	96.65	\$258,227	76.67%	\$258,227
WEST VIRGINIA	\$629,240.00	95.5	\$482,452	76.67%	\$482,452
WISCONSIN	\$525,810.00	93	\$403,150	76.67%	\$339,218

Attachment 3

WYOMING	\$267,245.24	90.8	\$204,903	76.67%	\$204,903
---------	--------------	------	-----------	--------	-----------

Note: Without Suspension of State Funding Requirement the State must spend at least their 3-Year average CY2008-CY2010.

1 State Programs that have both a 60105 and a 60106 program. These states have had their 60105 and 60106 funding combined and shown as the figure represented in the table, therefore budget, request and allocation figures represent funding for both programs.

2 Within the first 3 years of a new program a minimum score of 90% shall be given, and will not be subject to the 3-year average spending requirement.