Appendix A - Access Program Screening Process

Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Access Program

Project Screening and Rating Criteria for General Projects

Instructions: If the answer is yes to criteria below, then allocate points. Points are cumulative.

**First two "Base" criteria shall have points, otherwise project does not move forward to Step 2 (Rating Criteria).

STEP 1: INITIAL SCREENING (max 200 points)		Point allocation	
Economic/Visitation Prioritizati	on		
Do FLMA, StateDOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is an		45	
economic/visitation generator?			
Do FLMA, StateDOT, and Facility	Owner agree that the project is a		45
priority?			
Is Project on current State Transportation Improvement Program?		10	
Base**			
Submitted by facility owner**		40	
Project supported by FLMA**		40	
20% Match			No points
Leveraging additional funding	20% match + (1-10)% of project	5 10 20	
more than the required 20%	cost		
match	20% match + (11-20)% of project		
	cost		
	20% match + (21)% of project		
	cost		
ST	EP 2: PROJECT RATING (max 100	points)	
Genera	al Projects	Point allocation	
Safety (Max 15 points)			
Improves identified high crash si	te/identified hazardous conditions	0	No
		5	Yes
		0	No Benefit
Improves safety for all transport	ation modes	5	Minor Benefit
			Significant Benefit
Accessibility and Mobility (Max	20 points)		
Identified need on FLMA plan, St	tate or County Comprehensive Plan	0	No
		5	Yes
Fills missing link in network & enhances mobility		0	No
		5	Yes
Improves alternate transportation mode choices (bike, pedestrian, bus/transit)		0	No
		10	Yes

Preservation (Max 25 points)			
Enhances natural and scenic resources	0	No Benefit	
	3	Minor Benefit	
	5	Significant Benefit	
Enhances cultural and historic areas	0	No Benefit	
	3	Minor Benefit	
	5	Significant Benefit	
Reduces operating costs	0	No Benefit	
	3	Minor Benefit	
	7.5	Significant Benefit	
Enhances surface condition	0	No Benefit	
	3	Minor Benefit	
	7.5	Significant Benefit	
Economic Development (Max 20 points)	•		
	0	No Benefit	
Increases Visitation	3	Minor Benefit	
	7.5	Significant Benefit	
	0	No Benefit	
Improves access to Federal Lands	3	Minor Benefit	
	5	Significant Benefit	
	0	No Benefit	
Provides Economic Benefit to the Local Community	3	Minor Benefit	
	7.5	Significant Benefit	
Environmental Quality and Sustainability (Max 20 points)			
Enhances water quality, fish passage, wildlife connectivity etc.	0	No Benefit	
	3	Minor Benefit	
	7.5	Significant Benefit	
Reduces pollution (air, water, noise, visual)	0	No Benefit	
	3	Minor Benefit	
	5	Significant Benefit	
Consistency with an applicable Land Management Plan	0	Not Consistent	
	3	Mostly Consistent	
	7.5	Consistent	
TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS (cumulat	ive) = 300	-	

Appendix A - Access Program Screening Process

Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Access Program

Project Screening and Rating Criteria for Studies and Assessments

Instructions: If the answer is yes to criteria below, then allocate points. Points are cumulative.

**First two "Base" criteria shall have points, otherwise project does not move forward to Step 2 (Rating Criteria).

STEP 1: INITIAL	SCREENING (max 200 points)	Point a	llocation	
Economic/Visitation Prioritization	on			
Do FLMA, State DOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is an		45		
economic/visitation generator?				
Do FLMA, State DOT, and Facility	<pre>/ Owner agree that the project is a priority?</pre>	45		
Is Project on current State Trans	portation Improvement Plan?	10		
Base**		-		
Submitted by facility owner**		40		
Project supported by FLMA**			40	
20% Match		No	points	
Leveraging additional funding	20% match + (1-10)% of project cost		5	
more than the required 20%	20% match + (11-20)% of project cost	10		
match	20% match + (21)% of project cost		20	
STI	EP 2: PROJECT RATING (max 100 points)			
Studie	Studies and Assessments		llocation	
Safety (Max 15 points)				
Improves identified high crash site/identified hazardous conditions		0	No	
		5	Yes	
		0	No Benefit	
Improves safety for all transportation modes		5	Minor Benefit	
		10	Significant Benefit	
Accessibility and Mobility (Max	20 points)		•	
Identified need on FLMA plan, St	ate or County Comprehensive Plan	0	No	
		5	Yes	
			No	
Fills missing link in network & enhances mobility		5	Yes	
Improves alternate transportatio	n mode choices (bike, pedestrian, bus/transit)	0	No	
		10	Yes	

Preservation (Max 25 points)		
Enhances natural and scenic resources	0	No Benefit
	3	Minor
	5	Benefit
	5	Significant
	3	Benefit
Enhances cultural and historic areas	0	No Benefit
	3	Minor
		Benefit
	5	Significant
		Benefit
Reduces operating costs	0	No Benefit
	3	Minor
		Benefit
	7.5	Significant
		Benefit
Enhances surface condition	0	No Benefit
	3	Minor
		Benefit
	7.5	Significant Benefit
Economic Development (Max 20 points)		Denenit
	0	No Benefit
	3	Minor
Increases Visitation		Benefit
	7.5	Significant
		Benefit
	0	No Benefit
	3	Minor
Improves access to Federal Lands		Benefit
	5	Significant
		Benefit
	0	No Benefit
	3	Minor
Provides Economic Benefit to the Local Community		Benefit
	7.5	Significant Benefit
Environmental Quality and Sustainability (Max 20 points)		Denent
Enhances water quality, fish passage, wildlife connectivity etc.	0	No Benefit
	3	Minor
		Benefit
	7.5	Significant
		Benefit
Reduces pollution (air, water, noise, visual)	0	No Benefit
	3	Minor

		Benefit
	5	Significant
		Benefit
Consistency with an applicable Land Management Plan	0	Not
		Consistent
	3	Mostly
		Consistent
	7.5	Consistent
TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS (cumulative) = 300		