

12-093 (July 10, 2012): Joint Use Airports List. ISSUE: The "Joint Use" airports list contained in the NASR database does not agree with the military "Joint Use" airport list. AeroNav Products is requesting that the NASR database be updated with the correct "Joint Use" airports so that the Airport/Facility Directory (A/FD), which uses the NASR database as source, is published with the correct data. AIM is requesting that the Office of Airports update FAA Order 5000.5, LIST OF JOINT USE AIRPORTS, so that the NASR database can be updated.

Status 07-10-12: New issue initiated by Val Watson, AJV-3. Val briefed that NASR lists 100+ "Joint Use" airports, Order 5000.5 lists 24-30 "Joint Use" airports, an Office of Airports website (http://www.faa.gov/airports/aip/military_airport_program/index.cfm?sect=joint) has a list, and asked which source of data is correct. Brad Rush added that the Office of Airports need to identify the single authoritative list, and publish this list in both the order and online. Bill Hammett responded that CFR Part 139 defines what constitutes a "Joint Use" airport, and added that in addition to the Office of Airports defining the list, that the definition in Order 8260.15 must be revised. Lance Christian agreed, stating that most of the military define a "Joint Use" airport as an airport with a "Joint Use" agreement, which is a smaller list of airports than those with both military and civil operations. Bill added that this definition does not match the one listed in CFR Part 139. Tom Schneider added that a joint meeting between the NFDC, Office of Airports, and DoD was held in October of 2011 to establish airport definitions, but that no progress from that meeting has been reported. Ray Zee stated that he will coordinate with the Office of Airports on this issue.

IOU: Ray Zee will research the definition for "Joint Use" airports and coordinate a standard definition and list of airports with the Office of Airports. He will report his progress to the group.

Status 10-02-12: Ray Zee provided an update prior to the meeting: He stated "We previously had several different definitions, but under the most recent re-authorization act it has been defined as "an airport owned by the Department of defense, at which both military and civilian aircraft make shared use of the airfield." The Planning and operations side of ARP have been notified and they will plan to evaluate the impact to their databases." Val Watson stated that Order 5000.5 needs to be updated. ARP is planning to update the Part 139 definition.

Editors note: "Joint Use Airport" legally defined in 14 CFR, Part 139.5 as "Joint-use airport means an airport owned by the United States that leases a portion of the airport to a person operating an airport specified under § 139.1(a)". IOU OPEN.

IOU: Ray Zee will report on the progress of updating Part 139 and Order 5000.5 to the group.

Status 1-08-13: Ray Zee reported that there is a new definition for "Joint Use Airport" in the code of Federal Regulations based on the most recent Defense Authorization Act.

The Office of Airports is identifying the airports that fall within this new definition. Ray is working to get clarification on the scope of the new definition. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Ray Zee will report on the progress of updating Part 139 and Order 5000.5 with the new "Joint Use Airport" definition released in the most recent Defense Authorization Act. Ray will provide a list of airports that fall within the definition.

Status 4-02-13: Ray Zee reported that based on the most recent definition 94 possible joint use airports have been identified. No other progress has been made on updating Part 139 and Order 5000.5 with the new "Joint Use Airport" definition. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Ray Zee will report on the progress of updating Part 139 and Order 5000.5 with the new "Joint Use Airport" definition released in the most recent Defense Authorization Act. Ray will provide a current list of airports that fall within the definition.

Status 7-09-13: No update was provided for the IOU. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Ray Zee will report on the progress of updating Part 139 and Order 5000.5 with the new "Joint Use Airport" definition released in the most recent Defense Authorization Act. Ray will provide a current list of airports that fall within the definition.

Status 11-05-13: Raymond Zee stated that the issue is that the DOD has a different definition than what is currently in 14 CFR, Part 139.5, which has created differences in the Joint Use Airport Lists. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Ray Zee will report on the progress of updating Part 139 and Order 5000.5 with the "Joint Use Airport" definition in 14 CFR, Part 139.5. Raymond will report back on the progress AAS-300 has made on finalizing a "Joint Use Airport" list.

Status 01-07-14: Raymond Zee reported that he has not made any progress on resolving the differences between the joint use definitions. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Ray Zee will report on the progress of updating Part 139 and Order 5000.5 with the "Joint Use Airport" definition in 14 CFR, Part 139.5. Raymond will report back on the progress AAS-300 has made on providing one joint use airport definition and finalizing a "Joint Use Airport" list.

*Note: After the AISWG Meeting Mr. Raymond Zee submitted the following questions to Mr. Brian Rushforth, Manager of AAS-300.

For Airport data - How important is it for an airport to be determined as "joint use" or not? Are there any operational and practical considerations? Are there any considerations for procedure developers and maintainers? Does the new definition affect an update of Order 5000.5D - List of Joint -Use Airports?

Has AGC reviewed the new definition and does it affect language in current Joint Use Agreements between the airports and DoD?

Does this (the new definition) affect any current or future actions by the Policy Board on Federal Aviation (PBFA)?

Status 04-08-14: Raymond Zee updated the group that at present little progress has been made by The Office of Airports on resolving the differences between the civilian and military joint use definitions. Val Watson took the action to provide Ray with suggested text for the definition. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Raymond Zee will review the suggested text provided by Val Watson and will report back on the progress AAS-300 has made on providing one joint use airport definition.

Status 07-08-14: Raymond Zee provided three different options. Raymond stated that the Part 139 definition for Joint Use Airports will not change due to its purpose. The Part 139 Joint Use definition is aligned with federal grants for the Airport Improvement Program and not intended to be used for air traffic operational purposes. It was agreed that the Part 139 definition should remain unchanged, the definition in Order 5000.5 should be removed and the list within Order 5000.5 should be updated with the DOD airports that have joint-use agreements. The DOD list is straight forward – identifies joint-use which means civilian aircraft may use military airport. In NASR the FAA currently databases over 2000 joint-use airports. It was requested that the Office of Airports provide a memo stating that purpose of the Part 139 definition. Raymond Zee will prepare a memo the intent of the definition, including NASR data changes needed as a result of the new list. July 14 is target date for memo from Ray Zee. IOU OPEN.

IOU:

- 1) Raymond Zee will work with DOD on revising Order 5000.5.
- 2) Raymond Zee provide a memo describing the intent of the Part 139 definition and necessary NASR data changes to the Director of AJV-2/3.

Status 10-07-14: Raymond Zee reported that no progress has been made towards identifying those airports considered to be “joint use” based on any of the existing definitions. Raymond suggested using a different designation other than “joint use” because “joint use” suggests there is funding involved. Raymond Zee will continue to work towards a potential solution. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Raymond Zee will report at the next AISWG on potential solutions.

Status 02-03-15: Drew Goldsmith has replaced Raymond Zee as The Office of Airports AISWG member. At this time Drew did not have an update. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Drew Goldsmith will report at the next AISWG on potential solutions.

Status 04-07-15: Drew Goldsmith reported that the Office of Airports will be using the Part 139 definition when the new Airport Data and Information Program (AC19) is stood up in October. Order 5000.5 should be recognized as out of date, and APP is aware of the need to update it. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Drew Goldsmith will report back at the next AISWG.

Status 07-07-15: Drew Goldsmith reiterated The Office of Airports statement from the April 7, 2015 AISWG meeting. The Office of Airports will be using the Part 139 definition when the new Airport Data and Information Program (AC19) is stood up in October. Order 5000.5 should be recognized as out of date, and APP is aware of the need to update it. Charting should develop a definition that can be used to support their operational requirements. IOU OPEN.

IOU: Val Watson and Drew Goldsmith will report back at the next AISWG.

Status 10-05-15: No one from the Office of Airports was in attendance. IOU OPEN.

Status 04-05-16:

- 1) There are several legal definitions of what is considered to be a 'joint use' (JU, military / civil use) airport; one in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), one for budgetary purposes, one in the 'Joint Use Agreement', and one based on if the airport is military or civil owned. In the airports section of the NASR database, there is a check box for 'joint use' and a check box for 'military landing agreement' (was a DoD field originally). The military list (approx. 25), NASR database (190 airports), and the FAA Order list (approx. 25) of 'joint use' airports all disagree.
- 2) The FAA Airports Line of Business (ARP) has the original list contained in FAA Order 5000.5, effective 1990. The Order is 'owned' by the Airports Planning & Environmental Division (APP-400) and Patrick Magnotta is the point of contact in the responsible office. Sharon Glasgow of FAA Airports APP-400 (Sharon is currently on detail; contact Luis Loarte), knows the military point of contact.
- 3) ISSUE OPEN: What definition of JU should the source used be based upon? Should the list updated by FAA Airports (ARP), civil airports, and the DoD be used to update the NASR database? Which source should populate the NASR database? Should the two forms of JU annotation remain in the NASR database or only one?

Status 04-05-16: Rick Mayhew shared that AJV-5 accepts the APP-400, Office of Airport Planning & Programming – National Planning & Environmental Division, rule of thumb that in order for an airfield to be to be categorized as joint-use it must be owned by the Department of Defense, it must be open to the public, and it must allow civilian use of the airfield.

- 1) The two NASR Database classifications under the Airport Usage, Rules & Regulations are broken down as thus:
 - a. Joint Use Military/Civil Airports, military airfields allowing civilian operations.
 - b. Airports with Military Landing Agreements, civil airfields allowing military operations.
- 2) Rick Mayhew explained that there are only 21 airports approved by APP-400 and by NGA/Military Service Branches as being true Joint Civil-Military Use status.
 - a. He presented the working group with a spreadsheet (see *20160712 Attachment 12-093 Minutes.csv*) containing the 191 airports in the NASR Database that are currently listing Joint Civil-Military Use status.
 - b. Most of the airports on this list will be revised within the NASR Database to reflect a change of status from JOINT CIVIL/MIL AGRMT to MIL LANDING AGRMT and will appear in the NFDD as such.
- 3) In the future any airports self-reporting Joint Civil-Military Use to the NFDC should be directed to APP-400 (Luis Loarte, Sharon Glasgow, Patrick Magnotta) for vetting of the information before a use status revision to the NASR or AIRNAV Databases is accomplished.
- 4) Val Watson shared that this takes care of primarily Visual, Enroute and the Chart Supplements. There are a scattering of airport symbols on Area Arrivals (STARs) that may be affected, but this is minor & they will react as the airports' statuses are published in the NFDD.
- 5) Bob Carlson explained that his team will still use the IFR Supplement. It contains information that NASR does not about military tenancy that we currently put in the Chart Supplements.

ISSUE CLOSED