

1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, D.C. 20590

Pipeline and Hazardous

Materials Safety Administration

MAY 1 6 2007

Mr. Spencer B. Neyland Operations Manager/Physicist LND, Inc. 3230 Lawson Boulevard Oceanside, NY 11572 Reference No.: 07-0089

Dear Mr. Neyland:

This responds to your April 23, 2007 letter requesting further clarification of the packaging requirements for certain hermetically sealed nuclear radiation sensor (detector) tubes containing less than one gram of Boron trifluoride, 2.3, Hazard Zone B, at less than atmospheric pressure under the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; 49 CFR Parts 171-180).

In our April 18, 2007 response, we informed you that based on the form and quantity of Boron trifluoride contained in the radiation detector tubes, it is our determination the tubes, when packaged in a specially designed packaging and offered for shipment as described in your letter, will not pose an unreasonable risk to health, public safety or property during transportation and, therefore, are not subject to the HMR. You ask whether our reference to specifically designed packaging refers to the outside packaging described in Special Permit 12087 or the polyethylene ball that is a part of the power supply/meter described in your January 15, 2007 letter. The use of either packaging is acceptable for shipment of the radiation detector tubes.

I trust this satisfies your inquiry.

Sincerely,

Hattie L. Mitchell

Chief, Regulatory Review and Reinvention

Office of Hazardous Materials Safety

070089

172.101 173.22a

## 3230 LAWSON BLVD., OCEANSIDE, NEW YORK 11572

E-mail: info@Indinc.com • WEB SITE: http://www.Indinc.com 1-516-678-6141 • FAX 1-516-678-6704

Engrum \$ 172.101 \$ 173.22a Packagings 07-0089

23 April 2007

-

Mrs. Hattie L. Mitchell Chief, Regulatory Review and Reinvention U.S. DOT/PHMA PHH-12 (Room 80430) 400 7<sup>th</sup> Street S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590

Dear Mrs. Mitchell,

I am writing to request a clarification of the letter of interpretation issued to LND, Incorporated on April 18<sup>th</sup>, 2007.

We believe that the intent of the interpretation is to allow the shipment of the detector tubes in either a specially designed outside packaging as described in our Special Permit 12087 paragraph 7b(1) or in the polyethylene ball as part of an instrument package as described in my letter of January 15<sup>th</sup>, 2007 (in which I enclosed the information about the polyethylene ball to demonstrate that it provides an equivalent level of protection to LND's packaging).

Thank you for taking the time to clarify this question. Please feel free to contact me at 917 545 4087, if I can be of any assistance.

Sincerely.

Spencer B. Neyland

Operations Manager/Physicist