



**US Army Corps
of Engineers**

Hydrologic Engineering Center

Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control

March 1983

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) March 1983	2. REPORT TYPE Technical Paper	3. DATES COVERED (From - To)			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S) R.G. Willey		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER TP-88			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army Corps of Engineers Institute for Water Resources Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) 609 Second Street Davis, CA 95616-4687		9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			
		10. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT A computer model capable of evaluating water quality conditions in large reservoir systems was recently developed by the Corps of Engineers. The model, Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems (Including Water Quality Analysis), HEC-5Q, provides a comprehensive water quantity model with fairly simple water quality routines. The HEC-5Q can evaluate how to operate each reservoir in a system for the best overall regulation to meet both water quantity and water quality objectives at all points of interest in the system. An overview is provided of the model capabilities and concepts regarding method of regulation analysis. A maximum of eight water quality parameters can be analyzed. These include water temperature, a maximum of three conservative and three non-conservative parameters, and dissolved oxygen. The planned future development and availability is discussed.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS reservoir system analysis, water quality, reservoir regulation, water control					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 24	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER

Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control

March 1983

US Army Corps of Engineers
Institute for Water Resources
Hydrologic Engineering Center
609 Second Street
Davis, CA 95616

(530) 756-1104
(530) 756-8250 FAX
www.hec.usace.army.mil

TP-88

Papers in this series have resulted from technical activities of the Hydrologic Engineering Center. Versions of some of these have been published in technical journals or in conference proceedings. The purpose of this series is to make the information available for use in the Center's training program and for distribution with the Corps of Engineers.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

RESERVOIR SYSTEM REGULATION FOR WATER QUALITY CONTROL^{1/}

R. G. Willey^{2/}

Introduction

By Legislative mandate and official Office of the Chief of Engineers policy, the Corps of Engineers is responsible for providing the best water quality possible from all Corps reservoir projects. Current reservoir system modeling techniques emphasize the importance of a systems approach to water quality control rather than an individual project approach.

Since 1979, one of the Corps research tasks, "Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control," has had an objective of developing a mathematical model for the operation and management of a system of reservoirs to satisfy water quality goals and other water resource purposes. This model was developed to analyze very large reservoir systems for planning studies. Although its initial purpose was concerned with planning studies, it is very likely that in the future it will have significant potential for use in regulation or operation studies as well. In addition, it should be pointed out that development of this model provides the comprehensive water quantity model HEC-5 with fairly simple water quality routines. The combined model is called HEC-5Q.

The phased development of the HEC-5Q model started in 1979, as shown in Figure 1, as a simple reservoir water temperature algorithm appended to the HEC-5 model. The second phase of development, in 1980, expanded this version into a two reservoir, eight water quality parameter model. In the following year, it was decided to delay the Phase III development and field-test the model on sample test data and develop a few minor modifications that were initially intended but never seemed to get accomplished. In 1982, Phase III of the model development involved expanding the HEC-5Q to analyze a maximum

^{1/} Presented at the 1982 Corps of Engineers Environmental and Water Quality Operational Studies project review meeting and the HEC Reservoir Systems Analysis Training Course, January 1983.

^{2/} Hydraulic Engineer, Hydrologic Engineering Center, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Davis, California 95616.




Figure 1

PHASED DEVELOPMENT OF HEC-5Q

of ten reservoirs for the same eight water quality parameters. During the present year, major effort is involved with field testing the model and again making some minor modifications. Fiscal year 1983 tasks will be discussed in detail below.

Model Capabilities

Figure 2 describes a series of capabilities of the HEC-5Q model, some of which will be discussed below. Figure 2, item b, describes a characteristic of the HEC-5Q model that is probably unique among all water quality models. Most water quality models can operate a multiple level reservoir outlet structure for a specified total discharge and a target water temperature at a point immediately downstream of the dam. However, HEC-5Q has the capability of operating the structure to meet water quality needs at all downstream control points that are affected by the operation of a specific project. Both streamflow routing effects and water quality constituent decay reactions are considered as the flow is transported from the dam to the downstream control points. All the tributary inflows and their associated water quality constituents, between the dam and all downstream control points, are included in the analysis.

The forecasting capability referenced in Figure 2, item e, allows the HEC-5Q model to look ahead in time, evaluate the magnitudes of tributary inflows below projects, and attempt to account for the water quality dilution and decay relationships that exist. The impact of the tributary inflows upon the main channel water quality as it flows downstream to the control points will be properly evaluated in attempting to meet downstream control point target constituent concentrations or temperatures.

Figure 2, item j, describes the model's capability of accepting changing reservoir storage for flood control or water supply conservation pools on a seasonal basis, such as monthly time steps. System diversions can also be modified on a seasonal time basis. Item k describes the capability to divert water from an upstream area, use it for irrigation, and return the unused flow into a downstream portion of the system.

Figure 2

HEC-5Q CAPABILITIES

- a. Any configuration of reservoir system (most upstream locations must be reservoirs)
- b. Operate gated reservoir outlets based on downstream flows considering routing effects
- c. Variable time steps (mixture monthly and short interval)
- d. Hydrologic routing methods
- e. Forecasting ability will limit operational efficiency
- f. Users override on any or all reservoir releases

Figure 2 (Continued)

HEC-5Q CAPABILITIES

- g. Multiflood option - any number of floods read in - up to nine ratios of each - unlimited number of time periods
- h. Inflows can be incremental or cumulative
- i. Variable channel capacity
- j. Seasonal operation for reservoir storages, demands, diversions (monthly)
- k. Diversions (from any location to any downstream location)
- l. Flood damages or average annual damage - annual peaks or seasonal and duration effects

Figure 2 (Continued)

HEC-5Q CAPABILITIES

- m. Hydropower
 - (1) Energy demands - monthly, daily, hourly
 - (2) Tailwater - block loading, tailwater rating, downstream reservoir
 - (3) Peaking capability
 - (4) Benefits - primary, secondary, shortage, capacity
- n. System power - two systems - any time period - 15 levels for balancing
- o. Pumped storage - any time period
- p. Optimization for conservation reservoirs
- q. Flexible output - user designed, sequential, annual summaries, flood summaries, etc.
- r. Water Quality Analysis - with or without flow alteration

Figure 2, item p, refers to the method of calculating the best discharge quantities from any single reservoir in the system of reservoirs. Item r, water quality analysis with and without flow alteration, refers to the methods of computing either a best water quantity simulation (with its associated water quality) or a best water quality simulation for all control points. The difference in these two methods will be described below.

Figure 3 depicts the vertical structure in a multiple purpose reservoir used for computational purposes in the HEC-5 portion of the model. The conservation pool, or more appropriately called the water supply pool, can include a buffer zone. The water from the buffer zone will be rationed for downstream purposes once the pool falls into the buffer zone. An inactive or dead storage zone may also be included. Above the conservation pool, the flood control and surcharge pools can be defined.


The balanced pool computations are handled differently for storage elevations in the flood control pool than those in the conservation pool. The model will maintain as high a conservation pool as possible. On the other hand, when the actual pool is in the flood control zone, the model will minimize the amount of water stored. These two sets of computations are actually opposite in their method of operation.

The kinds of systems that can be analyzed with HEC-5Q are also shown in Figure 3. This example system has a series of three parallel reservoirs W, X, and Y and two tandem reservoirs; reservoir Z is in tandem with reservoir Y, and reservoir V is in tandem with all of the upstream reservoirs. The control points can be numbered as shown. A control point must be located at each reservoir project and at each confluence of two or more streams, if those channels are being analyzed as part of the system.

Control points can also be included at locations where there is interest in model output and control for water quantity or water quality such as City A and City B. Control points 3 and 6 are both located as shown since they are confluence points for the stream network being analyzed, as well as the fact that there is a good deal of interest in controlling flood flows and water supply flows for both City A and City B.

Figure 3

FLOW SIMULATION MODULE



The HEC-5 model operates the reservoirs X, Y, Z, and V in an attempt to balance the pools, either in the conservation or flood control pools. If they are operating in a flood control manner, the discharges are constrained to the maximum channel capacities allowed at control points 1 through 8. The model will decide how much of the channel capacity at control point 7, for example, should be used for making discharges from reservoir W in contrast to the discharge from any other reservoir by maintaining an equal percentage of the possible flood control pools. If the reservoirs are operating in the conservation pool, the minimum channel flow requirements will be used. Once the water quantity analysis is completed, the discharges are fixed for all the reservoirs.

The water quality analysis begins by evaluating the multi-level discharge capability at each of the reservoirs. The model will determine the vertical location to withdraw water from each reservoir in order to meet the target water quality conditions at control points 1 through 8. This type of analysis is referred to as the water quality analysis without flow alteration. This is the best operation for water quality that can be expected at all the control points using the balanced pool concept for reservoir regulation.

The second kind of analysis that can be performed is a user option for flow alteration. With the flow alteration option, the balanced pool constraint is relaxed, and the model is allowed to deviate from the discharge values previously calculated for each of the reservoirs. The altered discharge values will be calculated with a linear programming routine to operate the system of reservoirs to best meet all downstream water quality targets at control points 1 through 8.

If the user chooses the flow alteration method, the output from the model for both methods is provided, and the user is free to decide on an adopted operation. The user should look at the difference of the amount of flow for each of the reservoirs, what the calculated discharges do to the pool level, and the resulting water qualities at all the target control points. The user can then decide whether to operate with the balanced pool concept or the flow alteration method.

Figure 4 describes the vertical layered system that is used for computation in most water quality models including HEC-5Q. The model is capable of analyzing 50 horizontal, completely mixed layers within the reservoir and a maximum of 10 parallel and tandem reservoirs.

Figure 5 shows the structural computation elements for the river water quality module. A maximum of 300 elements can be located along the stream channel. Each element has a node point at each end. Water quality computations are performed at each node point. The control points are also shown at the end of each reach. Streamflow routing is initially performed between control points and later interpolated to each node point. The model can have a maximum of 30 control points.

Figure 6 describes the water quality constituents that can be analyzed in the model and the hierarchy of the computations. Temperature must always be analyzed by the model. The user cannot analyze other water quality constituents without also evaluating temperature. The model can analyze a maximum of 3 conservative parameters. These are constituents that are only affected by dilution and not by decay. Examples of conservative parameters are total dissolved solids, chlorides, and alkalinity.

The model can also analyze a maximum of 3 non-conservative parameters. One of these can be a non-oxygen demanding constituent like fecal or total coliform. The other two non-conservative parameters are reserved for oxygen demanding parameters one and two. Examples of their use are carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand and nitrogenous biochemical oxygen demand or ammonia. If either of these two oxygen demanding parameters is used, dissolved oxygen can also be simulated.

Temperature impacts on all reaction rates and the reaeration rate for dissolved oxygen.

Figure 4

RESERVOIR WATER QUALITY SIMULATION MODULE




Figure 5
RIVER WATER QUALITY SIMULATION MODULE





Figure 6

HEC-5Q WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS



Future Development

Further model development to be accomplished during 1983 is shown in Figure 7. The first 5 tasks involve contract modifications to the HEC-5Q model, and they include adding phytoplankton analysis and benthal material source and sink terms. These two modifications are important in an attempt to perform a better analysis of dissolved oxygen in the reservoirs. These additions will only be made to the reservoir portion of the model.

The third task is to modify the HEC-5Q model to analyze long-term record. This modification will allow the reservoir portion to accept input data for intervals longer than daily. The stream portions will be modified to perform steady state analysis for periods such as one week to one month rather than a dynamic daily analysis.

The fourth task adds graphic capability to the water quality portions of the model. The water quantity portions already have existing graphics capability.

The fifth task involves the improvement in the existing vertical geometric interpolation algorithm in an attempt to cause less problems for the user and provide more accurate interpolations.

The sixth task is completion of the test data deck. The test data deck was developed during 1982 without concern for calibration. During 1983, the data deck will be calibrated, including the use of the new capabilities that are being added during the fiscal year. The HEC-5Q Users Manual is available for distribution in draft form. By the end of the fiscal year, it will be modified to include the results of the 1983 tasks.

Figure 7

FY 83 TASKS

- **Add phytoplankton analysis**
- **Add benthal material source / sink**
- **Modify to analyze long record (multiple years)**
- **Add graphics output**
- **Improve vertical geometric interpolation**
- **Complete test data calibration**

Technical Paper Series

TP-1	Use of Interrelated Records to Simulate Streamflow	TP-39	A Method for Analyzing Effects of Dam Failures in Design Studies
TP-2	Optimization Techniques for Hydrologic Engineering	TP-40	Storm Drainage and Urban Region Flood Control Planning
TP-3	Methods of Determination of Safe Yield and Compensation Water from Storage Reservoirs	TP-41	HEC-5C, A Simulation Model for System Formulation and Evaluation
TP-4	Functional Evaluation of a Water Resources System	TP-42	Optimal Sizing of Urban Flood Control Systems
TP-5	Streamflow Synthesis for Ungaged Rivers	TP-43	Hydrologic and Economic Simulation of Flood Control Aspects of Water Resources Systems
TP-6	Simulation of Daily Streamflow	TP-44	Sizing Flood Control Reservoir Systems by System Analysis
TP-7	Pilot Study for Storage Requirements for Low Flow Augmentation	TP-45	Techniques for Real-Time Operation of Flood Control Reservoirs in the Merrimack River Basin
TP-8	Worth of Streamflow Data for Project Design - A Pilot Study	TP-46	Spatial Data Analysis of Nonstructural Measures
TP-9	Economic Evaluation of Reservoir System Accomplishments	TP-47	Comprehensive Flood Plain Studies Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-10	Hydrologic Simulation in Water-Yield Analysis	TP-48	Direct Runoff Hydrograph Parameters Versus Urbanization
TP-11	Survey of Programs for Water Surface Profiles	TP-49	Experience of HEC in Disseminating Information on Hydrological Models
TP-12	Hypothetical Flood Computation for a Stream System	TP-50	Effects of Dam Removal: An Approach to Sedimentation
TP-13	Maximum Utilization of Scarce Data in Hydrologic Design	TP-51	Design of Flood Control Improvements by Systems Analysis: A Case Study
TP-14	Techniques for Evaluating Long-Term Reservoir Yields	TP-52	Potential Use of Digital Computer Ground Water Models
TP-15	Hydrostatistics - Principles of Application	TP-53	Development of Generalized Free Surface Flow Models Using Finite Element Techniques
TP-16	A Hydrologic Water Resource System Modeling Techniques	TP-54	Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization
TP-17	Hydrologic Engineering Techniques for Regional Water Resources Planning	TP-55	The Development and Servicing of Spatial Data Management Techniques in the Corps of Engineers
TP-18	Estimating Monthly Streamflows Within a Region	TP-56	Experiences of the Hydrologic Engineering Center in Maintaining Widely Used Hydrologic and Water Resource Computer Models
TP-19	Suspended Sediment Discharge in Streams	TP-57	Flood Damage Assessments Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-20	Computer Determination of Flow Through Bridges	TP-58	A Model for Evaluating Runoff-Quality in Metropolitan Master Planning
TP-21	An Approach to Reservoir Temperature Analysis	TP-59	Testing of Several Runoff Models on an Urban Watershed
TP-22	A Finite Difference Methods of Analyzing Liquid Flow in Variably Saturated Porous Media	TP-60	Operational Simulation of a Reservoir System with Pumped Storage
TP-23	Uses of Simulation in River Basin Planning	TP-61	Technical Factors in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-24	Hydroelectric Power Analysis in Reservoir Systems	TP-62	Flood Hydrograph and Peak Flow Frequency Analysis
TP-25	Status of Water Resource System Analysis	TP-63	HEC Contribution to Reservoir System Operation
TP-26	System Relationships for Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-64	Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study
TP-27	System Analysis of the Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-65	Feasibility Analysis in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-28	Digital Simulation of an Existing Water Resources System	TP-66	Reservoir Storage Determination by Computer Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems
TP-29	Computer Application in Continuing Education	TP-67	Hydrologic Land Use Classification Using LANDSAT
TP-30	Drought Severity and Water Supply Dependability	TP-68	Interactive Nonstructural Flood-Control Planning
TP-31	Development of System Operation Rules for an Existing System by Simulation	TP-69	Critical Water Surface by Minimum Specific Energy Using the Parabolic Method
TP-32	Alternative Approaches to Water Resources System Simulation		
TP-33	System Simulation of Integrated Use of Hydroelectric and Thermal Power Generation		
TP-34	Optimizing flood Control Allocation for a Multipurpose Reservoir		
TP-35	Computer Models for Rainfall-Runoff and River Hydraulic Analysis		
TP-36	Evaluation of Drought Effects at Lake Atitlan		
TP-37	Downstream Effects of the Levee Overtopping at Wilkes-Barre, PA, During Tropical Storm Agnes		
TP-38	Water Quality Evaluation of Aquatic Systems		

- TP-70 Corps of Engineers Experience with Automatic Calibration of a Precipitation-Runoff Model
- TP-71 Determination of Land Use from Satellite Imagery for Input to Hydrologic Models
- TP-72 Application of the Finite Element Method to Vertically Stratified Hydrodynamic Flow and Water Quality
- TP-73 Flood Mitigation Planning Using HEC-SAM
- TP-74 Hydrographs by Single Linear Reservoir Model
- TP-75 HEC Activities in Reservoir Analysis
- TP-76 Institutional Support of Water Resource Models
- TP-77 Investigation of Soil Conservation Service Urban Hydrology Techniques
- TP-78 Potential for Increasing the Output of Existing Hydroelectric Plants
- TP-79 Potential Energy and Capacity Gains from Flood Control Storage Reallocation at Existing U.S. Hydropower Reservoirs
- TP-80 Use of Non-Sequential Techniques in the Analysis of Power Potential at Storage Projects
- TP-81 Data Management Systems of Water Resources Planning
- TP-82 The New HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package
- TP-83 River and Reservoir Systems Water Quality Modeling Capability
- TP-84 Generalized Real-Time Flood Control System Model
- TP-85 Operation Policy Analysis: Sam Rayburn Reservoir
- TP-86 Training the Practitioner: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Program
- TP-87 Documentation Needs for Water Resources Models
- TP-88 Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control
- TP-89 A Software System to Aid in Making Real-Time Water Control Decisions
- TP-90 Calibration, Verification and Application of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model
- TP-91 HEC Software Development and Support
- TP-92 Hydrologic Engineering Center Planning Models
- TP-93 Flood Routing Through a Flat, Complex Flood Plain Using a One-Dimensional Unsteady Flow Computer Program
- TP-94 Dredged-Material Disposal Management Model
- TP-95 Infiltration and Soil Moisture Redistribution in HEC-1
- TP-96 The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience in Nonstructural Planning
- TP-97 Prediction of the Effects of a Flood Control Project on a Meandering Stream
- TP-98 Evolution in Computer Programs Causes Evolution in Training Needs: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience
- TP-99 Reservoir System Analysis for Water Quality
- TP-100 Probable Maximum Flood Estimation - Eastern United States
- TP-101 Use of Computer Program HEC-5 for Water Supply Analysis
- TP-102 Role of Calibration in the Application of HEC-6
- TP-103 Engineering and Economic Considerations in Formulating
- TP-104 Modeling Water Resources Systems for Water Quality
- TP-105 Use of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model to Quantify Aquatic Habitat
- TP-106 Flood-Runoff Forecasting with HEC-1F
- TP-107 Dredged-Material Disposal System Capacity Expansion
- TP-108 Role of Small Computers in Two-Dimensional Flow Modeling
- TP-109 One-Dimensional Model for Mud Flows
- TP-110 Subdivision Froude Number
- TP-111 HEC-5Q: System Water Quality Modeling
- TP-112 New Developments in HEC Programs for Flood Control
- TP-113 Modeling and Managing Water Resource Systems for Water Quality
- TP-114 Accuracy of Computer Water Surface Profiles - Executive Summary
- TP-115 Application of Spatial-Data Management Techniques in Corps Planning
- TP-116 The HEC's Activities in Watershed Modeling
- TP-117 HEC-1 and HEC-2 Applications on the Microcomputer
- TP-118 Real-Time Snow Simulation Model for the Monongahela River Basin
- TP-119 Multi-Purpose, Multi-Reservoir Simulation on a PC
- TP-120 Technology Transfer of Corps' Hydrologic Models
- TP-121 Development, Calibration and Application of Runoff Forecasting Models for the Allegheny River Basin
- TP-122 The Estimation of Rainfall for Flood Forecasting Using Radar and Rain Gage Data
- TP-123 Developing and Managing a Comprehensive Reservoir Analysis Model
- TP-124 Review of U.S. Army corps of Engineering Involvement With Alluvial Fan Flooding Problems
- TP-125 An Integrated Software Package for Flood Damage Analysis
- TP-126 The Value and Depreciation of Existing Facilities: The Case of Reservoirs
- TP-127 Floodplain-Management Plan Enumeration
- TP-128 Two-Dimensional Floodplain Modeling
- TP-129 Status and New Capabilities of Computer Program HEC-6: "Scour and Deposition in Rivers and Reservoirs"
- TP-130 Estimating Sediment Delivery and Yield on Alluvial Fans
- TP-131 Hydrologic Aspects of Flood Warning - Preparedness Programs
- TP-132 Twenty-five Years of Developing, Distributing, and Supporting Hydrologic Engineering Computer Programs
- TP-133 Predicting Deposition Patterns in Small Basins
- TP-134 Annual Extreme Lake Elevations by Total Probability Theorem
- TP-135 A Muskingum-Cunge Channel Flow Routing Method for Drainage Networks
- TP-136 Prescriptive Reservoir System Analysis Model - Missouri River System Application
- TP-137 A Generalized Simulation Model for Reservoir System Analysis
- TP-138 The HEC NexGen Software Development Project
- TP-139 Issues for Applications Developers
- TP-140 HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles Program
- TP-141 HEC Models for Urban Hydrologic Analysis

- TP-142 Systems Analysis Applications at the Hydrologic Engineering Center
- TP-143 Runoff Prediction Uncertainty for Ungauged Agricultural Watersheds
- TP-144 Review of GIS Applications in Hydrologic Modeling
- TP-145 Application of Rainfall-Runoff Simulation for Flood Forecasting
- TP-146 Application of the HEC Prescriptive Reservoir Model in the Columbia River Systems
- TP-147 HEC River Analysis System (HEC-RAS)
- TP-148 HEC-6: Reservoir Sediment Control Applications
- TP-149 The Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS): Design and Development Issues
- TP-150 The HEC Hydrologic Modeling System
- TP-151 Bridge Hydraulic Analysis with HEC-RAS
- TP-152 Use of Land Surface Erosion Techniques with Stream Channel Sediment Models
- TP-153 Risk-Based Analysis for Corps Flood Project Studies - A Status Report
- TP-154 Modeling Water-Resource Systems for Water Quality Management
- TP-155 Runoff simulation Using Radar Rainfall Data
- TP-156 Status of HEC Next Generation Software Development
- TP-157 Unsteady Flow Model for Forecasting Missouri and Mississippi Rivers
- TP-158 Corps Water Management System (CWMS)
- TP-159 Some History and Hydrology of the Panama Canal
- TP-160 Application of Risk-Based Analysis to Planning Reservoir and Levee Flood Damage Reduction Systems
- TP-161 Corps Water Management System - Capabilities and Implementation Status

