



**US Army Corps
of Engineers**

Hydrologic Engineering Center

Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study

July 1979

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) July 1979		2. REPORT TYPE Technical Paper		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Steven F. Daly, John C. Peters				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army Corps of Engineers Institute for Water Resources Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) 609 Second Street Davis, CA 95616-4687				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER TP-64	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Paper presented at the International Symposium on Urban Storm Runoff, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, 23-26 July 1979.					
14. ABSTRACT A case study is presented of a hydrologic investigation of the Red Run Drain-Lower Clinton River watershed, an area near Detroit, Michigan, that has undergone urbanization since the 1940's. The purpose of the study was to determine peak-discharge frequencies at gaged and ungaged locations for existing and future conditions. Population density was used as an indicator of urbanization in relationships defining unit hydrograph parameters and hydrologically significant impervious area. Input parameters for a single event rainfall-runoff simulation model (HEC-1) were developed to reflect watershed conditions in the years 1940, 1950, 1960 and 1975. The input parameters were verified by reconstructing observed flood events that occurred at these points in time. Sets of synthetic winter and summer storm hyetographs were input to HEC-1 to develop a series of curves for two gaging stations that relate peak discharge to magnitude of synthetic storm for each watershed condition. The curves were used to transform the series of recorded annual peak discharges at each gage to a stationary series that reflects 1975 watershed conditions. Discharge frequency estimates were then developed for ungaged locations using winter and summer synthetic storms that were assigned exceedance frequencies consistent with actual exceedance frequencies at the gaged locations. Projections of future population density were the basis for developing HEC-1 input parameters representing year 2000 and 2025 watershed conditions. Estimates of peak discharge-frequencies for the future conditions were made at the gaged and ungaged locations using the methods described above.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS peak runoff, flood peaks, storm runoff, peak discharge, frequency analysis, frequency curves, urban areas, urbanization					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 22	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER

Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study

July 1979

US Army Corps of Engineers
Institute for Water Resources
Hydrologic Engineering Center
609 Second Street
Davis, CA 95616

(530) 756-1104
(530) 756-8250 FAX
www.hec.usace.army.mil

TP-64

Papers in this series have resulted from technical activities of the Hydrologic Engineering Center. Versions of some of these have been published in technical journals or in conference proceedings. The purpose of this series is to make the information available for use in the Center's training program and for distribution with the Corps of Engineers.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

DETERMINING PEAK-DISCHARGE FREQUENCIES IN AN URBANIZING WATERSHED - A CASE STUDY

by

Steven F. Daly
Hydraulic Engineer, Corps of Engineers
Detroit, Michigan 48231

John Peters
Hydraulic Engineer, Corps of Engineers
The Hydrologic Engineering Center
Davis, California 95616

Abstract. A case study is presented of a hydrologic investigation of the Red Run Drain-Lower Clinton River watershed, an area near Detroit, Michigan, that has undergone urbanization since the 1940's. The purpose of the study was to determine peak-discharge frequencies at gaged and ungaged locations for existing and future conditions. Population density was used as an indicator of urbanization in relationships defining unit hydrograph parameters and hydrologically significant impervious area. Input parameters for a single event rainfall-runoff simulation model (HEC-1) were developed to reflect watershed conditions in the years 1940, 1950, 1960 and 1975. The input parameters were verified by reconstructing observed flood events that occurred at these points in time. Sets of synthetic winter and summer storm hyetographs were input to HEC-1 to develop a series of curves for two gaging stations that relate peak discharge to magnitude of synthetic storm for each watershed condition. The curves were used to transform the series of recorded annual peak discharges at each gage to a stationary series that reflects 1975 watershed conditions. Discharge frequency estimates were then developed for ungaged locations using winter and summer synthetic storms that were assigned exceedance frequencies consistent with actual exceedance frequencies at the gaged locations. Projections of future population density were the basis for developing HEC-1 input parameters representing year 2000 and 2025 watershed conditions. Estimates of peak discharge-frequencies for the future conditions were made at the gaged and ungaged locations using the methods described above.

Introduction

Determining the impact of urbanization on flood flow frequency is an area of substantial interest for flood control planning and design and for studies required by the national flood insurance program. This paper reports methodology used in a Corps of Engineers study (7) to evaluate channel modification proposals for the Red Run Drain, which is located immediately north of Detroit in the Clinton River basin. The study was conducted to determine peak-discharge frequency curves at two gaged and numerous ungaged locations for existing (1975) land use conditions as well as for conditions in the years 2000 and 2025. Methodology is reported herein for converting a nonstationary time series of annual peak

discharges to a stationary time series representing a specific set of land use conditions, and procedures are described for developing peak-discharge frequency curves at ungaged sites.

Previous Studies

A number of studies relating to the hydrology of Southeastern Michigan have been reported (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). In particular, Brater and Sherrill (2) provided the results of an extensive project initiated in 1964 to develop a procedure for estimating frequency of storm runoff for small basins in various stages of urbanization. Results of that project were used in a number of instances in the study described in this paper.

*Paper presented at the International Symposium on Urban Storm Runoff, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, July 23-26 1979.

Watershed Characteristics

The Clinton River Watershed covers an area of 760 square miles in the southeastern portion of the lower peninsula of Michigan. (Figure 1) The Clinton River Watershed includes both rural and urban areas, and roughly resembles a maple leaf, with the stem or base the outlet to Lake St. Clair. The Main Branch of the Clinton River originates in the hilly country of Oakland County, where a great number of lakes, ranging in size from a few acres to several square miles, remain as a legacy of the last period of glaciation. It is from these lakes that the river obtains much of its base flow. The Main Branch flows in a general southeasterly direction for 69 miles, through several smaller urban areas (Pontiac, Rochester, Utica), where it is joined by the North and Middle Branches, which drain relatively rural areas of 201 and 77 square miles, respectively. The confluence of the three tributaries, known locally as the "Forks Area," produces the Clinton River proper, which meanders through a level plain in a generally easterly di-

rection for 11 miles, through the City of Mt. Clemens, to its outlet in Lake St. Clair.

Four miles upstream of the Forks Area the Main Branch is joined by the Red Run Drain. The Red Run, which drains an area of approximately 140 square miles, originates in the heavily urbanized northern suburbs of Detroit, and flows in a northeasterly direction through the rapidly urbanizing sections of western Macomb County. (Figure 2)

Three distinct types of topography are evident in the Clinton River Watershed: The hilly, lake covered region that comprises the head waters of the Main Branch; the rolling, well-drained country that comprises the watershed of the Middle and North Branches; and the flat, poorly drained areas that extend in a broad plain along Lake St. Clair and comprise the watershed of the Red Run. It is in this area of poorly drained glacial till that the majority of development in the watershed has taken place. The Red Run Drain and its tributaries have been ex-




Figure 1. Clinton River Watershed

tensively modified to provide adequate capacity for storm drainage from the urban areas. However, the rate of urbanization has exceeded the rate of drainage improvement in recent years and wide-spread overland and basement flooding has resulted.

Summary of Available Data

Meteorologic and streamflow records for the Clinton River Basin are relatively comprehensive and provide excellent coverage of the more recent floods. Precipitation records for the City of Mt. Clemens, considered typical of the basin, are available from about 1897 to the present. Hourly rainfall data are

available for the period 1960-1975 from the South-eastern Michigan Raingage Network, of which about 25 stations lie in and around the Clinton River Watershed. Streamflow records available for the 30 U.S. Geological Survey gaging stations on the Clinton River and tributaries extend to a maximum period of 41 years for the Main Branch Clinton River gage at Moravian Drive in Mt. Clemens.

Parameter Development and HEC-1 Calibration

Basin modeling consists of describing the topologic structure of a basin (drainage basin bound-




Figure 2. Red Run Drain

Table 1. Listing of U.S.G.S. Gages

GAGE NUMBER	STATION	YEARS OF RECORD
<u>Main Branch</u>		
04161000	Clinton R. @ Auburn Hts.	19
04161100	Galloway Cr. nr. Auburn Hts.	16
04161540	Paint Cr. at Rochester	16
04168000	Stoney Cr. nr. Washington	17
<u>Red Run Watershed</u>		
04162900	Big Beaver nr. Warren	17
04163400	Plum Brook at Utica	10
<u>Clinton River</u>		
04164000	Clinton River nr. Fraser	28
04164500	N. Br. Clinton River nr. Mt. Clemens	28
04165500	Clinton River at Mt. Clemens	41

input to the model is a time history of precipitation for each subbasin for the duration of the storm event under study. The basic output is computed flood hydrographs that represent a time history of the hydrologic response of the basins and stream channels under study.

Computer Program HEC-1 (8) uses a lumped-parameter approach for determining runoff from each sub-area under consideration, i.e., the parameters and/or computations for a particular subbasin are assumed to apply for its entire area. Because of the many points of interest throughout the Red Run basin and the Lower Clinton River, the study area was divided into a total of 22 subbasins ranging in size from roughly 5 to 200 square miles as shown in the schematic diagram in Figure 3.

aries, stream channels, and the logical relationships between the drainage areas and stream channels) and defining the parameters that describe the precipitation-runoff response of the subbasins and the channels that comprise the basin. The basic driving

Unit Hydrograph Parameters - To develop the required unit hydrographs for past, existing and future land use conditions for the numerous subbasins of the Clinton River Watershed, regional relationships were determined for unit hydrograph parameters. In addition, unit hydrograph parameters for five rural subbasins were determined by optimization techniques from recorded data.




Figure 3. HEC-1 Schematic Diagram

Table 2. Drainage Basin Characteristics and Unit Hydrograph Parameters

Drainage Basin	USGS Gage No.	Area Sq. Mi.	Population Density Persons/Sq. Mi.	Q _p (1) CFS	T _R (2) Hrs
Big Beaver Creek near Warren, MI	4-1629.0	23.5	774	799	13.0
Plum Brook near Utica, MI	4-1633.0	22.9	731	779	15.9
Rouge River Birmingham, MI	4-1660.0	36.9	1212	1107	12.5
Rouge River Southfield, MI	4-1661.0	88.0	1390	2288	15.0
Rouge River Detroit, MI	4-1665.0	185.0	2710	3700	27.0
Clinton River Mt. Clemens, MI	4-1655.0	734.0	1000	9836	38.0
McBride Drain near Macomb, MI	4-1644.5	5.8	114	223	9.3
Tupper Brook Ray Center, MI	4-1642.5	8.6	60	318	5.0
Evans Ditch Southfield, MI	4-1662.0	9.5	2376	603	12.0
East Branch Coon Cr. Armada, MI	4-1643.0	13.0	56	520	10.0
Gloede Ditch near Waldenburg, MI	4-1652.0	16.0	560	432	14.1
Upper Rouge River Farmington, MI	4-1663.0	17.5	1129	700	10.0
Red Run near Royal Oak, MI		36.5	7500	5110	4.0

(1) Q_p = unit hydrograph peak discharge.
 (2) T_R = period of rise of unit hydrograph.

Criteria for relating unit hydrograph parameters to watershed characteristics for use in southeastern Michigan were given by Brater and Sherrill (2). Unit hydrograph parameters for 13 gaged basins in southeastern Michigan are summarized in Table 2. The unit hydrograph parameters in Table 2 are for average unit hydrographs developed from a number of storms for each basin. Extensive analysis by Brater and Sherrill showed that population density and drainage area were the most significant watershed characteristics in determining unit hydrograph parameters. Data from Table 2 were entered into HEC's multiple Linear Regression computer program to develop equations for unit hydrograph peak discharge, Q_p, and period of rise, T_R. The resulting equations are given below:

$$Q_p = \text{antilog} (1.85 + .694 \log A + .000099 P_d) \quad (1)$$

$$T_r = \text{antilog} (.66 + .359 \log A - .000067 P_d) \quad (2)$$

where:

Q_p = peak discharge of unit hydrograph in CFS

T_r = time of rise of unit hydrograph in hours

A = drainage area in square miles

P_d = population density in persons per sq. mile

The error in using equation 1 to estimate Q_p for the 13 basins ranges from .3% to 33%, with an average of 10%. The correlation coefficient for equation 1 is .991. The error in using equation 2 to estimate T_r for the 13 basins ranges from 4% to 95%, with an average of 24%. The correlation coefficient for equation 2 is .831.

Equations 1 and 2 were used to develop unit hydrograph parameters for the ungaged subbasins in the Red Run basin. Required population densities for the years 1940, 1950, 1960, and 1975 were obtained from census data. Population projections for the years 2000 and 2025 were obtained from the Southeastern Michigan Council of Governments. To facilitate use of HEC-1, values for Q_p and T_r from equations 1 and 2 were transformed to equivalent values of Snyder unit hydrograph parameters, t_p and C_p. Adopted values for these parameters are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Unit Hydrograph Parameters and Impervious Areas for the Red Run Drain

Year		1940			1950			1960			1975			2000			2025		
Basin	Area Sq. Mi.	t _p ⁽¹⁾	C _p ⁽²⁾	Imp. ⁽³⁾	t _p	C _p	Imp.	t _p	C _p	Imp.	t _p	C _p	Imp.	t _p	C _p	Imp.	t _p	C _p	Imp.
Twelve Town	37.13	10.2	.73	.04	7.5	.83	.07	5.1	.94	.10	4.8	.96	.10	4.7	.97	.10	4.6	.97	.11
Henry Gramm	21.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.2	.70	.05	7.8	.71	.05	7.3	.71	.05
Local Inflow at Bear Creek	5.08	7.7	.45	0	7.5	.52	0	5.0	.61	.04	3.0	.70	.05	2.3	.75	.09	2.1	.76	.10
Bear Creek	22.78	13.7	.58	0	13.5	.58	0	9.1	.68	.04	5.8	.81	.08	5.1	.84	.08	4.0	.91	.10
Big Beaver	4.44	14.3	.58	0	14.1	.59	0	13.6	.59	.01	4.2	.62	.04	2.5	.71	.08	2.2	.73	.09
Schoenherr	12.85	10.9	.56	0	10.8	.56	0	10.4	.57	.01	4.7	.76	.09	4.1	.79	.08	3.5	.82	.09
Local Inflow at Sterling Relief		5.8	.49	0	5.7	.50	0	5.5	.50	.01	3.6	.60	.05	2.7	.65	.07	2.2	.68	.08
Sterling Dr.	6.29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.6	.60	.05	3.9	.68	.06	2.8	.75	.09
Plum Brook	26.84	16.0	.60	0	15.7	.60	0	15.1	.61	.01	12.7	.60	.03	9.5	.70	.04	9.1	.71	.04

(1) t_p = Snyder "Standard" Lag in Hours
 (2) C_p = Snyder Peak Discharge Coefficient
 (3) Imp. = Hydrologically significant impervious area expressed as a proportion of subbasin area

Infiltration Analysis - Hydrographs recorded for eight subbasins were analyzed to determine average soil infiltration capacity. In addition, data from other subbasins of the Clinton River and the adjacent River Rouge were analyzed and published by Brater and Sherrill (2). There is considerable scatter around the average seasonal values, depending primarily on antecedent rainfall and evapo-transpiration. The adopted average values for infiltration were .40 inch/hour for summer conditions and .10 inch/hour for winter conditions.

Impervious Areas. An important effect of urbanization is to create additional impervious area. An estimate for the area of impervious surfaces may be made from aerial photographs, but all precipitation on such impervious areas does not contribute to surface runoff. For this reason, Brater (2) employed the concept of a "Hydrologically Significant Impervious Area" (HSIA), which represents the percent of the total area which always contributes a runoff equal to the total precipitation minus retention, or, in other words, almost 100% runoff. Values for HSIA can be derived from the analysis of hydrographs resulting from large storms occurring after a long summer dry spell, when the infiltration capacity of the pervious portion is very high and therefore the entire surface runoff is derived from the Hydrologically Significant Impervious Area. In southeastern Michigan, the HSIA is approximately twenty five percent of the gross physical impervious area as determined from aerial photographs. Brater showed a correlation between HSIA and population density, P_d, for twelve watersheds in southeastern Michigan (2). This relationship is as follows:

$$HSIA = 1.38 P_d \quad (3)$$

where:

P_d = the population density in thousands of persons per square mile

HSIA is in percent of total area

Precipitation - In order to simulate the hydrologic response of the Clinton River Basin using the HEC-1 program, it was necessary to review and collect the pertinent precipitation data within the region for a number of historic storms. Precipitation amounts were input into the HEC-1 program on a subbasin basis using the Thiessen polygon or isohyetal method, depending on the extent of the data available.

Stream Flow Routing - Stream flow routing was done using the Modified Puls methods. With the Modified Puls method, discharge is considered a unique function of storage. A number of reaches were established for the Main Branch of the Clinton River and the Red Run Drain. Storage volumes were determined for each reach using the HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles Program. (11) Discharge-storage relationships were then developed to provide the required input for the HEC-1 program.

Calibration and Verification - Six separate HEC-1 data models were developed in this study, representing different stages of the development and urbanization of the Clinton River Watershed. These models represent conditions in the 1940's, 1950's, 1960's, 1970's, year 2000, and year 2025. The eight historic storm events listed in Table 4 were simulated to verify that the parameters used were reasonable. From gage records, flood hydrographs were determined for the Clinton River at Fraser, which includes runoff from both the Upper Clinton




Figure 4. Hydrograph Reproduction




Figure 6. Hydrograph Reproduction




Figure 5. Hydrograph Reproduction

River and the Red Run Drain; the North Branch at Mt. Clemens, which includes runoff from the North Branch; and the Clinton River at Mt. Clemens, which includes runoff from almost the entire Clinton River Basin. The rainfall associated with each historic event was input to HEC-1 and computed flood hydrographs were compared to the observed hydrographs. The infiltration parameter DLTKR, which is an index of antecedent moisture conditions, (8) was calibrated within reasonable limits to provide the best hydrograph reproduction. Typical hydrograph reproductions are shown in Figures 4, 5, and 6.

Frequency Curves At Gaged Sites

Two key stream gage sites in this study are the Clinton River near Fraser and the Clinton River at Mt. Clemens. The Fraser gage has been in operation since 1947 and measures runoff from a 444 square mile area that includes the Upper Clinton River and the Red Run Drain. The Mt. Clemens gage has been in operation since 1934 and measures runoff from 734 square miles, which is nearly the entire Clinton River basin. Records from these two gages were of substantial importance in this study for calibrating the rainfall-runoff simulation model, and for assigning the exceedance frequencies to synthetic storm-loss rate combinations.

Table 4. Historic Storm Events Used for Verification and Calibration

Date of Storm	Observed	Observed
	Peak Discharge at Fraser (cfs)	Peak Discharge at Mt. Clemens (cfs)
29 August - 2 September 1975	4,400	5,200
19-22 April 1975	5,500	12,100
4-9 March 1974	3,800	10,200
26-30 June 1973	4,400	3,900
25-29 June 1968	7,000	11,000
27 April - 2 May 1956	5,200	13,500
10-13 May 1948	8,000	14,500
3-8 April 1947	-	21,200

The time series of annual peak discharges at each site is nonstationary and nonhomogeneous - nonstationary because of the time-dependent effects of urban development; nonhomogeneous because in some years a winter event produces the annual maximum discharge, whereas in other years a summer event produces the annual maximum. Winter runoff events are characterized by cyclonic storms and very low loss rates; summer events by thunderstorms and relatively large loss rates. The following procedures were used for transforming the recorded annual peak discharges at Fraser and Mt. Clemens into consistent series that reflects existing land use conditions and channel characteristics (12).

As indicated previously, input data sets for HEC-1 were developed and calibrated to reflect existing watershed conditions and conditions in 1960, 1950, and 1940. Synthetic winter and summer storm events were prepared using storm patterns (i.e., time distributions) developed by Brater and Sherrill (2). They found that:

"Typical large rainstorms in Southeastern Michigan have a nearly symmetrical time distribution with the maximum hour near the center of the rain storm. In winter the maximum hour contains about 24 percent of the rain and in summer the maximum hour usually provides about 55 percent of the total storm rainfall . . ."

The magnitude of a "base" storm was fixed by adopting a 25-year recurrence interval, 24-hour dur-




Figure 7. Peak Discharges vs Ratios of Total Rainfall at the Fraser Gage

ation precipitation depth from NOAA's Technical Paper No. 40 (9). The recurrence interval of 25 years was arbitrary. It was not assumed in the approach described herein that the frequency of runoff is equal to the frequency associated with the synthetic storm. Rather, the purpose was to establish a base storm for use in subsequent steps in the analysis.

Six synthetic storms for each season, summer and winter, were developed by applying scaling ratios to the base storms. Ratios were selected so that peak discharges resulting from the storms would cover the range of discharges required to define discharge-frequency curves at the Fraser and Mt. Clemens gage locations. Winter synthetic storms were developed using scaling ratios of .2, .6, 1.0, 1.4, 1.8 and 2.2. Summer synthetic storms were developed with ratios of .25, .5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 2.5.

The multiplan-multiratio capability of HEC-1 permitted calculation of discharge hydrographs for six storms and four sets of runoff parameters (for watershed conditions in 1975, 1960, 1950, and 1940) in one run of the program. Hence two runs were required - one for summer conditions and one for winter conditions. Resulting relationships for calculated peak discharge versus scaling ratio for the Fraser and Mt. Clemens gages are shown in Figures 7 and 8. The relationships were used to transform each recorded annual peak discharge (for each season) to an existing-condition annual peak. This was achieved by entering the appropriate set of curves horizontally with the recorded peak discharge to the intersection with a curve (or interpolated curve) for the

year in which the discharge was recorded, and then moving vertically to an intersection with the 1975 curve, from which the transformed peak discharge could be determined. The largest of the two transformed peaks for each year was incorporated in a time series of annual peaks. Statistical analyses of the resulting series was performed using techniques in Bulletin 17 of the Water Resources Council (10). The resulting frequency curves are shown in Figures 9 and 10. Also shown are frequency curves based on observed (untransformed) peak discharges. Urbanization has had a substantial influence on the frequency curve for Fraser, whereas the influence at Mt. Clemens is minor.

Seasonal Frequency Curves At Fraser

Subsequent development of discharge frequency curves for ungaged sites required separate frequency curves for winter and summer conditions for the Clinton River at Fraser. The curves are shown in Figure 11. The annual curve is the same as shown in Figure 9. The winter curve was obtained graphically using Weibull plotting positions for the transformed winter peaks. The series of summer peaks was truncated because for a number of years the observed summer peaks were smaller than the base value used by the U.S. Geological Survey as a basis for publishing instantaneous peaks. The summer frequency curve was therefore obtained by subtraction using the following equation:




Figure 8. Peak Discharges vs Ratios of Total Rainfall at the Mt. Clemens Gage




Figure 9. Frequency Curve at Fraser

$$P_{\text{SUMMER}} = \frac{P_{\text{ANNUAL}} - P_{\text{WINTER}}}{1 - P_{\text{WINTER}}} \quad (4)$$

where:

P_{SUMMER} = Exceedance probability for a given discharge on the summer-season frequency curve.

P_{WINTER} = Exceedance probability for a given discharge on the winter-season frequency curve.

P_{ANNUAL} = Exceedance probability for a given discharge on the annual (all-season) frequency curve.

The available transformed summer peaks are plotted in Figure 11 for comparison using Weibull plotting positions.

Frequency Curves At Ungaged Sites

The basis for developing peak-discharge frequency curves at ungaged sites throughout the 140 square mile Red Run Basin was to use balanced synthetic storms as inputs to calibrated HEC-1 models for the basin. Synthetic storm-loss rate combinations were assigned exceedance frequencies in accordance with exceedance frequencies of calculated peak discharges obtained from the previously developed frequency curves for Fraser.

Synthetic winter and summer storms were based on criteria from NOAA's Technical Paper No. 40 (9) and the time distributions developed by Brater and Sherrill (2). Application of areal adjustments from Technical Paper No. 40 to point rainfalls of various durations results in storm hyetographs that are a function of drainage area size. Therefore, separate HEC-1 runs were made using storm hyetographs associated with drainage areas of 10 square miles and 400 square miles. Peak discharge for any particular (ungaged) drainage area size was obtained by logarithmic interpolation between the peak discharge associated with the storm hyetographs for 10 square miles and 400 square miles.

Winter and summer frequency curves were developed at all ungaged locations of interest, for existing land use conditions and for land use conditions in the years 2000 and 2025, using the techniques described above. Winter and summer frequency curves for a given land use condition were then combined to obtain an annual curve based on the probability of union of independent events. Figure 12 shows a typical set of frequency curves for an ungaged location.

Findings

The Red Run Drain and Lower Clinton River watersheds have undergone urbanization since the 1940's. The effect of this urbanization on the peak discharges recorded at the Fraser and Mt. Clemens gages can be seen in Figures 7 and 8. Urbanization has had a substantial influence on the frequency of discharge

for Fraser, as shown in Figure 9. The peak discharge at Fraser is comprised almost entirely of runoff from the Red Run Drain, which peaks much sooner than runoff from the main branch of the Clinton River. The Red Run has been substantially urbanized, and so this change in frequency is not unexpected. The influence of urbanization at Mt. Clemens is minor as shown in Figures 8 and 10. Peak discharges at Mt. Clemens are a combination of runoff from the North Branch Watershed, largely rural, and the Red Run Drain. Urbanization has decreased the peaking time of the Red Run Drain, which tends to move apart the time of occurrence of the peak runoff rates of the North Branch and the Red Run Drain. This phenomenon has tended to counteract the increase in peak discharge rates due to urbanization.

Summary

The development of peak-discharge frequency estimates at ungaged locations in an urbanizing watershed for existing and future conditions is, in our opinion, one of the most challenging tasks a hydrologist can face. Three factors aided substantially in the conduct of the study; (1) availability of results of previous extensive studies pertaining to the hydrology of Southeastern Michigan, (2) a relative abundance of precipitation data and (3) the finding of a statistically significant index of urbanization, population density, to which runoff parameters could be related in a convenient fashion.

The basic approach was to use a single event rainfall-runoff model to transform nonstationary, nonhomogeneous series of recorded annual peak discharges to consistent series representing existing and future conditions. The problem of nonhomogeneity was handled by using separate analyses for the summer and winter seasons. Nonstationarity was treated by developing a set of storm magnitude vs. peak discharge relationships for various points in time and using these relationships to transform nonstationary series to stationary ones. In utilizing synthetic storms to develop peak discharges for ungaged locations, storm-loss rate combinations were assigned exceedance frequencies equal to the exceedance frequencies of calculated peak discharges at the gage at Fraser.

Acknowledgements

The original study was performed to accompany the Phase I, Plan Formulation Memorandum of the General Design Memorandum and Environmental Impact Statement for the authorized Red Run Drain and lower Clinton River, Michigan, Flood Control Major Drainage and Allied Purposes Project. The writers express their thanks to the many people of the Hydrologic Engineering Center and the Detroit District of the Corps of Engineers who contributed their work, support, guidance and encouragement.




Figure 10. Frequency Curve at Mt. Clemens




Figure 11. Seasonal Frequency Curves at Fraser




Figure 12. Typical Frequency Curves at an Ungaged Location

References

1. Brater, E. F., and Sherrill, J.D., "Prediction of Magnitudes and Frequencies of Floods in Michigan," Report to Michigan Department of State Highways and U.S. Bureau of Public Roads, 1971.
2. Brater, E. F., and Sherrill, J. D., "Rainfall Runoff Relations on Urban and Rural Areas." EPA-67-2-75-046, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio, May, 1975.
3. Brater, E. F., "A Study of Flood Conditions Along the Clinton River in the Region Which Includes the Western Part of Pontiac, Waterford Township and West Bloomfield Township," The Clinton River Watershed Council, March, 1976.
4. Flood Plain Information Report on the Main River and Main Branch Clinton River in Macomb County, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District, August, 1964.
5. Flood Plain Information Report on the Middle Branch of the Clinton River in Macomb County, Michigan, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District, October, 1965.
6. Flood Plain Information Report on the North Branch of the Clinton River in Macomb County, Michigan, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District.
7. Red Run Drain and Lower Clinton River Flood Control Project, Phase I General Design Memorandum, Hydrology Appendix, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District, October, 1977.
8. HEC-1, Flood Hydrograph Package Users Manual, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, Davis, California, January, 1973.
9. Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States for Durations from 30 Minutes to 24 Hours and Return Periods from 1 to 100 Years, Technical Paper No. 40, U. S. Weather Bureau, Washington, D. C., May, 1961.
10. Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency, Bulletin No. 17 of the Hydrology Committee, U. S. Water Resources Council, March, 1976.
11. HEC-2, Water Surface Profiles - Users Manual, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, Davis, California, December 1978.
12. Gundlach, D.L., "Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization," Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 104, No. IR3, September, 1978.

Technical Paper Series

TP-1	Use of Interrelated Records to Simulate Streamflow	TP-39	A Method for Analyzing Effects of Dam Failures in Design Studies
TP-2	Optimization Techniques for Hydrologic Engineering	TP-40	Storm Drainage and Urban Region Flood Control Planning
TP-3	Methods of Determination of Safe Yield and Compensation Water from Storage Reservoirs	TP-41	HEC-5C, A Simulation Model for System Formulation and Evaluation
TP-4	Functional Evaluation of a Water Resources System	TP-42	Optimal Sizing of Urban Flood Control Systems
TP-5	Streamflow Synthesis for Ungaged Rivers	TP-43	Hydrologic and Economic Simulation of Flood Control Aspects of Water Resources Systems
TP-6	Simulation of Daily Streamflow	TP-44	Sizing Flood Control Reservoir Systems by System Analysis
TP-7	Pilot Study for Storage Requirements for Low Flow Augmentation	TP-45	Techniques for Real-Time Operation of Flood Control Reservoirs in the Merrimack River Basin
TP-8	Worth of Streamflow Data for Project Design - A Pilot Study	TP-46	Spatial Data Analysis of Nonstructural Measures
TP-9	Economic Evaluation of Reservoir System Accomplishments	TP-47	Comprehensive Flood Plain Studies Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-10	Hydrologic Simulation in Water-Yield Analysis	TP-48	Direct Runoff Hydrograph Parameters Versus Urbanization
TP-11	Survey of Programs for Water Surface Profiles	TP-49	Experience of HEC in Disseminating Information on Hydrological Models
TP-12	Hypothetical Flood Computation for a Stream System	TP-50	Effects of Dam Removal: An Approach to Sedimentation
TP-13	Maximum Utilization of Scarce Data in Hydrologic Design	TP-51	Design of Flood Control Improvements by Systems Analysis: A Case Study
TP-14	Techniques for Evaluating Long-Term Reservoir Yields	TP-52	Potential Use of Digital Computer Ground Water Models
TP-15	Hydrostatistics - Principles of Application	TP-53	Development of Generalized Free Surface Flow Models Using Finite Element Techniques
TP-16	A Hydrologic Water Resource System Modeling Techniques	TP-54	Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization
TP-17	Hydrologic Engineering Techniques for Regional Water Resources Planning	TP-55	The Development and Servicing of Spatial Data Management Techniques in the Corps of Engineers
TP-18	Estimating Monthly Streamflows Within a Region	TP-56	Experiences of the Hydrologic Engineering Center in Maintaining Widely Used Hydrologic and Water Resource Computer Models
TP-19	Suspended Sediment Discharge in Streams	TP-57	Flood Damage Assessments Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-20	Computer Determination of Flow Through Bridges	TP-58	A Model for Evaluating Runoff-Quality in Metropolitan Master Planning
TP-21	An Approach to Reservoir Temperature Analysis	TP-59	Testing of Several Runoff Models on an Urban Watershed
TP-22	A Finite Difference Methods of Analyzing Liquid Flow in Variably Saturated Porous Media	TP-60	Operational Simulation of a Reservoir System with Pumped Storage
TP-23	Uses of Simulation in River Basin Planning	TP-61	Technical Factors in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-24	Hydroelectric Power Analysis in Reservoir Systems	TP-62	Flood Hydrograph and Peak Flow Frequency Analysis
TP-25	Status of Water Resource System Analysis	TP-63	HEC Contribution to Reservoir System Operation
TP-26	System Relationships for Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-64	Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study
TP-27	System Analysis of the Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-65	Feasibility Analysis in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-28	Digital Simulation of an Existing Water Resources System	TP-66	Reservoir Storage Determination by Computer Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems
TP-29	Computer Application in Continuing Education	TP-67	Hydrologic Land Use Classification Using LANDSAT
TP-30	Drought Severity and Water Supply Dependability	TP-68	Interactive Nonstructural Flood-Control Planning
TP-31	Development of System Operation Rules for an Existing System by Simulation	TP-69	Critical Water Surface by Minimum Specific Energy Using the Parabolic Method
TP-32	Alternative Approaches to Water Resources System Simulation		
TP-33	System Simulation of Integrated Use of Hydroelectric and Thermal Power Generation		
TP-34	Optimizing flood Control Allocation for a Multipurpose Reservoir		
TP-35	Computer Models for Rainfall-Runoff and River Hydraulic Analysis		
TP-36	Evaluation of Drought Effects at Lake Atitlan		
TP-37	Downstream Effects of the Levee Overtopping at Wilkes-Barre, PA, During Tropical Storm Agnes		
TP-38	Water Quality Evaluation of Aquatic Systems		

- TP-70 Corps of Engineers Experience with Automatic Calibration of a Precipitation-Runoff Model
- TP-71 Determination of Land Use from Satellite Imagery for Input to Hydrologic Models
- TP-72 Application of the Finite Element Method to Vertically Stratified Hydrodynamic Flow and Water Quality
- TP-73 Flood Mitigation Planning Using HEC-SAM
- TP-74 Hydrographs by Single Linear Reservoir Model
- TP-75 HEC Activities in Reservoir Analysis
- TP-76 Institutional Support of Water Resource Models
- TP-77 Investigation of Soil Conservation Service Urban Hydrology Techniques
- TP-78 Potential for Increasing the Output of Existing Hydroelectric Plants
- TP-79 Potential Energy and Capacity Gains from Flood Control Storage Reallocation at Existing U.S. Hydropower Reservoirs
- TP-80 Use of Non-Sequential Techniques in the Analysis of Power Potential at Storage Projects
- TP-81 Data Management Systems of Water Resources Planning
- TP-82 The New HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package
- TP-83 River and Reservoir Systems Water Quality Modeling Capability
- TP-84 Generalized Real-Time Flood Control System Model
- TP-85 Operation Policy Analysis: Sam Rayburn Reservoir
- TP-86 Training the Practitioner: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Program
- TP-87 Documentation Needs for Water Resources Models
- TP-88 Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control
- TP-89 A Software System to Aid in Making Real-Time Water Control Decisions
- TP-90 Calibration, Verification and Application of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model
- TP-91 HEC Software Development and Support
- TP-92 Hydrologic Engineering Center Planning Models
- TP-93 Flood Routing Through a Flat, Complex Flood Plain Using a One-Dimensional Unsteady Flow Computer Program
- TP-94 Dredged-Material Disposal Management Model
- TP-95 Infiltration and Soil Moisture Redistribution in HEC-1
- TP-96 The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience in Nonstructural Planning
- TP-97 Prediction of the Effects of a Flood Control Project on a Meandering Stream
- TP-98 Evolution in Computer Programs Causes Evolution in Training Needs: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience
- TP-99 Reservoir System Analysis for Water Quality
- TP-100 Probable Maximum Flood Estimation - Eastern United States
- TP-101 Use of Computer Program HEC-5 for Water Supply Analysis
- TP-102 Role of Calibration in the Application of HEC-6
- TP-103 Engineering and Economic Considerations in Formulating
- TP-104 Modeling Water Resources Systems for Water Quality
- TP-105 Use of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model to Quantify Aquatic Habitat
- TP-106 Flood-Runoff Forecasting with HEC-1F
- TP-107 Dredged-Material Disposal System Capacity Expansion
- TP-108 Role of Small Computers in Two-Dimensional Flow Modeling
- TP-109 One-Dimensional Model for Mud Flows
- TP-110 Subdivision Froude Number
- TP-111 HEC-5Q: System Water Quality Modeling
- TP-112 New Developments in HEC Programs for Flood Control
- TP-113 Modeling and Managing Water Resource Systems for Water Quality
- TP-114 Accuracy of Computer Water Surface Profiles - Executive Summary
- TP-115 Application of Spatial-Data Management Techniques in Corps Planning
- TP-116 The HEC's Activities in Watershed Modeling
- TP-117 HEC-1 and HEC-2 Applications on the Microcomputer
- TP-118 Real-Time Snow Simulation Model for the Monongahela River Basin
- TP-119 Multi-Purpose, Multi-Reservoir Simulation on a PC
- TP-120 Technology Transfer of Corps' Hydrologic Models
- TP-121 Development, Calibration and Application of Runoff Forecasting Models for the Allegheny River Basin
- TP-122 The Estimation of Rainfall for Flood Forecasting Using Radar and Rain Gage Data
- TP-123 Developing and Managing a Comprehensive Reservoir Analysis Model
- TP-124 Review of U.S. Army corps of Engineering Involvement With Alluvial Fan Flooding Problems
- TP-125 An Integrated Software Package for Flood Damage Analysis
- TP-126 The Value and Depreciation of Existing Facilities: The Case of Reservoirs
- TP-127 Floodplain-Management Plan Enumeration
- TP-128 Two-Dimensional Floodplain Modeling
- TP-129 Status and New Capabilities of Computer Program HEC-6: "Scour and Deposition in Rivers and Reservoirs"
- TP-130 Estimating Sediment Delivery and Yield on Alluvial Fans
- TP-131 Hydrologic Aspects of Flood Warning - Preparedness Programs
- TP-132 Twenty-five Years of Developing, Distributing, and Supporting Hydrologic Engineering Computer Programs
- TP-133 Predicting Deposition Patterns in Small Basins
- TP-134 Annual Extreme Lake Elevations by Total Probability Theorem
- TP-135 A Muskingum-Cunge Channel Flow Routing Method for Drainage Networks
- TP-136 Prescriptive Reservoir System Analysis Model - Missouri River System Application
- TP-137 A Generalized Simulation Model for Reservoir System Analysis
- TP-138 The HEC NexGen Software Development Project
- TP-139 Issues for Applications Developers
- TP-140 HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles Program
- TP-141 HEC Models for Urban Hydrologic Analysis

- TP-142 Systems Analysis Applications at the Hydrologic Engineering Center
- TP-143 Runoff Prediction Uncertainty for Ungauged Agricultural Watersheds
- TP-144 Review of GIS Applications in Hydrologic Modeling
- TP-145 Application of Rainfall-Runoff Simulation for Flood Forecasting
- TP-146 Application of the HEC Prescriptive Reservoir Model in the Columbia River Systems
- TP-147 HEC River Analysis System (HEC-RAS)
- TP-148 HEC-6: Reservoir Sediment Control Applications
- TP-149 The Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS): Design and Development Issues
- TP-150 The HEC Hydrologic Modeling System
- TP-151 Bridge Hydraulic Analysis with HEC-RAS
- TP-152 Use of Land Surface Erosion Techniques with Stream Channel Sediment Models
- TP-153 Risk-Based Analysis for Corps Flood Project Studies - A Status Report
- TP-154 Modeling Water-Resource Systems for Water Quality Management
- TP-155 Runoff simulation Using Radar Rainfall Data
- TP-156 Status of HEC Next Generation Software Development
- TP-157 Unsteady Flow Model for Forecasting Missouri and Mississippi Rivers
- TP-158 Corps Water Management System (CWMS)
- TP-159 Some History and Hydrology of the Panama Canal
- TP-160 Application of Risk-Based Analysis to Planning Reservoir and Levee Flood Damage Reduction Systems
- TP-161 Corps Water Management System - Capabilities and Implementation Status

