

Department of Navy Notification and Federal Employee Anti-Discrimination & Retaliation Act of 2002 FY 2012 Report

This Department of Navy (DON) report covers all activities of the U.S. Navy and U.S. Marine Corps. The primary office in DON responsible for the policy and reporting requirements of the No Fear Act is the DON Office of EEO and Diversity Management, Naval Office of EEO Complaints Management and Adjudication Division (NAVOECMA). This report is provided in accordance with 5 C.F.R. § 724.302.

(1) Department of Navy Federal District Court cases:

At the present time DON does not have a separate central database which accurately captures all current cases pending in Federal court arising under each of the respective provisions of the Federal Antidiscrimination Laws and the Whistleblower Protection Laws.

We are working with the various offices involved (Office of General Counsel, Litigation, and Employee/Labor Relations Division) to ensure we capture the cases under the Antidiscrimination Laws in our iComplaints tracking system, where we input all data on discrimination complaints in the administrative process.

Through educating our EEO practitioners we have improved the capture of information in the iComplaints tool and use this source exclusively for all reporting and complaints trend analyses.

Data provided below is from the DON Office of General Counsel Database.

District Court Filings/Closures

| | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY2012 |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Filed | 62 | 51 | 47 | 50 | 25 |
| Closed | 58 | 40 | 31 | 52 | 32 |
| Pending* | 4 | 11 | 16 | 55** | 562 |

^{(*} Cases still open at District Court; ** Total employment cases pending in District Court)

(2) Status/Disposition of cases pending in District Court and Judgment Fund Reimbursement

| | FY 2008 (Number/Dollar) | FY 2009 (Number/Dollar) | FY 2010 (Number/Dollar) | FY 2011 (Number/Dollar) | FY 2012 (Number/Dollar) |
|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Findings | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 |
| Settlements | 3/\$186,000 | 2/\$124,997 | 1/\$37,500 | 7/\$134,750 | 4/\$238,000 |

All of the cases, where the judgment fund was reimbursed, were settlements at District Court. There were no findings of discrimination at the District Court level found against DON since the implementation of the reimbursement requirement. Reimbursements listed above did not identify specific Attorney's fees as all were lump sum payments.

Notification of judgment fund repayment is provided to the DON Office of Financial Management and Budget (FMB) directly from the Department of Justice. The FMB Office contacts NAVOECMA for specific case information to ensure the bill is forwarded to the correct Command.

(3) Disciplinary Actions Issued:

The OPM requirement related to discipline is to report on formal disciplinary actions (letters of reprimand and above) taken for conduct that is inconsistent with antidiscrimination and/or whistleblower protections.

In FY 2012, the DON had eleven (5) disciplinary cases that involved conduct inconsistent with the antidiscrimination protections and whistleblower protections.

In one of these instances, the employee was terminated for engaging in whistleblower reprisal actions when decisions were made regarding an employee who had made protected disclosures to the Inspector General.

Management decided that the improper conduct in one of these instances warranted a Letter of Reprimand and training for the use of offensive language and inappropriate racial comments.

In four (4) instances the employees received suspensions ranging from 2 – 14 days. The improper behavior in these instances ranged from: conduct unbecoming a supervisor, improper touching of female employee and use of derogatory racial epithet. The behavior in these four instances included: retaliation against an employee for protective activity; conduct unbecoming a supervisor and unprofessional behavior as it relates to the use of sexual innuendos; and, harassment and general misconduct, use of derogatory racial language

During FY 2012 DON deployed the new DoD Case Management and Tracking System (CMTS). CMTS is an enterprise-wide, web-based application that provides a single point of access to all LER cases for case handling, search, and reporting in an effective and efficient way. The data collecting elements in CMTS are: Administration Grievance Procedure, Arbitration, CPMS Review Request, Information Request, MSPB Appeal, Management / Employee Relations, Negotiability Appeal, Negotiated Grievance,

Performance Based Actions, Representation, Suitability Adjudication, Unfair Labor Practice.

(4) EEO Discrimination Complaint Data (29 C.F.R Subpart G)

In 2003, DON implemented the iComplaints database tool. This tool is used by all EEO practitioners in DON to track all civilian discrimination complaints filed. This tool enables Headquarters DON to view specific cases as well as produce corporate level reports which include the Title III No Fear Act Data Report to EEOC and the Annual EEOC 462 Statistical Report of Discrimination Complaints.

Data is analyzed quarterly by NAVOECMA. This analysis is used to determine program deficiencies, trends and potential areas of liability. Information developed assists in focusing training and briefings presented to senior leadership, managers and supervisors, agency representatives, human resources and EEO professionals.

Summary of Complaints Data (1614.704(a)-(c))

| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Total Workforce | 225,231 | 230,687 | 243,017 | 245,372* | 245,574 |
| Total # Complaints Filed | 690 | 675 | 710 | 1053* | 749 |
| Total # Individual Filers | 643 | 645 | 697 | 1040* | 720 |
| Total # Repeat Filers | 42 | 20 | 9 | 13 | 25 |

^{*}Data obtained from DON FY 2011 MD-715 Report.

Basis of Formal Complaints (1614.704(d) & 1614.705)

| Basis | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Race | 260 | 249 | 269 | 603* | 317 |
| Color | 77 | 71 | 90 | 146 | 121 |
| Religion | 23 | 21 | 27 | 37 | 33 |
| Reprisal | 290 | 263 | 267 | 288 | 348 |
| Sex | 203 | 208 | 243 | 257 | 268 |
| National Origin | 96 | 80 | 94 | 111 | 97 |
| PDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Equal Pay Act | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 11 |
| Age | 193 | 196 | 205 | 249 | 231 |
| Disability | 156 | 155 | 171 | 209 | 201 |
| Genetics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Non-EEO | - 20 | 9 | 13 | 15 | 22 |

Issues of Formal Complaints (1614.704(e) & 1614.705)

| Issues | | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Appointment/H | ire | 35 | 40 | 37 | 51 | 47 |
| Assignment of | Duties | 59 | 51 | 57 | 64 | 77 |
| Awards | | ¹ 29 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 15 |
| Conversion to I | Full Time | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| | Demotion | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| | Reprimand | 41 | 49 | 54 | 57 | 73 |
| Disciplinary Action | Suspension | 39 | 33 | 25 | 33 | 36 |
| | Removal | 8 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 13 |
| | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Duty Hours | | 8 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 14 |
| Evaluation/Appraisal | | 24 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 43 |
| Examination/Te | est | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Harassment | Non-Sexual | 240 | 271 | 303 | 249 | 289 |
| narassment | Sexual | 28 | 31 | 29 | 21 | 34 |
| Medical Examir | nation | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Pay Including C | Overtime | 21 | 19 | 19 | 10 | 28 |
| Promotion/Non | -Selection | 149 | 138 | 143 | 461* | 130 |
| Decesionment | Denied | 8 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Reassignment | Directed | 11 | 19 | 12 | 17 | 11 |
| Reasonable Ac | commodation | 35 | 31 | 42 | 45 | 35 |
| Reinstatement | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Retirement | | 28 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Termination | | 58 | 67 | 64 | 80 | 82 |
| Terms/Condition | Terms/Conditions of Employment | | 45 | 67 | 53 | 86 |
| Time and Atten | dance | 28 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 22 |
| Training | | 24 | 18 | 27 | 15 | 24 |

Processing Time during Fiscal Year (1614.704(f))

| Processing Time | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Complaints pending during FY | | | | | |
| Average days in investigation | 168.70 | 191.62 | 154.69 | 272.48 | 307.60 |
| Average days in final action | 146.18 | 123.59 | 111.98 | 151.34 | 62.85 |
| Complaint pending during FY where hearing requested | | | | | |
| Average days in investigation | 75.55 | 100.13 | 14.21 | 278.74 | 289.80 |
| Average days in final action | 28.09 | 35.03 | 37.04 | 39.64 | 35.48 |
| Complaint pending during FY with no hearing request** | | | | | |
| Average days in investigation | 250.51 | 260.42 | 246.19 | 267.25 | 333.45 |
| Average days in final action | 202.79 | 157.61 | 159.21 | 221.92 | 83.70 |

^{**} This includes cases where the individual first requested a hearing and then either withdrew or the EEOC dismissed the Hearing.

Disposition of Discrimination Complaints

| Disposition of Discrimination complaints | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | | | |
| Complaints Dismissed by Agency | | | | | | | | |
| Total Complaints | 169 | 128 | 167 | 162 | 200 | | | |
| Average Days | 60 | 73 | 81 | 76 | 75 | | | |
| Complaints Withdrawn by Complainant | | | | | | | | |
| Total Complaints | 59 | 82 | 55 | 76 | 75 | | | |
| Complaint Investigations | | | | | | | | |
| Pending Complaints Exceeding Time Frame | 104 | 95 | 93 | 184* | 169 | | | |

Final Decisions / Final Orders (1614.704(h))

| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Total Findings | 2 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| Without Hearing | | | 1000 | | |
| Discrimination - Number | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Discrimination - Percentage | 0 | 70% | 0 | 40% | 0 |
| With Hearing | | | | le de | |
| Discrimination - Number | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| Discrimination - Percentage | 100% | 30% | 100% | 60% | 100% |

^{*} As part of a settlement agreement of a class complaint before District Court, on or about 10 September 2010 a Notice of Approval of Settlement was sent to each individual (approximately 13,000), excluding the 120 prevailing party members. The remaining individuals received notification from the court of their right to participate in the informal EEO counseling process, as well as file an individual complaint of discrimination. Approximately 1,035 responded to the court notice. A provision of the settlement and dismissal of the class action bars individual complainants from filing a new class action complaint covering the same timeframe in the original class action against the USMC's MCLB and Blount Island Command. Individuals retained their federal EEO right to file an individual lawsuit, however. Approximately 477 individuals received EEO Counseling and 328 filed formal complaints during FY 2011, hence the significant increase in the overall DON case numbers and specifically the US Marine Corps. The basis of these complaints was Race-African American and the claim was Non-Selection

Findings of Discrimination by Basis (1614.704(i) & (j))

| Basis*** | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|------|
| Total Number of Findings | 2 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| Race | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Color | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Religion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reprisal | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Sex | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| National Origin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| PDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Equal Pay Act | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Age | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Disability | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Genetics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Findings After Hearing | A-CENTER OF CHICAGO | | | | |
| Total | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| Race | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Color | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Religion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reprisal | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Sex | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| National Origin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| PDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Equal Pay Act | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Age | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Disability | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Genetics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Findings Without Hearing | | | | | |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Race | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Color | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Religion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reprisal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| National Origin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Equal Pay Act | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Disability | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Genetics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | lemonton construction | | |

Findings of Discrimination by Issue (1614.704(i) & (j))

| Issues **** | | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|---|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Total Number of Findings Appointment/Hire | | 2 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| | | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Assignment of Duties | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Awards | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Conversion to Full Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Disciplinary Action | 0 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Duty Hours | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Evaluation/Appraisal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Examination/Test | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Harassment | Non-Sexual | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| | Sexual | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Medical Examination | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pay Including Overtime | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Promotion/Non-Selection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Reassignment | Denied | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C |
| neassignment | Directed | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Reasonable Accommodation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Reinstatement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Retirement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Termination | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Terms/Conditions of Employment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Time and Attendance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | C |
| - | Training | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

^{***} Complaints can be filed alleging multiple bases. The sum of the bases may not equal total complaints and

Pending Complaints Filed in Previous Fiscal Years by Status

| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| Total complaints from previous FY | 636 | 697 | 708 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Complainants | 551 | 601 | 623 | 794 | 1126 |
| Number complaints pending | | | | | |
| Investigation | 11 | 13 | 21 | 83 | 39 |
| ROI issued, pending Complainant's action | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 21 |
| Hearing | 187 | 202 | 236 | 263 | 367 |
| Final Agency Action | 34 | 29 | 44 | 28 | 30 |
| Appeal with EEOC OFO | 236 | 229 | 232 | 230 | 3 |

findings.

**** Complaints can be filed alleging multiple issues. The sum of the issues may not equal total complaints and findings.

(5) Disciplinary Actions Taken (Not in District Court)

In FY 2012 there were five (8) findings of discrimination rendered all of which resulted after a Hearing before an EEOC Administrative Judge. Although compliance with the corrective actions ordered by the Administrative Judge has not been completed, to date no specific individual disciplinary actions were reported in response to these decisions. Corrective action in these cases required EEO training be provided to the responsible management officials, a posting at the local activity and monetary corrective action.

(6) Description of DON Discipline Policy

In addition to the information provided in section 3 above, it is DON policy for the EEO Director (Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Manpower & Reserve Affairs) to issue a personal letter to the Commander of the major command when there is a finding of discrimination. This letter instructs the Command to review the facts of the case and determine the level of discipline warranted.

In addition, this letter instructs the local Activity, where the discrimination occurred, to ensure compliance with the ordered corrective actions/relief and report on completed actions to NAVOECMA. At the present time, all compliance actions are completed in less than 120 days of the final agency decision.

The DON policy for disciplinary actions can be found at: http://www.public.navy.mil/donhr/Documents/Civilian%20Human%20Resources%20Manual/752_SUBCHNEW.pdf .

(7) Analysis:

Basis of Formal Complaints (1614.704(d) & 1614.705)

During the preceding five year period complaints of Reprisal, Race, Sex (male and female), Age and Disability have been the top five bases. We have found the numbers of complaints filed in these categories to be relatively consistent.

As reported previously, during FY 2011, as part of a settlement agreement of a class complaint before District Court, on or about 10 September 2010 a Notice of Approval of Settlement was sent to each individual (approximately 13,000), excluding the 120 prevailing party members. The remaining individuals received notification from the court of their right to participate in the informal EEO counseling process, as well as file an individual complaint of discrimination. Approximately 1,035 responded to the court notice. A provision of the settlement and dismissal of the class action bars individual complainants from filing a new class action complaint covering the same timeframe in the original class action against the USMC's MCLB and Blount Island Command. Individuals retained their federal EEO right to file an individual lawsuit, however. Approximately 477 individuals received EEO Counseling and 328 filed formal complaints during FY 2011, hence the significant increase in the overall DON case numbers and specifically the US Marine Corps. The basis of these complaints was Race-African American and the claim was Non-Selection.

Issues of Formal Complaints (1614.704(e) & 1614.705)

In the last few years, complaints of Non-Sexual Harassment have been the most prevalent in DON. FY 2012 complaints showed the same.

DON has special procedures for immediate action when harassment claims are brought forward. While a complainant may go to the EEO office, a management inquiry is also conducted to identify and verify whether harassment has occurred and recommend actions to management to stop/prevent further harassment. Both procedures may occur concurrently.

The DON Anti-Harassment Policy guidance is currently in draft. Additional review is required.

Processing Time (Average Days)

Significant attention has been placed on the timely processing of complaints. Through training, program evaluation and scorecard performance measures, DON has been addressing activity complaints processing issues. Major Commands are rated annually on the efficiency of their Discrimination Complaints Program with a focus on timely precomplaint processing and timely completion of investigations. Swift intervention by NAVOECMA, OGC and the DoD Investigations and Resolution Division occurs when activities are slow or fail to process matters timely. The NAVOECMA team has assisted EEO offices with improving internal procedures which may have created obstacles to timely processing. 9 training sessions were conducted in FY 2012. Focus on improving DON complaints procedures will continue in FY 2013.

Final Agency Decisions / Final Orders (EEOC Administrative Judge) (1614.704(h))

For the last five fiscal years, DON has been in the top five federal agencies to timely process FADS. Consistent attention to timeliness for FADS and FOs has continued despite a decrease in the NAVOECMA staff assigned to this task. In FY 2012, 100% of SECNAV FADS were issued within the 60-day regulatory requirement.

Summary

Over this five year period, DON has seen a relatively consistent number of individuals filing complaints. For FY 2012 only 0.28% of the DON workforce filed a formal complaint.

NAVOECMA has dedicated significant time to oversight of the efficiency of the DON Discrimination Complaint Administrative Process. Over the last eight years, with the implementation of the corporate iComplaints database, we have identified areas of concern and internal barriers to total compliance with the required timeliness of the precomplaint and formal complaints.

Since FY 2005 the timeliness of pre-complaint process has improved from 51.6% to 91.1% in FY 2012. For the timeliness of Investigations, DON had only 26.1% timely in

2005 and currently has improved to 39.6% in FY2012. Improvement in this area continues to be a struggle due to resource issues with our DoD provider. During FY 2012 DON issued permissions to the major Commands allowing for other means of investigating Complaints to assure timeliness. The flexibilities include contracting investigative services and hiring reemployed annuitants to conduct investigations.

In response to this recurring review, DON has instituted the following initiatives to ensure compliance with anti-discrimination and whistleblower protection laws:

- Improved training for EEO/HR practitioners. Training highlights: employee rights
 and responsibilities; discrimination complaint policy and procedures;
 implementing procedures to ensure compliance with regulatory timeframes; and,
 clear communication with the managers/supervisors/employees involved in the
 administrative process.
- Alternative Dispute Resolution. DON activities work closely with the DON ADR program and the DOD Office of Investigations and Resolution to ensure all individuals have access to ADR. Expanding access to both sources for mediation support has resulted in an increase of actual ADR occurrences. Continued collaboration is focused on marketing ADR as a management tool to include training for managers/supervisors at all levels on past program successes.
- Program Evaluation and Accountability. NAVOECMA reviews processing timeliness in iComplaints. The DON Office of EEO and Diversity Management issues scorecards annually, to each major command, on the status of their EEO Program in compliance with EEOC MD-715. As part of this scorecard, they are rated on their efficiency of discrimination complaints processing. This scorecard approach has alerted the Major Commands to their responsibility to ensure efficiency in their discrimination complaints process. By creating awareness of these issues we have seen an increase in Command's efforts to ensure accountability at all levels.

(8) Budget Adjustments due to Judgment Fund Reimbursement

The Department has not had to make adjustments to the budget to ensure reimbursement of the Judgment Fund. In fact, DON does not rely on payment of settlement actions through the fund. If settlement is accomplished, the individual activity will normally pay directly from their operating budget.

For other monetary corrective action issued as a result of findings of discrimination or settlements arrived at during the Discrimination Complaints Administrative process, DON remitted funds as follows:

| Monetary Corrective Actions | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Compensatory Damages | \$207,346(5) | \$401,000(19) | \$633,310(14) | \$411,203(16) | \$616,871(18) |
| Back Pay/Front Pay | \$99,530(12) | \$284,239(26) | \$137,750(13) | \$82,468 (20) | \$212,448(23) |
| Lump Sum Payment | \$1,687,815(74) | \$1,483,951(106) | \$624,113(70) | \$1,359,141 (107) | \$1,664,309(246) |
| Attorneys Fees and Costs* | \$1,059,934(57) | \$837,264(59) | \$1,170,099(48) | \$1,137,415(66) | \$1,564,205(64) |
| Total | \$2,874,625 | \$2,254,328 | \$2,565,272 | \$2,990,227 | \$4,057,833 |

Key: \$(# of cases)

- For FY 2012 there were eight (8) findings of discrimination. This data does not include all monetary corrective action granted in these cases.
- DON has been looking at lump sum payments more closely to ensure corrective actions are aligned to the harm experienced by the employee.

(9) Training Plan

The No Fear Act Training Plan was issued as part of the DON Civilian Human Resources Manual (CHRM). A copy of this CHRM can be accessed at http://www.public.navy.mil/donhr/Documents/Civilian%20Human%20Resources%20Manual/CHRM 1613.pdf .

Commands are required to provide a written report certifying completion of this training requirement. The bi-annual training requirement for the period of 1 January 2012 – 28 February 2013 shows that 90% of the DON Workforce completed the training as 31 December 2012. Major Commands are required to ensure training is provided to all new hires and make effort to ensure 100% of the workforce receives refresher training biennially.

Agency Certification:

Signature:

Laura L. Lawson

Department of the Navy

Program Director

Office of EEO & Diversity Management

Report Prepared by: Jamie Kajouras

Director,

Naval Office of EEO Complaints Management & Adjudication

| | | | | | | 4, |
|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | er Wg |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |