

Department of Navy Notification and Federal Employee Antidiscrimination Act FY 2009 Annual Report

Narrative:

This Department of Navy (DON) report covers all activities of the Navy and Marine Corps. The primary office in DON responsible for the policy and reporting requirements of the No Fear Act is the DON Office of EEO and Diversity Management, Navai Office of EEO Complaints Management and Adjudication Division (NAVOECMA).

In 2003, DON implemented the iComptaints database tool. This tool is used by all EEO practitioners in DON to track all civilian discrimination complaints filed. This tool enables Headquarters DON to view specific cases as well as produce corporate level reports which include the Title III No Fear Act Data Report to EEOC and the Annual EEOC 462 Statistical Report of Discrimination Complaints.

Data is analyzed quarterly by NAVOECMA. This analysis is used to determine program deficiencies, trends and potential areas of liability. Information developed assists in focusing training and briefings presented to senior leadership, managers and supervisors, agency representatives, human resources and EEO professionals.

Specific accomplishments and initiatives are addressed in the appropriate sections below.

Cases in District Court (§724.302(a)(1) and (2))

At the present time DON does not have a central database which accurately captures all current cases pending in Federal court arising under each of the respective provisions of the Federal Antidiscrimination Laws and the Whistleblower Protection Laws.

We are working with the various offices involved (Office of General Counsel, Litigation, and Employee/Labor Relations Division) to ensure we capture the cases under the Antidiscrimination Laws in our iComplaints tracking system, where we input all data on discrimination complaints in the administrative process.

Through educating our EEO practitioners we have improved capturing information in the iComplaints tool and use this source exclusively for all reporting and complaints trend analyses.

Judgment Fund

All of the cases, where the judgment fund was reimbursed, were settlements at District Court. There were no findings of discrimination at the District Court level in the last three fiscal years, since the implementation of the reimbursement requirement.

Disciplinary Action (§724.302(a)(3), (4), (5) and (6))

During FY 2007 no disciplinary actions were warranted as a result of the findings of discrimination issued in the Administrative Complaints Process. It is DON policy for the Director of EEO (Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Manpower & Reserve Affairs) to issue a personal letter to the Commander of the major command when there is a finding of discrimination. This letter instructs the Command to review the facts of the case and determine the level of discipline if any. In addition, this letter instructs the Command to ensure compliance with the ordered corrective actions/relief and report on completed actions to NAVOECMA. At the present time all compliance actions are completed in less than 120 days of the final agency decision.

At the present time DON is exploring methods to capture all disciplinary actions centrally and develop means to identify such actions taken as a result of findings under the Antidiscrimination Laws or the Whistleblower Protection Act. Currently no central report exists.

The DON policy for disciplinary actions can be found at: https://www.donhr.navy.mil/donchrm/752_SUBCHNEW.pdf .

EEO Discrimination Complaints Data (§724.302(a)(7))

The charts below reflect the complaints data required by the EEOC for FY 2004-2008. Over this five year period DON has experienced a significant decrease in the number of complaints filed annually. We attribute this continuous downward trend to:

- Improved training for EEO practitioners. Training stressed: clearly communicating with the aggrieved; thorough understanding of complaint policy and procedures; and, clear instructions on gathering facts in a timely manner.
- Alternative Dispute Resolution. DON activities work closely with the DON ADR program and the DOD Office of Investigations and Resolution to ensure individuals have access to ADR.
- Program Evaluation and Accountability. Through the implementation of the
 corporate iComplaints database tool, NAVOECMA reviews processing timelines
 and issues a scorecard for each major command. This scorecard has helped us
 identify EEO Offices requiring assistance to improve timeframes and
 procedures.

Basis of Formal Complaints (1614.704(d) & 1614.705)

During this five year period complaints of Reprisal, Race, Sex (male and female), Age and Disability have been the top five bases. We have found the numbers of complaints filed in these categories to be relatively consistent.

Issues of Formal Complaints (1614.704(e) & 1614.705)

In the last few years, complaints of Non-Sexual Harassment have been the most prevalent in DON. We have developed training materials for EEO practitioners on procedures to follow when dealing with harassment claims.

DON has special procedures for immediate action when harassment claims are brought forward. The management inquiry is conducted to identify and verify whether harassment has occurred and recommend actions to management to stop and prevent further harassment.

The DON Anti-Harassment Policy guidance has completed the comment phase and the policy will be sent for signature by the SECNAV in FY 10. NAVOECMA's training modules for employees, managers and supervisors regarding the anti-harassment policy will be used by field EEO Offices when providing briefings at local activities in FY10.

Processing Time (Average Days)

Significant attention has been placed on the timely processing of complaints. Through training, program evaluation and scorecard performance measures DON has been monitoring activity complaints processing. Swift intervention occurs when activities are slow or fail to process matters timely. The NAVOECMA team has worked tirelessly to assist EEO offices with improving internal procedures which may have created obstacles to timely processing. More training will be conducted in FY10 to ensure processing timeliness.

Final Decisions / Final Orders (EEOC Administrative Judge) (1614.704(h))

For the last three fiscal years DON has been in the top five agencies to timely process FADS. Consistent attention to timeliness for FADS and FOs has been maintained despite a decrease in the NAVOECMA staff assigned to this task. For FY 08, 97.9% of FADS were issued timely.

No Fear Act Training Plan and Report (§724.302(a)(9))

The No Fear Act Training Plan was issued as part of the DON Civilian Human Resources Manual (CHRM). A copy of this CHRM can be accessed at https://www.donhr.navy.mil/donchrm/CHRM_1613.pdf and is attached to this report at Section A. The DON No Fear Act Notice and Policy as well as training presentation is available at https://www.donhr.navy.mil/NoFearAct.asp.

Every Department of Navy Major Command has completed and reported their completion by the 30 May 2009 deadline. 96% of all DON employees have received the No FEAR training in FY09.

| Jamie Kajouras | |
|---|-----------------------|
| NAME Director, Navai Office of EEO Complaints Mana | gement & Adjudication |
| SIGNATURE Kyamas | FEB 1 6 2010 |
| SIGNATURE | DATE |



Agency/Sub-element: Department of Navy, Navy-wide Aggregate

Date: 09/30/2009 FY 2009

This Department of Navy (DON) report covers all activities of the Navy and Marine Corps. The primary office in DON responsible for the policy and reporting requirements of the No Fear Act is the DON Office of EEO and Diversity Management, Naval Office of EEO Complaints Management and Adjudication division (NAVOECMA).

Title II Report Judgment Fund Reimbursement:

| | FY 06 (Number/Dollar) | FY 07 (Number/Dollar) | FY 08 (Number/Dollar) | FY 09 (Number/Dollar) |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Finding* | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 |
| Settlements | 19/\$859,022 | 7/\$395,909 | 3/\$186,000 | 3/\$186,000 |

All of the cases, where the judgment fund was reimbursed, were settlements at District Court. There were no findings of discrimination at the District Court level in the last three fiscal years, since the implementation of the reimbursement requirement.

Title III Report - EEO Discrimination Complaint Data

| (1614.704(a)-(c)) | 2009 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Total Workforce | 230,687 | 225,231 | 204, 751 | 192,412 | 187,535 |
| Total # Complaints | 662 | 689 | 625 | 635 | 637 |
| Total # Individual Filers | 632 | 642 | 559 | 578 | 527 |
| Total # Repeat Filers | 20 | 42 | 46 | 32 | 47 |

Basis of Formal Complaints (1614.704(d) & 1614.705)

| Bareis | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Race | 244 | 261 | 244 | 286 | 281 |
| Color | 66 | 78 | 91 | 103 | 90 |
| Religion | 21 | 23 | 25 | 33 | 42 |
| Reprisal | 250 | 292 | 259 | 252 | 25 |
| Sex | 202 | 204 | 178 | 211 | 21 |
| National Origin | 79 | 97 | 102 | 110 | 10 |
| Equal Pay Act | 1 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 13 |
| | 193 | 192 | 174 | 208 | 23 |
| Age Disability | 149 | 155 | 116 | 135 | 16 |
| Non-EEO | 8 | 20 | 6 | 1 | |

Issues of Formal Complaints (1614.704(e) & 1614.705)

| Issues | | 2009 | 2906 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|----------------|--------------------|------|------|-------------|---------------|------|
| Appointment/t | lire | 41 | 35 | 38 | 37 | 62 |
| Assignment of | Duties | 49 | 59 | 57 | 64 | 62 |
| Awards | | 10 | 29 | 13 | 18 | 23 |
| Conversion to | Full Time | 0 | 0] | 3 | Û | 1 |
| Disciplinary A | ction | 86 | 106 | 90 | 1 <u>18</u>] | 93 |
| Duty Hours | | 6 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 14 |
| Evaluation/App | praisel | 22 | 23 | 26 | 35 | 30 |
| Examination/T | est | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Harassment | Non-Sexual | 224 | | 167 | 208 | 206 |
| | Sexual | 27 | 21 | 22 | _20 | 15 |
| Medical Exam | ination | . 5 | _4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Pay Including | Overtime | 17 | 21 | 18 | _ 18 | 22 |
| Promotion/No | n-Selection | 133 | 148 | 13 <u>3</u> | 179 | 165 |
| December | Denied | 7 | . 7 | 1 | 11 | S |
| Rasssignmen | Directed | 10 | 17 | 20 | 23 | |
| Ressonable Á | ccommodation | 30 | 34 | 19 | 20 | 29 |
| Reinstatemen | t | . 1 | 1 | 0 | _1 | 1 |
| Retirement | | 2 | 28 | 9 | 8 | 15 |
| Termination | | 62 | 57 | 55 | _50 | |
| Terms/Condit | ions of Employment | 41 | 38 | 38 | 44 | |
| Time and Atte | endance | 16 | 28 | | 18 | |
| Training | <u> </u> | 18 | 23 | 17 | 19 | 1 |

Processing Time during Fiscal Year (1614.704(f))

| Processing Time during Fiscal Year (1014. | | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|--------|--------|----------------|--------|-------|
| Processing Time | 2009 | 2000 | 2001 | | |
| Complaints pending during FY | | | | | |
| Average days in investigation | 175.54 | 250.13 | 25 <u>9.79</u> | 249,43 | |
| Average days in final action | 124.66 | 119.60 | 110.71 | 141.99 | 179.8 |
| Complaint pending during FY where hearing requested | , i | | | | |
| Average days in investigation | 17.32 | 248.02 | 249.75 | 264.05 | |
| Average days in final action | 35.03 | 36.27 | 3 <u>6.30</u> | 39,20 | 53.5 |
| Complaint pending during FY with no hearing request | | | | · | |
| Average days in investigation | 258.32 | 251.69 | | 240.25 | |
| Average days in final action | 161.01 | 160.38 | 152.06 | 200.64 | 263.6 |

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|
| Complaints Dismissed by Agency | | | | | |
| Total Complaints | 121 | 168 | 176 | 194 | 180 |
| Average Days | 85 | 84 | 160 | 243 | 218 |
| Complaints Withdrawn by Complainant | | | | _ | |
| Total Complaints | 82 | 58 | 71 | 66 | 60 |
| Complaint Investigations | | | • | | |
| Pending Complaints Exceeding Time Frame | 116 | 90 | _76 | 72 | 95 |

Final Decisions / Final Orders (1614.704(h))

| FRIST DECISIONS / FINAL CITATION (101- | 2009 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| Total Findings | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| Without Hearing | | | | | |
| Discrimination - Number | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Discrimination - Percentage | 70% | 0 | 50% | 50% | |
| With Hearing | - | | | | |
| Discrimination - Number | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Discrimination - Percentage | 30% | 100% | 50% | 50% | 100% |

Findings of Discrimination By Basis (1614.704(i) & (j))

| Basis* | 2000 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|----------|
| Total Number of Findings | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| Race | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Color | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 0 |
| Religion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reprisal | 4 | 0 | 1 | . 2 | 3 |
| Sex | Ö | 0 | 1 | 1_ | 3 |
| National Origin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Equal Pay Act | - 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Age | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Disability | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Findings After Hearing | | | | | |
| Total | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | |
| Race | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Color | Ö | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Religion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0_ | (|
| Reprisal | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Sex | 0 | Ö | 1 | 1 | |
| National Origin | Ö | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Equal Pay Act | 0 | . 0 | 0 | .0 | |
| Age | O_ | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Disability | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| Findings Without Hearing | | | | | |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 2 | _ 1 | |
| Race | Ö | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Color | 0 | 0 | 0 | _0 | |
| Reilgion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reprisal | 0 | . 0 | Ö | 1 | <u> </u> |
| Sex | 0 | 0_ | 0 | 0 | |
| National Origin | 0 | 0 | 1 | .0 | · |
| Equal Pay Act | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Age | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Disability | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0_ | |

Findings of Discrimination By Issue (1614.704(i) & (j))

| leeuos ** | | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Total Number of | f Findings | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| | Appointment/Hire | 3 | 0 | Ö | 0 | 0 |
| | Assignment of Duties | Q | 0 | 0 | _ 0 | 0 |
| | Awards | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Conversion to Full Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ö | 0 |
| | Disciplinary Action | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Duty Hours | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Evaluation/Appraisal | . 0 | 0 | 0 | Ö | 0 |
| | Examination/Test | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Non-Sexual | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Heressment | Sexual | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 |
| | Medical Examination | 2 | 0 | · 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pay Including Overtime | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| _ | Promotion/Non-Selection | 0 | 0 | Ō | | |
| Reassignment | Denied | 0 | 0 | Ö | | |
| Messasidument. | Directed | ٥ | 0 | 0 | | 2 |
| | Ressonable Accommodation | 0 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Reinstatement | 0 | Ö | 0 | | |
| | Retirement | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Termination | 0 | Ö | | | |
| T | erms/Conditions of Employment | | 0 | | | |
| | Time and Attendance | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | Training | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Pending Complaints Filed in Previous Fiscal Years by Status

| Baudiud Combiguing Liter in Licelor | Flods (isosi Todio S) Castao | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | | | |
| Total complaints from previous FY | 698 | 648 | 594 | 623 | 760 | | | |
| Total Complainants | 601 | 563 | 529 | 515 | 567 | | | |
| Number complaints pending | | | :· | | | | | |
| Investigation | 16 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 22 | | | |
| ROI issued, pending Complainant's action | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | | | |
| Hearing | 204 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 22 | | | |
| Final Agency Action | 31 | 41 | 42 | 38 | 48 | | | |
| Appeal with EEOC OFO | 229 | 236 | 243 | 248 | 238 | | | |