## **DON Cloud BCA Evaluation Criteria**

1. Has the IT capability (application, system, or service) been rationalized?
2. Has an Engineering Assessment (EA) been completed?
(If not, evaluation determines if an EA is justified/approved. It is not a "BCA approval.")

## Executive Summary - is the following information provided? (note if provided in another section)

•	<ul> <li>Current hosting environment, including brief statement on issues/requirements</li> </ul>
•	Data impact level
•	<ul> <li>Minimum alternatives considered (status quo; DISA cloud service; commercial cloud service)</li> <li>Is a DISA provided cloud service named as one of the alternatives</li> </ul>
•	Summary statement of why the recommended alternative provides best value
•	General implementation timeframe anticipated
•	Has funding been identified
•	<ul> <li>Summary chart (or statement) comparing net savings of alternatives considered (roll-up from cost data provided in section 2.3 table<sup>1</sup>)</li> </ul>
•	<ul> <li>Summary chart (or statement) comparing operational benefit totals of alternatives (roll-up from section 2.5)</li> </ul>
(Review	ver Comments)

## Section 1.0 Overview - is the following information provided?

	Sufficient* discussion of current issues and rationale for this project initiative
	<ul> <li>Sufficient* discussion of the benefits of recommended alternative over others, including recommended cloud service model (e.g., PaaS, IaaS, SaaS) and deployment model (e.g., Public, Private, Community, Hybrid)</li> </ul>
	Well defined scope and requirements
	As applicable, sufficient*discussion of assumptions and constraints
	Point(s) of contact information
(Revie	ewer Comments)

## Section 2.0 Alternatives Considered - is the following information provided?

	<ul> <li>As applicable, an understandable SV-1 Systems/Services Interface Description</li> <li>Uses a current DoD approved DON Cloud Access Point (CAP) and pathway?</li> </ul>
	• Sufficient* cost and estimated net savings data in a Financial Considerations Table (template table 2.3), with supporting narrative as applicable
	• Are funding and sustainment information provided? (e.g., is DCAO funding as part of a DCC effort, or is this initiative self-funded via a resource sponsor?)
	• Sufficient* data/discussion on risks, and providing mitigation strategies for those risks identified as "certain" or "probable" in the Risk Considerations Table (template 2.4)
	• Sufficient* data/discussion on operational impacts, including rationale for score assessed for each operational area listed in the Operational Benefits/Impacts Table (template 2.5)
(Revie	ewer Comments)

OVERALL RECOMMENDATION:		
Approve	Return for additional information (as noted above)	