MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS AND THE UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, FORT WORTH DISTRICT

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into as of this <u>inth</u> day of <u>Octobe</u>, 2011 by and between the North Central Texas Council of Governments (hereinafter the "NCTCOG"), a political sub-division of the State of Texas, acting by and through its Regional Transportation Council, (hereinafter the "RTC") and the Department of the Army, represented by the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District (hereinafter the "Corps"). Collectively referred to as the "Parties."

WHEREAS, section 214 of the Federal Water Resources Development Act of 2000, Public Law 106-541 ("WRDA 2000") provides as follows:

- (a) IN GENERAL. In Fiscal Years 2001 through 2003, the Secretary (of the Army), after public notice, may accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities to expedite the evaluation of permits under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.
- (b) EFFECT ON PERMITTING. In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall ensure that the use of funds accepted under subsection (a) will not impact impartial decision-making with respect to permits, either substantively or procedurally.

WHEREAS, Public Law 108-137, was signed into law on December 1, 2003, extending the sunset clause for section 214 of WRDA 2000 to September 30, 2005; and

WHEREAS, Public Law 109-99, signed into law on November 11, 2005, extended through March 31, 2006, the authority of the Secretary of the Army to accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities to expedite the processing of permits; and

WHEREAS, Public Law 109-209, signed into law on March 24, 2006, extends through December 31, 2006, the authority of the Secretary of the Army to accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities to expedite the processing of permits; and

WHEREAS, Public Law 109-434, signed into law on December 20, 2006, extends through December 31, 2008, the authority of the Secretary of the Army to accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities to expedite the processing of permits; and

WHEREAS, the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-114) includes language in Section 202 that further amends subsection (c) to extend the duration of this authority until December 31, 2009.; and

WHEREAS, Public Law 111-120, signed into law on December 22, 2009, extends through December 31, 2010, the authority of the Secretary of the Army to accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities to expedite the processing of permits; and

WHEREAS, Public Law 111-315, signed into law on December 18, 2010, extends through December 31, 2016, the authority of the Secretary of the Army to accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities to expedite the evaluation of permits; and

WHEREAS, the Secretary of the Army has delegated the responsibility of carrying out section 214 of WRDA 2000 to the Chief of Engineers and his delegated representatives; and

WHEREAS, the Corps' Chief of Engineers, by memorandum dated March 29, 2004, has authorized the Corps' District and Division Engineers to accept and expend funds contributed by non-federal entities subject to certain limitations including the publishing of public notices; and

WHEREAS, the Corps issued an initial Public Notice dated July 18, 2008, regarding its intent to accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities for such purposes; and

WHEREAS, the Corps' District Engineer has determined that expenditure of funds received from the NCTCOG will be in compliance with section 214 of WRDA 2000, and a public notice dated September 16, 2008, regarding the District Engineers' decision has been issued; and

WHEREAS, the Corps' District Engineer has delegated review responsibility to the Commander's designee, the Regulatory Branch; and

WHEREAS, it is understood and acknowledged by all Parties that the Corps' review of permit applications for RTC-designated priority projects will be completely impartial and in accordance with all applicable Federal laws and regulations while utilizing the same procedures for decisions that would otherwise be required for the evaluation of permits for similar projects or activities not carried out using funds authorized under this section; and

WHEREAS, all final permit decisions carried out using funds authorized under this section shall be made available to the public, including on the Internet; and

WHEREAS, NCTCOG and the RTC are non-Federal public entities; and

WHEREAS, on July 14, 2011, the RTC approved funding for the Corps for the purpose of receiving expedited permit evaluation-related services for RTC designated priority projects as more fully described in this Memorandum of Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the NCTCOG Executive Board serves as the fiscal agent for the RTC; and

WHEREAS, the Corps is willing to provide expedited permit evaluation-related services for RTC designated priority projects upon receipt of funding from the RTC as more fully described in this Memorandum of Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, the RTC and the Corps agree as follows:

Article I. - PURPOSE AND AUTHORITIES

Pursuant to section 214 of WRDA 2000 (Public Law 106-541), as amended, this Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) is entered into by the Parties for the purpose of establishing a mutual framework governing the respective responsibilities of the Parties for the acceptance and expenditure of funds contributed by the RTC to expedite the evaluation of permits under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. This MOA is not intended as the exclusive means of obtaining Corps review of RTC projects. This MOA is a vehicle by which the RTC may obtain expedited review of RTC projects designated as priorities, outside of the ordinary Corps review process.

Article II. - SCOPE

A. The RTC will provide funds to the Corps to expedite the evaluation of various RTC priority permit applications under the jurisdiction of the Corps' Fort Worth District. The Corps' regulatory program is funded as a congressionally appropriated line item in the annual Federal budget. Additional funds received from the RTC will be used to augment the Corps regulatory budget in accordance with the provisions of WRDA 2000. It is understood that the use of funds accepted hereunder will not impact impartial decision making with respect to permits, either substantively or procedurally. Additional funds received from non-Federal public entities will be used to expedite the evaluation of permits.

B. The Corps will provide staffing resources exclusively dedicated to expediting the processing of permits, as described in Article II.E., below, for RTC designated priority projects and activities. The Corps management will direct the reassignment of a Corps career professional employee to undertake the work contemplated by this agreement The Corps employee will be based in the Fort Worth District office, but will receive authorization and funds to travel as necessary to meet the requirements of this agreement. Additional Corps' employees can be added under the MOA if agreed upon by both parties. The Corps will provide a staff archeologist for National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Section 106 review of projects, as needed, and as described in Article II. E and Article VI A.

C. NCTCOG, with RTC funding, will establish an internal account (Account) to directly fund the expenditures of the Corps incurred in connection with its review of RTC priority permit applications. The Corps may receive funds from the Account in amounts not to

exceed those stated in Article VI of this MOA. NCTCOG, as RTC's fiscal agent, will be responsible for the transfer of funds to the Corps.

D. The Corps will establish a separate internal account to track receipt and expenditure of the funds associated with its review of RTC priority permit applications and will charge their time and expenses against the account when they do work to expedite resolution of permit requests designated by the RTC as a priority. The projects designated as a priority by the RTC are listed in Attachment A to this MOA. Periodic reviews will be conducted between the Corps and the RTC to discuss current project status, update the list in Attachment A, and discuss reassessment of the scope of work. Based on these discussions and with agreement by the Corps, the list may be changed by the RTC's Principal Representative without requiring an amendment to this MOA. Such changes shall be submitted to the Corps in writing and will be effective upon receipt thereof.

E. Funds contributed by the RTC to the Account will be expended by the Corps to defray the costs of Corps' employees (including salary, associated benefits, overhead, overtime, and travel expenses) and other costs in order to expedite the evaluation of permit applications submitted by the RTC. Such activities will include, but not be limited to, the following: application review, permit database entry, drawing correction, jurisdictional determinations, site visits, public notice preparation, preparation of correspondence, NEPA document review, public interest review, preparation of draft permit decision documents, meetings with the RTC and other agencies, compliance activities including monitoring of mitigation sites, creation of general permits to expedite projects in Attachment A, and any other permit evaluation-related responsibilities that may be mutually agreed upon. These funds may also be used to supply the Corps' employees with the tools of the trade customarily provided to and utilized by their colleagues in the Regulatory Program, such as cell phones, snake boots, other safety equipment, use of vehicles, etc. Limited funds can be expended by a Corps' archeologist for NHPA review as indicated in Article VI. Funds will not be expended for review of the funded employees work by supervisors or other persons or elements of the Corps in the decisionmaking chain of command. Enforcement activities will not be paid for from the funds contributed by the RTC, nor will such funds be used for paying the costs of public hearings and distribution of public notices.

F. If the RTC's funds placed in the Account are expended and are not replaced with additional funds, the Corps will reassign the Corps employees to the Regulatory Branch and any remaining RTC priority permit applications will be handled in the regular course of business like those of any other non-participant, in a manner decided by the assigned employee and his or her supervisor.

Article III. - INTERAGENCY COMMUNICATIONS / COORDINATION

A. To provide for consistent and effective communication between the Corps and the RTC, each party will appoint a Principal Representative to serve as its central point of contact on matters relating to this MOA. Additional representatives may also be appointed to serve as points of contact on specific permit actions.

- B. The Parties will hold periodic meetings to establish priorities and evaluate work performed under the agreement. During these meetings, the Corps will provide a permit status report detailing important milestones associated with each permit. The format of the report will be mutually acceptable to all parties.
- C. Before initiation of this MOA, the parties will agree on the scope of projects to be covered by the MOA. Projects that fall within this scope will be listed in Attachment A. At the periodic meetings established above, RTC will submit to the Corps a listing of projects. If these projects fall within the scope of work, and all parties agree, then Attachment A will be modified. Adjustments of the scope of work and Attachment A can be modified at anytime the parties agree to the change.
- D. Both RTC and the Corps agree that ready and reasonable access will be provided to working level staff of the other agency in an effort to minimize the need for formal meetings. Both agencies are committed to frequent and productive interaction and the establishment of working-level interpersonal relationships, which will allow for expedient scheduling of field visits, meetings, and all manner of open communications in a sustained effort to achieve the stated goals of this agreement.
- E. The RTC and the Corps agree to conduct early and frequent coordination on issues such as, but not limited to, comments on project alternatives; mitigation plans; review of and comments on Biological Assessments; implementation of the permit streamlining processes; NHPA Section 106 issues; and interagency RTC/Corps training.

Article IV. - RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES

A. The NCTCOG and RTC shall:

- 1. Provide adequate information regarding projects and other specific activities to initiate permit evaluation. Information required for the Corps to deem a permit application complete thereby allowing initiation of the permit review process can be found in Corps regulations at 33 C.F.R. 325 and in General Condition 27 of the Nationwide Permit Program, as published in the Federal Register (Vol. 72, No. 47, dated March 12, 2007). Upon request, the RTC shall provide supplemental information necessary to complete the permit application. Additional information required to complete the permit evaluation process may exceed what is needed to initiate the process. On a case-by-case basis, if requested by the Corps, the RTC shall provide such additional information so as to ensure the Corps can effectively accomplish the required review.
- 2. Make a reasonable effort to provide the Corps with information on other projects with RTC involvement to enable the Corps to most efficiently apply available staff resources and plan for workload cycles.
- 3. In consultation with the Corps, schedule Corps involvement in the projects identified by the RTC. The list of initial priority projects is shown on Attachment A. The RTC will periodically identify additional projects as necessary (See Article III C.). If the RTC fails

- to identify specific priority projects, the Corps will establish its own priorities in accordance with objectives of this MOA.
- 4. To the best of its ability, ensure the participation of all essential personnel, customers, and decision makers during the permit evaluation process.
- 5. Work closely with the Corps to adjust priorities and schedules in order to make optimal use of available staff resources. While the RTC will make every effort to not overlap project schedules, occasional overlaps may occur and the RTC will work with the Corps to prioritize such overlaps.
- 6. Fund the Account pursuant to the terms of this MOA.
- 7. Within 5 days of the conclusion of the fiscal year, provide a letter to the Corps' Principal Representative detailing the level of satisfaction with the District's performance under the MOA.
- 8. In consultation with the Corps report on a monthly basis all work performed by the Corps related to the projects identified under this MOA in the Revenue And Project Tracking System (RAPTS) web-site application.
- 9. On a monthly basis, NCTCOG will report in the Revenue And Project Tracking System (RAPTS) web-site application all expenses incurred by the Corps for work performed as appropriate.

B. The Corps shall:

- 1. Expedite review of RTC permit requests in accordance with the purpose, terms, and conditions of this MOA. The Corps shall not redirect resources from, or otherwise postpone, other RTC projects submitted through the standard Corps review process.
- 2. Upon submittal of new permit applications, and following any meetings and discussions to clarify the scope of anticipated permit application review processes, Corps staff will provide the RTC with an estimated schedule to complete the permit evaluation process for each expedited application submitted. The RTC shall be able to comment on these schedules and adjust priorities if workloads allow for such changes.
- 3. Consult with the RTC regarding an adjustment of priorities or establishment of relative priorities if the current and/or projected workload of projects and activities exceeds Corps' ability to provide the services specified in this MOA.
- 4. During the periodic meetings described in Article III B the Corps will provide the RTC a summary report of progress made under this MOA. Progress will be itemized for each permit application review and for each permit application pending on Attachment A. This report will describe achievements, including any improvements the Corps has

documented in coordinating and improving the efficiency of environmental reviews. The report may also identify any recommendations for improving consultation and coordination among the Parties to this MOA and will provide an estimate of costs expected for the ensuing quarter.

- 5. At a minimum, provide a summary report of expenses due 10 business days after the end date for the following time periods: October 1 December 31, January 1 March 31, April 1 June 30, and July 1 September 30. The summary report shall be signed by an appropriate financial officer of the Corps certifying the expenses to be accurate and reflected in the Accounting system.
- 6. Designate a Corps employee who will attend periodic meetings with the RTC.
- 7. Withdraw funds from the Account pursuant to the terms of this MOA.

Article V. - IMPARTIAL DECISIONMAKING

It is understood and acknowledged by the Parties that the Corps' review of RTC-designated priority projects will be conducted in a completely impartial manner and in accordance with all applicable Federal laws and regulations. It is further understood and agreed that in order to ensure that the funds will not impact impartial decision-making with respect to RTC permit applications, the following procedures mandated from Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, will apply to all cases using additional funds provided by the RTC as a participating non-Federal public entity:

- 1. All final permit decisions for cases where these funds are used must be reviewed by at least one level above the normal decision-maker, unless the decision-maker is the District Engineer. For example, if the decision-maker would be the Chief, Regulatory Division, then the reviewer would be the District Engineer. In addition, all jurisdictional determinations made on projects where funds are used must have documentation that a non-funded Regulatory employee reviewed and agreed with the determination (i.e. peer review).
- 2. All final permit decisions for cases where these funds are used will be made available on the Fort Worth District Regulatory Division's web page. The information will be posted in a clearly identifiable area that indicates the projects were funded through this authority.
- 3. The Corps will not eliminate any procedures or decision criteria that would otherwise be required for that type of project and permit application under consideration.
- 4. The Corps must comply with all applicable laws and regulations.

- 5. Funds will only be expended to expedite the final decision on the permit application according to the terms and conditions of this MOA. Funds will not be expended for the review of the decision-maker's decision.
- 6. Funds will not be used for enforcement activities, but may be used for compliance activities including monitoring of mitigation sites.

Article VI. - FUNDING

A. NCTCOG, as RTC's fiscal agent, will place in the Account funds for the purposes of paying salary, benefits, overhead and other miscellaneous costs such as travel normally required to support the costs of a Corps employee and any additional services that may be required pursuant to Article II.E of this MOA. The RTC may increase funding to support more than one full time employee. Corps employee's salary will be based on the General Schedule Pay System or other pay system established by the Corps. The salary, benefits, overhead, and miscellaneous expenses will not exceed the rate associated with a Grade 13 Step 10. In terms of fiscal year 2011 federal salary amounts for the Fort Worth area benefits, miscellaneous expenses, and overhead rates, the cost for a full time employee at this grade is a maximum of \$280,000 per year. The Parties agree to execute the agreement with a full time Grade 12 Step 10 Corps employee at a rate of \$195,000 per year. The grade, step, and salary are subject to changes based on reassignment of duties, locality pay tables, awards, overhead expenses, federal regulations, performance, and other factors determined by the Corps. In addition, limited funds will be expended by a Corps' staff Archeologist. Funds expended on NHPA review by the Archeologist will not exceed \$15,000 per year from provided funds. The RTC agrees to fund the salary, benefits, overhead rates, and other miscellaneous costs at the levels described in this paragraph with funds not to exceed \$1,200,000 for the term of the MOA; unless this amount is increased by mutual agreement between both parties. Subsequent funding of this MOA, including funding changes, will be dependent on future actions of the RTC in coordination with the Corps. Any carry-over funds from year to year would be credited to the following fiscal year's payment, or refunded if this MOA is terminated or expires pursuant to Article XI B of this Agreement.

- B. To begin the MOA the RTC will place in the Account the amount of funds decided upon as necessary to provide funding through December 2012. The Parties will conduct periodic meetings to discuss funding for the upcoming years. Following said meeting and memorialization of the agreed upon amount, the RTC shall deposit funds into the Account, typically before October 1st for funding through December the following year.
- C. It is recognized from the outset of this MOA that the Corps will neither accept nor expend funds under this MOA after December 31, 2016, unless subsequent federal law extends the Corps' authority, under section 214 of WRDA 2000, to accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities to expedite the processing of permits.

D. Expediting of permit actions by the Corps will be provided under this MOA only after funds have been transferred to the Corps from the Account. The amount transferred shall be identified in communication between the parties.

Article VII. - APPLICABLE LAWS

The applicable statutes, regulations, policies, directives, and procedures of the United States will govern this MOA and all documents and actions pursuant to it. Unless otherwise required by law, all expediting of permit applications undertaken by the Corps will be governed by Corps regulations, policies and procedures.

Article VIII. - DISPUTE RESOLUTION

The Parties agree that, in the event of a dispute between the Parties, the RTC and the Corps shall use their best efforts to resolve the dispute in an informal fashion through consultation and communication, or other forms of non-binding alternative dispute resolution mutually acceptable to the Parties. The Parties agree that, in the event such measures fail to resolve the dispute, they shall refer the dispute for resolution to an appropriate forum in accordance with Federal law.

Article IX. - PUBLIC INFORMATION

Justification and explanation of RTC programs or projects before other agencies, departments and offices will not be the responsibility of the Corps. The Corps may provide, upon request from the RTC, any assistance necessary to support justification or explanations of activities conducted under this MOA. In general, the Corps is responsible only for public information regarding Corps regulatory activities.

Article X. - MISCELLANEOUS

A. Other Relationships or Obligations

This MOA will not affect any pre-existing or independent relationships or obligations between the RTC and the Corps.

B. Survival

Under the provisions of section 214 of WRDA 2000, as extended, no funds may be expended pursuant to this MOA after December 31, 2016. However, if prior to this date, this statutory authority is extended, then provisions of this MOA shall remain in force consistent with the provisions of the statutory extension until the expiration date, or as provided in Article XI B of this MOA.

C. Severability

If any provision of this MOA is determined to be invalid or unenforceable, the remaining provisions will remain in force and unaffected to the fullest extent permitted by law and regulation.

Article XI. - AMENDMENT, MODIFICATION AND TERMINATION

A. This MOA may be modified or amended only by written, mutual agreement of the Parties. Either party may terminate this MOA by providing written notice to the other party. Such termination shall be effective upon the ninetieth (90) calendar day following notice, unless a later date is set forth. In the event of termination, the RTC will continue to be responsible for all costs incurred by the Corps in performing expedited environmental permit review services up to the time of notice.

B. This MOA shall remain in force until whichever of these events occurs first: 1) December 31, 2016, unless the sunset clause of WRDA 2000 is extended, in which case the MOA will remain in effect for the duration of the statutory extension, but not to exceed an amount of time equal to six (6) years from the effective date of this MOA, unless this time is extended by mutual agreement and consent of the Parties, or 2) the MOA is terminated pursuant to this Article.

C. Within ninety (90) days of termination, or the expiration of the MOA, the Corps shall conduct an accounting to determine the actual costs of the work completed by the Corps and to determine the amount of any unused funds. Within thirty (30) days of completion of this accounting, any remaining funds shall be returned to the RTC by check or electronic funds transfer.

Article XII. - EFFECTIVE DATE

This MOA will become effective on October 16, 2011.

Article XIII. - INTEGRATION

This MOA, including any documents incorporated by reference or attachments thereto, constitute the entire agreement between the Parties. All prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, representations and statements, oral or written, are merged herein and shall be of no further force or effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed this Agreement on the date next to their signatures.

The North Central Texas Council of Governments

Mike Eastland

Executive Director

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Dated: 170 at 2011 By

Richard J. Muraski, Jr. Colonel, US Army District Commander

ATTACHMENT A

ATTACHMENT A Performance Measures

The North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) and the Fort Worth District U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) have agreed to the following performance measures to be utilized to evaluate permit processing under the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) signed October 16th 2008 concerning Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) Section 214 authority to except funds to expedite permit processing. These Performance Measures may be revised by mutual agreement of the NCTCOG and the USACE without necessitating a formal revision of the MOA. Performance Objective percentages for the expected level exceed the national regulatory program requirements by at least 10%. Reporting the results of the Performance Measure analysis will be presented to the NCTCOG at least once a year by October 30, thirty days after the end of the USACE fiscal year. Additional analysis may be presented throughout the year.

| Performance Objective | Performance Measure (% Obj. Met) | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| 1. The USACE will discuss pending cases with the NCTCOG and assign each case a project number within 10 business days of receiving an updated Attachment A list. | 95% = 80-95% = <80% = | Exceeds Expectations Expected Needs Improvement |
| 2. The USACE will complete all Individual Permits and Letters of Permission within 120 days of a completed application. Exceptions include ESA delays; Section 106 delays; extended comment periods; plan modifications, and delays associated with 208 or 408 approval. | 80% = 60-80% = < 60% = | Exceeds Expectations Expected Needs Improvement |
| 3. The USACE will complete all NWPs, and Individual Permit Modifications within 60 days of a completed application. Exceptions include ESA delays; Section 106 delays; extended comment periods; plan modifications, and delays associated with 208 or 408 approval. | 90% = 85-90% = < 85% = | Exceeds Expectations Expected Needs Improvement |
| 4. The USACE will respond to all correspondence within 48 hours of receipt of the correspondence by the Regulatory Project Manager. | 95% = 85-95% = < 85% = | Exceeds Expectations Expected Needs Improvement |
| 5. The USACE will attend all requested meetings with the NCTCOG and all project meeting determined to be necessary. | 90% = 75-90% = < 75% = | Exceeds Expectations Expected Needs Improvement |

| Permit Number | Project Name | Submitting Agency |
|------------------|---|--------------------|
| SWF-2008-00424 | Interior Drainage Baker Pump Station | Dallas/Corps |
| SWF-2008-00300 | Margaret McDermott Bridge (IH-30) at Trinity River | TxDOT - DAL |
| SWF-2008-00458 | Dallas Floodway Water Utilities - Corinth | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2008-00459 | Dallas Floodway Water Utilities Houston | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2008-00460 | Dallas Floodway Water Utilities - Hampton | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2008-00461 | Dallas Floodway Water Utilities - Westmoreland | City of Dallas |
| 3VVI -2000-00-01 | Danas i loodway vvater offices - vvestinoreland | NTTA/City of |
| SWF-2000-00308 | Trinity Parkway EIS | Dallas |
| SWF-2009-00461 | Elm Fork Flood Protection Project | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2010-00173 | I-35 Bridge | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2010-00174 | Jefferson Bridge | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2010-00225 | Dallas Floodway 100-year Levee Improvements | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2011-00491 | Continental Pedestrian Bridge Deck | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2011-00492 | Simpkins Landfill Remediation | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2011-00493 | Hampton Pump Station | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2011-00494 | Delta Pump Station | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2011-00495 | Charlie Pump Station | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2011-00496 | Trinity Portland Pump Station | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2011-00497 | SM Wright | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2011-00498 | Trinity Lakes Balanced Vision Plan | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2011-00499 | Able Pump Station | City of Dallas |
| SWF-2004-00105 | IH35E - FM2181 to PGBT | TxDOT-DAL |
| SWF-2008-00464 | North Tarrant Express CDA - IH 820 / SH 121 (Fr. Pipeline Rd. to Randol Mill Rd.) | TxDOT-FTW |
| SWF-2008-00465 | North Tarrant Express CDA - IH 35W (South Section) | TxDOT-FTW |
| SWF-2009-00188 | North Tarrant Express CDA - IH 35W (North Section) | TxDOT-FTW |
| SWF-2010-00520 | SH 360 Tollway | NTTA/TxDOT |
| SWF-2010-00177 | FM 2499 | TxDOT-DAL |
| SWF-2010-00178 | SH 34 | TxDOT-DAL |
| SWF-2010-00180 | IH 35E at Dickerson | TxDOT-DAL |
| SWF-2010-00181 | IH 35E (635 to PGBT) | TxDOT-DAL |
| SWF-2007-00079 | SH121 - Section 5 Mitigation Modification | NTTA |
| SWF-2011-00500 | US 377 | TxDOT-DAL |
| SWF-2011-00501 | NTE Segment 1 | TxDOT-FTW |
| SWF-2011-00502 | NTE Segment 2W | TxDOT-FTW |
| SWF-2011-00503 | SH 170 | TxDOT- FTW/NTTA |
| SWF-2011-00504 | SH 183 Elm Fork Crossing | TxDOT-DAL |
| SWF-2011-00505 | US 75 (SH 121 to Grayson County Line) | TxDOT-DAL |
| SWF-2011-00506 | SH 276 @ Lake Tawakoni | TxDOT-Paris |
| SWF-2011-00507 | South Oak Cliff Extension (Ledbetter to UNT Dallas) | DART |
| SWF-2011-00508 | Cotton Belt Corridor (DFW Airport to Red Line Interface) | DART |
| SWF-2011-00509 | TEX Rail Line (37 Miles) | FWTA |