

CEXXX-XX (*This is your district abbreviation*)  
Application XXX-XXXX-XXXXX (*ORM number*)

**MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD**

**SUBJECT:** Department of the Army Memorandum Documenting Nationwide Permit/Regional General Permit Verification

**Applicant:**

**Project Location** (*Waterway, Section, Township, Range, City, County, State*):

**Pre-Construction Notification Receipt Date:**                      **Complete?** Yes No

**Additional Information Requested Date:**

**Pre-Construction Notification Complete Date:**

**Waters of the US:**

\*see Jurisdictional Determination form(s) and/or Preliminary JD letter(s) dated:

**Authority:** Section 10   Section 404   Section 103

**Project Description** (*Describe activities in waters of the U.S. considered for verification*):

**Type of Permit Requested:** NWP #    RGP #

**Pre-construction Notification Required:** Yes No

**Waiver required to begin work** (*see GC 27 (a)(2) as applied to appropriate NWP(s)*):

Yes No

Rationale:

**Coordination with Agencies/Tribes Needed:** Yes No    Date:

Resolution:

**Commenting Agencies:**

- US Fish and Wildlife Service
- US Environmental Protection Agency
- National Marine Fisheries Service
- State Agency (list commenting state agencies)
- State Historic Preservation Office
- Other:

**Substantive Issues Raised and Corps Resolution** (*Consideration of Comments*):

CEXXX-XX (*District abbreviation*) (Application XXX-XXXX-XXXXX (*ORM number*))  
SUBJECT: Department of the Army Memorandum Documenting Nationwide Permit/Regional General Permit Verification for the Above-Numbered Permit Application

**Compliance with Other Federal Laws (*If specific law is not applicable write N/A*):**

a) Endangered Species Act:

Name of species present:

Effects determination:

Date of Service(s) concurrence:

Basis for “no effect” determination:

Additional information (optional):

b) Magnuson-Stevens Act (Essential Fish Habitat):

Name of species present:

Effects determination:

Date of Service(s) concurrence: Basis for “no effect” determination:

Additional information (optional):

c) Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act:

Known site present:  yes  no

Survey required/conducted:  yes  no

Effects determination:

Rationale:

Date consultation complete (if necessary):

Additional information (optional):

d) Section 401 Water Quality Certification:

Individual certification required:  yes  no

Issued       Waived       Denied

e) Coastal Zone Management Act:

Individual certification required:  yes  no

Issued       Waived       Denied

Additional information (optional):

f) Wild and Scenic Rivers Act:

Project located on designated or “study” river:  yes  no

Managing Agency:

Date written determination provided that the project will not adversely affect the Wild and Scenic River designation or study status:

Additional information (optional):

g) Other

**Special Conditions Required (*include rationale for each required condition/explanation for requiring no special conditions*):**  yes  no

**Compensatory Mitigation Determination:** The applicant has avoided and minimized impacts to the maximum extent practicable.

- (1) Is compensatory mitigation required for unavoidable impacts to jurisdictional aquatic resources to reduce the individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects to a minimal level?  
 yes  no [If “no,” do not complete the rest of this section and include an explanation of why not here]
- (2) Is the impact in the service area of an approved mitigation bank?  yes  no
  - i. Does the mitigation bank have appropriate number and resource type of credits available?  yes  no
- (3) Is the impact in the service area of an approved in-lieu fee program?  yes  no
  - i. Does the in-lieu fee program have appropriate number and resource type of credits available?  yes  no
- (4) Check the selected compensatory mitigation option(s):
  - mitigation bank credits
  - in-lieu fee program credits
  - permittee-responsible mitigation under a watershed approach
  - permittee-responsible mitigation, on-site and in-kind
  - permittee-responsible mitigation, off-site and out-of-kind
- (5) If a selected compensatory mitigation option deviates from the order of the options presented in §332.3(b)(2)-(6), explain why the selected compensatory mitigation option is environmentally preferable. Address the criteria provided in §332.3(a)(1) (i.e., the likelihood for ecological success and sustainability, the location of the compensation site relative to the impact site and their significance within the watershed, and the costs of the compensatory mitigation project):

**Determination (Reference General Condition 27(e)):**

The proposed activity, with proposed mitigation (if applicable) would result in no more than minimal individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects and would not be contrary to the public interest. [add in, if applicable: “provided the special conditions and/or modifications identified in the above are incorporated.”] This project complies with all terms and conditions of NWP (add #)/RGP (add #)/GP (add #) including any applicable regional conditions.

CEXXX-XX (*District abbreviation*) (Application XXX-XXXX-XXXXX (*ORM number*))  
SUBJECT: Department of the Army Memorandum Documenting Nationwide Permit/Regional General Permit Verification for the Above-Numbered Permit Application

**PREPARED BY:**

\_\_\_\_\_ Date:  
Project Manager

**REVIEWED BY:**

\_\_\_\_\_ Date  
Chief, Regulatory XX District (*insert appropriate level reviewer*)

**APPROVED BY:**

\_\_\_\_\_ Date  
Colonel, Corps of Engineers, Commanding (*insert appropriate level approver*)