ARMY CIVIL WORKS PROGRAM FY 2014 WORK PLAN -- INVESTIGATIONS

1											
172	STATE	DIVISION	STUDY OR PROGRAM	PHASE 1/	BUDGET	MANAGERS	WORK PLAN	MANAGERS AND WORK PLAN	ADDITIONAL	SUMMARY OF WORK TO BE ACCOMPLISHED WITH TOTAL AMOUNT	
March Marc	AK	POD	AK	F	500,000	500,000	150,000	650,000	7	Continue feasibility study	
March Process			AK		250,000	250,000				* * *	
A											
Math					100 000	100.000			,		
March Color Color Color March Color Colo					100,000	100,000			7		
March Prop. ACT PRINT NAMEDIA, AL									4		
Math 1900 PROPONED STREET, AM	AK	POD	KOTZEBUE HARBOR, AK	F			600,000		7	continue the General Recordination Report	
No.								100,000	4		
May									7	continue feasibility study	
A			·					938,000	4	Continue the General Reevaluation Report	
No.								50,000	,		
March Marc	AK	POD	,				50,000	50,000	/	Complete scoping or re-scoping of feasibility study.	
March Marc	AL	SAD		P	600,000	600,000	0	600,000		Complete Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
A	AR	MVD		F	99,000	99,000	0	99,000		Complete feasibility study	
ACC 970 ADMINISTRATIC ROLF WIFE, AT 9 400,000 300,000 3 New Start Complete recommissance Solely			CACHE		650,000	650,000					
CA SPID MASCOCIENE CA F											
March Marc											
CA SPD OALDONA CREEK (CONSTRIM ASSORDATION) F											
CA SPD ON CREST (WARM SPRINGS DAM) AND R			BALLONA CREEK ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION,								
CA	CA	SPD	DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS DAM) AND	R			300,000	300,000	9	New Start: Complete reconnaissance study	
PRADO BASIN, CA	CA	SPD	LOS ANGELES RIVER ECOSYSTEM	F	400,000	400,000	355,000	755,000	10	Complete feasibility study	
SPD A DAGGUERRE POINT DAMES R 100,000 150,000	CA	SPD	PRADO BASIN, CA	F			450,000	450,000	10	Complete feasibility study	
NATOMAS P	CA	SPD	& DAGUERRE POINT DAMS)	R	100,000		150,000	150,000	9	New Start: Complete reconnaissance study	
Panal Care Pan	CA	SPD	(NATOMAS)	Р			1,000,000	1,000,000	2	Initiate Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
CREED F	CA	SPD	PLAN, CA		800,000	800,000	0	800,000		Continue feasibility study	
CA 5PD SACRAMENTON TRUE RANK PROTECTION F 800,000 200,000 Continue the General Reevaluation Report CA 5PD SACRAMENTON TRUE RANK PROTECTION F 500,000 200,000 Complete feasibility study CA 5PD SACRAMENTON SAN JOAQUIN BUTLAT SLANDS F 447,000 447,000 Complete feasibility study CA 5PD SAN JOAQUIN RUTE RASIN, LOWER SAN F 751,000 751,000 T00,000 2 Continue feasibility study CA 5PD SAN JOAQUIN RUTE RASIN, LOWER SAN F 751,000 751,000 T00,000 2 Continue feasibility study CA 5PD SAN JOAQUIN RUTE RASIN, LOWER SAN F 751,000 T31,000 Complete feasibility study CA 5PD SAN JOAQUIN RUTE RASIN, LOWER SAN F 751,000 T31,000 Complete feasibility study CA 5PD SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO SHORELINE, CA F 1,035,000 1,035,000 Complete feasibility study CA 5PD SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO SHORELINE, CA F 1,035,000 1,305,000 300,000 S Continue feasibility study CA 5PD PROTECTION RUSE CA R 1,035,000 150,000 150,000 S Continue feasibility study CA 5PD PROTECTION RUSE CA F 1,035,000 150,000 150,000 S Continue feasibility study CA 5PD SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA F 800,000 800,000 C Continue feasibility study CA 5PD SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA F 700,000 700,000 100,000 800,000 C Continue feasibility study CA 5PD CAST OF CAS SOUTH COAST, CA F 800,000 T00,000 T	CA	SPD	CREEK)				770,000	770,000	1	Initiate Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
Compared			COMPREHENSIVE BASIN STUDY, CA							Complete feasibility study	
AND LEVES, CA			(GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT)							•	
CA SPD SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, LOWER SAN F 751,000 751,000 0 751,000 Complete feasibility study			AND LEVEES, CA		447,000	447,000		,	2		
SPD SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO SHORELINE, CA F 1,035,000 1,035,000 0 1,035,000 8 Continue feasibility study			SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, LOWER SAN		751,000	751,000					
CA SPD WESTMINSTER (RAST CARDEN GROVE) F 300,000 300,000 8 Continue feasibility study	CA	SPD		F	1.035.000	1,035,000	0	1,035,000		Complete feasibility study	
CA SPD PORT OF LONG BEACH, CA R			WESTMINSTER (EAST GARDEN GROVE)	F	-,,	,,,,,,,			8		
CA SPD	CA	SPD		R			150,000	150,000	5	New Start: Complete reconnaissance study	
CA SPD SAN DIEGO SHORELINE, CA F				F	800,000	800,000					
CA SPD COAST OF CA SOUTH COAST, CA F 198,000 198,000 3 Complete study					700,000	700,000				Complete General Reevaluation Report	
CN POD ROTA HARBOR, CNMI F 266,000 266,000 7 Complete scoping or re-scoping of feasibility study; continue feasibility study. Continue feasibility study;			·								
CN POD TINIAN HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, CNMI F 266,000 266,000 7 Complete scoping or re-scoping of feasibility study; continue feasibility study continue feasibility study continue feasibility study (CO NWD ADAMS AND DENVER COUNTIES, CO F 300,000 300,000 0 300,000 0 300,000 Complete feasibility study (CO NWD CACHE LA POLIDRE, CO F 300,000 300,000 0 300,000 Complete feasibility study (CO NWD BEAR CREEK REALIOCATION, CO R S 50,000 50,000 S0,000 8 Complete scoping and/or re-scoping (CO NWD PINE KNOT, DE F S 50,000 S0,000									7		
CO			TINIAN HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, CNMI						7	Complete scoping or re-scoping of feasibility study;	
CO			ADAMS AND DENVER COUNTIES. CO						8	Initiate feasibility study	
DE NAD PINE KNOT, DE F 50,000 50,000 9 Complete scoping or re-scoping. EL SAD FLAGER COUNTY, FL F 390,000 390,000 0 390,000 Complete scoping or re-scoping. EL SAD INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FL (GENERAL REVALUATION REPORT) F 105,000 105,000 300,000 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility work Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility work Study ST LUCIE COUNTY BEACHES, FL F 465,000 465,000 Complete scoping or re-scoping; continue feasibility study EL SAD ST LUCIE COUNTY BEACHES, FL F 300,000 300,000 Complete scoping or re-scoping; continue feasibility study EL SAD JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL F 300,000 300,000 SC Complete feasibility study FL SAD JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL F 310,000 310,000 SC Complete feasibility study FL SAD WALTON COUNTY, FL F 310,000 310,000 Complete feasibility study FL SAD SAD SATILLA RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, GA R SAD SATILLA RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, GA R SAD SATILLA RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, MAUI, HI F 400,000 400,000 B00,000 R Continue watershed study FL MAYD ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION - McKee F 400,000 400,000 A00,000 Continue feasibility study FL MAYD ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION - McKee		NWD	CACHE LA POUDRE, CO	F	300,000	300,000	0	300,000		Complete feasibility study	
FL SAD FLAGLER COUNTY, FL F 390,000 390,000 0 390,000 Complete feasibility study FL SAD INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FL (GENERAL F SAD STJOHNS COUNTY, FL F STJOHNS COUNTY, FL F SAD STJOHNS COUNTY, FL F SAD STJOHNS COUNTY BEACHES, FL F SAD STLUCIE COUNTY BEACHES, FL F SAD STLUCIE COUNTY BEACHES, FL F SAD JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL F SAD JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL F SAD WALTON COUNTY, FL F SAD SAD SATILLA RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, GA R SAD SATILLA RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, GA R SAD SATILLA RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, GA R SAD SATILLA RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, MAUI, HI F SAB,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 BO,000 T75,000 Continue feasibility study III POD HILD HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HI F 775,000 775,000 T75,000 Continue feasibility study III MVD ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION - McKee			BEAR CREEK REALLOCATION, CO								
SAD INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FL (GENERAL F 105,000 105,000 3 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation REPORT) F 575,000 575,000 3 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility work 575,000 575,000 3 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility study 575,000 575,000 3 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility study 575,000 3 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility study 575,000 3 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility study 5 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility study 5 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility study 5 Complete scoping or re-scoping for General Reevaluation Report for General Revolution Report for General Re							50,000		9		
FL SAD ST LUCIE COUNTY BEACHES , FL F SAD PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL F SAD JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL P SAD ST LUCIE COUNTY BEACHES , FL F SAD JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL P SAD WALTON COUNTY, FL SAD JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL P SAD WALTON COUNTY, FL SA			INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FL (GENERAL		390,000	390,000	105,000		3	Complete scoping or re-scoping for General	
FL SAD PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL F 300,000 465,000 3 complete scoping or re-scoping; continue feasibility study FL SAD PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL F 300,000 300,000 5 complete feasibility study FL SAD JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL P 2,250,000 2,250,000 5 Initiate Preconstruction Engineering and Design FL SAD WALTON COUNTY, FL F 310,000 310,000 3 complete Preconstruction Engineering and Design GA SAD NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA & F 300,000 300,000 7 complete update of Section 216 Rehabilitation Reports GA SAD SATILLA RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, GA R 150,000 150,000 1 New Start: Complete reconnaissance study HI POD WEST MAUI WATERSHED, MAUI, HI F 400,000 400,000 800,000 8 Continue watershed study HI POD HILO HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HI F 775,000 775,000 175,000 Continue restoration project feasibility efforts at Mo				F					3	Complete scoping or re-scoping ; continue feasibility	
FL SAD PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL F 300,000 300,000 5 Complete feasibility study	FL	SAD	ST LUCIE COUNTY BEACHES , FL	F			465,000	465,000	3	Complete scoping or re-scoping ; continue feasibility study	
FL SAD JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL P 2,250,000 2,250,000 5 Initiate Preconstruction Engineering and Design FL SAD WALTON COUNTY, FL F 310,000 310,000 3 Complete Preconstruction Engineering and Design GA SAD NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA & F 300,000 300,000 7 Complete update of Section 216 Rehabilitation Report GA SAD SATILLA RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, GA R 150,000 150,000 1 New Start: Complete reconnaissance study HI POD WEST MAUI WATERSHED, MAUI, HI F 538,000 538,000 538,000 Continue watershed study HI POD ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI F 400,000 400,000 800,000 8 Continue feasibility study HI POD HILO HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HI F 775,000 775,000 775,000 Continue restoration project feasibility efforts at Mo			PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL						5		
SAD NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA & F 300,000 300,000 7 Complete update of Section 216 Rehabilitation Report Section 216 Rehabilit			JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL							Initiate Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
SC SC F 300,000 300,000 7											
HI POD WEST MAUI WATERSHED, MAUI, HI F 538,000 538,000 538,000 Continue watershed study			sc								
HI POD ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI F 400,000 400,000 800,000 8 Continue feasibility study					538 000	538 000	150,000		1		
HI POD HILO HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HI F 775,000 775,000 Continue feasibility study ILL MVD ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION - McKee F 400,000 400,000 Continue restoration project feasibility efforts at Mc							400,000		8		
							,-			Continue feasibility study	
	IL	MVD	ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION - McKee	F		400,000		400,000		Continue restoration project feasibility efforts at McKee Creek	

IL	LRD	INTERBASIN CONTROL OF GREAT LAKES- MISSISSIPPI RIVER AQUATIC NUISANCE	F	3,000,000	3,000,000		3,000,000		Continue study and stakeholder outreach	
KS	NWD	SPECIES, IL, IN, OH & WI MANHATTAN, KS	F	300,000	300,000		300,000		Complete feasibility study	
KY	LRD	LICKING RIVER, CYNTHIANA, KY	Р	,		712,000	712,000	1	Continue Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
KY	LRD	GREEN AND BARREN DISPOSITION STUDY, KY	F	150,000	150,000	64,000	214,000	6	Complete feasibility study	
LA	MVD	LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYS REST (SMALL DIVERSION AT CONVENT/BLIND RIVER)	Р	1,964,000	1,436,000		1,436,000		Complete Small Diversion at Convent/Blind River Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
LA	MVD	LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (MISSISSIPPI RIVER HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL/DELTA	F	2,971,000	3,321,000		3,321,000		Continue Feasibility Mississippi River Hydrodynamic Model/Delta Management Study	
LA	MVD	MANAGEMENT STUDY) CALCASIEU LOCK, LA	F	750,000	750,000		750,000		Complete feasibility study	
LA	MVD	INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK, LA	F	·		50,000	461,000	4	Initiate General Reevaluation Report; Continue	
LA	MVD	INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK, LA	F			411,000		6	feasibility work	
LA	MVD	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF, LA (GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT)	F			50,000	350,000	4	Complete scoping of General Reevaluation Report	
LA	MVD	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF, LA (GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT)	F			300,000		5	Continue feasibility work	
LA MA	MVD NAD	SOUTHWEST COASTAL, LA BOSTON HARBOR DEEP DRAFT, MA	F P	400,000	400,000	500,000	500,000 400,000	3	Complete feasibility study Continue Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
MD	NAD	ANACOSTIA WATERSHED RESTORATION,	F	500,000	500,000		500,000		Continue feasibility study	
MD	NAD	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD ANACOSTIA WATERSHED RESTORATION,	· F	·						
		PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MD CHESAPEAKE BAY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, MD,		500,000	500,000	250,000	500,000	40	Continue feasibility study	
MD	NAD	PA & VA CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE ,	R	250,000		250,000	250,000	10	New Start: Initiate reconnaisance study	
MD	NAD	SUSQUEHANNA REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT, MD BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50	F			127,000	127,000	10	Complete watershed assessment report	
MD	NAD	FOOT), MD (GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT)	F	400,000	400,000		400,000		continue the general re-evaluation report, including harbor simulation modeling	
ME	NAD	SEARSPORT HARBOR, ME	F			100,000	100,000	5	Complete feasibility study and Environmental Impact Statement.	
MI	LRD	SAGINAW RIVER DEEPENING, SAGINAW, MI (GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT)	F			50,000	200,000	4	Complete scoping or re-scoping of General Reevaluation Report	
MI	LRD	SAGINAW RIVER DEEPENING, SAGINAW, MI (GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT)	F			150,000		5	Continue feasibility work	
МІ	LRD	ST CLAIR RIVER COMPENSATING WORKS, MI (GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT)	F			50,000	50,000	4	Complete scoping or re-scoping of General Reevaluation Report.	
MN	MVD	MARSH LAKE	Р			750,000	750,000	10	Complete Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
MN	MVD	MINNESOTA RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, MN & SD (MINNESOTA RIVER AUTHORITY)	F	350,000	350,000	285,000	635,000	9	Continue study	
MO MT	NWD NWD	MISSOURI RIVER DEGRADATION, MO YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT	F F	450,000 750,000	450,000 750,000		450,000 750,000		Continue feasibility study Continue feasibility study	
NH	NAD	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR & PISCATAQUA	Р	750,000	750,000	798,000	750,000	5	Complete pre-construction engineering and design and	
NC	SAD	TURNING BASIN, NH NEUSE RIVER BASIN, NC	P P	450,000	50,000	750,000	50,000		fiscally close out study phase. Initiate Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
NC	SAD	SURF CITY AND NORTH TOPSAIL BEACH, NC	P	225,000	225,000		225,000		Complete Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
NC	SAD	WILMINGTON HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, NC	F	500,000	500,000		500,000		Continue feasibility study	
ND	MVD	RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN, ND, MN, SD & MANITOBA, CANADA	F	433,000	433,000	120,000	553,000	8	Continue progress on the comprehensive watershed management plan and, if justified, any follow-on	
ND	MVD	FARGO, ND - MOORHEAD, MN METRO MET	Р			6,300,000	6,300,000	2	feasibility studies Complete Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
NH	NAD	CONNECTICUT RIVER ECOSYSTEM	F	400,000	400,000		400,000		Continue feasibility study	
		RESTORATION, NH & VT MERRIMACK RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, NH &		·		500,000		10	Continue Upper Merrimack and Lower Merrimack River	
NH	NAD	MA HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, LOWER PASSAIC	F	200,000	200,000	500,000	700,000		Basins studies	
NJ	NAD	RIVER, NJ PASSAIC RIVER MAINSTEM (PHASE I GENERAL	F	200,000	200,000	200,000	400,000	10	Continue feasibility study	
NJ	NAD	REEVALUATION REPORT), NJ	F	240,000	240,000		240,000		Complete Phase I General Reevaluation Report	
NJ NM	NAD SPD	PECKMAN RIVER BASIN, NJ ESPANOLA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND	F F	291,000	291,000 300,000		291,000 300,000		Complete feasibility study	
NM	SPD	TRIBUTARIES, NM RIO GRANDE BASIN WATERSHED, NM, CO & TX	F	300,000	300,000		300,000		Continue feasibility study Continue feasibility study	
NY	NAD	HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ (Include HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ)	F	550,000	550,000		550,000		Continue feasibility study	
NY	NAD	WESTCHESTER COUNTY STREAMS, BYRAM	F	100,000	100,000		100,000		Complete scoping or re-scoping; continue feasibility	
ОН	LRD	RIVER BASIN, NY & CT WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN (BLANCHARD RIVER), MI	F			1,500,000	1,500,000	1	Complete feasibility study	
OR	NWD	LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM	F	450,000	450,000		450,000		Continue feasibility study	
OR	NWD	RESTORATION, OR & WA WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN	P	,		50,000	50,000	9	Initiate Preconstruction Engineering and Design	
OR	NWD	RESTORATION, OR WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW (COAST FORK), OR	F	200,000	200,000	30,030	200,000		Complete study of Coast Fork sub-basin	
PA	LRD	UPPER OHIO NAVIGATION STUDY, PA	F	1 405 000	1 405 000	181,000	181,000		Complete feasibility study	
SC	SAD	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC EDISTO ISLAND, SC	F P	1,165,000	1,165,000	22,000	1,187,000	5	Continue feasibility study Initiate and complete preconstruction engineering and	
SC	SAD	COASTAL TEXAS PROTECTION AND				260,000	260,000	3	design New Start: Complete reconnaissance study and initiate	
TX	SWD	RESTORATION STUDY, TX	R	100,000		273,000	273,000	3	feasibility study	

		I		1			П	I	
TX	SWD	DALLAS FLOODAY, UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	F	850,000	850,000		850,000		Complete feasibility study
TX	SWD	GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVER	F	488,000	488,000		488,000		Complete feasibility study
TX	SWD	BASINS, TX SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX	F	400,000	400,000		400,000		Continue feasibility study
TX	SWD	BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, BROWNSVILLE	F	385,000	385,000		385,000		Complete feasibility study
	SWD	CHANNEL, TX	P	1,200,000	1,200,000	250,000	1,450,000	-	Continue Preconstruction Engineering and Design
TX		FREEPORT HARBOR, TX HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX			1,200,000			5	New Start: Complete reconnaissance study; Initiate
TX	SWD	·	R	100,000		250,000	250,000	5	feasibility study
TX TX	SWD SPD	GIWW, MATAGORDA BAY, TX NORTHWEST EL PASO, TX	P F			594,000 180,000	594,000 180,000		Complete Preconstruction Engineering and Design Continue feasibility study
TX	SWD	SULPHUR RIVER BASIN REALLOCATION, TX	F					10	
						500,000	500,000	8	Initiate feasibility study
VA	NAD	LYNNHAVEN RIVER BASIN, VA NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS,	Р			63,000	63,000	10	Continue Preconstruction Engineering and Design
VA	NAD	SOUTHERN BRANCH, VA (DEEPENING)	F	800,000	800,000		800,000		Continue feasibility study
VA	NAD	NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, VA (55- FOOT) (GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT)	F			50,000	550,000	4	Complete scoping or re-scoping of General
VA	NAD	NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, VA (55-	F			500,000		-	Reevaluation Report; Continue feasibility work
VA	NAD	FOOT) (GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT)	F			500,000		5	
WA	NWD	PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE MARINE HABITAT RESTORATION, WA	F	200,000	200,000		200,000		Complete feasibility study
WA	NWD	SKOKOMISH RIVER BASIN, WA	F	650,000	650,000		650,000		Continue feasibility study
WA	NWD	PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	F			100,000	500,000	2	Continue feasibility study
WA WA	NWD NWD	PUYALLUP RIVER, WA SKAGIT R, WA/SKAGIT CO, WA	F F			400,000 400,000	400,000	8	Continue feasibility study Continue feasibility study
WA	NWD	GRAYS HARBOR (LIMITED REEVALUATION	F	400,000	400,000		400,000		Complete Limited Reevaluation Report
		REPORT), WA SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	-		.00,000				
WA	NWD	SEATTLE HARBUK, WA	R	100,000		400,000	400,000	5	New Start: Complete reconnaissance study; Initiate feasibility study
xx	ERD	AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEMS SUPPORT/TRI CADD	xx	350,000	350,000		350,000		All Corps districts use CAD and GIS computer systems for Civil Works engineering, design, mapping, planning, and facility management. Many now use BIM as an engineering and O&M tool. All engineering drafting tables have been replaced with CAD platforms or computer mapping systems and most Corps environmental and natural resource analysis are being performed on GIS platforms. The geospatial data standard efforts of the Center were coordinated with the American National Institute of Standards to develop a National GIS Standard that was approved in November 2001 and includes civil works and homeland defense features.
xx	ERD	ACCESS TO WATER DATA (TECH ASSIST - SEC 2017 WRDA 07)	XX	750,000	750,000		750,000		Provide access to water resources data and related water quality data to the public and all stakeholders for integrated water resources decision making.
xx	SPD	CALFED	xx	100,000	100,000		100,000		The funds will be used to continue program support, coordination, and USACE representation efforts in the Federal and State CALFED process. Program management to include integrating various programs, projects and studies across the region for protecting water supply, improving flood risk management, and restoring ecosystems for the benefit of the Bay-Delta.
XX	нα	CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM	xx	75,000	75,000	125,000	200,000	10	Chesapeake Bay Protection and Restoration Executive Order 13508 coordination including involvement in the Federal Leadership Committee and other related interagency actions. With the funds requested, the Baltimore District will continue annual participation and provide leadership involvement in the CBP Implementation Committee; the Federal Agencies Subcommittee; the Living Resources, Monitoring, Modeling and Toxics Subcommittee; and numerous workgroups addressing various subjects such as regional sediment management, wetlands, submerged aquatic vegetation, remote island habitat, oyster restoration, land stewardship, and watershed assessments.
xx	IWR	COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION	хх	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,314,000	2,314,000	3	Operating and maintaining coastal field research facility to develop coastal flood data. Systematically measure, analyze, and assemble long-term coastal data that field offices use to accomplish the Corps mission in coastal navigation and storm damage reduction. These are critical, detailed data sets, nationwide or regional in scope, which support multiple projects and are also shared with other agencies.
xx	IWR	COMMITTEE ON THE MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (CMTS)	XX	100,000	100,000	25,000	125,000	4	interagency group established by the President's Ocean Action Plan, December 2004.
хх	НQ	COORDINATION WITH OTHER WATER RESOURCE AGENCIES	xx	500,000	500,000		500,000		Participation in the North American Waterfowl Management Program; National Estuary Program; and Regional Planning Bodies of the National Ocean Council. Review of environmental impacts resulting from installation of USDA project features. Review and determination of the flood control benefits of studies conducted by the Bureau of Reclamation for proposed Bureau of Reclamation projects.

				1			T	1 1-	
xx	IWR	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES	xx	75,000	75,000	115,000	190,000	9 t	Further development of a web-based geospatial data system that displays national ecological data in a format that is useful for evaluation of the suitability of an area to support a proposed restoration as well as address other questions that will aid project planning and success.
xx	НQ	FERC LICENSING	xx	200,000	200,000		200,000	C	Conduct reviews of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) preliminary permit & license applications for development of Hydroelectric power
xx	IWR	FLOOD DAMAGE DATA PROGRAM	хх	220,000	220,000		220,000	ii s ii c c f	Continue to develop coastal depth-damage functions, ncorporating flood damage data from recent coastal storm events, including Hurricane Sandy. This will nvolve gathering new data and merging that data with data from previous floods, which will be used to develop depth-damage curves that are used to estimate flood damages in Corps feasibility studies
XX	HQ	FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES	XX	9,500,000	8,000,000		8,000,000	a	Provide site-specific flood and flood plain data and assistance to State and local communities.
xx	MVD	GULF OF MEXICO	XX	100,000	100,000		100,000	f N	Participate in State and Federal interagency oordination occused on environmental restoration of the Gulf of Mexico
xx	НQ	HYDROLOGIC STUDIES	хх	250,000	250,000		250,000	t e	Utilize Comprehensive Rainfall Data accumulated by the National Weather Service to analyze certain extreme weather events, assess the impacts of those events on the safety of existing projects, and inform the design of new projects.
xx	НQ	INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW (SEC 2034, WRDA 07)	xx	300,000	300,000		300,000	ι a	These funds are necessary to address sudden or unforeseen requirements associated with IEPR as well as administrative costs for keeping the Independent External Peer Review (IEPR) contracts going.
xx	HQ & IWR	INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT (INCLUDING DUTCH AND JAPAN MOA'S AND UNESCO)	хх	500,000	500,000		500,000	[- -	Support for multiple international activites, including Dutch and Japan MOAs, International Center for ntegrated Water Resource Management (ICIWaRM) worldwide activities, Panama Canal Agreement, JNESCO's Semi-Arid Regions Water Program "G-WADI", HEC models/capacity development for Dominican Republic, preparations for 7th World Water Forum.
xx	HQ	INTERAGENCY WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT	XX	955,000	955,000		955,000	F S F	Funds coordination with potential non-Federal sponsors; support to the American Heritage Rivers Program and Coastal America Partnership; and Great akes Habitat Initiative coordination.
xx	НQ	INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES	хх	200,000	200,000		200,000	v C c t	Continue to carry out multiple responsibilities to the various International Joint Commission (IJC) Boards of Control and to the several Treaty entities, boards and committees. During FY 2014, additional flow data will be obtained and used to update the rating curve used to verify compliance with Niagara Treaty requirements.
xx	IWR	INVENTORY OF DAMS	xx	400,000	400,000		400,000	r r	Work includes maintenance and administration of the Vational Inventory of Dams database and web site. Administration includes coordination of dam owner and regulator data updates, responding to information equests and questions.
xx	SPD	LAKE TAHOE	xx	100,000	100,000		100,000	F L r c V	Funds participation in Lake Tahoe Federal Interagency Partnership activities to arrest further deterioration of Lake Tahoe; continue development of interagency master plan for urban storm water management; continue technical products for interagency Storm Water Quality Improvement Committee; continue interagency appraisal of restoration efforts.
хх	IWR	NATIONAL FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	хх	5,000,000	5,000,000		5,000,000	c F iı	Flood Risk Management coordination actions and plan development at the National, Regional and State levels. Fund Corps participation in 41 State level ntergovermental teams to address flood hazard mitigation priortities.
xx	IWR	NATIONAL SHORELINE MANAGEMENT STUDY	хх	675,000	675,000	250,000	925,000	C V F 3 a F F F a	Complete the Great Lakes Regional Assessment and continue work on the South Atlantic and Pacific North West Regional Assessments; Conduct Coastal Systems Portfolio Initiative pilots for Virginia & North Carolina and expand the National Coastal Data Bank; Under the Rising Oceans and Changing Coasts initiative, develop pilot strategies for the most vulnerable regions within the Pacific Northwest & Alaska; Complete the assessment of shoreline changes & resulting impacts on the coast of Hawaii.
xx	NWD	PACIFIC NW FOREST CASE	XX	10,000	10,000		10,000	t (s s	Develop a protocol that would be used to expand on the assessment of the influence of Engineered Log Jams (ELJs) on river habitat & biological communities specifically juvenile salmonids & aquatic invertebrate species associated with ELJs, analysis, and summary eport.
xx	HQ	PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES	xx	4,000,000	4,000,000		4,000,000	f c c E t t r	Ipdate hazard mitigation plans, watershed plans and loodplain management plans; Develop erosion control designs which has improved water quality and conserved significant water and related resources; rable the Corps to provide much needed planning and technical assistance to assist in a wide variety of water esource efforts, including watershed activities penefitting environmental restoration, flood risk management, and other watershed resources.

Fund mattered planning extensive of expertines, and will support PRIVING Planning Medicines to improve paramited for History sample program Sharing specification to improve paramited for History sample program Sharing specification in the History sample program Sharing specification and organization model improve planning specification and improve planning specification and improve planning specification models and processes. Planning specification and improve planning specification models and processes. Planning specification and improve planning specification models and processes. Planning specification models and processes. Planning specification and improve planning specification models and									
XX	xx	на	PLANNING SUPPORT PROGRAM	xx	4,000,000	4,000,000	900,000	4,900,000	support Planning Modernization to improve planning program delivery and instill Civil Works wide accountability, develop a sustainable National & Regional Planning operational and organization model, improve planner knowledge and experience, and modernize planning guidance and processes. Funds will be used for the Planning Associates Program, fund a national planning centers of expertise, and will support Planning Modernization to improve planning program delivery and instill Civil Works wide accountability, develop a sustainable National & Regional Planning operational and organization model, improve planner knowledge and experience, and modernize planning
XX	XX	HQ	PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NWS)	xx	225,000	225,000		225,000	
XX	xx	ERD	REMOTE SENSING	XX	75,000	75,000		75,000	Provide technical support within USACE for remote sensing and GIS.
XX ERD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT XX 3,00,000 4 environmental sustainability in planning, design, XX ERD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT XX 5,000 5	хх	ERD	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	XX	16,143,000	17,923,000	700,000	24,183,000	
XX	XX	ERD	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	XX			3,010,000		
XX END CENTERS XX S0,000 S0,000 S0,000 S1,000 Sather and disseminate information is required by 99-802, Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986 Support efforts on requests from source outside the Crops of Engineers, for information relating to unauthorized projects, flood risk management busine line tasks and other unfinded activities, attendance emetings of local interest and other agencies during the relationship of the cooperative effort with USCS for the O&M costs of about 2,500 stream againg stations. XX F&A STREAM GAGING (USGS) XX S50,000 S50,000 S50,000 Frovide assistance to HQ and the field in collecting de in support of the cooperative effort with USCS for the O&M costs of about 2,500 stream againg stations. XX IWR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM XX 950,000 950,000 S65,000 2,145,000 4 Information, tools and methods including deep draft and shallow draft vessel operating and replacement cost data, world deep draft was left expenditude of the commodity, and cargo flow forecasts; publication of reports decided with the commodity, and cargo flow forecasts; publication of reports and deep draft and shallow draft vessel operating control to District and Division offices a Headquarter personnel; incorporate additional cost and deep draft may again technical services that support to District and Division offices a Headquarter personnel; incorporate additional cost and deep draft may again the commodity, and cargo flow forecasts; publication of reports with the commodity of the commodi	XX	ERD	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	xx			2,550,000		construction, operations and maintenance of civil works
XX HQ SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS XX 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 unauthorized projects, flood risk management busine into tasks and other unfunded activities, attendance, meetings of local interest and other agencies during the preliminary stages of project. XX F&A STREAM GAGING (USGS) XX 550,000 550,000 550,000 Football interest and other agencies during the preliminary stages of project. XX IWR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM XX 950,000 950,000 565,000 2,145,000 Provide assistance to HQ and the field in collecting distribution of the cooperative effort with USGS for the ABM costs of about 2,500 stream gaing stations. XX IWR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM XX 950,000 950,000 565,000 2,145,000 Information, tools and methods including deep draft sease fleet, commodity, and carge flow forecasts; publication of reports documenting the results of research associated with Transportation System Analysis Program; technical services that support to District and Dission offices and deep draft reseal dollwiss on fiftices and deep draft reseal and calvidies into USACE Regional Economic System (RECONS) model, award Emissions Model Contract, and update vessel operating costs for Great Lakes vessels and ocean barges; obtain Seaweb licenses/subscriptions to acce with the contract of the program of the contract of	xx	ERD		XX	50,000	50,000		50,000	99-802, Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986
XX P&A STREAM GACING (USGS) XX S50,000 S50,000 S50,000 In support of the cooperative effort with USGS for the O&M costs of about 2,500 stream gaging stations. Develop practical analytical techniques, sources of information, tools and methods including deep draft and shallow draft vessel operating and replacement cost data; world deep draft sensel operating and replacement cost data; world deep draft vessel operating and replacement cost data; world deep draft vessel operating on the research associated with Transportation System Analysis Program; technical services that support to District and Division offices a Headquarters personnel; Incorporate additional coas and deep draft navigation economic activities into USACE Regional Economic System (REONS) model, award Emissions Model Contract, and update vessel operating costs for Great Lakes vessels and ocean barges; obtain Seaweb licenses/subscriptions to acce XX IWR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM XX 430,000 5 key shipping information modules such as vessel XX IWR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM XX 200,000 6 movements, alerts, ports, etc. XX HQ TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM XX 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 studies with Tribes where appropriate and to build strategic partnerships.	xx	НQ	SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS	ХХ	1,350,000	1,350,000		1,350,000	Corps of Engineers, for information relating to unauthorized projects, flood risk management business line tasks and other unfunded activities, attendance at meetings of local interest and other agencies during the
Information, tools and methods including deep draft and shallow draft vessel operating and replacement cost data; world deep draft vessel operating and replacement cost data; world deep draft vessel fleet, commodity, and cargo flow forecasts; publication of reports documenting the results of research associated with 1 Transportation System And Division offices a Headquarters personnel; Incorporate additional coas and deep draft navigation economic activities into USACE Regional Economic System (RECONS) model, award Emissions Model Contract, and update vessel operating costs for Great Lakes vessels and ocean barges; obtain Seaweb licenses/subscriptions to accee XX IWR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM XX 430,000 5 key shipping information modules such as vessel XX IWR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM XX 200,000 6 movements, alerts, ports, etc. YX HQ TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM XX 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000	xx	F&A	STREAM GAGING (USGS)	хх	550,000	550,000		550,000	Provide assistance to HQ and the field in collecting data in support of the cooperative effort with USGS for the O&M costs of about 2,500 stream gaging stations.
XX HQ TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM XX 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 Funds will be used to initiate, continue, and complete studies with Tribes where appropriate and to build strategic partnerships. Total 84,716,000 83,868,000 41,132,000 125,000,000 Unallocated Funding 0	XX	IWR	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	XX	950,000	950,000	430,000	2,145,000	information, tools and methods including deep draft and shallow draft vessel operating and replacement cost data; world deep draft vessel fleet, commodity, and cargo flow forecasts; publication of reports documenting the results of research associated with the Transportation System Analysis Program; technical services that support to District and Division offices and Headquarters personnel; Incorporate additional coastal and deep draft navigation economic activities into USACE Regional Economic System (RECONS) model, award Emissions Model Contract, and update vessel operating costs for Great Lakes vessels and ocean barges; obtain Seaweb licenses/subscriptions to access 5 key shipping information modules such as vessel
XX	XX	IWR	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	XX			200,000		
Total 84,716,000 83,868,000 41,132,000 125,000,000 Unallocated Funding 0 0	xx	HQ	TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM	xx	1,000,000	1,000,000		1,000,000	studies with Tribes where appropriate and to build
	_				84,716,000	83,868,000	41,132,000	125,000,000	
			Grand Total			83,868,000	41,132,000	125,000,000	

1/ KEY:		Additional Funding	Total Allocations	Unallocated Funding
1	FLOOD AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION	3,632,000	3,632,000	0
2	FLOOD AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION (FLOOD CONTROL)	8,000,000	8,000,000	0
3	FLOOD AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION (SHORE PROTECTION)	5,000,000	5,000,000	0
4	NAVIGATION	5,000,000	5,000,000	0
5	NAVIGATION (COASTAL AND DEEP-DRAFT)	6,000,000	6,000,000	0
6	NAVIGATION (INLAND)	4,000,000	4,000,000	0
7	NAVIGATION (SMALL, REMOTE, OR SUBSISTENCE)	3,000,000	3,000,000	0
8	OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES	2,000,000	2,000,000	0
9	OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES (ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION OR COMPLIANCE)	1,500,000	1,500,000	0
10	OTHER AUTHORIZED PURPOSES (REMOTE, COASTAL, OR SMALL WATERSHED)	3,000,000	3,000,000	0
P	Preconstruction Engineering & Design			0
F	Feasibility phase			
R	Reconnaisance phase			