#### **TCCC Equipment Evaluation**



1. Have you treated combat casualties on the battlefield at or near the point of wounding with significant injuries directly related to a combat action (such as blasts, gunshot, shrapnel, etc.)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes, no previous evaluation submitted electronically	98.8%	331
Yes, resubmitting because previous submission only partially completed	0.0%	0
Yes, but already submitted a completed evaluation electronically	0.3%	1
No	0.9%	3
	If resubmitting, list reason why:	0
	answered question	335
	skipped question	0

2. Please provide the following information:					
	Response Percent	Response Count			
Name:	100.0%	330			
Email Address:	100.0%	330			
	answered question	330			
	skipped question	5			

#### 3. What is your rate/rank?

	Response Percent	Response Count
E1-E3	6.4%	21
E4-E5	42.1%	139
E6-E7	43.3%	143
E8-E9	8.2%	27
	answered question	330
	skipped question	5

#### 4. What is your branch of service?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Army	37.6%	124
Navy	41.2%	136
Air Force	21.2%	70
	answered question	330
	skipped question	5

#### 5. Which of the following best describes your combat medical qualifications?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Army Combat Medic (68W)	30.5%	100
Ranger Medic	2.1%	7
Special Forces 18-D Medic	3.0%	10
Navy Corpsman (8404)	38.4%	126
Navy Corpsman (MARSOC 8403/8427)	2.1%	7
Seal Operator with SOCM training	0.0%	0
Air Force Pararescueman	19.2%	63
Other	4.6%	15
	Other (please specify)	36
	answered question	328

#### 6. In what countries have you treated combat casualties? (Check all that apply)

	Response Percent	Response Count
fghanistan	73.6%	240
Iraq	55.5%	181
Other	12.3%	40
	Other (please specify)	29
	answered question	326
	skipped question	9

skipped question

#### 7. Please provide the following:

	Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
Estimated number of combat casualties you treated (eg. 5)	78.28	25,755	329
Over how many deployments (eg. 3)	2.65	870	328
Year you did/will return from your most recent deployment (eg. 2011)	2,009.94	655,242	326
	answe	ed question	330
	skipp	ed question	5

#### 8. Did you use a tourniquet to treat your casualties?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	81.8%	270
No	18.2%	60
	answered question	330
	skipped question	5

#### 9. Estimate the number of times you used the following tourniquets on your casualties (eg.

#### 5). If item not used leave box blank.

	esponse Average	Response Total	Response Count
Combat Application Tourniquet (CAT)	12.57	3,268	260
SOF Tactical Tourniquet (SOFT-T)	13.16	1,119	85
NATO Tourniquet	3.59	79	22
MET Tourniquet	1.33	4	3
Other (List)	5.29	127	24
	answere	ed question	268
	skippe	d question	67

# 10. The tourniquet(s) I used was/were effective in stopping life-threatening extremity bleeding (Note: Only rate items you used and leave rating blank for items not used)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
Combat Application Tourniquet (CAT)	4.2% (11)	2.3% (6)	2.3% (6)	47.3% (123)	43.8% (114)	4.24	260
SOF Tactical Tourniquet (SOFTT)	1.2% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.4% (2)	30.6% (26)	65.9% (56)	4.60	85
NATO Tourniquet	0.0% (0)	8.3% (2)	16.7% (4)	25.0% (6)	50.0% (12)	4.17	24
MET Tourniquet	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	50.0% (2)	25.0% (1)	25.0% (1)	3.75	4
Other (List)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	20.0% (5)	32.0% (8)	48.0% (12)	4.28	25

Comments: (Ease of application, specific problems, strong points, performance in cold/heat/rain, etc.) Specify item in your comments.

answered question	268
skipped question	67

107

#### 11. Did you use a hemostatic agents (e.g. combat gauze) on your casualties?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	52.0%	170
No	48.0%	157
	answered question	327
	skipped question	8

#### 12. Estimate the number of times you used the following on your casualties (eg. 2). Leave box blank for items not used.

	Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
Combat Gauze	13.31	2,010	151
ChitoGauze	12.00	288	24
Celox Gauze	7.75	93	12
Others (List)	20.58	535	26
	answer	answered question	
	skipp	skipped question	

## 13. The hemostatic agent(s) I used was/were effective at stopping severe external bleeding (Note: Only rate items you used and leave rating blank for items not used )

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
Combat Gauze	2.6% (4)	2.0% (3)	6.0% (9)	40.4% (61)	49.0% (74)	4.31	151
ChitoGauze	4.2% (1)	4.2% (1)	12.5% (3)	50.0% (12)	29.2% (7)	3.96	24
Celox Gauze	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (1)	53.8% (7)	38.5% (5)	4.31	13
Other	3.8% (1)	7.7% (2)	30.8% (8)	26.9% (7)	30.8% (8)	3.73	26

Comments: (Ease of application, specific problems, specific strong points, performance in cold/heat/rain, etc.)

Specify item in your comments.

answered question	171
skipped question	164

# 14. Did you use an elastic wound bandage to treat your casualties? Response Percent Count Yes 84.8% 279 No 15.2% 50 answered question 329

skipped question

6

# 15. Estimate how many times you used the following on your casualties (eg. 4). Leave box blank for items not used.

	Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
Israeli bandage	23.27	3,839	165
Trauma Wound Dressing	16.70	1,219	73
Emergency Trauma Dressing (NARP IFAK)	18.82	1,374	73
H-Bandage	9.75	858	88
Generic elastic bandage (ace wrap)	26.89	4,814	179
Bandage Kit Elastic (standard issue)	37.23	1,452	39
Others (List)	10.17	295	29
	answere	ed question	280
	skippe	ed question	55

# 16. The elastic wound bandage(s) that I used was/were effective at controlling the bleeding (Note: Only rate items you used and leave rating blank for items not used)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
Israeli bandage	0.0% (0)	2.4% (4)	4.2% (7)	52.1% (86)	41.2% (68)	4.32	165
Trauma Wound Dressing	0.0% (0)	1.4% (1)	8.2% (6)	60.3% (44)	30.1% (22)	4.19	73
Emergency Trauma Dressing (NARP IFAK)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.8% (5)	47.3% (35)	45.9% (34)	4.39	74
H-Bandage	0.0% (0)	2.2% (2)	12.4% (11)	40.4% (36)	44.9% (40)	4.28	89
Generic elastic bandage (ace wrap)	1.1% (2)	2.2% (4)	10.7% (19)	44.4% (79)	41.6% (74)	4.23	178
Bandage Kit Elastic (standard issue)	2.4% (1)	7.3% (3)	17.1% (7)	41.5% (17)	31.7% (13)	3.93	41
Others (List)	6.9% (2)	3.4% (1)	10.3% (3)	34.5% (10)	44.8% (13)	4.07	29

Comments: (Ease of application, specific problems, specific strong points, persformance in cold/heat/rain, etc.) Specify item in your comments.

answered question	280
skipped question	55

# 17. Did you use an airway device to treat your casualties who did not have direct trauma to the airway?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	58.1%	191
No	41.9%	138
	answered question	329
	skipped question	6

## 18. Estimate how many times you used the following on your casualties (eg. 2). Leave box blank for items not used.

	Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
Nasopharyngeal airway	11.49	1,838	160
LMA	3.20	32	10
Intubating LMA	3.00	36	12
King LT	9.05	751	83
Endotracheal tube	10.42	844	81
	answe	red question	191
	skipp	ed question	144

# 19. The airway device(s) that I used was/were effective in establishing an airway in casualties who did not have direct trauma to the airway (Note: Only rate items you used and leave rating blank for items not used)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
Nasopharyngeal airway	0.6% (1)	3.1% (5)	21.3% (34)	45.6% (73)	29.4% (47)	4.00	160
LMA	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	60.0% (6)	30.0% (3)	4.20	10
Intubating LMA	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	41.7% (5)	50.0% (6)	4.42	12
King LT	0.0% (0)	1.2% (1)	9.6% (8)	45.8% (38)	43.4% (36)	4.31	83
Endotracheal tube	1.2% (1)	1.2% (1)	3.7% (3)	33.3% (27)	60.5% (49)	4.51	81
Comments: (Fase of use, most difficult aspect of airway, performance in cold/heat/rain, etc.) Specify items in							

Comments: (Ease of use, most difficult aspect of airway, performance in cold/heat/rain, etc.) Specify items in your comments.

answered question	191
skipped question	144

56

#### 20. Did you perform a surgical airway to treat your casualties?

	esponse Respo Percent Cou	
24	24.5%	80
7:	75.5%	247
ed ques	luestion	327
ed ques	uestion	8

## 21. Estimate how many times you used the following to perform a surgical airway on your casualties (eg. 2). Leave box blank for items not used.

	Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
TAC MED Surgical Airway Kit	1.38	11	8
CricKit	2.36	99	42
No Kit - individual items packed together	3.59	183	51
Other	3.00	9	3
	answer	ed question	81
	skipp	ed question	254

# 22. The surgical airway kit(s) that I used was/were effective in establishing a patent airway (Note: Only rate items you used and leave rating blank for items not used.)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
TAC MED Surgical Airway Kit	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	12.5% (1)	37.5% (3)	50.0% (4)	4.38	8
CricKit	4.8% (2)	0.0% (0)	2.4% (1)	50.0% (21)	42.9% (18)	4.26	42
No Kit - individual items packed together	2.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.9% (2)	25.5% (13)	68.6% (35)	4.59	5
Other (List in comment box)	33.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	66.7% (2)	3.67	

Comments: (Ease of use, most difficult aspect of airway, performance in cold/heat/rain, etc.) Specify items in your comments.

81	answered question	
254	skipped question	

# 23. Did you perform a needle decompression on your casualties for a suspected tension pneumothorax?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	43.0%	141
No	57.0%	187
	answered question	328
	skipped question	7

# 24. Estimate how many times did you used the following on your casualties for needle decompression (eg. 2). Leave box blank for items not used.

	Respons Average	=	Response Count
14 gauge 3.25 inch needle	5.2	3 739	140
Other	4.5	3 77	17
	answ	ered question	143
	skip	ped question	192

# 25. The needle(s) that I used was/were effective in performing a needle decompression for a suspected tension pneumothorax (Only rate items you used). (Note: Needle decompression does not benefit all casualties with suspected tension pneumothorax even if performed correctly)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
14 gauge 3.25 inch	1.4% (2)	0.7% (1)	12.1% (17)	33.6% (47)	52.1% (73)	4.34	140
Other (List in comment box)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	29.4% (5)	23.5% (4)	47.1% (8)	4.18	17
		•	/-	<b>t</b>			

Comments: (Ease of use, specific problems, etc.)

46

answered question 143
skipped question 192

#### 26. Did you use a chest seal to treat a sucking chest wound (open pneumothorax) in your casualties?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	53.0%	174
No	47.0%	154
	answered question	328
	skipped question	7

# 27. Estimate how many time you used the following chest seals on your casualties (eg. 2). Leave box blank for items not used.

	Respons Average		Response Count
Halo	5.3	35 262	49
Hyfin	6.	10 439	72
н+н	9.2	20 46	5
Asherman	6.6	674	102
Bolin	6.0	)7 352	58
Other	5.6	52 149	27
	answ	ered question	173
	ski	pped question	162

# 28. The chest seal(s) I used was/were effective at sealing the sucking chest wound (Note: Only rate items you used and leave rating blank for items not used)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
Halo	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.1% (2)	22.4% (11)	73.5% (36)	4.69	49
Hyfin	2.8% (2)	2.8% (2)	5.6% (4)	50.0% (36)	38.9% (28)	4.19	72
H+H	16.7% (1)	16.7% (1)	16.7% (1)	16.7% (1)	33.3% (2)	3.33	6
Asherman	16.7% (17)	32.4% (33)	16.7% (17)	26.5% (27)	7.8% (8)	2.76	102
Bolin	6.8% (4)	10.2% (6)	15.3% (9)	39.0% (23)	28.8% (17)	3.73	59
Other (List in comment box)	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	18.5% (5)	33.3% (9)	44.4% (12)	4.19	27

Comments: (Ease of use, adhesion, specific problems, performance in cold/heat/rain/sand, etc.) Specify items in your comments.

answered question 173
skipped question 162

100

#### 29. Have you used an intraosseous device in treating combat casualties?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	32.6%	107
No	67.4%	221
	answered question	328
	skipped question	7

#### 30. Estimate how many times did you used the following IO device on your casualties (eg.

#### 2). Leave box blank for items not used.

	Response Average	Response Total	Response Count	
Pyng Fast-1	4.31	276	64	
EZ-IO - Sternal	2.50	60	24	
EZ-IO - Tibial (Manual)	3.14	44	14	
EZ-IO - Tibial (Drill)	4.00	140	35	
EZ-IO - Humeral (Manual)	1.33	4	3	
EZ-IO - Humeral (Drill)	3.58	93	26	
Bone Injection Gun	1.67	10	6	
Other	12.67	38	3	
	answe	answered question		
	skip	skipped question		

# 31. The intraosseous device (s) I used was/were effective in establishing IO vascular access (Note: Only rate items you used and leave rating blank for items not used )

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
Pyng Fast-1	3.1% (2)	6.3% (4)	6.3% (4)	31.3% (20)	53.1% (34)	4.25	64
EZ-IO - Sternal	0.0% (0)	12.5% (3)	12.5% (3)	33.3% (8)	41.7% (10)	4.04	24
EZ-IO - Tibial (Manual)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	41.7% (5)	50.0% (6)	4.33	12
EZ-IO - Tibial (Drill)	2.7% (1)	8.1% (3)	5.4% (2)	32.4% (12)	51.4% (19)	4.22	37
EZ-IO - Humeral (Manual)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	40.0% (2)	60.0% (3)	4.60	5
EZ-IO - Humeral (Drill)	4.0% (1)	4.0% (1)	4.0% (1)	36.0% (9)	52.0% (13)	4.28	25
Bone Injection Gun	16.7% (1)	16.7% (1)	16.7% (1)	33.3% (2)	16.7% (1)	3.17	6
Other	0.0% (0)	33.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	66.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	3.33	3

Comments: (Ease of use, time to insert, reliability of access, specific problems, performance in cold/heat/rain, etc.) Specify items in your comments.

answered question 107
skipped question 228

39

# 32. Did you administer narcotic pain medications or ketamine to treat your casualties' severe pain in the prehospital setting?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	71.6%	235
No	28.4%	93
	answered question	328
	skipped question	7

# 33. Estimate how many times you used the following in the prehospital setting on your casualties (eg. 2). Leave box blank for items not used.

	Respo Avera		Response Total	Response Count
Oral Transmucosal Fentanyl Citrate (OFTC) Lozenges 800 mcg		6.34	520	82
IV Morphine	1	5.11	1,858	123
IM Morphine	1	2.76	2,042	160
Ketamine	1	7.21	1,050	61
Other	2	2.14	797	36
	an	answered question		234
	s	skippe	d question	101

# 34. The pain medication(s) that I administered to my casualties in the prehospital setting was/were effective at providing rapid relief of severe pain (Note: Only rate items you used and leave rating blank for items not used)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
Oral Transmucosal Fentanyl Lozenges	2.5% (2)	6.2% (5)	9.9% (8)	44.4% (36)	37.0% (30)	4.07	81
IV Morphine	1.6% (2)	3.3% (4)	5.7% (7)	47.5% (58)	41.8% (51)	4.25	122
IM Morphine	2.5% (4)	6.3% (10)	13.1% (21)	42.5% (68)	35.6% (57)	4.03	160
Ketamine	1.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	25.0% (15)	73.3% (44)	4.68	60
Other (list in comment box)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.6% (2)	33.3% (12)	61.1% (22)	4.56	36

Comments: (Side effects noted, time to effective pain relief, ease of use, etc.) Specify items in your comments.

88

answered question	234
skipped question	101

#### 35. Did you use devices, blankets, or other equipment to treat or prevent hypothermia?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	73.1%	239
No	26.9%	88
	answered question	327
	skipped question	8

# 36. Estimate how many times you used the following on your casualties (eg. 2). Leave blank for items not used.

	Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
Ready Heat Blanket	24.19	1,742	72
Blizzard Survival Blanket	24.89	1,419	57
Heat Reflective Shell	25.17	2,794	111
Wool Blanket	42.70	6,191	145
Poncho	17.42	627	36
Poncho Liner	9.04	479	53
Human Remains Pouch	15.72	566	36
Other	10.79	313	29
	answer	ed question	239
	skipp	ed question	96

37. The device(s), blanket(s) or other equipment that I used was/were well-suited for use on battlefield casualties (Only rate items you used and leave rating blank for items not used). (Note: Actual core temperature measurement is not routinely performed on the battlefield and is difficult for the provider to judge.)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Rating Average	Response Count
Ready Heat Blanket	1.4% (1)	2.7% (2)	8.2% (6)	35.6% (26)	52.1% (38)	4.34	73
Blizzard Survival Blanket	1.8% (1)	5.4% (3)	8.9% (5)	33.9% (19)	50.0% (28)	4.25	56
Heat Reflective Shell	0.9% (1)	5.4% (6)	17.9% (20)	45.5% (51)	30.4% (34)	3.99	112
Wool Blanket	2.8% (4)	4.8% (7)	15.2% (22)	49.0% (71)	28.3% (41)	3.95	145
Poncho	0.0% (0)	8.3% (3)	22.2% (8)	50.0% (18)	19.4% (7)	3.81	36
Poncho Liner	0.0% (0)	5.7% (3)	32.1% (17)	41.5% (22)	20.8% (11)	3.77	53
Human Remains Pouch	0.0% (0)	2.9% (1)	28.6% (10)	31.4% (11)	37.1% (13)	4.03	35
Other (List in comment box)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	28.6% (8)	32.1% (9)	39.3% (11)	4.11	28

Comments: (Durability, patient reaction, ease of use, carriage weight, performance in cold/heat/rain, etc.)

Specify items in your comments.

answered question	239
skipped question	96

38. Is there other battlefield trauma care equipment that you used and would like to comment on?	•
	Response Count
	96
answered question	96
skipped question	239
39. Is there other battlefield trauma care equipment, medication, or devices that yo needed and did not have?	u
	Response Count
	88
answered question	88
skipped question	247
40. Additional comments?	
	Response Count
	61
answered question	61
skipped question	274