District Election Analysis

HOUSE DISTRICT 7 - PLAN 01369H 2008 General Election

District 7 Totals Total Percent President President MCCain - R 40,444 69.8 % 4,479,038 55.4 % Obama - D 17,158 29.6 % 3,528,518 43.6 % Barr - L 267 0.5 % 56,091 0.7 % Write-In - W 102 0.2 % 21,627 0.3 % U.S. Senator Cornyn - R 40,159 70.4 % 4,336,883 54.8 % Noriega - D 16,056 28.1 % 3,389,189 42.8 % Schick - L 841 1.5 % 185,211 2.3 % U.S. Representative I Gohmert - R 42,360 90.4 % 189,006 87.6 % Owen - I 4,488 9.6 % 26,813 12.4 % 8 Railroad Commissioner 3 Williams - R 37,136 66.8 % 3,998,582 52.1 % Thompson - D 17,164 30.9 % 3,406,063 44.4 % Floyd - L 1,305 2.3 % 270,033 3.5 %		District 7		State	
President McCain - R	District 7 Totals				
McCain - R 40,444 69.8 % 4,479,038 55.4 % Obama - D 17,158 29.6 % 3,528,518 34.6 % Barr - L 267 0.5 % 56,091 0.7 % Write-In - W 102 0.2 % 21,627 0.3 % U.S. Senator Cornyn - R 40,159 70.4 % 4,336,883 54.8 % Noriega - D 16,056 28.1 % 3,389,189 42.8 % Schick - L 841 1.5 % 189,006 87.6 % Schick - L 841 1.5 % 189,006 87.6 % Owen - I 4,488 9.6 % 26,813 12.4 % Railroad Commissioner 3 Williams - R 37,136 66.8 % 3,998,582 52.1 % Thompson - D 17,164 30.9 % 3,406,663 44.4 % Floyd - L 1,305 2.3 % 270,033 3.5 % Supreme Cout, Chief Justice 1,183 2.1 % 239,034 3.1 % Supreme Cout, Place 7 Wainwright - R 36,104 </td <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>reiceilt</td> <td>Total</td> <td>reicein</td>		Total	reiceilt	Total	reicein
Obama - D		40.444	60.0.0 /	4 470 020	55 4 0/
Barr - L 267 0.5 % 56,091 0.7 % Write-In - W 102 0.2 % 21,627 0.3 % U.S. Senator 30,000					
Write-In - W 102 0.2 % 21,627 0.3 % U.S. Senator Cornyn - R 40,159 70.4 % 4,336,883 54.8 % Noriega - D 16,056 28.1 % 3,389,189 42.8 % Schick - L 841 1.5 % 185,211 2.3 % U.S. Representative I Gohmert - R 42,360 90.4 % 189,006 87.6 % Owen - I 4,488 9.6 % 26,813 12.4 % Railroad Commissioner 3 Williams - R 37,136 66.8 % 3,998,582 52.1 % Thompson - D 17,164 30.9 % 3,406,063 44.4 % Floyd - L 1,305 2.3 % 270,033 3.5 % Supreme Ct, Chief Justice Jefferson - R 37,113 66.8 % 4,088,955 53.1 % Jordan - D 17,250 31.1 % 3,374,255 43.8 % Oxtord - L 1,183 2.1 % 239,034 3.1 % Supreme Court, Place 7 4 40,488,955 53.1 % Wainwright -					
U.S. Senator					
Cornyn - R		102	0.2 %	21,627	0.3 %
Noriega - D		40.150	70.4.0/	4 226 992	5 490/
Schick - L					
U.S. Representative 1 Gohmert - R Owen - I 4,488 9,6 % 26,813 12,4 % Railroad Commissioner 3 Williams - R Thompson - D 17,164 1,305 1,305 2,3 % 3,998,582 3,1 % Thompson - D 17,164 30,9 % 3,406,063 44,4 % Thompson - D 17,164 30,9 % 3,406,063 44,4 % Thompson - D 17,164 30,9 % 3,406,063 44,4 % Thompson - D 17,164 30,9 % 3,406,063 44,4 % Thompson - D 17,164 30,9 % 3,406,063 44,4 % Thompson - D 17,164 30,9 % 3,406,063 44,0 % 3,5 % Thompson - R 37,113 3,1 % 3,374,255 3,1 % 3,374,255 3,1 % Thompson - R 36,104 46,9 % 3,925,720 51,1 % 3,406,0 % 3,925,720 51,1 % Thompson - R 36,104 46,9 % 3,925,720 51,1 % Thompson - R 36,104 40,18,178 52,3 % Thompson - R 37,412 45,9 % Thompson - R 40,8,12 40,4,12 40					
Golmert - R Owen - I 42,360 90.4 % 189,006 87.6 % Owen - I Railroad Commissioner 3 37,136 66.8 % 3,998,582 52.1 % Williams - R 37,136 66.8 % 3,998,582 52.1 % Thompson - D 17,164 30.9 % 3,406,063 44.4 % Floyd - L 1,305 2.3 % 270,033 3.5 % Supreme Ct, Chief Justice Jefferson - R 37,113 66.8 % 4,088,955 53.1 % Jordan - D 17,250 31.1 % 3,374,255 43.8 % Oxford - L 1,183 2.1 % 239,034 3.1 % Supreme Court, Place 7 Wainwright - R 36,104 64.9 % 3,925,720 51.1 % Houston - D 18,323 32.9 % 3,525,011 45.9 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Smith - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Shirley - L		841	1.5 %	185,211	2.5 %
Owen - I 4,488 9.6 % 26,813 12.4 % Railroad Commissioner 3 Williams - R 37,136 66.8 % 3,998,582 52.1 % Thompson - D 17,164 30.9 % 3,406,063 44.4 % Floyd - L 1,305 2.3 % 270,033 3.5 % Supreme Ct, Chief Justice Jefferson - R 37,113 66.8 % 4,088,955 53.1 % Jordan - D 17,250 31.1 % 3,374,255 43.8 % Oxford - L 1,183 2.1 % 239,034 3.1 % Supreme Court, Place 7 Wainwright - R 36,104 64.9 % 3,925,720 51.1 % Houston - D 18,323 32.9 % 3,525,011 45.9 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 36,142 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Cri		42.260	00.4.0/	100.006	97.6.0/
Railroad Commissioner 3 Williams - R 37,136 66.8 % 3,998,582 52.1 % Thompson - D 17,164 30.9 % 3,406,063 44.4 % Floyd - L 1,305 2.3 % 270,033 3.5 % Supreme Ct, Chief Justice Jefferson - R 37,113 66.8 % 4,088,955 53.1 % Jordan - D 17,250 31.1 % 3,374,255 43.8 % Oxford - L 1,183 2.1 % 239,034 3.1 % Supreme Court, Place 7 Wainwright - R 40,614 64.9 % 3,925,720 51.1 % Houston - D 18,323 32.9 % 3,525,011 45.9 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 Johnson - R 7 Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 Price - R 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 Womack - R Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 Cochran - R Strange - L Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 Cochran - R Strange - L					
Williams - R 37,136 66.8 % 3,998,582 52.1 % Thompson - D 17,164 30.9 % 3,406,063 44.4 % Floyd - L 1,305 2.3 % 270,033 3.5 % Supreme Ct, Chief Justice 36.00 37,113 66.8 % 4,088,955 53.1 % Jordan - D 17,250 31.1 % 3,374,255 43.8 % Oxford - L 1,183 2.1 % 239,034 3.1 % Supreme Court, Place 7 Wainwright - R 36,104 64.9 % 3,925,720 51.1 % Houston - D 18,323 32.9 % 3,525,011 45.9 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % 52.3 % Smith - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % 50.6 % 3,428,079 44.6 % 3,428,079 44.6 % 3,428,079 44.6 % 53.6 % 3,428,079 44.6 % 53.6 % 3,428,079 44.6 % 53.6 % <td></td> <td>4,488</td> <td>9.6 %</td> <td>26,813</td> <td>12.4 %</td>		4,488	9.6 %	26,813	12.4 %
Thompson - D		27.126	CC 9.0/	2 000 500	50.1 0/
Floyd - L Supreme Ct, Chief Justice Jefferson - R Jordan - D Jordan - D Jordan - L Jordan - L Jordan - C Supreme Court, Place 7 Wainwright - R Houston - D Smith - L Supreme Court, Place 8 Johnson - R Jordan - D Jordan - C Wainwright - R Houston - D Jordan - D Jordan - D Jordan - C Jordan - C Wainwright - R Houston - D Jordan - D Jordan - D Jordan - D Jordan - C Wainwright - R Jordan - D Jordan - C Jord					
Supreme Ct, Chief Justice Jefferson - R 37,113 66.8 % 4,088,955 53.1 % Jordan - D 17,250 31.1 % 3,374,255 43.8 % Oxford - L 1,183 2.1 % 239,034 3.1 % Supreme Court, Place 7 Wainwright - R 36,104 64.9 % 3,925,720 51.1 % Houston - D 18,323 32.9 % 3,525,011 45.9 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 Price - R 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 Womack - R 37,57 68.1 %					
Jefferson - R		1,305	2.3 %	270,033	3.5 %
Jordan - D		27 112	CC 9.0/	4.000.055	52 1 0/
Oxford - L 1,183 2.1 % 239,034 3.1 % Supreme Court, Place 7 Wainwright - R 36,104 64.9 % 3,925,720 51.1 % Houston - D 18,323 32.9 % 3,525,011 45.9 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 Johnson - R 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 7rice - R 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % 6 Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 Womack - R 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 %					
Supreme Court, Place 7 Wainwright - R 36,104 64.9 % 3,925,720 51.1 % Houston - D 18,323 32.9 % 3,525,011 45.9 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 Womack - R 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 2 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>· · · · ·</td><td></td></td<>				· · · · ·	
Wainwright - R 36,104 64.9 % 3,925,720 51.1 % Houston - D 18,323 32.9 % 3,525,011 45.9 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 7 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Price - R 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % 45.5 % 61.0 % 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 Womack - R 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % 3.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % 40.44,526 53.0 % 40.44,526 53.0 % 40.44,526 53.0 % 40.44,526 53.0 % 40.44,526 53.0 % 40.44,526 53.0 % 40.44,526 53.0 % 40.44,526 53.0		1,183	2.1 %	239,034	3.1 %
Houston - D 18,323 32.9 % 3,525,011 45.9 % Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 Womack - R 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 20chran - R 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 % <td></td> <td>26.104</td> <td>64.0.0/</td> <td>2.025.720</td> <td>71.10/</td>		26.104	64.0.0/	2.025.720	71.1 0/
Smith - L 1,191 2.1 % 232,224 3.0 % Supreme Court, Place 8 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 7rice - R 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 Womack - R 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 20chran - R 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Cargill - R 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Supreme Court, Place 8 Johnson - R 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 Cargill - R 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State R					
Johnson - R 37,412 67.4 % 4,018,178 52.3 % Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 200,652 3,340,589 43.7 % 44,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % SBOE 8 2argill - R 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Merritt -		1,191	2.1 %	232,224	3.0 %
Yanez - D 16,845 30.4 % 3,428,079 44.6 % Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 8 8 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 8 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 8 2.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 8 8 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %	-	27.412	67.4.0/	4.010.170	50. 2 0/
Shirley - L 1,220 2.2 % 234,115 3.0 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 Price - R 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 200,000 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % 81.9 % 81.1 % 81.9 % 81.1 % SBOE 8 80.5 % 401,335 85.3 %					
Ct Crim Appeals, Place 3 Price - R 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 2.3 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % SBOE 8 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %					
Price - R 36,802 66.5 % 3,949,512 51.6 % Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % SBOE 8 Stroman - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %		1,220	2.2 %	234,115	3.0 %
Strawn - D 17,503 31.6 % 3,482,468 45.5 % Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 20,652 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 2 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %		26,002	CC 5 0/	2.040.510	51 6 0/
Eilers - L 1,077 1.9 % 216,006 2.8 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % SBOE 8 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %					
Ct Crim Appeals, Place 4 Womack - R 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % SBOE 8 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %					
Womack - R 37,757 68.1 % 4,044,526 53.0 % Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 8 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %		1,077	1.9 %	216,006	2.8 %
Molina - D 16,411 29.6 % 3,340,589 43.7 % Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 Cochran - R 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 Cargill - R 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %		27.757	CO 1 0/	4.044.506	<i>52.0.0</i> /
Howard - L 1,250 2.3 % 250,652 3.3 % Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %					
Ct Crim Appeals, Place 9 Cochran - R 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 Cargill - R 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Werritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %					
Cochran - R 39,989 89.7 % 4,719,124 81.9 % Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 Cargill - R 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Werritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %		1,250	2.3 %	250,652	3.3 %
Strange - L 4,593 10.3 % 1,043,820 18.1 % SBOE 8 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %		20,000	90.7.0/	4.710.124	01.0.0/
SBOE 8 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Werritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %					
Cargill - R 39,575 88.7 % 401,335 85.3 % Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %	•	4,593	10.3 %	1,043,820	18.1 %
Stroman - L 5,014 11.2 % 65,101 13.8 % Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %		20.575	00.7.0/	401 225	05.2 0/
Ellis - W 40 0.1 % 4,025 0.9 % State Representative 7 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %					
State Representative 7 Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %					
Merritt - R 40,671 88.3 % 40,671 88.3 %		40	0.1 %	4,025	0.9 %
		40.671	00.2.0/	40.671	00.2.0/
C				,	
Stroman - L 5,368 11.7 % 5,368 11.7 %	Stroman - L	5,368	11./ %	5,368	11.7 %
Voter Registration (VR) 81,985 11,694,736	Voter Registration (VR)	81,985		11,694,736	
Spanish Surname VR and SSVR/VR 3,247 4.0 % 2,551,736 21.8 %			4.0 %		21.8 %
Turnout (TO) and TO/VR 58,073 70.8 % 8,108,358 69.3 %					