# **Contents**

	Pa
Acronyms and Abbreviations	
Executive Summary	•
1. Introduction	
1.1 Biological Assessment Content and Scope	
1.2 Projects Not Included in the Biological Assessment	
1.3 Reclamation's Tribal Trust Responsibility and ESA Compliance 1.3.1 Indian Water Rights Settlements	
1.4 The Middle Rio Grande Endangered Species Collaborative Program/RIP	
1.5 Consultation and Litigation History	
1.6 Quiet Title Litigation History	
Action Area: Overview of Project Components and Water Operations	
2.1 Action Area	
2.2 Overview of Project Components	
2.3 Overview of Water Operations	2
Restrictions	,
2.3.3 Snowmelt Forecasting and the Upper Rio Grande Water Operations Model	
3. Description of Proposed Actions	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Description of Reclamation's Proposed Water Actions	

### **Contents (continued)**

	3.3 Non-Federal Proposed Actions
	3.3.1 The Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District
	3.4 Proposed Conservation Measure
	Implementation Program
4.	Species Description, Federal Listing Status and Life History
	4.1 Rio Grande Silvery Minnow
	4.1.3 Listing Status – Critical Habitat
	4.1.4 Life History and Ecology
	4.2 Southwestern Willow Flycatcher
	4.2.1 Species Description
	4.2.2 Distribution
	4.2.3 Listing Status and Critical Habitat
	4.2.4 Life History and Ecology
	4.3 Pecos Sunflower
	4.3.1 Species Description
	4.3.2 Status and Distribution
	4.3.4 Life History and Ecology
	4.3.5 Reasons for Decline
	4.4 Interior Least Tern
	4.4.1 Status and Distribution
	4.4.2 Life History and Ecology
	4.4.3 Reasons for Decline
5.	Environmental Baseline
	5.1 Historical Perspective
	5.2 Climate
	5.3 Status of Listed Species
	5.3.3 Pecos Sunflower
	5.3.4 Interior Least Tern
	5.4 Hydrologic Regime

### **Contents (continued)**

5.4.2	Current Hydrologic Conditions
	annel Conditions and Dynamics
5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.6.4 5.6.5	tions to Avoid, Minimize, or Mitigate
5.7.1 5.7.2 5.7.3 5.7.4 5.7.5 5.7.6	Summary of Baseline Conditions for Listed Species  Summary of Habitat Condition, Species Status, and Restoration by Reach  Cochiti Dam Reach  Angostura Reach  Isleta Reach  San Acacia Reach  Summary of Baseline Conditions Affecting Silvery  Minnow Life History and Critical Habitat Elements  Summary Baseline Conditions Affecting Willow  Flycatcher Life History and Critical Habitat Elements  Summary Baseline Conditions Affecting Pecos Sunflower.
	fects Analysis
6.1.2 6.1.3 6.2 The	Approach, Tools, and Methods for Hydrologic Analysis
6.3 Co Pro 6.3.1 6.3.2	mparison of Hydrologic Conditions with and Without the oposed Water Management Actions  Effect of Proposed Water Management Actions on Silvery Minnow  Effect of Proposed Action on flycatcher  Effect of Proposed Action on Pecos Sunflower
of 1 6.4.1	tion-by-Action Analysis of Effects of Components the Proposed Water Management Actions Approach to Action-by-Action Analysis Effects of Heron Dam Operations under the SJC Project

### **Contents (continued)**

6.4.3 Analysis of Effects of El Vado Dam Operations Under the	
Middle Rio Grande Project	•••
6.4.4 Hydrologic Effects Analysis of Non-Federal Proposed	
Action: MRGCD Diversions	•••
6.5 Evaluation of Conservation Measure – RIP	
6.5.1 Reclamation's Supplemental Water Program	
6.5.2 Effects of the MRGCD's Proposed Conservation Measures	•••
6.6 Interrelated and Interdependent Actions	
6.6.1 The Corps Actions Related to the SJC Project	
6.6.2 The New Mexico State Engineer's Actions Related to the	
SJC Project	•••
6.7 Summary Effects Analysis of Proposed Water Management	
Actions	
6.7.1 Summary of the Effects of Reclamation's Actions	•••
6.7.2 Summary of the Effects of MRGCD's Water Management	
Actions	
6.7.3 Summary of Effects on Silvery Minnow	
6.7.5 Summary of Effects on Pecos Sunflower	
6.7.6 Summary of Effects of Conservation Measures.	
7. Cumulative Effects Analysis	
•	
7.1 Future Changes in Climate and Hydrology	•••
7.2 Regional Water Planning: Projected Impact of Population	
Growth and Water Demand on Water Supplies	
7.2.1 The Jemez y Sangre Planning Region	
7.2.2 The Middle Rio Grande Planning Region	
7.2.3 The Soccoro-Sierra Planning Region	•••
Conservation	
7.2.5 Local Government Water Conservation Efforts	
7.3 Water Rights Transfers and Offsets	
7.4 Pueblo Water Rights	
7.5 Conclusion	
8. Composite Effect of Proposed Water Management and Maintenance	•••
8.1 Rio Grande Silvery Minnow	•••
8.2 Southwestern Willow Flycatcher	
8.3 Pecos Sunflower	

Conte	ents (continued)	D
Q A	Interior Least Tern	Page 333
	erature Cited	335
9. Litt	rature Cited	333
Appen	dices (under separate cover)	
	lix 1– Selection of Five Synthetic Flow Sequences for Detailed Analyswith the Upper Rio Grande Water Operations Planning Model, pages 1–21.	sis
	dix 2 – AMEC memorandum, Subject Stochastic Streamflow Simulation for the Otowi Gage	ons
	dix 3 – Habitat Restoration Techniques Commonly Used in the Middle Grande	Rio
	dix 4 – Craig Boroughs Memorandum, Subject Estimation of December 2011 Conditions to Use as Initial Conditions for Updated URGWOM Simulations for Reclamations Water Operations Biological Assessment Dated December 15, 2011	
Append	dix 5 – MRGCD Demand Curves used in URGWOM Planning Mode	
Append	lix 6 – Reclamation and Corps Completed Consultations	
	dix 7 – Report on URGWOM Developtment Simulations and Final Re for Preparation of Biological Assessment on Water Management Acti on the Middle Rio Grande, February 2012	
Append	lix 8 – Draft RIP Program and Action Plan Documents	
Append	dix 9 – MRGCD Proposed Conservation Measures – 7/24/2012 Draft	
Append	lix 10- MRGCD Alternative Hydrology Analysis	
Table	es	Page
Table 1	San Juan Chama Project contracts	36
Table 2		110
Table 3	Acreage of core Pecos sunflower population on	
<b></b>	La Joya SWA	118
Table 4	River drying by reach and by percent of critical habitat that dried (2001–2011)	152
Table 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	158

### **Tables (continued)**

		Page
Table 6	Leased supplemental San Juan-Champa Project water	169
Table 7	Average depth and velocity conditions on categorized	
	habitat restoration sites	185
Table 8	Maximum consecutive days of discharge exceeding	
	habitat restoration inundation targets at Albuquerque	
	Gage from 2000–2011 (USGS8330000), Bosque	
	Farms Gage from 2006–2011 (USGS 08331160),	
	and Highway 346 Gage from 2006–2011	
	(USGS 08331510)	185
Table 9	Summary of habitat restoration activity on the	
	Rio Grande, sorted by geomorphic reach	186
Table 10	Synopsis of activities for conservation recommendations	
	as defined in the 2003 BiOp	192
Table 11	Status and information of life history elements and	
	critical habitat PCEs for silvery minnow	201
Table 12	Status and information of life history elements and	
	critical habitat PCEs for willow flycatcher	207
Table 13	Composition of river flows below Cochiti Dam as	
	percent: calendar year	218
Table 14	Composition of the diversion demand of the MRGCD,	
	as percent: calendar year	219
Table 15	Composition of River Flows below Cochiti Dam	
	as percent: runoff season (March–July)	220
Table 16	Composition of river flows below Cochiti Dam	
	as percent: late (postrunoff) irrigation season	
	(August–October)	220
Table 17	Composition of river flows below Cochiti Dam,	
	as percent: range of variability for individual years	221
Table 18	The following thresholds were specified as output	
	criteria for table 19	225
Table 19	Comparison of the occurrence of spawning flows, river	
	intermittency, and river drying under the Proposed	
	Action relative to the No Action Condition over	
	10-year period	226
Table 20	Proportion of predicted river drying and intermittency	
	attributable to Proposed Water Management Action	
	downstream from various gages on the Rio Grande	227
Table 21	Relationship of mean October CPUE with number of	
	days with discharge greater than 3,000 cfs in May	
	and June from figure 17	228
Table 22	Summary of the effect of the full Proposed	
	Water Management Actions on the life history	
	elements and critical habitat PCEs of silvery minnow	230

### **Tables (continued)**

		Page
Table 23	Modeled predictions of overbank flooding at 2-year	225
Table 24	return rate of 4,700 cfs  Effects of the Proposed Water Management Action	235
1 aute 24	compared to No Action and the difference in	
	potential days of overbank flooding events during	
	early irrigation season and flycatcher territory	
	establishment	235
Table 25	Effects of the Proposed Water Management	233
Table 23	Action compared to No Action and the difference	
	in potential days of overbank flooding events during	
	late irrigation season and flycatcher nesting period	237
Table 26	Effects of the Proposed Water Management Action	231
1 abic 20	compared to No Action and the difference in potential	
	days of overbank flooding events during early	
	irrigation season and flycatcher territory establishment	
	in the reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78	238
Table 27	Effects of the Proposed Water Management Action	236
Table 27	compared to No Action and the difference in potential	
	days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation	
	season and flycatcher nesting period in the reaches f	
	rom Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78	238
Table 28	Effect of Proposed Action on life history elements	230
1 abic 20	and PCEs of flycatchers	239
Table 29	Effects of Proposed Water Management Actions	239
1 abic 29	on Pecos sunflower within the Middle Rio Grande,	
	New Mexico	241
Table 30	Summary of water operations included in each	<i>2</i> <del>4</del> 1
Table 30	•	243
Table 31	action-by-action model run	243
Table 31	flows in the Middle Rio Grande249	
Table 32		a and
Table 32	Effect of Heron Dam operation (3.2.1) on life history elements	sanu
Table 22	PCEs of silvery minnow	1.
Table 33	Effect of Heron Dam operation on the potential days of overba	ınk
	flooding events during early irrigation season and flycatcher	
	territory establishment. This includes all reaches from	41
	Albuquerque to RM 62 with the exception of the reaches near	tne
T 11 24	BDANWR	
Table 34	Effect of Heron Dam operation on the potential days of overba	
	flooding events during late irrigation season and flycatcher ne	_
	period. This includes all reaches from Albuquerque to RM 62	with
	the exception of the reaches near the BDANWR259	

#### **Tables (continued)**

		Page
Table 35	Effect of Heron Dam operation on the potential days	
	of overbank flooding events during early irrigation	
	season and flycatcher territory establishment in the	
	reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78	260
Table 36	Effect of Heron Dam operation on the potential days	
	of overbank flooding events during late irrigation	
	season and flycatcher nesting period in the reaches	
	from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78	260
Table 37	Effect of Heron Dam operations on life history	
	elements and PCEs of flycatchers	261
Table 38	Effect of El Vado Dam operation (3.2.1) on life	
	history elements and PCEs of silvery minnow	266
Table 39	Effect of El Vado Dam operation on the potential	
	days of overbank flooding events during early	
	irrigation season and flycatcher territory establishment	270
Table 40	Effect of El Vado Dam operation on the potential days	
	of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season	
	and flycatcher nesting period	271
Table 41	Effect of El Vado Dam operation on the potential	
	days of overbank flooding events during early	
	irrigation season and flycatcher territory	
	establishment in the reaches from Arroyo del las	
	Cañas to RM 78	271
Table 42	Effect of El Vado Dam operation on the potential	
	days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation	
	season and flycatcher nesting period	272
Table 43	Effect of El Vado Dam operations on life history	
	elements and PCEs of flycatchers	272
Table 44	Effect of operation of MRGCD diversions (3.3.1)	
	on life history elements and PCEs of silvery minnow	283
Table 45	Effect of MRGCD diversions on the number of	
	potential days of overbank flooding events during	
	early irrigation season (March–June) and flycatcher	
	territory establishment	288
Table 46	Effect of MRGCD diversions on the number of	
	potential days of overbank flooding events during	
	late irrigation season (July–October) and flycatcher	
	nesting period.	289
Table 47	Effect of MRGCD diversions on the number of	
-	potential days of overbank flooding events during	
	early irrigation season and flycatcher territory	
	establishment for reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas	
	to RM 78	289

#### **Tables (continued)** Page Table 48 Effect of MRGCD diversions on the number of potential days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season and flycatcher nesting period for reaches from Arroyo del las 289 Cañas to RM 78 ..... Table 49 Effect of MRGCD Proposed Action on life history 290 elements and PCEs of flycatchers..... Table 50 Description of actions outlined in draft RIP Action Plan and threats addressed by these actions..... 292 Table 51 Simulation of Proposed Water Management Actions with Unlimited Supply of Supplemental Water ...... 303 Table 52 Simulation of Proposed Water Management Actions with projected supply of Supplemental Water..... 304 Table 53 Summary of simulated changes in decadal hydroclimate for several sub-basins in the MRG Basin ..... 318 Table 54 Middle Rio Grande water budget annual surface-water and ground water averages (rounded) for 1972–1997 ...... 325 **Figures** Page **Figur** Figure 1 Map of the Rio Grande Basin – major Federal water Annual operating plan hydrograph for El Vado Figure 2. 29 Reservoir.... Figure 3 MRGCD diversions and return flow..... 45 Current and historical LFCC pumping site locations ...... Figure 4 54 Figure 5 Five general locations of flycatcher populations within the MRG..... 72 Figure 6 2005 final critical habitat designations ..... 73 Figure 7 Generalized breeding chronology of the Southwestern willow flycatcher (from Sogge et al. 2010) ..... 77 Figure 8 Distribution of Pecos sunflower..... 81 Figure 9 Distribution of the 2005 tern (ILT in figure) breeding colonies within New Mexico and Texas (Lott 2006)..... 85 Figure 10 Timeline of significant events influencing the hydrology and geomorphology of the MRG..... 88 Figure 11 Observed annual temperature, averaged over the Rio Grande Basin above Elephant Butte ..... 92

		Page
Figure 12	Observed annual precipitation, averaged over the	
	Rio Grande Basin above Elephant Butte	93
Figure 13	Scatter diagram of egg catch rate for Sevilleta	
	(2006–2011) and San Acacia (2002–2004,	
	2006–2011) sites (Dudley and Platania 2011) with	
	October CPUE data (population monitoring data)	97
Figure 14	Rio Grande silvery minnow densities (CPUE) during	
	October, at all sampling sites, by sampling year	
	(1993–1997, 1999–2011)	97
Figure 15	Time sequence of quarterly Rio Grande silvery	
C	minnow densities of the past decade (2001–2010)	
	at population monitoring program collection sites	
	and mean monthly discharge at USGS Gage #08330000	
	(Rio Grande at Albuquerque, New Mexico)	98
Figure 16	Regression analysis of Rio Grande silvery minnow	
8	log-transformed mean October densities (1993–1997,	
	1999–2010) and select hydraulic variables (during	
	May and June) for USGS Gage #08330000	
	(Rio Grande at Albuquerque, New Mexico)	99
Figure 17	Regression analysis of Rio Grande silvery	
1 iguie 17	minnow log-transformed mean October densities	
	(1993–1997, 1999–2010) and different hydraulic	
	variables for USGS Gage #08358400 (Rio Grande	
	Floodway at San Marcial, New Mexico)	100
Figure 18	Diversity metrics of Rio Grande silvery minnow	100
riguic 10	from genetic monitoring program from Osborne	
	and Turner	102
Figure 19	Breeding ranges of the willow flycatcher subspecies	102
Figure 20	Estimated number of flycatcher territories and	100
1 iguic 20	sites rangewide from 1993–2007	109
Figure 21	Bar graph showing area of overbank inundation in	10)
Tiguie 21	four subreaches of the Albuquerque Reach	
	(the South Diversion Channel (SDC); Interstate 40 (I-40); Paseo del Norte (PDN), and North Diversion	
	Channel (NDC) subreaches) prior to habitat	
	` ' ' <b>1</b>	120
E: 22	restoration efforts by the Collaborative Program	120
Figure 22	Schematic representation of major water	
	facilities impacting river flows in the	101
F: 22	Middle Rio Grande	121
Figure 23	Geomorphic reach designation	124

Figure 24	Summary of annual Heron Reservoir operations under
	the San Juan-Chama Project, including inflows,
	outflows, and storage of SJC Project water and
	annual amounts of San Juan-Chama Project
	water crossing the Otowi gage for consumption
	within the MRG
Figure 25	Summary of end-of-year storage of SJC Project
	water in Middle Rio Grande reservoirs
Figure 26	Hydrograph depicting El Vado Reservoir operations,
	2001–2011, including a comparison of Heron Dam
	outflow, El Vado Reservoir inflow, and El Vado
	Dam outflow
Figure 27	Comparison of Heron Dam outflow, El Vado
	inflow, and El Vado outflow, 2007
Figure 28	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from
	Cochiti Reservoir, 2001–2011, showing flood
	control operations in 2005
Figure 29	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from
	Cochiti Reservoir, 2005, showing flood
	control operations
Figure 30	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from
_	Abiquiu Reservoir, 2001–2011, showing flood
	control operations in 2001, 2004, 2005, 2008,
	2009, and 2010
Figure 31	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from
C	Cochiti Reservoir, 2007, showing the effects of "
	Cochiti deviation" operations
Figure 32	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from
C	Cochiti Reservoir, 2010, showing the effects
	of "Cochiti deviation" operations
Figure 33	Summary of prior and paramount water stored in
C	and released from El Vado Reservoir for irrigation
	of lands
Figure 34	Summary of total water diversions by the MRGCD,
8	1996–2010
Figure 35	Summary of annual diversions from the Rio Grande
1180110 00	to the MRGCD at the four MRG diversions structures
Figure 36	Monthly breakdown of average annual diversions
1.5010.00	to the MRGCD at the four MRG diversion
	structures, 2001–2011
Figure 37	Summary of average district drain and tailwater
115010 37	returns to the Rio Grande, by month, 2001–2011,
	right descending bank
	115110 0000011011115 00111N

Figure 38	Summary of average district drain and tailwater
	returns to the Rio Grande, by month, 2001–2011,
	left descending bank
Figure 39	Gross municipal supply, including ground water
	and surface water contributions to the drinking
	water supply and nonpotable supply, to ABCWUA,
	2001–2011
Figure 40	Summary of return flows from the Albuquerque
	Wastewater Treatment Plant, 2001–2011
Figure 41	Seasonal breakdown of water consumption within
_	the Bosque del Apache National Wildlife Refuge
Figure 42	Article VII status under the Rio Grande Compact,
	1978–2011
Figure 43	Article VII status under the Compact on April 1 of
	each year and water year-type designations under
	the 2003 BiOp, 2003–2011 (not applicable for 2001
	and 2002)
Figure 44	Hydrographs of flows at Otowi gage for the higher
	volume years during the past decade (2001–2011)
Figure 45	Hydrographs of flows at Otowi gage for the lower
	volume years during the past decade (2001–2011)
Figure 46	Summary of river miles that dried in the Isleta
	and San Acacia Reaches. (2001–2011)
Figure 47	Number of days per year of river drying in the
	Isleta and San Acacia Reaches, 2001–2011
Figure 48	First and last calendar days of river drying in the
	Isleta Reach, 2001–2011
Figure 49	First and last calendar days of river drying in
	the San Acacia Reach, 2001–2011
Figure 50	Median bed material size on the MRG over time
Figure 51	Channel mean width change over time with standard
	deviation for San Antonio (RM 87.1 to RM 78)
Figure 52	Summary of San Juan-Chama Project water
	leased to Reclamation's Supplemental Water Program
Figure 53	Summary of water released annually to meet the
	needs of listed species under Reclamation's
	Supplemental Water Program
Figure 54	Comparison of dates of first and last release of
-	water from Reclamation's Supplemental Water P
	rogram to dates of reported river drying in the
	Isleta Reach. 2001–2011

		I
Figure 55	Comparison of dates of first and last release of water from Reclamation's Supplemental Water	
	Program to dates of reported river drying in the	
Eigung 56	San Acacia Reach, 2001–2011  Summary of water pumped annually from the LFCC	
Figure 56	to the San Acacia Reach of the Rio Grande, as part	
	of Reclamation's Supplemental Water Program	
Figure 57	2006 distribution of annual volume pumped from the	
riguic 37	LFCC across the four pumping sites used during	
	the baseline period	
Figure 58	Comparison of the calendar days of supplemental	
1 iguie 50	water release to the calendar days of pumping from	
	the Low Flow Conveyance Channel	
Figure 59	Comparison of flows at the Otowi Bridge for the	
8	Proposed Water Management Actions under the	
	five hydrologic sequences against baseline conditions	
Figure 60	Comparison of the duration of continuous days of	
C	high flow under the Proposed Water Management	
	Actions, relative to the No Action condition, at	
	Central Avenue gage, Rio Grande, New Mexico, in	
	the 500- to 7,000-cfs range	
Figure 61	Change in modeled flow under the Proposed Water	
	Management Actions to flow modeled under the	
	No Action condition over the calendar year	
Figure 62	Comparison of the timing of the first low flows at	
	San Marcial under the Proposed Water Management	
	Actions to flows under the No Action condition,	
	after June 1	
Figure 63	Relative comparison of modeled flows at Central	
	gage considered Proposed Action with no Supplemental	
	Water Program compared to No Action during the	
	flycatcher territory establishment period	
Figure 64	Relative comparison of modeled flows at	
	San Acacia gage considered Proposed Action	
	with no supplemental water program compared	
	to No Action during the flycatcher territory	
D' -=	establishment period	
Figure 65	Relative comparison of modeled flows at San Marcial	
	gage considered Proposed Action with no Supplemental	
	Water Program compared to No Action during the	
	flycatcher territory establishment period	

		Page
Figure 66	Range of impacts for the step down comparison of	
	discrete actions on low flows at the Central Avenue	
	Gage in Albuquerque during the post-runoff season	245
Figure 67	Range of impacts for the step down comparison of	
	discrete actions on low flows downstream of the	
	Isleta Diversion Dam during the post-runoff season	246
Figure 68	Range of impacts for the step down comparison of	
	discrete actions on low flows downstream of the	
	San Acacia Diversion Dam during the postrunoff	
	season	247
Figure 69	Range of impacts for the step down comparison of	
	discrete actions on low flows at San Marcial during	
	the postrunoff season.	248
Figure 70	Relative effect of the Heron Dam operations on	
	flows downstream from Cochiti Dam and Diversion	251
Figure 71	Relative impact of the Heron Dam operations at	
116010 / 1	the Central Avenue gage	252
Figure 72	Modeled average annual results of maximum number of	
118010 / 2	continuous high flow days from five model runs with	
	the 10-year synthetic hydrologic sequences at	
	San Acacia gage, Rio Grande, New Mexico	254
Figure 73	Modeled average annual results of the relative	20 .
rigare 73	percentage of time low flow (< 200 cfs) begins	
	prior to June 1 at San Marcial gage, Rio Grande,	
	New Mexico from five model runs with the	
	10-year synthetic hydrologic sequences	254
Figure 74	Relative comparison of flows at Central gage	25 1
rigare / i	considered Proposed Action with no Supplemental	
	Water Program compared to MRGCD diversions	
	and El Vado Operations during the flycatcher territory	
	establishment period	258
Figure 75	Relative comparison of flows at San Marcial	250
riguic 75	gage considered Proposed Action with no	
	Supplemental Water Program compared to	
	MRGCD diversions and El Vado operations	
	during the flycatcher territory establishment period	258
Figure 76 Figure 77	Relative comparison of flows at Central Avenue	230
	gage with and without El Vado operations, for the	
	calendar year	263
	Relative comparison of flows below Isleta Diversion	203
rigure //	during the irrigation season with and without	
		264
	El Vado operations	∠∪4

		Page
Figure 78	Relative comparison of flows downstream from	
	San Acacia Diversion during the irrigation season,	
	with and without El Vado operations	265
Figure 79	Relative comparison of flows at Central Avenue	
	gage with and without El Vado operations during the	
	flycatcher breeding period	270
Figure 80	Flow reductions resulting from MRGCD diversions	
	during low flow conditions, late irrigation season	275
Figure 81	Relative comparison of flows downstream from	
	Cochiti Dam with and without MRGCD diversions,	
	for the calendar year	$27\epsilon$
Figure 82	Relative effect of MRGCD diversions at the	
	Central Avenue gage during the irrigation season	277
Figure 83	Relative comparison of modeled flows at Central	
	gage considered Proposed Action of MRGCD	
	diversions compared to No Action during the	
	flycatcher territory establishment period	286
Figure 84	Relative comparison of modeled flows at Central	
	gage considered Proposed Action of MRGCD	
	diversions compared to No Action during the	
	flycatcher breeding period	287
Figure 85	Relative comparison of modeled flows at	
	San Marcial gage considered Proposed Action	
	of MRGCD diversions compared to No Action	
	during the flycatcher territory establishment period	287
Figure 86	Relative comparison of modeled flows at	
	San Marcial gage considered Proposed Action of	
	MRGCD diversions compared to No Action during	
	the flycatcher breeding period	288
Figure 87	Uses of Supplemental Water in URGWOM simulations	297
Figure 88	Impact of Supplemental Water on flows of 300 cfs	
	or less at the Central Avenue Gage as compared	
	to the Proposed Action	298
Figure 89	Graph showing the impact of Supplemental Water on	
	flows of 300 cfs or less at Isleta, San Acacia, and	
	San Marcial as compared to the Proposed Action	299
Figure 90	"Box and whisker plot" showing the impact of	
	Supplemental Water on low flows at Isleta,	
	San Acacia, and San Marcial during the early	
	irrigation season compared to the Proposed Action	299
Figure 91	Simulated annual climate averaged over Rio Grande	
	sub-basins	314

		Page
Figure 92	Simulated changes in decade-mean runoff for	
	several sub-basins in the Rio Grande Basin	316
Figure 93	Simulated annual maximum and minimum week	
_	runoff for several sub-basins in the MRG Basin	317
Figure 94	Projected MRG water supply shortfall (MRG Plan)	322