

Slice Product Review Operations Subgroup Meeting  
September 28, 2005  
**Issues Outline:**

- 1) Slice Load Variability / Uncertainty
  - a) Slice flexibility (share of system capability with realtime change rights) creates load uncertainty
    - i) Non-Slice Requirements load is predictable as a function of weather
    - ii) Slice delivery is less predictable due to the market influence and customer resource diversity
    - iii) Preschedule – Realtime changes (revisions to operational limits can necessitate Slice schedule changes)
  - b) Increased uncertainty results in Hydro Duty Scheduler setting aside a “cushion” of system capacity for potential realtime Slice load changes
    - i) “cushion” amount varies by scheduler
    - ii) “cushion” amount varies based upon system operation
    - iii) maintaining an additional “cushion” reduces the ability to optimize use of the system
    - iv) Can we identify and measure occurrences of this uncertainty (from all causes)?
  - c) Lower water year vs. high water year
    - i) Do the impacts of load variability/uncertainty change in low vs. high water years?
      - (1) In low water years, does the impact diminish or increase?
      - (2) In high water years, does the impact diminish or increase?
  - d) Economic analysis
    - i) Can the impact of load variability/uncertainty be identified and measured (approximated) and then treated as an economic impact or financial settlement?
  
- 2) Optimization / Efficiency
  - a) Offering and implementing Slice should not diminish BPA’s role in optimizing the system for regional benefit
    - i) This benefit should be a priority over other uses of Slice
    - ii) Has the diversity created from Slice improved regional benefit in terms of surplus marketing?
      - (1) Can the affect of the diversity be measured or quantified?
    - iii) How is optimization measured?
    - iv) Should it come off the top?
  - b) What does “System Optimization” mean?
    - i) Maximum energy production?
    - ii) Maximum dollar value?
    - iii) Most efficient capacity use?

Slice Product Review Operations Subgroup Meeting  
September 28, 2005

**Issues Outline:**

- iv) Are all of the above equal (prioritize)?
  - c) How is optimization implemented?
    - i) Long term planning .vs. short term planning .vs. realtime operations
      - (1) Columbia Vista (currently short-term, will include long-term in the future)
      - (2) NRTTO (realtime)
  - d) Are near term daily and hourly optimization issues the same as capacity issues?
  - e) What are the Measureables?
- 3) Contract Amendments
- a) Clarity in contractual operational rights/risks
    - i) Need to quantify contract/exhibit changes better as to the impact on operational rights and risk mitigation value.
  - b) Address exhibit J disconnection from contract body
    - i) Reduce/eliminate on-going re-negotiation via Exhibit J
      - (1) Assure changes don't contradict or alter overall intent of the agreement
      - (2) Include non-slice presence in negotiation?
      - (3) Include operational provisions within the body of the agreement?
    - ii) Ensure clarity so BPA understands what is being sold and customers understand what they are purchasing
- 4) Capacity Issues
- a) Priority of use
    - i) Requirements Capacity
      - (1) Regional reliability
      - (2) Resource Integration
        - (a) By BPA, or BPA and Slicers equally?
      - (3) In-region Sales
    - ii) Surplus Capacity
      - (1) Regional reliability
      - (2) Resource Integration
        - (a) By BPA, or BPA and Slicers equally?
      - (3) In-region Sales
      - (4) Extra-regional sales

# Slice Product Review Operations Subgroup Meeting

September 28, 2005

## Issues Outline:

- b) Capacity is a critical element of Slice
  - i) Next hour changes are important to the value of the current Slice product from the customers' perspective
  - ii) It is extremely difficult to accurately quantify capacity
    - (1) Theoretical vs. actual capability disconnect
      - (a) Customers have rights to theoretical capacity
      - (b) PBL has rights to actual capacity
    - (2) What is the definition of capacity?
  - iii) Do we agree (is it clear) what BPA sold under Slice in terms of capacity?
  - iv) Measureables – Forecasting and tracking capacity limits and use
- c) Requirements load vs. surplus capacity
  - i) Should Slice capacity be broken down into different components/limits/??
    - (1) Requirements Load peaking component
    - (2) Surplus capacity component
      - (a) Is it a sale?
        - (i) In region
        - (ii) Outside region
- d) Temporal Aspects of Capacity
  - i) Capacity is dynamic based on changing system conditions and configuration
  - ii) Capacity available depends upon definition being used (Instantaneous, 1-hr, 8-hr, etc)
    - (1) Sustainability is a major factor
  - iii) Lead-time of the forecast/estimate of capacity
    - (1) Week ahead
    - (2) Day ahead
    - (3) Hour ahead
  - iv) Can we, and how should we calculate, communicate, and schedule capacity?
- e) Firmness
  - i) Requirements capacity vs. system vs. surplus capacity/certainty
  - ii) Capacity is currently firmed-up on current and next hour for Slice customers, but not for PBL
    - (1) With existing contract implementation, hourly limits are not changed in realtime for current or next hour
    - (2) For PBL hourly basepoints must be approved by TBL then implemented by COE and BOR

5) Is the Slice product considered a Resource or a load?

Slice Product Review Operations Subgroup Meeting  
September 28, 2005

**Issues Outline:**

- a) Different perspective from BPA and customers
  - b) BPA views Slice schedules as a load, customers view the flexibility within the limits as a resource
  - c) PBL operates the system as a whole, with Slice schedules integrated into the overall load obligation
- 6) Tiering of rates and resources, and the impacts to Slice
- a) Separation or division between Tier I and Tier II resources and load obligations
    - i) How will this work?
  - b) Equitable sharing of Tier II resource integration capability among customer groups
  - c) Implication to Slice – How do Tiered resources impact the Slice treatment of:
    - i) Capacity
    - ii) Operations
    - iii) Costs Shifts
    - iv) Risks
  - d) What is the relationship of integrating Tier II resources on Slice limits/rights/operations?
- 7) Off-the-top Obligations
- a) Off-the-top Obligations are met before meeting preference requirements load
  - b) What is the impact of BPA integrating renewable resources?
    - i) Does this come off-the-top for Slice?
  - c) How will integration of other (non renewable) resources impact Off-the-top Obligations?
- 8) BPA's Role in NW Regional Reliability
- a) Insure shock absorber role
    - i) "emergency backstop" concept
  - b) What should BPA's function be in providing a backstop?
    - i) Statutory/regulatory requirements
    - ii) Political requirements or expectations
  - c) Impact on slice capacity
  - d) Slice/rate/benefit/consequence

Slice Product Review Operations Subgroup Meeting  
September 28, 2005  
**Issues Outline:**

- 9) Re-dispatch
  - a) Constraint Schedule Management (CSM)
    - i) What is this going to look like in the future?
    - ii) How would Slice be implemented if it were not a “System” sale (Nodal concept)?
  - b) Is Hydro system optimized for Transmission system or Power system use, or both(?)
    - i) Capacity used for transmission – system optimization
  - c) Impacts on slice capacity
    - i) How do we incorporate or implement re-dispatch within Slice?
  - d) Transmission support
    - i) Impacts on Regional & Slice scheduling
  
- 10) Volume Runoff Risk Transfer
  - a) As a benefit to BPA
    - i) Is it working as anticipated?
    - ii) Is the risk benefit affected by the Slicers’ ability to increase other load products (*block step-ups*)?