Extreme Scale Computing with the Fusion Particle Code XGC1

Presented by

Scott A. Klasky

Scientific Computing National Center for Computational Sciences

> On Behalf of SciDAC Proto-FSP Center for Plasma Edge Simulation (CPES)

Work supported by US DOE OASCR and OFES. Computing time is provided by OLCF INCITE and NERSC ERCAP awards.



CPES

A collaborative effort by

- Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, NYU
 - C. S. Chang
 - **S.** Ku
 - L. Greengard
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 - Scott Klasky
 - P. Worley
 - E. D'Azevedo

- Columbia University
 - M. Adams
 - D. Keyes
- Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
 - A. Shoshani
- University of Colorado
 - S. Parker
- CPES Team













Introduction

- Tokamak fusion plasma is a gaseous system of charged particles (D⁺, T⁺ and e⁻) that flow along strong magnetic field lines in a torus (called magnetic confinement)
- When D⁺ and T⁺ ions are hot enough (>10 keV), they fuse together to form α particles (energetic He²⁺) and release 14 MeV neutrons (E = Δ m c²)
- Energy from 14 MeV neutrons is used for electricity generation
- Tokamak plasma is subject to
 - Collisional transport enhanced by an inhomogeneous toroidal magnetic field (neoclassical transport)
 - Microscale turbulences and slow loss of plasma
 - Macroscale instabilities and fast loss of plasma
- Assuming that the macroscale instabilities (item 3) are controlled in a fusion reactor

☑ Our simulation performs item 1 and 2 in full-function multiscale in realistic diverted geometry.



Tokamak geometry



Poloidal cross-section (poloidal plane) at a constant toroidal angle

Poloidal magnetic flux label ψ (r): 1 at r/a = 1; 0 at r/a = 0





Gyrokinetic particle simulation of fusion plasma

• **Gyrokinetic:** Reduce 6D (x,y,z,v1,v2,v3) to 5D (x,y,z,v_{||},v_{\perp}) by assuming that the gyrofrequency is much faster and that the gyroradius is much shorter than the interesting physics scale



- Particle-in-cell approach: Solve the marker particle dynamics in 5D space, and solve the Maxwell's equations on 3D position grid
 - Optimal for leadership-class computing (larger device or higher resolution physics is bigger grid is larger # particles is larger # cores)
 - Because particle # per core is limited by memory size
 - Reduced memory requirement via random v-space sampling
 - Statistical particle noise 1/sqrt(N) smoothing or large enough N (convergence and sensitivity studies are needed)
 - Full-function simulation is needed for solving the background and turbulence
- ⁵ Manage dynamics together, and for handling the edge plasma



Difficulty of the whole volume simulation



Higher fidelity physics needs whole volume simulation in full-function: many experimental evidences exist for critical nonlocal core-edge interactions

> Magnetic separatrix is a singular surface for core codes which use the easy-to-handle "magnetic" coordinate system

> Thus all the gyrokinetic codes stay in the core

- At a safe distance inside the magnetic separatrix surface
- Delta-f perturbed simulation is used in the core

XGC on cylindrical grid is the only kinetic code capable of the whole-volume simulation in full-function.

Understanding the edge-core interaction is critical for fusion

- 30 years of experiments find that the edge plasma condition has a direct influence on the core fusion plasma condition
 - At a much faster information transmission speed than a plasma heat transport speed
 - Overall $\Delta_r T_i$ profile is "stiff," with the core $\Delta_r T_i$ responding to the edge plasma within only several milliseconds, while the plasma heat transport time scale is 10² slower
- ITER operation assumes this experimental finding, but an agreeable physics understanding does not exist
- Whole-volume first-principles full-function modeling of background and turbulence dynamics HPC is needed



XGC1 gyrokinetic PIC code (typically on 30K–220K cores, 1–10 wall-clock days) XGC1: full-function (full-f), X-point included Gyrokinetic

XGC1: full-function (full-f), X-point included Gyrokinetic Code in realistic tokamak geometry across magnetic separatrix surface

• **Spatial simulation domain:** Whole tokamak plasma volume with realistic tokamak edge geometry and Dirichlet wall boundary condition (grounded wall)



- Unstructured triangular grid: Particles advance in cylindrical coordinate. Field solver on B-following grid
- Capability in hand: Electrostatic turbulence without scale-separation from mean plasma (ion) dynamics, with heat source and conserving Coulomb collisions
 - Full-f ions and full-f electrons (for axisymmetric solution)
 - Full-f ions and adiabatic electrons (for turbulent solution)
 - Delta-f ions (for verification against other delta-f simulations)

Capability under development: Full-function electromagnetic turbulence

Current electromangetic capability: delta-f



XGC1 scales efficiently to the maximal number of Jaguar cores



- 900K particles per thread problem is more computationally intensive than 300K problem, which leads to ~20% higher particle push rate
- Performance scaling is excellent for both problems

CY10 Average Job Size and Utilization by Job Size Bins (Jan		. 1 – June 27, 2010)
	Average Job Size	Utilization in
	in Cores	Core-Hours
Jobs requesting <20% of the available resources	3,079	8,446,978
Jobs requesting between 20% and 60% of the available resources	66,474	3,042,575
Jobs requesting >60% of the available resources	170,304	14,311,232



Whole-volume, full-f ITG simulation for DIII-D

- ITG (ion temperature gradient) driven turbulence is the most robust and fundamental microturbulence in a tokamak plasma
- Includes diverted edge geometry and magnetic axis
- Realistic Dirichlet BD condition $\Phi = 0$ on conducting wall
- Heat source in the central core
- This type of simulation is possible only on extreme HPCs will push the edge of future HPC
- Several new scientific discoveries have emerged



SciDAC 2010





Edge turbulence propagates deep into the core and self-organizes the global temperature profile to criticality (SOC)



As edge turbulence arrives, local turbulence is aroused/modified and induces adequate heat flux to yield self-organized criticality.



Textbook type of turbulence interaction with self-organized ExB shearing dynamics is observed

Turbulence propagation and heat burst settle down to quasi-steady SOC (avalanching) state in ~3–5 ms I Experimental core-edge interaction time





Self-organized $\delta\Phi 2/T2$ increases toward the edge

Seen in experiments, but unexplained for 30 years





Looking forward to exascale simulation

- Lack of computing power has been forcing us to study the 6D Vlasov plasma system in the reduced 5D system, restricting the kinetic simulation validity to << gyrofrequency and ≥ gyroradius
- On exascale HPC, the dream of a 6D whole-volume tokamak simulation can be realized but highly challenging: requires close co-design with computer science and applied mathematics
 - Implicit time-marching to avoid CFL trouble with Alfven waves
 - How well can we localize the computation?
 - Efficient in-memory data staging and data analysis
 - Resiliency and fault tolerance?
 - Concurrency issue: dynamics load balancing?
 - How much can we improve I/O?
 - Flexibility to unknown new hardware and programming models



XGC1 roadmap to exascale (1 day run-target)



15 Managed by UT-Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratory

Conclusion

- XGC1 is a new generation fusion particle code, efficiently scaling to the maximal number of Jaguarpf cores
 - Unlike other existing gyrokinetic codes, XGC1 simulates the whole-volume tokamak in realistic diverted magnetic field geometry in full-function (as opposed to the perturbative delta-f)
 - XGC1 performs multiscale background and turbulence dynamics in a single framework
 - XGC1 has already made several scientific discoveries
- For a higher degree first-principles multiscale tokamak modeling in XGC1, more extreme-scale HPC is needed
 - XGC1 is getting ready for next-generation HPC with state-of-the-art computational and applied math technologies
- If an exascale HPC is available in the future, fusion particle code can make a quantum jump into the formidable 6D tokamak physics simulation. An efficient co-design is a necessity



Contact

Scott A. Klasky

Scientific Computing National Center for Computational Sciences (865) 241-9980 klasky@ornl.gov

C. S. Chang (Project Head)

Courant Institute of Mathematical Science, NYU



