

IOOS RA DMAC Workshop Briefing Guidance Document

NANOOS

Emilio Mayorga (APL-UW)

9/11/2012

IOOS RA Data Management Activities

NANOOS activities worth sharing

- **NVS modularization and customization** (NVS 3)
- **“CMOP-NVS” Data Explorer**,
http://www.stccmop.org/datamart/observation_network/dataexplorer_nanoos
- **Extensive support for open-ended time series**, via NSF-funded project that will enhance NVS, apply it to national network of intensive watershed research sites
- **Data Partnership Agreements**, first steps
- **Python data service goodness** (related NSF-funded collaboration, etc)

Cross-regional and national activities

- Strong collaboration with IOOS Program Office in helping guide data service and metadata thrusts (SOS templates, MMI vocabularies, etc)
- Acoustic Animal Telemetry data project
- West Coast RA's collaborations: WCGA Regional Data Framework (geospatial data catalog) guidance, closer coordination

IOOS RA Data Management Priorities

List priorities for next 12 months

NANOOS Visualization System (NVS)

- Continued enhancement of user functionality, asset maintenance, handling of new data types (GIS, open-ended time series, depth profiles)
- Improvement & deployment, asset inventory tools & status feeds
- Continued enhancement of asset monitoring tools
- Selected data harvesting overhauls: NOS/COOPS, CMOP, VENUS and NERRS (same as last year...)
- Situational awareness visualizations (eg, SST from in-situ platforms, previous 1 hour)

Other

- **Data Catalogs under West Coast collaboration context** (WCGA RDF/Ecotrust projects)
- **Better handling of GIS data**
- **Ocean Acidification data issues & collaborations** (regional-national, maybe international), including continued collaborations w/ shellfish growers

IOOS RA Data Management Challenges

What are the challenges to implementing IOOS DMAC data standards, services, and functions?

- Lack of usable client tools (programmatic & user software) to exploit investment implementing IOOS services

This often leads to the situation where the services we set up are not used in the region, not even by ourselves

- Lack of clearly identified, well described tools for validation of our services, and to identify and resolve problems
- Shifting targets for data standards and services

But recent developments make me very hopeful

- Conveying the value of these efforts to RA's (leadership, stakeholders), and having clear, visible benefits to point to (vis a vis regional user products).

How can the IOOS Office Assist your RA?

List 3 ways that IOOS Office could help facilitate DMAC advances in your RA?

- Help us overcome the challenges listed above!
- Help develop and disseminate tools and code that facilitate **use** of data directly from these services

Client software and API's/libraries, etc

Let's leverage the recent investment in more consistent, capable and comprehensive data services, metadata

- Deploy working, practical IOOS Registry/Catalog web services

So we can query data from it programmatically and therefore have an incentive for actively using it