COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

VIRGINIA'S WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM:

ANNUAL REPORT

FOR

WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT OF 1998

TITLE I-B ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM YEAR 2005

(July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006)

Submitted by

Workforce Investment Act Division Virginia Employment Commission

September 2006

CONTENTS

SECTION 1. WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	
INTRODUCTION	3
VISION AND GOALS	3
GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE	3
VIRGINIA WIA PROGRESS SUMMARY	4
NEXT STEPS: CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT	8
SECTION 2. WIA TITLE I-B RESULTS	
ANALYSIS	8
Cost Effectiveness	9
EVALUATION ACTIVITIES	9
TABLES	11
TABLES (SUBMITTED VIA ETA'S ENTERPRISE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM – EBSS)	11

INTRODUCTION

The federal Workforce Investment Act (WIA) was signed into law in August 1998 and was implemented in the Commonwealth of Virginia on July 1, 2000. This WIA annual report focuses on the administration of WIA Title 1 activities in the Commonwealth, and also on the continued development of a unified, statewide workforce development system.

For Program Year 2005 (PY2005), Virginia received **\$28.6** million in WIA Title I-B funds from the United States Department of Labor (DOL). Funding for PY2005 includes the previous year's carryover funds. These funds help to ensure the continuation of publicly-funded workforce development services to employers, job seekers and youth through a re-engineered state and local delivery system that links workforce development strategies with economic development initiatives.

VISION AND GOALS

VISION...

The vision for the Commonwealth is to have a world-class workforce system that is responsive to employer and worker needs and creates a well-trained, well-educated and globally competitive workforce. This workforce is qualified to meet the needs of employers, both now and in the future, and is engaged in lifelong learning.

GOALS...

- Achieve greater integration and alignment of the various parties and programs within the Virginia Workforce Development Network.
- Promote excellence in the operation of all One-Stop Career Centers and WIBs.
- Increase awareness, confidence and engagement of stakeholders in the Workforce Development System.
- Develop a robust set of metrics and processes to effectively assess and incent performance.
- Enhance the effectiveness of key players within the workforce system.

GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

State Structure:

The Governor and the General Assembly created the Virginia Workforce Council (VWC) in 1999 to coordinate policy, planning and accountability for the Commonwealth's workforce development system. The VWC developed and approved the state-level plan for WIA activities and facilitated the coordination of state and local workforce development programs. Additionally, the VWC helped to ensure that the workforce development system was both responsive to the needs of employers and jobseekers and linked workforce development strategies with economic development initiatives. The Virginia Employment Commission (VEC) and Virginia Community College System (VCCS) were the designated state agencies that supported the VWC. The VEC is the WIA Title I-B grant recipient for the Commonwealth. The WIA Division within the VEC had administrative responsibility for WIA Title I-B and served as the lead for the implementation and oversight of the Virginia Workforce Network (VWN), which is the state's WIA One-stop workforce development service delivery system.

Program implementation and oversight was the responsibility of a 13-member staff which included four regional WIA consultants that were out-stationed in different regions of the state to provide a more accessible means of technical assistance for the local workforce areas. Other divisions within the VEC provided various support functions, such as, financial management, IT support, personnel issues and procurement.

A System In Transition:

The 2006 Virginia General Assembly Session produced legislation that established the Governor as the Chief Workforce Development Officer for the Commonwealth. The Governor appointed a Senior Workforce Officer to lead Virginia's workforce development coordination efforts. The new legislation required the Governor to develop a strategic plan for the statewide delivery of workforce development and training programs and activities. The strategic plan is to include performance measures that link the objectives of workforce development programs and activities to the state, local workforce investment boards, and other programs that provide the workforce investment services. Although the strategic plan is due during Program Year 2006, the establishment of an entity to serve in this capacity is in the development stage during Program Year 2005.

Local Structure:

The VWN is the state and local workforce development service delivery system. The VWN is comprised of 17 certified Local Workforce Investment Boards (LWIBs), one for each of the Commonwealth's Local Workforce Investment Areas (LWIAs). The VWN has approximately 75 comprehensive and satellite Virginia Workforce Centers (one-stop centers) through which WIA Title I-B employment and training services are provided under the leadership of the LWIBs and Chief Local Elected Officials (CLEOs). The LWIBs, in partnership with their CLEOs, promote the coordination and integration of various publicly funded employment and training services for jobseekers. The business-led LWIBs help to ensure that local workforce development activities are linked to economic development strategies and are responsive to employer needs at the local level. Each LWIB has a State-approved WIA strategic plan. The plans outline local workforce development strategies, employer and jobseeker needs, demand occupations and skills needed, and goals and objectives that are consistent with the state plan for workforce development. The interdependency of the state VWC and the LWIBs have strengthened the relationship between state and locally led workforce development efforts. Each LWIB also has an approved operational plan that outlines the specific manner in which services are delivered to the customer.

Local Administration and Oversight:

During PY2005, the local areas continued to move beyond the initial issues that slowed the implementation of WIA in earlier years. Several LWIBs chose to move away from the consortium arrangement for the one-stop operator model and moved to a more process-driven model where the operator is selected through competitive process. This indicates to the state a radical shift from the way "business has been done". Local partnerships continue to evolve and allow for a service delivery system that is more responsive to the needs of the customer and reflective of the unique conditions for each of the 17 local workforce areas in the Commonwealth.

VIRGINIA WIA PROGRESS SUMMARY

Managing Change: Challenges and Opportunities

Allowable State Activities:

The Commonwealth funded several allowable statewide activities with WIA state set aside funds:

<u>Middle College Program</u>: Middle Colleges will provide Virginia high school drop-outs between the ages of 18 and 24 an opportunity to attain a GED and enroll in a variety of quality, proven courses that will (1) enhance basic workforce skills through engagement in project-based learning, (2) participate in remedial courses (when necessary), (3) accumulate community college credits applicable towards a degree or certificate and (4) attain an ACT Work Keys workforce readiness certificate.

<u>Race to the GED:</u> State WIA funds have also been awarded by the Governor to assist in the Race to GED program. Recognizing that the economic benefits of getting a GED are indisputable, this Governor's Initiative aims to double the number of Virginia workers earning GEDs by 2005 - raising the

current average of 10,000 per year to 20,000 per year by 2005. Two methods were used for implementation:

- 1. Targeted marketing efforts across the Commonwealth aimed at educating adults about the financial and personal benefits to getting a GED. (As part of this major marketing initiative, the Governor has formed a statewide partnership between NASCAR, NASCAR racing teams, and the Commonwealth to show workers how to "accelerate your earnings" by getting a GED.)
- 2. Creation of pilot "Fast Track GED" programs in at least five regions of the state by 2005. These pilot programs will identify individuals most likely to benefit from a GED and encourage them to participate in an intensive, three-to-four month GED training program.

<u>Economic Development Collaborations:</u> In September 2005, the VWC and the Virginia Economic Developers Association sponsored a two-hour videoconference for local elected officials at 23 community colleges around the state on "Advancing Economic Development by Using the WIA". This is an on-going effort to increase awareness of the LWIB's role in economic development and enhance collaboration between economic development and workforce development partners.

Assisting in the Establishment and Operation of the One-Stop Delivery System:

The VWN is the interface for employers, jobseekers and workforce development partners at the local level. There are approximately 75 Virginia Workforce Centers. These centers provide a wide range of employment, training and education services, which are available to Virginia employers, workers and job seekers. Program information and access to services coordinated through VWN partners include 14 required federal employment and training programs. Workforce leaders from the 17 LWIBs and community agency partners continue to work collaboratively in ensuring that the career centers are managed effectively, responsive to customer needs and produce successful results. As the administering state agency for the WIA, the VEC's WIA Division has assisted the VWC and LWIBs in establishing the VWN through the development of policies and a series of training sessions. The WIA Division provides customized technical assistance to LWIBs for local workforce investment system development and to strengthen WIA Title I-B programs on a continual basis. Furthermore, the WIA Division provides facilitation and mediation services to LWIBs.

The certification of Virginia Workforce Centers will involve increasingly difficult levels or steps of continuous improvement. The purpose of the certification process is to provide an annual, objective measurement process that ensures that Centers provide a specified level of service to job seekers and employers. Centers that achieve the desired level of service are certified or chartered. Currently, Virginia has at least one certified comprehensive one-stop centers in each local workforce areas.

Statewide Rapid Response Activities:

During PY2005 (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006), the State Dislocated Worker Unit (DWU) received a total of 58 notices under the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification (WARN) Act affecting a total of 11,484 workers. During this same period, DWU staff, working through the local One-Stop Career Center System, helped to coordinate the provision of appropriate employment transition services to approximately 15,494 dislocated workers affected by 129 plant closings and mass layoffs statewide.

PY2005 saw the conclusion of three (3) different National Emergency Grants (NEGs) awarded by the US Department of Labor. First, the Pentagon Attack and Related Dislocations (9/11) NEG (primarily focused in the Northern Virginia Region) concluded on September 30, 2005, after providing valuable employment transition services and skills training to 826 individuals dislocated as a result of the 9/11 terrorist attacks. Total expenditures for this grant were \$5,615,677. On June 30, 2005, two (2) other NEGs came to an end. The Pillowtex NEG provided funding for the employment and training needs of workers dislocated as a result of the closing of the Pillowtex Corporation plant in Fieldale (Henry County) in July 2003. This grant served 597 eligible workers in Henry County, Patrick County, Pittsylvania County, Franklin County, and the Cities of Martinsville and Danville and expended the full grant award of \$3,227,777. The Technicolor/Keller Manufacturing/VF Jeanswear NEG also concluded on June 30, 2005, after serving

127 workers in the Charlottesville/Culpeper areas and in the Shenandoah Valley with \$327,744 in total expenditures. Finally, in June 2005, the Commonwealth received a \$1,000,000 NEG to begin planning for a statewide response to worker dislocations as a result of the recommendations of the 2005 Base Realignment and Closing (BRAC) Commission. A total of 8 Local Workforce Investment Areas are participating in this grant.

To help augment the formula dislocated worker funds of localities hit hard by layoffs and closings, the Commonwealth was able to provide 25% Statewide Rapid Response funds totaling \$1,749,022 in response to LWIA requests for assistance.

NEXT STEPS: CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

As the VWN continues to refine work processes and programs to better meet the needs of employers and jobseekers, it will focus on results that make a positive difference at both the state and local levels.

The economic landscape and workforce development needs were markedly different in July of 2000 when the Commonwealth began transitioning to the WIA. Since then, Virginia has experienced an increase in unemployment, layoffs and closures. This reality, coupled with the economic downturn and shift in economic structure from textile and furniture manufacturing industries to high technology industries, will impact the type of workforce development services provided to Virginia's employers and jobseekers in the future.

Virginia is committed to the continuous improvement of the VWN and WIA funded programs and services. The WIA Division will continue to assist state and local workforce development leaders and professionals by facilitating processes that result in a system that has universal access, provides customer choice, integrates workforce investment services, and is performance-driven.

The WIA Division will continue to provide training and technical assistance on better linking workforce development with economic development, LWIB re-certification, and through usage of the latest version of the VWN information system, opportunities will exist to assure compliance and to better measure and evaluate improvements in WIA programs that will be available to the workforce development system.

WIA TITLE I-B RESULTS

This section provides the required portions of the Commonwealth of Virginia's Title I-B Annual Report and includes:

- Overall Analysis of Title I-B Funded Activities
- Cost Effectiveness of Workforce Development Activities and Performance of Participants
- Evaluation of Workforce Development Activities
- Table Section State and Local Negotiated Levels of Performance and Actual Performance

Analysis:

PY2005 began with a continued awareness of the need for change within the workforce investment system. With the changes that occurred in Virginia, the new performance and accountability system mandated under the WIA provided unique challenges to the new workforce development system. The new system brought a need to re-educate the workforce investment system on the complexities of this new performance management system and common measures.

There are 17 performance measurements under the WIA. Each of these measures has a precise definition. Performance measurement has evolved from the termination-based standards of the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) and early JTPA, to post-program measures based on Unemployment Insurance data under the WIA. The new system requires the use of Unemployment Insurance wage records and the complexities that are a part of that system. The recognition that the performance measurement process has changed dramatically in complexity is critical to working within the new performance paradigm.

Separate funding streams have been provided for the adult, dislocated workers, older youth, and younger youth populations. Each population has its own set of performance measures covering employment rates, retention in employment, earnings, and credential attainment. Customer satisfaction is measured for both employers and program participants using a telephone survey.

Virginia continued to develop policies and procedures to deal with credential attainment, which is a critical part of the WIA performance management process. Plans to increase credential attainment are underway through the Governor's proposed workforce development reforms, which will address GED attainment and a portable credential that confirms to employers that an individual possesses basic workplace skills. Commonwealth has requested a waiver to allow for the awarding of the Career Readiness Certificate (CRC) as part of Intensive services to adults and dislocated workers to further improve the credential rates.

The measures negotiated by the VEC with the Region II office of the United States Department of Labor's Employment and Training Administration (USDOLETA) were higher than other State's within Region II. For measures negotiated with the DOL, Virginia met or exceeded seventeen standards. The adult and dislocated worker employment and credential rates proved to be a challenge for the WIA system in Virginia.

Virginia performed at an average of 113.89-percent of the adult programs targets and 479.46-percent of the dislocated worker targets. The average performance for the dislocated worker programs is due to the negotiated value (\$160) and the actual performance of \$2,570. The earnings change measure was new to the dislocated worker program in PY 2005. There was no historical data to base a more accurate estimate on the negotiated level and the measure was in place for Program Year 2005 only. Performance within the other segments of the program provided mixed results: older youth 111.74-percent of target, younger youth 106.89-percent of target, employer customer satisfaction 107-percent of target, and program participant customer satisfaction 100-percent of target. Attainment of all performance standards will provide opportunities for improvement during the next program year.

Cost of Program Activities:

The Commonwealth's 17 local workforce areas expended \$26.9 million on workforce investment services during PY2005, serving 14,343 participants, at an average cost of \$2,829 as shown in Table A. Additional statewide activity expenditures totaled \$9.5 million, bringing the PY2005 total to \$36.4 million.

Table A.			
Program Activity	7/1/05-6/30/06	Participants	Cost Per
	PY2005	PY2005	Participant
Adults	\$10,904,249	4,271	2,553
Dislocated Workers	\$6,959,939	6,187	\$1,125
Youth	\$10,974,549	3,885	\$2,852
Total of Local WIA Formula Spending Listed Above	\$26,943,841	14,343	\$2,829

The services provided to each customer varies based on individual customer need and the needs and directions set by the LWIBs. In PY2005, the possibility of funding rescissions may have brought about greater expenditures to address the possibility of funding losses. Needs developed due to an increased number of layoffs, which would account for an increase in expenditures.

WIA cumulative expenditures by local workforce areas since PY2000 have been totaled in Table B.

Table B.	
----------	--

Program Activity	Cumulative (as of 6/30/06)	Cumulative Participants	Cumulative Cost Per Participant
Adults	\$58,345,581	28,832	\$2,024
Dislocated Workers	\$42,362,405	29,474	\$1,437
Youth	\$69,867,294	28,417	\$2,459
Total of Cumulative Federal Spending Listed Above	\$141,631,445	86,723	\$1,967

Evaluation of Workforce Development Activities:

Virginia has undertaken significant change during the implementation of the WIA. Program evaluation has been seen as critical to the evolution of quality programs. Although the Commonwealth has not conducted evaluation studies that measure the impact of WIA funded employment and training programs, other assessment techniques have been used, including a monitoring and evaluation system that involves gathering and analyzing information to evaluate services provided, identify strengths and weaknesses and propose improvements. Monitoring activities are conducted yearly and on special occasions to ensure that services comply with contractual agreements, WIB policies, WIA regulations and LWIA requirements.

Tables:

The following data tables represent the results of the WIA programs during PY2005. Although the concept of an "annual report" encourages the reporting of performance and programmatic information for a 12-month period, the reality of the WIA reporting system expands the annual report time frame beyond the traditional model. Data presented in this report covers several reporting cycles and the changes that are expected in the continued transition between two major employment and training/workforce development legislative initiatives. The use of Unemployment Insurance data was a challenge for the system because of time lags in the availability of the data source and the application to a workforce investment program.

Of the PY2005 performance at the State level, Virginia met or exceeded 17 of the 17 negotiated or national levels of performance. Of the 289 standards at the local workforce investment area level, Virginia local workforce areas met and exceeded 267 or 92 percent of the total. The remaining standards fell below the 80 percent level. The older youth earnings change measure was the most frequently missed performance measure. There are small number of older youth exiting during the performance period for that measure this has had a significant impact on local area's performance. Other measures will require further review during Program Year 2005, these measures include: adult earnings change, adult employment and credential rate, older youth credential rate, younger youth diploma or equivalent rate.

II. Table Section

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Levels	Actual Performance Level – American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Completed Surveys	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Survey	Response Rate
Participants	72.5	73.8	525	3,586	1,230	42.70
Employers	71.0	76.20	553	1,962	788	70.20

Table A- Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Table B – Adult Program Results

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Levels	Actual Performance Levels		
Entered Employment Rate	76.0	78.6	1,302	
			1,657	
Employment Retention Rate	80.0	85.3	1,762	
		-	2,066	
Six Months Earnings Change	\$2,900	\$4,303	\$8,631,280	
	·)	, ,	2006	
Employment and Credential	62.5	60.7	1,037	
Rate			1,709	

Reported Information	Recipients Intensive	ssistance s Receiving or Training vices	Vete	erans		als with pilities	Older In	dividuals
Entered		512		73		39		38
Employment Rate	78.8	650	83.9	87	60.0	65	67.9	56
Employment		637	80.4	74	90.0	45	81.1	60
Retention Rate	83.6	762		92		50		74
Six Months Earnings	\$3,937	\$2,925,065	\$5,167	\$459,878	\$5,521	\$270,524	\$4,797	\$350,146
Change		743		89		49		73
Employment and	56.4	354	75.0	57	54.1	33	57.1	28
Credential Rate		628		76		61		49

Table C – Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Table D – Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Received Training Services		Individuals Who Only Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment	79.8	919	75.8	383	
Rate		1,152		505	
Employment	86.1	1,320	82.9	442	
Retention Rate		1,533		533	
Six Months Earnings	\$4,562	\$6,788,916	\$3,557	\$1,842,364	
Change	. ,	1,488	. ,	518	

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Levels	Actual Performance Levels		
Entered Employment Rate	80.0	86.3	1,614	
			1,870	
Employment Retention Rate	86.0	90.3	1,674	
			1,854	
Six Months Earnings Change	\$160	\$2,570	\$4,657,410	
			1,812	
Employment and Credential Rate	62.5	61.7	846	
			1.371	

Table E – Dislocated Worker Program Results

Table F – Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations

Reported Information	Vet	terans		als with	Older In	dividuals		laced makers
Entered		99		34		159	72.7	8
Employment Rate	85.3	116	82.9	41	78.3	203		11
Employment		100	05.0	19	92.0	137	80.0	4
Employment Retention Rate	90.1	111	95.0	20	83.0	185	80.0	5
Six Months Earnings	\$4,231	\$452,719	\$3,798	\$75,955	-\$932	-\$148,188	\$2,620	\$13,102
Change		107		20		159		5
Employment and Credential	59.5	47	61.5	16	53.7	79	70.0	7
Rate		79		26		147		10

Table G – Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Received Training Services		Individuals Who Only Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment	86.5	1,130	85.8	484	
Rate		1,306		564	
Employment	90.1	1,239	90.8	435	
Retention Rate		1,375		479	
Six Months Earnings	\$2,984	\$4,001,236	\$1,393	\$656,174	
Change	<i>+_,</i>	1,341	÷ · , 200	471	

Table H.1 – Youth (14 – 21) Program Results

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Levels	Actual Perform	ance Levels
Placement in Employment	No negotiated levels for	57.6	943
or Education	PY 2005		1,637
Attainment of Degree or	No negotiated levels for	18.3	136
Certificate	PY 2005		744
Literacy and Numeracy	No negotiated levels for	0.0	0
Gains*	PY 2005	5.5	0
*State will report this during			
	Table H.2 - Older Yo	uth (19–21) Results	

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Levels	Actual Perfo	rmance Levels
Entered Employment Rate	70.0	76.0	272
			358
Employment Retention Rate	82.5	80.6	282
			350
Six Months Earnings Change	\$2,200	\$2,818	\$926,947
			329
Credential Rate	51.5	58.0	267
			460

Reported Information		Assistance	Vete	erans		als with	Out-of-Sc	hool Youth
Entered Employment Rate	74.6	91 122	100.0	1	67.7	21 31	76.2	218 286
Employment Retention Rate	80.5	99 123	100.0	2	77.8	21 27	79.9	219 274
Six Months Earnings Change	\$2,788	\$334,576 120	\$12,968	\$25,937 2	\$3,551	\$85,227 24	\$2,566	\$656,786 256
Credential Rate	56.3	81 144	0.0	0	43.2	16 37	59.2	213 360

Table I – Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Table J – Younger Youth (14 – 18) Results

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Levels	Actual Perfo	rmance Levels
Skill Attainment Rate	83.0	80.4	2,892
			3,595
Youth Diploma or Equivalent	63.0	64.6	476
Rate			737
Retention Rate	55.0	66.7	595
			892

Table K – Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Individuals with Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Skill Attainment	82.7	1,413	80.6	923	79.1	136
Rate		1,708		1,145		172
Youth Diploma or	65.6	181	75.4	208	34.7	25
Equivalent Rate		276		276		72
Retention Rate	61.3	201	67.6	188	74.9	140
		328	0.10	278		187

			I	able L – Other	Reporte	a informat	ion			
Reported Information		fonth nent Rate	12 Month E	arnings Increase	Trad	ent in Non- litional oyment	Employm Individual	at Entry Into ent For Those s Who Entered ed Employment	Unsub Emplo Relate Training R Those Complete	y Into sidized oyment d to the teceived of e Who d Training vices
Adult	85.7	1,528	\$4,109	\$7,124,849	2.3	30	\$3,902	\$4,983,467	60.0	539
		1,782		1,734		1,302		1,277		899
Dislocated Worker	91.9	1,563	128.9	\$19,923,534	4.0	64	\$5,853	\$9,289,291	57.2	629
WOIKei		1,700		\$15,455,057		1,614		1,587		1,099
Older Youth	73.2	257		\$1,010,187	1.8	5	\$2,595	\$674,713		
rouin		351	\$3,080	328		272		260		

Table L – Other Reported Information

Table M – Participation Levels

Reported Information	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Total Adult Customers	10,458	4,899
Total Adults (self-service only)	146	82
WIA Adults	4,271	2,361
WIA Dislocated Workers	6,187	2,538
Total Youth (14 – 21)	3,885	1,919
Younger Youth (14 – 18)	3,123	1,495
Older Youth (19 – 21)	762	424
Out-of-School Youth	1,059	521
In-School Youth	2,719	1,318

Table N – Cost of Program Activities

	Program Activity	Total Federal Spending
Local Adults		\$58,345,581
Local Dislocated Work	ers	\$42,362,405
Local Youth		\$69,867,294
Rapid Response (up t	o 25%) §134(a)(2)(A)	\$15,152,430
Statewide-Required A	Activities (up to 15%) §134 (a)(2)(B)	\$21,352,869
Statewide Allowable	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	
Activities §134 (a)(3)	(A)(i) Admin by State of Sect 134 activities (VA Workforce Council & Governor's office)	\$1,059,094
	(A)(ii) Capacity building & technical assistance	\$3,262,506
	(A)(iii) Research & demonstrations	\$449,065
	(A)(iv) Incumbent worker training	\$2,362,325
	(A)(vi) Displaced homemaker program	\$4,204,030
	(A)(vii) Other activities the State determines necessary	\$4,443,911
	TOTAL	\$15,780,931
TOTAL OF ALL	FEDERAL SPENDING LISTED ABOVE	\$222,861,510

Table O – Loca	I Performance
----------------	---------------

Adults 132 Dislocated Workers 202 Older Youth (19 –21) 40 Younger Youth (14 –18) 86 Negotiated Performance Actual Performance 174.1 80.7 79.5 80.5 80.3 76.1 88 85.5 55.6 71.4 80.4 86.8 93.8 81.5 86.2 92.9 62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5		Younger Youth (14-	67 18) 304
Dislocated Workers 202 Older Youth (19 –21) 40 Younger Youth (14 –18) 86 Negotiated Actual Performance Level Level Level 74.1 80.7 79.5 80.5 80.3 76.1 88 85.5 55.6 71.4 80.4 86.8 93.8 81.5 86.2 92.9 62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5			
Older Youth (19 –21) 40 Younger Youth (14 –18) 86 Negotiated Performance Actual Performance 1 74.1 80.7 79.5 80.5 80.5 80.3 76.1 88 85.5 55.6 71.4 80.4 86.8 93.8 93.8 81.5 86.2 93.8 81.5 86.2 93.8 81.5 86.2 93.8 81.5 86.2 93.8 81.5 86.2 92.9 62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5			-
Younger Youth (14 –18) 86 Negotiated Performance Actual Performance 1 74.1 80.7 79.5 80.5 80.3 76.1 88 85.5 55.6 71.4 93.8 81.5 86.2 92.9 62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5	Fotal Exiters		
Negotiated Performance Level Actual Performance 74.1 80.7 79.5 80.5 80.3 76.1 88 85.5 55.6 71.4 80.4 86.8 93.8 81.5 86.2 92.9 62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5			
Performance Level Performance Level 74.1 80.7 79.5 80.5 80.3 76.1 88 85.5 55.6 71.4 80.4 86.8 93.8 81.5 86.2 92.9 62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5		Negotiated	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			
79.5 80.5 80.3 76.1 88 85.5 55.6 71.4 80.4 86.8 93.8 81.5 86.2 92.9 62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5		Level	Level
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Program Participant		80.7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Employers		80.5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Adults		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dislocated Workers	88	85.5
93.8 81.5 86.2 92.9 62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5	Dider Youth		
86.2 92.9 62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5	Adults	80.4	86.8
62.9 75.4 \$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5	Dislocated Workers		
\$3,887 \$6,598 \$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5	Dider Youth		
\$160 \$2,167 \$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5			
\$2,329 \$5,764 70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5	Adults		
70.6 60.0 60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5			
60.8 69.1 45.5 58.5			
45.5 58.5			
			74.6
	(outh (14 – 21)		00 -
levels for PY 2005 68.7			68.7
	routh (14 – 21)		20 F
No negotiated	(auth (11 21)		29.5
No negotiated levels for PY 2005 29.5	routh (14 – 21)		0.0
No negotiatedlevels for PY 200529.5No negotiated	mance (WIA Section 136 (d)(1) – Insert	levels for Ff 2005	0.0
No negotiated levels for PY 200529.5No negotiated levels for PY 20050.0			
83.1 86.4 No negotiated levels for PY 2005	Younger Youth Younger Youth Youth (14 – 21) Youth (14 – 21) Youth (14 – 21)	83.1 86.4 No negotiated levels for PY 2005 No negotiated levels for PY 2005 No negotiated	
	(outh (14 – 21)		
IEVEIS TOF PY 2005 68.7	(auth (4.4 - 04)		68.7
	routh (14 – 21)		00 F
No negotiated			29.5
No negotiated levels for PY 2005 29.5	(outh (14 – 21)		
No negotiated levels for PY 2005 29.5			0.0
No negotiatedlevels for PY 200529.5No negotiated		levels for PY 2005	0.0
No negotiated levels for PY 200529.5No negotiated levels for PY 20050.0			
No negotiated levels for PY 2005 29.5 No negotiated levels for PY 2005 0.0 d)(1) – Insert	r state indicators of performance)		
ounger Youth outh (14 – 21)		Program Participant Employers Idults Dislocated Workers Dider Youth Idults Dislocated Workers Dislocated	Younger Youth (14 - Negotiated Performance LevelProgram Participant74.1Imployers79.5Idults80.3Dislocated Workers88Older Youth55.6Idults80.4Dislocated Workers93.8Older Youth62.9Idults\$3,887Dislocated Workers\$160Older Youth\$2,329Idults70.6Dislocated Workers60.8Older Youth85.1Idults70.6Dislocated Workers60.8Older Youth83.1Idults70.6Dislocated Workers60.8Older Youth86.4Idults70.6Idults1000000000000000000000000000000000000

Local Area Name			Adults	350
			Dislocated Workers	227
New River/Mount Rogers	Total Participants S	erved	Older Youth (19-21)	111
			Younger Youth (14-1	18) 300
ETA Assigned #			Adults	181
-			Dislocated Workers	167
51045	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21) 45
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 129
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
-			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	70	70.0
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		70	75.8
	Adults		75	83.4
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers	;	80	85.4
	Older Youth		68	82.4
	Adults		80	82.3
	Dislocated Workers	5	86	90.8
Retention Rates	Older Youth		79.5	84.1
	Younger Youth		55	79.1
	Adults		\$2, 500	\$3,321
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers		\$160	\$1,863
	Older Youth		\$1,800	\$1,998
	Adults		62.5	63.6
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		62.5	65.2
·	Older Youth		51.5	76.2
	Younger Youth		63	86.6
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		83	88.6
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	59.3
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
······································			levels for PY 2005	18.3
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
	. ,		levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Perf	formance (WIA Section	136 (d)(1) – Insert		
additional rows if there are more than two or				
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
		0	1	16

Local Area Name			Adults	175
			Dislocated Workers	230
Western Virginia	Total Participants S	erved	Older Youth (19-21)	30
			Younger Youth (14-	18) 157
ETA Assigned #			Adults	95
			Dislocated Workers	89
51095	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21	
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 133
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	71	86.5
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72.5	81.7
	Adults		75	90.5
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers	i	80	100.0
	Older Youth		70	87.5
	Adults		80	89.2
	Dislocated Workers		86	97.4
Retention Rates	Older Youth		82.5	66.7
	Younger Youth		55	78.3
	Adults		\$2,735	\$5,060
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers	i	\$160	\$6,239
	Older Youth		\$2,200	\$2,496
	Adults		62	68.1
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		62.5	81.5
	Older Youth		51	80.0
	Younger Youth		63	80.0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		83	93.5
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	46.8
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	7.5
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Per additional rows if there are more than two o	formance (WIA Section 1	36 (a)(1) – Insert		
additional rows in there are more than two o	the state multators of p	enormance)		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Performance		0	1	16

Local Area Name			Adults	170
			Dislocated Workers	191
Shenandoah Valley	Total Participants S	erved	Older Youth (19-21)	14
			Younger Youth (14-1	18) 242
ETA Assigned #			Adults	132
			Dislocated Workers	183
51075	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21) 9
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 119
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
•			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	70	73.9
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	71.9
	Adults		74	83.2
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers	;	79	88.8
-	Older Youth		65	75.0
	Adults		80	88.1
	Dislocated Workers	5	87	92.2
Retention Rates	Older Youth		77	66.7
	Younger Youth		56	64.7
	Adults		\$2,400	\$2,948
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers	;	\$120	\$748
	Older Youth		\$1,600	-\$205
-	Adults		52	72.0
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		52	80.2
•••••	Older Youth	•	52	75.0
	Younger Youth		57	65.2
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		74	78.6
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	61.7
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	0
			levels for PY 2005	8.0
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	0.0
			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Perf	formance (WIA Section	136 (d)(1) – Insert		0.0
additional rows if there are more than two or	ther state indicators of	performance)		
		,		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
		1	1	15

Local Area Name			Adults	152
	Total Participants Served		Dislocated Workers	46
Northern Shenandoah Valley			Older Youth (19-21)	14
			Younger Youth (14-	18) 26
ETA Assigned #			Adults	72
0			Dislocated Workers	25
51080	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21) 5
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 17
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
·			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	70	74.3
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	80.4
	Adults		76	73.0
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		80	73.1
	Older Youth		65	66.7
	Adults		80	83.3
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers	; ;	86	88.7
	Older Youth		77	71.4
	Younger Youth		56	70.8
	Adults		\$2,400	\$4,151
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers	;	\$120	\$187
	Older Youth		\$1,600	\$4,757
	Adults		62.5	61.5
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers	;	62.5	52.9
	Older Youth		52	33.3
	Younger Youth		57	50.0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		74	80.0
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	58.8
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Perf	ormance (WIA Section	36 (d)(1) – Insert		
additional rows if there are more than two of	ther state indicators of p	performance)	-	
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded

Local Area Name			Adults	105
	Total Participants Served		Dislocated Workers	205
Piedmont Workforce Network			Older Youth (19-21)	28
			Younger Youth (14-	18) 80
ETA Assigned #			Adults	48
-			Dislocated Workers	106
51055	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21) 17
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 46
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	70	68.8
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	76.4
	Adults		74	58.8
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers	;	79	85.3
	Older Youth		67	81.0
	Adults		84	91.3
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers	;	85	94.7
	Older Youth		82	94.1
	Younger Youth		50	78.9
	Adults		\$1,900	\$6,844
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers	5	\$2,000	\$4,259
	Older Youth		\$2,500	\$3,804
	Adults		50	53.8
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers	5	50	41.7
-	Older Youth		52	80.0
	Younger Youth		57	77.8
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		74	60.6
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
	. ,		levels for PY 2005	87.1
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
C C	. ,		levels for PY 2005	91.7
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Per				
additional rows if there are more than two o	ther state indicators of p	performance)	<u> </u>	
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
		1	3	13

Local Area Name			Adults	132
			Dislocated Workers	39
Region 2000/Central Virginia	Total Participants Served		Older Youth (19-21)	35
			Younger Youth (14-	18) 143
ETA Assigned #			Adults	57
5			Dislocated Workers	33
51085	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 - 21	
			Younger Youth (14 -	
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
•			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	70	87.4
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	73.4
	Adults		74	62.5
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		79	85.7
• •	Older Youth		67	66.7
	Adults		84	83.3
	Dislocated Workers		92	84.3
Retention Rates	Older Youth		82	78.6
	Younger Youth		56	55.3
	Adults		\$2,800	\$4,300
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers		-\$3,000	\$845
gogo	Older Youth		\$2,500	\$2,847
	Adults		62	<u>51.7</u>
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		62	55.6
	Older Youth		52	20.8
	Younger Youth		57	33.3
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		74	40.2
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	+0.Z
			levels for PY 2005	46.5
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	10.0
Addition of Degree of Octanicate			levels for PY 2005	2.0
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	2.0
Literaty of Humoraty Julio			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Per	formance (WIA Section 1	36 (d)(1) – Insert		0.0
additional rows if there are more than two o				
	•	,		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Performance		3	8	6

Local Area Name			Adults	392
	Total Participants Served		Dislocated Workers	623
South Central			Older Youth (19-21)	63
			Younger Youth (14-	18) 172
ETA Assigned #			Adults	227
-			Dislocated Workers	244
51090	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21) 51
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 111
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participant		66.5	66.8
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		66	79.7
	Adults		68.5	80.8
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		76	89.1
	Older Youth		67	85.7
	Adults		72	87.7
	Dislocated Workers		81	90.7
Retention Rates	Older Youth		75.5	84.6
	Younger Youth		50	62.5
	Adults		\$2,450	\$4,972
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers		\$120	\$4,499
	Older Youth		\$2,275	\$4,003
	Adults		58.5	65.0
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		57.5	72.9
	Older Youth		48.5	55.9
	Younger Youth		52	54.3
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		66	50.6
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
	, ,		levels for PY 2005	81.3
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
-			levels for PY 2005	52.9
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Per				
additional rows if there are more than two o	other state indicators of p	erformance)		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
		1	0	16
Overall Status of Local Performance		I	0	10

Local Area Name			Adults Dislocated Workers	<u> </u>
Capital Area	Total Participants S	erved	Older Youth (19-21)	27
			Younger Youth (14-	
ETA Assigned #			Adults	52
			Dislocated Workers	
51015	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21	
			Younger Youth (14 -	
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	72.5	73.1
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71	76.0
	Adults		75	93.5
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		75	87.5
	Older Youth		65	80.0
	Adults		70	83.0
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers		82	87.5
	Older Youth		70	60.0
	Younger Youth		55	69.2
	Adults		\$2,900	\$4,207
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers		\$160	\$5,021
	Older Youth		\$2,200	\$3,598
	Adults		60	62.1
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		62.5	52.6
	Older Youth		51.5	50.0
	Younger Youth		53	36.4
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		83	97.3
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	50.0
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
		00 (1) (1)	levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Peri				
additional rows if there are more than two o	the state malcators of	enormance)		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
		1	4	12

	Younger Youth		56	71.9
Detertion Deter	Dislocated Workers		92	95.7
Retention Rates	Older Youth		82	81.3
Six Monthe Fornings Change	Adults		\$2,800	\$2,974
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers		\$160	\$4,673
	Older Youth		\$2,500	\$3,279
	Adults		62	75.6
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		62	75.0
	Older Youth		52	80.0
	Younger Youth		57	87.5
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		74	85.2
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	68.3
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	33.3
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Per				
additional rows if there are more than two o				
additional rows if there are more than two o	ther state indicators of p	erformance)		
additional rows if there are more than two o	ther state indicators of p	errormance)		
	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
			0	
		1		16

Skill Attainment RatePlacement in Education or EducationAttainment of Degree or CertificateLiteracy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21) Youth (14 – 21) Youth (14 – 21)	No negotiated levels for PY 2005 No negotiated levels for PY 2005 No negotiated levels for PY 2005	93.9 68.5 <u>30.0</u> 0.0
Placement in Education or Education Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21) Youth (14 – 21)	No negotiated levels for PY 2005 No negotiated levels for PY 2005	68.5
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)	No negotiated levels for PY 2005 No negotiated	68.5
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)	No negotiated levels for PY 2005	
			93.9
Skill Attainment Rate		/0	93.9
	Younger Youth	76	
	Younger Youth	57.5	66.7
orogonialo/Dipionia Nates	Older Youth	43	62.5
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers	62.5	66.0
	Adults	46	ه ۵ ۵,376 82.2
Six wonth's carnings change	Older Youth	\$160 \$2,152	\$4,625 \$5,378
Six Months Earnings Change	Adults Dislocated Workers	\$2,800	\$7,035 \$4,635
	Younger Youth	51	83.9
Retention Rates	Older Youth	60	83.3
	Dislocated Workers	79	86.1
	Adults	73.8	88.5
	Older Youth	67	100.0
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers	64.5	90.7
	Adults	74	89.1
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	72.5	59.4
	Program Participant	71	75.4
		Performance Level	Performance Level
Reported Information		Negotiated	Actual
		Younger Youth (14	
51010	Total Exiters	Older Youth (19 –21	
ETA ASSIGNEU #			
ETA Accimod #			<u>18) 8</u> 74
Northern Virginia	Total Participants Served		
		Dislocated Workers	
Local Area Name Northern Virginia ETA Assigned #	Total Participants Served	Older Youth (19-21) Younger Youth (14- Adults Dislocated Workers	18)

Local Area Name			Adults	116
			Dislocated Workers	161
Alexandria/Arlington	Total Participants Served		Older Youth (19-21)	11
			Younger Youth (14-	18) 27
ETA Assigned #	ed #		Adults	24
-			Dislocated Workers	31
51005	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21) 9
			Younger Youth (14	-18) 8
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	56	92.2
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		54	79.9
	Adults		59	100.0
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers	5	63	100.0
	Older Youth		54	100.0
	Adults		67	76.7
	Dislocated Workers	5	74	100.0
Retention Rates	Older Youth		66	100.0
	Younger Youth		45	93.8
	Adults		\$2,300	\$6,855
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers		\$160	\$12,464
	Older Youth	•	\$2.040	\$4,435
	Adults		50	100.0
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		50	100.0
	Older Youth	•	42	70.0
	Younger Youth		46	80.0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		59	100.0
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	100.0
	100011(14 - 21)		levels for PY 2005	90.9
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	30.3
Attainment of Degree of Certificate	100011(14 - 21)		levels for PY 2005	40.0
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	40.0
Elleracy of Numeracy Gains	100011(14 - 21)		levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Per	formance (WIA Section 1	136 (d)(1) – Insert		0.0
additional rows if there are more than two o				
		sonronnanoo,		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Performance		0	0	17

Local Area Name			Adults	228
			Dislocated Workers	99
Bay Consortium	Total Participants S	erved	Older Youth (19-21)	44
			Younger Youth (14-	18) 151
ETA Assigned #			Adults	148
			Dislocated Workers	75
51070	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 -21) 28
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 94
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	69	79.1
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		67	78.6
	Adults		74	74.0
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers	3	79	79.1
	Older Youth		70	85.7
	Adults		82	84.8
	Dislocated Workers	;	89	85.9
Retention Rates	Older Youth		80	92.3
	Younger Youth		54	80.0
	Adults		\$1,342	\$3,642
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers	;	-\$2,000	\$404
	Older Youth		\$1,987	\$2,372
	Adults		52	67.9
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers	;	52	66.7
	Older Youth		52	77.4
	Younger Youth		55	86.1
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	75.0
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	64.6
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	20.3
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Per	formance (WIA Section 1	136 (d)(1) – Insert		
additional rows if there are more than two o	ther state indicators of p	berrormance)		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Logal Parformance		0	2	15
Overall Status of Local Performance		-		-

Local Area Name			Adults	244
			Dislocated Workers	292
Greater Peninsula	Total Participants Served		Older Youth (19-21)	70
			Younger Youth (14-1	131
ETA Assigned #			Adults	134
			Dislocated Workers	162
51020	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21) 41
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 97
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
•			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	60	69.9
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		60	64.8
	Adults		67	69.6
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers	;	75	82.0
	Older Youth		59	74.1
	Adults		74	65.3
	Dislocated Workers	5	79	87.3
Retention Rates	Older Youth		70	90.7
	Younger Youth		47	75.7
	Adults		\$2.050	\$3,754
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers		\$160	\$1,013
5 5	Older Youth		\$1,850	\$2,777
	Adults		50	66.0
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		52	57.1
	Older Youth		45	71.1
	Younger Youth		47	75.0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		66	95.2
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	67.0
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	59.1
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
······································			levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Per	formance (WIA Section 1	36 (d)(1) - Insert		
additional rows if there are more than two o	ther state indicators of p	performance)		
		,		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Performance		0	1	16

Not Met	Met	Exceeded
performance)		
136 (d)(1) - Insert	levels for PY 2005	0.0
	No negotiated	
	levels for PY 2005	32.5
	No negotiated	
		53.6
		00.0
		<u> </u>
		<u>46.2</u> 55.0
6		79.4
	_	51.2
		\$5,915
6		-\$1,396
		\$3,996
	_	50.0
Older Youth Younger Youth		100.0
6	74	88.6
	71	80.7
	58	70.0
6	70	86.0
	62	81.1
	57	73.1
t		82.8
		Level
		Actual Performance
	Younger Youth (14 -	
		89
Total Participants Served		19
		42
	Served	Younger Youth (14- Adults Dislocated Workers Older Youth (19 –21 Younger Youth (14 – Younger Youth (14 – Negotiated Performance Level nt 59 57 62 s 70 58 71 58 71 58 71 58 71 58 74 48 \$2,165 \$0 \$1,915 52 44 48 62 \$1,915 52 62 No negotiated levels for PY 2005 No negotiated levels for PY 2005 No negotiated levels for PY 2005 No negotiated levels for PY 2005

Local Area Name			Adults	635
			Dislocated Workers Older Youth (19-21)	147
Hampton Roads	Total Participants S	Total Participants Served		78
				8) 565
ETA Assigned #			Adults	530
-	Total Exiters		Dislocated Workers	98
51035			Older Youth (19 –21)	
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 102
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	62	73.4
Customer Satisfaction Entered Employment Rates	Employers		62	72.7
	Adults		69	76.2
	Dislocated Workers		75	81.1
	Older Youth		61	68.9
	Adults		72	80.4
	Dislocated Workers		60 74	80.0
Retention Rates	Older Youth	Older Youth		71.1
	Younger Youth		52	48.3
	Adults		\$2,350	\$2,877
Six Months Earnings Change	Dislocated Workers	5	-\$3,000	-\$691
	Older Youth		\$1,923	\$1,888
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Adults		61	65.1
	Dislocated Workers		48.8	54.8
	Older Youth		52	51.5
	Younger Youth		30	66.7
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		68	92.8
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated levels for PY 2005	42.8
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated levels for PY 2005	1.8
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Per additional rows if there are more than two				
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Performance			4	13

Local Area Name			Adults	636
			Dislocated Workers	2,440
West Piedmont	Total Participants Served		Older Youth (19-21)	76
			Younger Youth (14-	18) 409
ETA Assigned #			Adults	301
			Dislocated Workers	706
51105	Total Exiters		Older Youth (19 –21	
			Younger Youth (14 -	-18) 178
Reported Information			Negotiated	Actual
			Performance	Performance
			Level	Level
	Program Participan	t	56	71.7
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		54	76.3
Entered Employment Rates	Adults		59	75.2
	Dislocated Workers		63	83.8
	Older Youth		54	71.4
	Adults		67	90.3
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers		74	93.0
	Older Youth		66	60.9
	Younger Youth		45	50.0
Six Months Earnings Change	Adults		\$2,240	\$4,323
	Dislocated Workers		\$75	\$1,829
	Older Youth		\$2,000	\$1,896
Credentials/Diploma Rates	Adults		50	45.1
	Dislocated Workers	;	50	41.3
	Older Youth		42	20.6
	Younger Youth		46	49.6
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		59	48.8
Placement in Education or Education	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	50.0
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
			levels for PY 2005	24.4
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14 – 21)		No negotiated	
	. ,		levels for PY 2005	0.0
Description of Other State Indicators of Perf	formance (WIA Section 1	36 (d)(1) – Insert		
additional rows if there are more than two or	ther state indicators of p	performance)		
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
		1	5	11