



January 16, 1991

To: Emissions Testing Ad Hoc Group

From: Gina <sup>Mesa</sup> Nelbans

1. DRAFT REVISED TURNER, MASON & CO. REPORT

Please find attached the above report for your review. We would appreciate it if you would arrange for the shipment of your respective components to Phillips as soon as possible. Jim Lyons is currently assisting us in approaching the Districts for variances for component loading. I will be getting in touch with the company contact people to determine which companies require variances, and to construct a list of company individuals that deal with permits/variances.

2. CARB REQUEST FOR LOWERING T50

The Stationary Source Division approached WSPA staff yesterday and asked us to discuss with the companies the possibility of reducing T50 in the fuel matrix for the emissions testing study to a level lower than what is being suggested in the Turner, Mason report. They feel that Auto/Oil is giving information on emissions effects of T90, and they need more variation in T50 in order to give them the same type of information. They would like to have at least a 20 degree reduction (while allowing some reduction in T90). The question they are posing is whether this type of reduction in T50 is practical or realistic from a refining standpoint. Both myself, Chuck and Bob Cunningham have told them that this would lead to an unbalanced refinery situation, however, they are persisting since they believe it is critical for the purposes of the study and the regulation to have lower T50.

Acton → Please let me know your company's opinion by close of business, Thursday January 17.

In the Matter of Union Oil  
Company of California  
Docket No. 8365

RX 113

505 No. Brand Blvd., Suite 1400 • Glendale, California 91203 • (818) 545-4106

WSPA\_FTC0034393

RX0113-001