

the quantity of material that Complaint Counsel has designated that pertains to the operations of the non-party refiners (much of which contains potentially business sensitive information) and the need for careful scrutiny to minimize the number of documents for which *in camera* treatment is sought, additional time will be required to complete this process. The non-party refiners therefore request an extension of fourteen days, to and including October 24, 2003, of the deadline for any motion for *in camera* treatment of documents designated by Unocal for possible introduction at the hearing.

We have discussed this request in telephone conversations with Complaint Counsel and counsel for Unocal. Complaint counsel has represented that it concurs in our view that the additional time will assist efforts to minimize the number of documents for which *in camera* treatment may be sought. Both Complaint Counsel and counsel for Unocal have indicated that they do not oppose this extension request.

DATED: October 9, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Donald B. Craven
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER &
FELD, LLP
1333 New Hampshire Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20036

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of)	
)	
UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA,)	Docket No. 9305
)	
a corporation.)	
)	

**[PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINE
FOR THE FILING OF MOTIONS SEEKING IN CAMERA TREATMENT**

Upon consideration of the Non-Party Refiners' Unopposed Motion For Extension Of Time To Move For *In Camera* Treatment Of Hearing Exhibits Designated By Complaint Counsel, it is hereby ordered that the movants shall be given until October 24, 2003, to file a motion seeking *in camera* treatment of documents and deposition excerpts identified in Complaint Counsel's September 24, 2003, lists.

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on October 9, 2003, I caused an original and two copies of the Non-Party Refiners' Unopposed Motion For Extension Of Time To Move For In Camera Treatment Of Hearing Exhibits Designated By Complaint Counsel to be filed by hand, and one electronic copy of that motion to be filed by electronic mail with:

Donald S. Clark
Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Rm. H-159
Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that on October 9, 2003, I caused two copies of the foregoing motion to be served by U.S. mail upon:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that on October 9, 2003, I caused one copy of the foregoing motion to be served by hand delivery upon each person listed below:

J. Robert Robertson, Esq.
Senior Litigation Counsel
Bureau of Competition
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580

Richard B. Dagen, Esq.
(through service upon)
Chong S. Park, Esq.
Bureau of Competition
Federal Trade Commission
601 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Rm. NJ-6213
Washington, DC 20001

I also certify that on October 9, 2003, I also caused one copy of the foregoing motion to be served by U.S. mail upon:

David W. Beehler, Esq.
Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi, LLP
2800 LaSalle Plaza
800 LaSalle Avenue
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015

Jeffrey P. Kehne