

225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The applications also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than August 20, 2009.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (A. Linwood Gill, III, Vice President) 701 East Byrd Street, Richmond, Virginia 23261-4528:

1. *Piedmont Community Bank Holdings, Inc.*, Chapel Hill, North Carolina; to become a bank holding company by acquiring up to 62 percent of the voting securities of VantageSouth Bank, Burlington, North Carolina.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (E. Ann Worthy, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201-2272:

1. *Community Trust Financial Corporation*, Ruston, Louisiana; to merge with First Louisiana Bancshares, Inc., and indirectly acquire First Louisiana Bank, both of Shreveport, Louisiana.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 21, 2009.

Margaret McCloskey Shanks

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. E9-17686 Filed 7-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Docket No. 9310]

Aspen Technology, Inc.; Analysis to Aid Public Comment on Proposed Agreement Containing Order to Show Cause and Order Modifying Order

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the complaint and the terms of the consent order — embodied in the consent agreement — that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 5, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments electronically or in paper form. Comments should refer to “Aspen Technology, Inc., Docket No. 9310” to facilitate the organization of comments. Please note that your comment — including your name and your state — will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including on the publicly accessible FTC website, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtml>).

Because comments will be made public, they should not include any sensitive personal information, such as an individual’s Social Security Number; date of birth; driver’s license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. Comments also should not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, comments should not include any “[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which is obtained from any person and which is privileged or confidential. . . .” as provided in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and Commission Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled “Confidential,” and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c).¹

¹The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record.

Because paper mail addressed to the FTC is subject to delay due to heightened security screening, please consider submitting your comments in electronic form. Comments filed in electronic form should be submitted by using the following weblink: (<https://secure.commentworks.com/ftc-AspenTech/>) Tech (and following the instructions on the web-based form). To ensure that the Commission considers an electronic comment, you must file it on the web-based form at the weblink: (<https://secure.commentworks.com/ftc-AspenTech/>). If this Notice appears at (<http://www.regulations.gov/search/index.jsp>), you may also file an electronic comment through that website. The Commission will consider all comments that regulations.gov forwards to it. You may also visit the FTC website at (<http://www.ftc.gov/>) to read the Notice and the news release describing it.

A comment filed in paper form should include the “Aspen Technology, Inc., Docket No. 9310 reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H-135, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580. The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions.

The Federal Trade Commission Act (“FTC Act”) and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives, whether filed in paper or electronic form. Comments received will be available to the public on the FTC website, to the extent practicable, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtml>). As a matter of discretion, the Commission makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC website. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC’s privacy policy, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.shtml>).

The request will be granted or denied by the Commission’s General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel P. Ducore, Bureau of Competition, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20580, (202) 326-2526.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 3.25(f) the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 3.25(f), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for July 6, 2009), on the World Wide Web, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/2009/07/index.htm>). A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. All comments should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before the date specified in the **DATES** section.

Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission, subject to its final approval, has accepted for public comment an Agreement Containing Order to Show Cause and Order Modifying Order ("Proposed Modifying Order" or "Order") with Aspen Technology, Inc. ("Aspen") to resolve the Commission's investigation related to Aspen's compliance with its obligations under the Decision and Order issued in this matter on December 20, 2004 ("Original Order").

The Original Order required, among other things, that Aspen divest the software product HYSYS and certain related assets Aspen had obtained through its acquisition of Hyprotech, Ltd. ("Hyprotech assets"). Under the terms of the Original Order, Aspen was required to divest the Hyprotech assets on or before March 28, 2005, to an acquirer approved by the Commission. On December 20, 2004, the Commission approved Honeywell International Inc. ("Honeywell") as the acquirer of the Hyprotech assets.

Following entry of the Original Order in 2004, issues arose concerning the scope and timeliness of Aspen's compliance. After a full investigation, the Commission found reason to believe that Aspen had not complied fully with its obligations; the Commission notified the Department of Justice of its intention to file an enforcement action under Section 5(l) of the FTC Act. The Commission has since determined in its discretion that, rather than pursuing the enforcement action, it is in the public interest to reopen this proceeding pursuant to Section 3.72(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR § 3.72(b), and modify the Original Order by adding provisions intended to remediate the inability of the Original Order to achieve fully its stated purpose as a result of actions by Aspen. Although Aspen denies these allegations, it has consented to entry of the Proposed Modifying Order.

The Proposed Modifying Order revises the Original Order by requiring Aspen to maintain the capability to save customer case files containing the Input Variables created in Aspen HYSYS, Aspen HYSYS Dynamics, and certain heat exchange simulation software products into a Portable Format, as defined in the Order. The Order further requires Aspen to provide Honeywell with certain technical information to enable Honeywell to utilize the Portable Format. These provisions are intended to enable Honeywell to compete with Aspen as intended by the Original Order.

The Proposed Modifying Order has been placed on the public record for 30 days for interested persons to comment. Comments received during this 30 day period will become part of the public record. After 30 days, the Commission will again review the Proposed Modifying Order and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw the Proposed Modifying Order or make the Proposed Modifying Order final.

1. The Respondent

Aspen, headquartered in Burlington, Massachusetts, is a developer and worldwide supplier of simulation software. Its products are used by firms in the refining, oil and gas, petrochemical, chemical, pharmaceutical, and other process manufacturing industries and by engineering and construction companies that support those industries.

2. The Proposed Modifying Order

The Proposed Modifying Order requires Aspen to complete and maintain a Portable Format Export/

Import Feature for Aspen HYSYS 6.0, Aspen HYSYS 7.1 and all current and future versions of Aspen HYSYS, Aspen HYSYS Dynamics, and the covered heat exchange simulation software products until December 31, 2014, or if Honeywell exercises the option permitted it in the Order, until December 31, 2016. The Order defines a Portable Format Export/Import Feature as the provision for the export into and import from a Portable Format of Input Variables. The Order further defines a Portable Format as a structured file format that is both human and machine-readable and defines an Input Variable as all user input data related to calculations and basic user input data related to HYSYS flowsheet block and stream graphical layouts. The current Portable Format generally used by Aspen is an XML format.

The Proposed Modifying Order also requires Aspen to provide Honeywell with certain Technical Documentation, which is defined as tags used to identify the user input variable, data types of the tags (e.g., integer, real, Boolean, text, choice), valid choices for choice data types, and a definition of the meaning of the tags. The Technical Information will provide information to Honeywell that is intended to allow it to develop the ability to import Input Variables written by Aspen to the Portable Format and to export those Input Variables into the Portable Format. Under the terms of the Proposed Modifying Order, Dr. Thomas L. Teague is appointed as Monitor. The Monitor will Validate the Portable Format Export/Import Feature in the relevant software products, review the completeness of Technical Documentation provided by Aspen to Honeywell, and take other steps to monitor Aspen's compliance with its obligations under the Order, including reporting on a regular basis to the Commission. The Order requires Aspen to grant and transfer to the Monitor all rights, powers, and authority necessary to carry out the Monitor's duties and responsibilities.

To ensure the Portable Format Export/Import Feature in HYSYS 2006.0 and HYSYS 7.1 is complete, the Order requires Aspen to update HYSYS 2006.0 to complete the Portable Format Export/Import Feature. The Monitor will Validate the HYSYS 2006.0 Update, to verify that the Portable Format Export/Import Feature is complete and functional, by verifying that (i) as to the Input Variables common to Aspen HYSYS and Aspen HYSYS Dynamics versions 7.1 and HYSYS 2006.0 Update, the native input report (.dmp) text files for each case in a HYSYS Portability Test Suite are shown to be substantially

the same as the input report (.dmp) files that are produced when the Portable Format file is exported from Aspen HYSYS version 7.1 and Aspen HYSYS Dynamics version 7.1, and then imported as a new case in HYSYS 2006.0 Update, and (ii) running HYSYS 2006.0 Update in calculation mode, each case in the HYSYS Portability Test Suite demonstrates that the calculation results from the original case file and the calculation results from the exported/imported case file are substantially the same. The HYSYS Portability Suite is a group of test cases provided by Aspen and reviewed by the Monitor that tests the capability of the Portable Format Export/Import Feature to import and export all Input Variables. The Order requires Aspen to fix any errors in the Portable Format Export/Import of the HYSYS 2006.0 Update discovered by the Monitor during the Validation process.

To facilitate Honeywell's development of the capability to import cases exported by Aspen using the Portable Format Export/Import Feature, the Proposed Modifying Order requires Aspen to provide Honeywell with the HYSYS 2006.0 Update, including object and source code, the HYSYS Portability Test Suite, and Technical Documentation of any modifications to the Portable Format or Input Variables between the HYSYS 2006.0 Update and the versions of HYSYS 7.1 or HYSYS Dynamics 7.1 current as of April 30, 2009.

To ensure all HTFS+ products have functional Portable Format Export/Import Features, Aspen is required to provide the Monitor and Honeywell with Technical Documentation of the HTFS+ Portable Format and an HTFS+ Test Suite. The Monitor will review both the Technical Documentation and HTFS+ Test Suite and require any necessary modification.

During the term of the Proposed Modifying Order, Aspen is required to take certain actions before releasing any new version of Aspen HYSYS, Aspen HYSYS Dynamics, or of the covered heat exchange software products. Aspen is required to provide the Monitor with a beta version of planned new releases of these products for review and Validation. The Monitor must be able to validate new versions of Aspen HYSYS and Aspen HYSYS Dynamics by verifying (i) that native input report (.dmp) text files in the new release are shown to be substantially the same as the input report (.dmp) files that are produced when the Portable Format file is exported and then imported as a new case in the new release, (ii) as to Input Variables common to the new release

and HYSYS 2006.0 Update, that the native input report (.dmp) text files for each case in the HYSYS Portability Test Suite are shown to be substantially the same as the input report (.dmp) files that are produced when the Portable Format file is exported from the new release and then imported as a new case in HYSYS 2006.0 Update, and (iii) the Portable Format Export/Import Feature is used in the new release in a substantially similar manner as such feature is used in HYSYS 2006.0 Update. Aspen cannot release the new version until the Monitor completes Validation of the product, provided that the Monitor completes such Validation in a timely fashion; otherwise, the Validation may be completed post-release.

Aspen is also required to provide the Monitor with Technical Documentation of Portable Format tags for all new Input Variables in the proposed new release, which the Monitor shall review for completeness. Aspen must also provide Honeywell with a copy of the Technical Documentation two weeks prior to publishing the new release. The Documentation provided to Honeywell must either incorporate revisions to the Technical Documentation required by the Monitor or provide such revisions as an update to the documentation, depending on when the Monitor informs Aspen of the need for such revisions.

If, during the term of the Proposed Modifying Order, Aspen replaces XML as the Portable Format used in Aspen HYSYS, Aspen HYSYS Dynamics, or the covered heat exchanges simulation software products, the Monitor must determine the appropriate procedure for Validating releases using the new Portable Format and providing Technical Documentation to Honeywell. Aspen cannot ship a new release of Aspen HYSYS, Aspen HYSYS Dynamics, or the covered heat exchanges simulation software products until two weeks after it has provided to Honeywell all Technical Documentation required by the Monitor.

By direction of the Commission, with Commissioner J. Thomas Rosch recused.

Donald S. Clark

Secretary

[FR Doc. E9-17704 Filed 7-23-09; 7:17 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Indian Health Service

Request for Public Comment: 60-Day Proposed Information Collection: Application for Participation in the IHS Scholarship Program

AGENCY: Indian Health Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which requires to provide a 60-days advance opportunity for public comment on proposed information collection projects, the Indian Health Service (IHS) is publishing for comment a summary of a proposed information collection to be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review.

Proposed Collection: Title: 0917-0006, "Application for Participation in the IHS Scholarship Program." *Type of Information Collection Request:* Three year extension, with change of currently approved information collection, 0917-0006, "Application for Participation in the IHS Scholarship Program." *Form Number(s):* IHS-856, 856-2 through 856-24, IHS-815, IHS-816, IHS-817, and JHS-818. Reporting formats are contained in an IHS Scholarship Program application booklet. *Need and Use of Information Collection:* The IHS Scholarship Branch needs this information for program administration and uses the information to solicit, process, and award IHS Pre-graduate, Preparatory, and/or Health Professions Scholarship grantees and monitor the academic performance of awardees, to place awardees at payback sites, and for awardees to request additional program information. The IHS Scholarship Program is streamlining the application to reduce the time needed by applicants to complete and provide the information and plans on using information technology to make the application electronically available on the Internet. *Affected Public:* Individuals, not-for-profit institutions and State, local or Tribal Governments. *Type of Respondents:* Students pursuing health care professions.

The table below provides: Types of data collection instruments; Estimated number of respondents; Number of responses per respondent; Annual number of responses; Average burden hour per response; and Total annual burden hours.