Airport GIS Program Update

Dr. Michael T. McNerney, P.E. - FAA

Presented to | FAA Northwest Mountain Regional Conference Date | April 18, 2012



0

https://airports-gis.faa.gov/

Federal Aviation Administration



Agenda

- Airports GIS Program Update
 - Implementation Plan Update
 - Airports GIS Tool Developments
 - Implementation and Pilot Projects
 - Benefit Cost Analysis



Federal Aviation

Administration

What is the FAA Airports GIS Program

- About 547 airports have commercial service in US
- About 3,331 receive federal funding and are included in the National Plan of Integrated Airport System (NPIAS)
 Full Feature Geospatial Data Collection
- There are about 13,450 Airports and 5,856 Heliports
- Of those about 8,377 Airports and 5,508 Heliports are private use landing facilities.
- About 19, 782 landing facilities in the FAA database including seaplane bases, gliderports, ballonports and ultralight Flightparks

Airport point location and attributes only -2013



Federal Aviation

Administration

Justification for Airports GIS

Improve Efficiencies	• Single, authoritative, accessible data source
Reduce Costs	Airports, FAA, consultants
Improve Safety	Increased need for real-time data accuracy
NextGen	• A repository of airport information (not just survey data)





Administration

Airports GIS





Federal Aviation Administration

https://airports-gis.faa.gov/

AC 150/5300-18, Chapter 5 | Feature Groups

- Airfield
- Airspace
- Cadastral
- Environmental
- Geospatial
- Man Made
- Navigational Aides
- Seaplane
- Security
- Surface Transportation
- Utilities

Definition : Definition of feature	re.				
Feature Group	The Feature Group of the element.				
Feature Class Name	The proper name of the Feature Class.				
Feature Type	The compliant geometry of element.				
CADD Standard Requireme	nts				
Layer/Level	Description				
Compliant layer name.	1	Compliant layer description. [Siting]			
	Color	Line type	Line Weight	Symbol	
AutoDesk Standards	Color code AutoCAD	Line type Au	Line weight AutoCAD	Symbol type is user defined	
MicroStation Standards	Color code MicroStation	required	Line weight MicroStation		
Information Assurance Level	Security level credential				
Equivalent Standards	AIXM	AIXM equivalent of feature.			
	FGDC	FGDC equivalent of feature.			
	SDSFIE	SDSFIE SDSFIE equivalent of feature.			
Documentation and Submission Requirements	The required documentation for feature class elements. Minimum requirements are defined in paragraphs <u>1.5.2</u> and <u>1.5.3</u> . Additional or expanded documentation requirements are located here.				
Related Features	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Data Capture Rules: Descrip element.	ption of proper coll	ection limits and	requirements for fea	ature class	
Monumentation	Monumentation	requirements.			
Survey Point Location	Horizontal		Vertical		
	Description of specific HSP location.		Description of specific VSP location.		

Accuracy Requirements (in feet)	Haringstal	Vertical		
	Horizontai	Orthometric	Ellipsoidal	
	Accuracy requirement	Accuracy	Accuracy	
		requirement	requirement	
Resolution	Geographic Coordinates	Distances and Elevations		
	Coordinate resolution	Coordinate resolution		
	requirement	requirement		
Feature Attributes				
Attribute (Datatype)	Description			
Name of attribute field	Description of attribute specifications			



Airports GIS Tool Development

FAA Planned and Conceptual Tools ("Apps")

- Electronic Airport Layout tool (eALP) 2012
- Modification to Standards tool 2013
- Airport Design tool 2014
- Airspace Analysis tool 2015
- Runway Safety Area (RSA) tool



2016

eALP | On-line Viewer





https://airports-gis.faa.gov/

Federal Aviation Administration



eALP | Background Imagery







FAA Airports Organization





FAA Airports Organization





Data Distribution Before Airports GIS

- Aerial photography not available
- Airport Layout Plan @ ADO
 - Paper
 - ► PDF
- Modification of
 Standards @ ADO
- Obstruction Surveys to National Geodetic Survey (NGS)



Data Distribution Before Airports GIS

- Aerial photography not available
- Airport Layout Plan @ ADO
 - Paper
 - PDF
- Modification of
 Standards @ ADO
- Obstruction Surveys to National Geodetic Survey (NGS)

Data Distribution After Airports GIS

- Aerial Photography stored on Cloud Server
- Digital Data in Airports GIS
 - eALP derived from photography
- Modification of Standards in Airports GIS
- Obstruction Surveys in Airports GIS verified by NGS



Airports GIS Implementation

FAA Implementation Priority

- Large and Medium Hub Airports
- Small Hub and Non Primary Airports
- Tower Airports
- Instrument Approach Airports
- All FAA Funded Airports



Anticipate the Transition to eALP



Limited e-Capability

Increasing Efficiency

Optimized Efficiency



Airports GIS Pilot Program | Phases

Phase I

- FY 2009 funding: 2 regions; 7 airports
- Focus: data collection/migration
- Multiple interim reports (include lessons learned)
- Data input target: end of FY 2011
- Phase II
 - FY 2010 funding: 9 regions; 26 airports
 - Focus: data collection/migration; incorporate planning
 - 1 interim report (include lessons learned)
 - Data input target: 18-24 months from NTP



Airports GIS Pilot Program | Lessons Learned

- Scoping is Critical to Project Success
- Training/Outreach Must be On-going
- Two Fronts to Data Management
- Two Fronts to Acceptance and Use
- Data Verification Needs Refinement
- -18 Guidance Needs Refinement
- Presents More Challenges with Timing

Pilot Program | Recommendations

- Consider Careful Examination of Resources
- Manage the Transition on all Fronts



Airport Sponsors

FAA LOBs

What are the Incremental Benefits of FAA Airports GIS?

The primary benefits we expect Airports GIS to provide include:

- 1. Increased labor productivity due to improved coordination
- 2. Earlier completion of projects due to improved coordination
- 3. Better information for more efficient planning and preliminary design
- 4. Broader use of GIS at airports due to FAA standards and funds for data collection
- 5. Elimination of redundant airport mapping and survey costs

These benefits closely correspond to other major IT investments in other venues. They were identified by interviewing a broad range of stakeholders—including both supporters and opponents of the program—from the FAA, airports, consultants, and GIS vendors.



What are the Incremental Costs of FAA Airports GIS?

Incremental Costs of FAA Airports GIS	Range of unit Costs by Airport				
	Large Hub	Small GA			
1. Full data collection including eALP and Airspace Analysis (one time cost)	\$252-432k per airport	\$50-75k per airport			
2. Future Vertically Guided Obstruction Survey and Airport Airspace Analysis	\$30-45k per	\$7.5-11.5k per			
assuming eALP data collection was completed	survey	survey			
3. Future Construction Projects (Final Design Plans and As-Builts)	\$5-10k per project	\$5-10k per project			
4. Future ALP Updates (planned features and attributes only)	\$45-352k per update	\$11.5-27k per update			
5. Data Verification Costs	\$4k per verification	\$2-3k per verification			
6. Program Overhead & Training	\$5.6m per year for entire program				
Costs shown are incremental costs between the Base Case and Airports GIS Case					

- We anticipate the first four cost categories will be funded through the normal Airport Improvement Program (AIP) process (i.e. as projects are normally justified, programmed, and approved for AIP funding).
- Costs associated with the remaining two categories will likely be funded through other FAA sources.
- We expect the additional costs necessary to meet the Airports GIS requirements will decrease over time as implementation is completed and stakeholders gain experience with the program.



BCA Non-Quantifiable Benefits

- Improved Safety
- Improved Funding Allocation
- Operational Efficiency
- Longer asset life due to improved maintenance
- Better Use of Land Surrounding an Airport
- Reduced Chance of Change Orders
- Better Design and Compliance Decisions



BCA Conclusions

- The FAA Airports GIS program is likely to produce benefits that outweigh costs.
- Benefits estimates have been confirmed using two independent methodologies.
- The program remains viable even if there are no reductions in the cost of producing GIS inputs or outputs and assuming the lowest range of benefits in all categories.
- Results are consistent with the Preliminary Business Case Analysis (June 2010)



Questions?

- Any questions about the overall Airports GIS Program?
- Please use the Airports GIS Help Desk for Technical Questions or status of submissions.

