

# Fly Ash Catalyzed Mercury Oxidation Chlorination Reactions

Sukh Sidhu

Graduate Student: Patanjali Varanasi

Environmental Engineering University of Dayton, 300 College Park Dayton, OH 45469-0132

Sidhu@udri.udayton.edu

2007 UCR HBCU/OMI Contractors Review Conference June 6, 2007



#### Introduction

- Strict EPA regulations for mercury control.
- Mercury control depends on the speciation of mercury.
- Gas phase reactions don't influence the effluent mercury speciation.
- Surface reactions in the post combustion zone control mercury speciation in stack gases.
- Surface composition plays an important role in mercury transformation.
- Study of mercury transformation in the post combustion zone is important to determine the speciation of mercury.

## Possible Mercury Transformation Reactions in Combustor Cool Zone

> Oxidation

- Activated Carbon
- Fly Ash
- Surface Oxygen Complexes

Chlorination

- HCI (poor chlorinating agent)
- Conversion of HCI to Cl<sub>2</sub> (Deacon Reaction)
- Role of Metals

### **Role of Surfaces**

- > Adsorption
- Dependence on carbon/calcium content
- Catalysis
- Dependence on metal content

Surfaces play an important role in mercury transformation reactions

### Objectives

Understand how fly ash surface and composition of flue gas affect mercury speciation, partitioning, and reactions under post-combustion zone conditions.

Use this knowledge to develop a predictive tool that could estimate mercury speciation based on fly ash characteristics and composition of flue gas.

### **Experimental Approach**

Our Experimental approach is based on previous surface catalyzed cool zone pollutant formation studies.

- Fly ash is a very complex surface do extensive characterization.
- Obtain preliminary data on mercury transformation reactions using coal fly ashes. Use these results to determine the range of catalytic activity of coal fly ashes in mercury transformation reactions.
- Correlate fly ash composition and flue gas composition with observed catalytic activity.
- Divide overall mercury transformation process into several manageable reaction systems. Use model fly ashes to validate observed correlations.

### Elemental Analysis of Fly Ashes (EDS)

Ash	Mg	AI	Si	K	Са	Ti	Fe	0	S	Na	Р	SA (m2/gm)
1	0.59	14.8	26.64	2.69	0.75	1.38	4.84	47.72	0.35	0.19	0.06	6.98
2	0.5	15.4	26.72	2.96	1.18	1.07	3.81	47.84	0.22	0.32	0.05	2.62
3	0.92	14.27	26.29	2.86	2.32	0.92	4.17	47.32	0.28	0.56	0.11	2.35
4	2.53	10.11	19.72	0.86	14.94	1.08	4.08	43.83	0.86	1.26	0.73	0.95
5	0.42	12.67	21.79	1.95	2.59	0.63	14.43	43.97	0.72	0.57	0.26	0.7
6	0.64	11.4	21.59	1.56	2.7	0.94	13.69	44.04	1.44	1.92	0.08	0.82
7	0.44	11.91	19.57	1.69	2.64	1.11	14.05	43.85	2.22	2.2	0.33	0.78
8	0.46	12.09	22.17	1.98	2.2	0.71	14.53	43.87	0.77	1.16	0.08	0.55
9	0.41	10.86	21.01	1.57	2.36	0.9	14.09	44.1	2.22	2.34	0.14	0.86
10	0.44	11.48	21.24	1.85	2.45	0.73	16.15	43.21	0.86	1.38	0.21	0.54

### High Resolution SEM of Ash Samples



15.0kV 8.8mm x2.00k SE(U) 6/2/2005

20.0um

6.98 m2/g







10 8kV 9 9mm x2 00k SE(U) 6/2/2005 2.62 m2/g

10.0um





### **Mercury Desorption**



# Mercury Desorption at 400°C



	SIL	00		4\N	SI	ys	S			
y Ash Sample	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
arbon Content (%)	13.5	4.0	4.3	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.

Λ







400 Temperature'C

500 600

700 800

300

200

100

0

0

100 200

#### Thermo Gravimetric and LECO Analysis of Original and Desorbed Fly Ash

LOI = 1- 1.5%

Ash 7 Original

Ash 7 Desorbed



# **Experimental System**



#### Conversion of Mercury at Different Temperatures



#### Mercury Oxidation (same plant)



	Ash 5	Ash 6	Ash 7	Ash 8	Ash 9	Ash 10
Unit	2	2	2	2	2	2
Date	3/14/2006	3/15/2006	3/15/2006	3/16/2006	3/16/2006	3/17/2006
Time	13:00	8:55	13:00	8:00	13:00	8:00

### **Mercury Oxidation (Different Plants)**



### Impact of HCI Fly Ashes from Different Plants



# Surface Catalyzed Transformation at Different Temperatures



# Surface Catalyzed Transformations : Presence of HCI

> HCl not a good oxidizing agent

➢ But in the presence of surface it is converted to chlorine: Deacon Reaction 2HCI + 2O<sub>2</sub> → H<sub>2</sub>O + Cl<sub>2</sub>

Chlorine better oxidizing agent.

# Surface Catalyzed Transformations : Presence of HCI



# Surface Catalyzed Transformations : HCI vs HBr



# Surface Catalyzed Transformations : HCI vs HBr



# Surface Catalyzed Transformation: Presence of Water and HCI



#### **Mercury Oxidation on Model Fly Ashes**



# Surface Catalyzed Transformations : Presence of HCI







### Conclusions

- Desorption modifies the ash and also removes most of the extractable carbon
- Soot shows low adsorbtion and high oxidation capabilities and hence may be responsible for the oxidation properties of fly ash.
- Iron Oxide seems to be a good adsorber of mercury.
- Addition of HCl seems to increase mercury oxidation and inhibits mercury adsorption.
- Under the post-combustion zone conditions HCl is a slightly better oxidizing agent than HBr

### Acknowledgement

This work is partially supported by DoE grant (DE-PS26-05NT42472) and Ohio Coal Development Office.



### **Mercury Chlorination (same plant)**



### **Data Analysis and Modeling**

 $\begin{array}{c} Hg^{0}(g) + X(g)(Cl_{2} \text{ or } O_{2}) \\ Hg^{0}(s) + X(g)(Cl_{2} \text{ or } O_{2}) \\ Hg^{0}(g) + X(s)(Cl \text{ or } O) \\ Hg^{0}(s) + X(s)(Cl \text{ or } O) \\ Hg^{+2}X(s)(cl \text{ or } O) \\ Hg^{+2}X(s) + R(g)(SO_{2} \text{ or } CO) \\ Hg^{0}(s) \\ Hg^{+2}X(g) + R(g)(SO_{2} \text{ or } CO) \\ Hg^{-2}X(g) + R(g)(SO_{2} \text{ or } CO) \\ \end{array}$ 

### Background

- Gas-phase equilibrium assumption is not valid for mercury containing species at Temp < 500°C.</p>
- Post combustion zone temperatures range from 700°C to ambient and the gas residence times are in the range of 2 to 10 seconds.
- Gas quench and surface catalyzed reactions (cool zone reactions) should be important.
- The results of recent studies have clearly shown that presence of fly ash enhances mercury oxidation under post-combustion zone conditions.