

Interdisciplinary Roles for Clinical Laboratory Scientists

Kathleen L. Hansen, CLS(NCA)
Interim President, Fairview Laboratory Services
Director, Laboratory Operations, University of
Minnesota Medical Center - Fairview

Brief history at UMMC-Fairview

- Early 1990's – established laboratory presence on SICU with “the cart” (mobile whole blood gas and electrolyte analyzer)
 - Improve TAT at lower cost than POCT
 - Control number of orders in a 24 hour period; established requirement for new orders q 24 hours
 - Have a CLS be the “face of the laboratory” (rather than phlebotomists), available to consult on test ordering, specimen requirements, etc
-

Evolution of laboratory presence on PCU's

- ❑ Mobile laboratory concept eventually expanded to PICU, CCU, and OR (where it includes point of care PTH assays)
 - ❑ Full service satellite laboratory on the NICU dates to 1960's
 - ❑ Decentralized blood collection in multi-specialty clinics (Primary Care Center, Transplant Center, Bone Marrow Tx Clinic, and Pediatric Clinic)
-

“Responsibility Assignments”

- ❑ Mid-1990's: Each staff (CLS and CLT) in the core laboratory was given an individual responsibility for a piece of equipment, collection of QA data such as TAT, or to act as a liaison to a PCU.
 - ❑ PCU liaisons expected to visit their unit at least weekly (attend nursing staff meeting if possible) to answer questions/concerns. Focus mostly customer service issues.
-

Interdisciplinary PI Teams

- ❑ Two years ago, hospital's PI department reorganized and established an interdisciplinary PI team on each PCU. Membership was to be physicians, nursing, pharmacy, social work/care coordinators.
 - ❑ Laboratory "invited ourselves" to participate and appointed a CLS to each unit's team. Teams meet monthly.
-

What have the PI teams done?

- ❑ Address cost per case (utilization) issues
 - ❑ Support CPOE implementation
 - ❑ Support implementation of Verisafe (positive patient ID using bar coded armbands)
 - ❑ Support implementation of new process for ordering blood products
 - ❑ Limited experience with interdisciplinary rounding
-

Cost per case

- Focus on provision of data about utilization of resources (laboratory testing) and comparing with benchmarking data
 - TAT of results on day of discharge – work with care coordinators to reduce length of stay
 - Reduction of “duplicate” orders
 - Implementation of requirement to rewrite orders every 24 hours
 - Provision of data on ordering patterns of individual physicians for best practice analysis
-

CPOE Implementation

- Physicians on pediatric services now place own orders into computer (vs writing in chart and having HUC enter).
 - Not reviewing or noticing current orders before placing new ones.
 - Repeat orders not being combined.
 - Routine labs not combined with timed draws
 - Patient/family satisfaction down.
-

CPOE Implementation

- Pilot for 13 days (65 hours) of CLS on pediatric units helping physicians with CPOE
 - Cost savings of cancelled tests and combined collections during this pilot was not enough to cover the salary investment.
 - Only application of retained learning by physicians will justify cost over time.
 - Cost avoidance (more timely discharge) has not been quantified
 - Evening availability of laboratory staff would have been helpful
-

CPOE Implementation

- ❑ Added challenge in a teaching hospital is constant turnover of residents
 - ❑ CLS who did the pilot felt there was another level of “unnecessary” tests that she did not feel comfortable challenging. A person with specific clinical training in test utilization (and best practice data) could do more.
-

CPOE Implementation

- ❑ “no one person is taking full responsibility for laboratory order management”
 - ❑ Contrast with PharmD review of all drug orders, even if placed directly by physician
-

Verisafe implementation

- ❑ JCAHO National Patient Safety Goal (NPSG) about use of two identifiers is being modified to 2007 to require labeling of samples at the bedside.
 - ❑ Barcoded armbands used for patient ID for specimen collection (both by laboratory and nursing staff) and blood administration. Labels generated at the bedside.
-

Ordering of blood products

- ❑ Several “near miss” events related to confusion about the need to order irradiated blood products for BMT and oncology patients.
 - ❑ Paper and computer ordering forms designed which require physician signature.
 - ❑ Success mixed due to limitations on provision of support for physicians during implementation
-

Interdisciplinary rounding

- ❑ Physicians, nurses, PharmD
 - ❑ Ad hoc: OT/PT, laboratory, chaplains, nutrition, care coordinators
 - ❑ Some short pilots where laboratory has joined rounds on a daily basis.
 - ❑ CLS staff from core laboratory feel they make a contribution, but must sometimes go back for answers about "special diagnostic" lab services
-

Interdisciplinary rounding

- What constitutes over-ordering?
 - Hospital is about to implement KBC (Knowledge Based Charting) – software which contains interdisciplinary notes that can be seen by all caregivers
 - “lure” physicians to KBC site by displaying laboratory results there
 - See this as a venue for notes about how best to collect samples from this patient.
-

Other observations

- ❑ Nursing clearly does not want to be the middleman/communicator between physicians and the laboratory.
 - ❑ Those instances where our laboratory has an ongoing presence closer to the patients and physicians lead to far better customer satisfaction.
 - ❑ Offsets the perception that we are there to “police” – as is the case with POCT coordinator.
-

Other observations

- ❑ We believe that many more opportunities exist for cost savings to support a laboratory clinician on the PCU's.
 - ❑ Large multi-speciality clinic may afford even more opportunities. Genetic counselors help manage some testing, but their scope and availability is limited.
-

Contact information

□ Kathy Hansen

khansen3@fairview.org

612-273-5090
