

Dorothy W. Walker,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 99-18322 Filed 7-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Task 1b Meeting on Icing Terminology

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a public meeting of the FAA's task 1b team to discuss the FAA's proposed changes to current icing terminology as well as the FAA's proposal to include a table of icing effects and changes to the pilot reporting format in the Aeronautical Information Manual (AIM).

DATES: July 28-29, 1999, at 9 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Hyatt Arlington Hotel Rosslyn, 1325 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Meier, Flight Standards, AFS-220, FAA, 800 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20591, Telephone (202) 267-3749, FAX (202) 267-5229.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting will be held on July 28 & 29, 1999, at the Hyatt Arlington Hotel Rosslyn, 1325 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA. The telephone number for the Hyatt Arlington is (703) 525-1234.

The agenda will include:

- Opening remarks.
- Discussion of the FAA proposed changes to icing terminology and the Aeronautical Information Manual.

Attendance is open to the public, but will be limited to space available. The public must make arrangements by July 26, 1999, to present oral statements at the meeting. Written statements may be presented to the task 1b team any time by providing 16 copies at the meeting or to Dan Meier at the Federal Aviation Administration, AFS-220, 800 Independence Ave., SW, or via FAX at (202) 267-5229.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 14, 1999.

L. Nicholas Lacey,

Director, Flight Standard Service, Federal Aviation Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-18343 Filed 7-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application (99-03-C-00-DRO) To Impose Only and Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Durango-La Plata County Airport, Submitted by the Durango-La Plata County Airport, Durango, CO

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose only and impose and use PFC revenue at Durango-La Plata County Airport under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 18, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Alan E. Wiechmann, Manager; Denver Airports District Office, DEN-ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 26805 E. 68th Avenue, Suite 224; Denver, CO 80249-6361.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Ron Dent, A.A.E., Director of Aviation, at the following address: Durango-La Plata County Airport, 1000 Airport Road, Durango, CO 81301.

Air Carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to Durango-La Plata County Airport, under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Christopher Schaffer, (303) 342-1258; Denver Airports District Office, DEN-ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 26805 68th Avenue, Suite 224; Denver, CO 80249-6361. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application (99-03-C-00-DRO) to impose only and impose and use PFC revenue at Durango-La Plata County Airport, under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On July 12, 1999, the FAA determined that the application to impose only and impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Durango-La Plata County Airport, Durango, Colorado, was

substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than October 14, 1999.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00.

Proposed charge effective date:

August 1, 2000.

Proposed charge expiration date:

September 1, 2003.

Total requested for approval:

\$763,627.00.

Brief description of proposed project:

Impose and use projects: Construct connector Taxiway "A2"; Acquire rotary snowblower; Update airport master plan; Install glycol retrieval system; Replace aircraft rescue and fire fighting (ARFF) vehicle; Replace snowplow blades; Impose only projects: Rehabilitate and friction course Runway 2/20; Install distance remaining signs.

Class or classes of air carriers, which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFC's: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Mountain Region, Airports Division, ANM-600, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 315, Renton, WA 98055-4056. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Durango-La Plata County Airport.

Issued in Renton, Washington on July 12, 1999.

David A. Field,

Manager, Planning, Programming and Capacity Branch, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 99-18353 Filed 7-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

[Docket No. FHWA-99-5942]

Notice of Request for Clearance of a New Information Collection: Graduated Commercial Driver's License (CDL) Survey

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements in section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,

this notice announces the intention of the FHWA to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve a new information collection to query the motor carrier (truck and bus) industry, drivers, driver training schools, insurance companies, and driver licensing and law enforcement agencies about the need for, benefits of, potential acceptance of, institutional barriers and practicality of a graduated commercial driver licensing system and the likely improvements in highway safety, employment opportunities and transportation efficiency.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 17, 1999.

ADDRESSES: All signed, written comments should refer to the docket number that appears in the heading of this document and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Those desiring notification of receipt of comments must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard or envelope.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert Redmond, Transportation Specialist, (202) 366-4001, Driver Division, Office of Motor Carrier Research and Standards, Federal Highway Administration, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Graduated Commercial Driver's License (CDL) Survey.

Background: Conference Report 104-286 to accompany H.R. 2002 to the Department of Transportation Appropriation Bill (Pub. L. 104-50) directed the FHWA to contract, during FY 1996, with the American Trucking Associations Foundation, Inc., Transportation Research Institute (TRI) to perform applied research to address a number of highway safety issues, such as: driver fatigue and alertness; the application of emerging technologies to ensure safety, productivity and regulatory compliance; and commercial driving licensing, training and education. The amount allocated was to be not less than \$4 million. A survey of industry opinion pertaining to a graduated CDL is one of these projects under the congressionally-mandated cooperative agreement with the TRI.

Section 4019 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Pub. L.

105-179) directed the Secretary of Transportation to identify the benefits and costs of a graduated CDL system as part of a review of the current CDL testing procedures and to identify methods to improve the testing and licensing standards. The trucking industry alone projects a need for 300,000 new and replacement drivers every year until the turn of the century. In addition to those newly entering the truck driving field, others are constantly transitioning from one type of commercial motor vehicle operation to another. For example, moving from straight trucks to combinations, from tractor-semis to doubles or even triples, from hauling general commodities to motor vehicles or even hazardous materials, moving from school buses to transit buses or motorcoaches, or moving back and forth between various trucks and buses.

A graduated or provisional CDL program might go beyond today's CDL requirements to provide for safe introduction of younger drivers into the industry and assure the measured progression of drivers, by proper training and supervision, into more complex driving jobs.

Before considering the recommendation and development of a provisional CDL program, it is necessary to better identify the need for and quantify the potential benefits and costs of such a program. TRI, in cooperation with representatives of all segments of the truck and bus industries, will survey representatives of the motor carrier (truck and bus) industry, drivers, driver training schools, insurance companies, and driver licensing and law enforcement agencies, using approximately 15 short response questions with the ability to add narrative comments, about the need for, benefits of, potential acceptance of, institutional barriers and practicality of a graduated commercial driver licensing system and the likely improvements in highway safety, employment opportunities and transportation efficiency. The questions for the written survey will be based on information gathered during previously conducted focus group sessions and will include the importance of certain elements in a graduated driver licensing program such as training, driving record, driving experience, age, testing and restrictions.

The study data will be compiled and statistically evaluated. The results of the evaluation and conclusions will be presented in a final report which will address the potential benefits, costs and feasibility of implementing a graduated or provisional CDL program. The results will be used by the FHWA in evaluating

the potential for pilot testing the graduated CDL concept and developing a rulemaking based on the results of the pilot study.

Respondents: The respondents to the planned survey will include approximately 2,000 selected representatives of the motor carrier (truck and bus) industry, drivers, driver training schools, insurance companies, and driver licensing and law enforcement agencies.

Average Burden Per Response: The estimated average burden per response is 15 minutes. This includes the time needed for reading the survey instructions, searching existing data sources, completing the survey instrument and returning the information by mail or transmission by facsimile.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: The estimated total annual burden is 500 hours.

Frequency: The survey will be conducted once.

Public Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to send comments regarding any aspect of this information collection, including, but not limited to: (1) The necessity and utility of the information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the FHWA; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways to minimize the collection burden without reducing the quality of the collected information. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB clearance of this information collection.

Electronic Access

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded using a modem and suitable communications software from the Government Printing Office's Electronic Bulletin Board Service at (202) 512-1661. Internet users may reach the Office of the Federal Register's home page at: <http://www.nara.gov/fedreg> and the Government Printing Office's database at: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara>. For Internet users, all comments received will be available for examination at the universal source location: <http://dms.dot.gov>. Please follow the instructions on-line for additional information and guidance.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 31136, 31301 *et seq.*, and 31502; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued on: July 14, 1999.

Michael J. Vecchiatti,
Director, Office of Information and
Management Services.

[FR Doc. 99-18342 Filed 7-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-99-4973; Notice 2]

Nissan Motors Corp. U.S.A.; Grant of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Nissan Motor Corporation U.S.A. (Nissan) of Gardena, California, has determined that some of its vehicles fail to meet the display requirements of paragraph S3.1.4.1 of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 102, "Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect," and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, "Defects and Noncompliance Reports." Nissan has also applied to be exempted from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 "Motor Vehicle Safety" on the basis that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

A notice of receipt of the application was published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 3739) on January 25, 1999. Opportunity was afforded for public comment until February 24, 1999. No comments were received.

Under S3.1.4.1 of FMVSS No. 102, if a vehicle's transmission shift lever sequence includes a park position, identification of the shift lever positions (including the positions in relation to each other and the position selected) shall be displayed in view of the driver under two conditions: if the ignition is in a position where the transmission can be shifted, or if the transmission is not in park.

From September 1997 to August 1998, Nissan produced approximately 22,000 Frontier trucks that use an electronic display in the instrument panel to indicate transmission gear position. In these vehicles, when the ignition key is in either the "OFF" or "ACCESSORY" position, the selected gear position is not displayed. "OFF" refers to the range of movement of the ignition key between the "LOCK" and "ACCESSORY" positions. The gear selector lever can be moved while the ignition switch is in "OFF" or "ACCESSORY." There is no detent for "OFF" as the key is rotated nor is

"OFF" labeled on the ignition switch. There is a detent for "ACCESSORY" and it is labeled on the ignition switch. Nissan states that the affected vehicles comply with all other requirements of FMVSS No. 102.

Nissan supports its application for inconsequential noncompliance with the following statements:

The situation involving the Frontier trucks is essentially the same as that described in an inconsequentiality petition filed by General Motors Corporation in 1993 and granted by NHTSA. See 58 FR 16735, March 30, 1993 and 58 FR 33296, June 16, 1993. The petitioner in that matter stated that, on certain of its vehicles, the PRNDL display would not be illuminated if the transmission was left in a position other than "PARK" when the ignition key was turned "OFF." The petitioner noted that the vehicles in question complied with FMVSS 102 during normal ignition activation and vehicle operation. In that matter, NHTSA concluded that since the noncompliance did not occur during times that the affected vehicles were operated, "the noncompliance presents no discernible threat to safety." See 58 FR 33297.

As NHTSA noted in proposing the current version of the standard (49 FR 32409, August 25, 1988), the purpose of the display requirement is to "provide the driver with transmission position information for the vehicle conditions where such information can reduce the likelihood of shifting errors." In all but the rarest circumstances, the primary function of the transmission display is to inform the driver of gear selection and relative position of the gears while the engine is running.

In the case of the Nissan trucks, the selected gear position and PRNDL display are always visible when the engine is running. The selected gear position is not shown in the instrument panel electronic display if the engine is turned off. If the ignition key is rotated to the "ON" position, the selected gear position immediately illuminates. If the transmission is in "PARK" and the engine is started, the selected gear position becomes immediately visible.

If the driver seeks to start the truck when the transmission is not in the "PARK" or "NEUTRAL" position, ignition would be impossible, as required under FMVSS 102. As soon as the ignition key is rotated to the "ON" or "START" position, the selected gear would become immediately apparent as the display is illuminated under these conditions. This means that the engine will only start under the condition that the PRNDL and selected gear position are visible to the driver.

Because the movement of the shift lever to place the transmission in "PARK" is the same on all vehicles using a column-mounted shift lever, that is, pulled toward the driver and then moved all the way to the left, most drivers do not rely on the PRNDL display to ensure the transmission is in "PARK." This means that it is highly unlikely a driver attempting to place the transmission in "PARK" would fail to do so

even if the gear position was not visible on the PRNDL display.

If the driver were to attempt to remove the key before exiting the vehicle while erroneously believing that the transmission is in "PARK" (with the ignition key being in the "OFF" or "ACCESSORY" position), it would be impossible to remove the key from the ignition. This would alert drivers that the transmission was not in "PARK" and cause them to put it in "PARK" so that they could remove the key. If the driver opens the door before attempting to remove the key, the FMVSS 114 audible warning would sound when the door is opened, providing further indication of the improper gear selection. As stated by NHTSA, exiting the vehicle in these circumstances "would be limited to the rare situation." See 54 FR 29042, 29044 (July 11, 1989).

Nissan believes that the theoretical risk of one of the subject vehicles rolling away after the driver exits the vehicle because they failed to place the transmission in "PARK" and/or to engage the parking brake while leaving the key in the ignition switch in the "OFF" or "ACCESSORY" position so that the selected gear is not displayed in the PRNDL is no higher than in a vehicle in which the PRNDL display is working properly.

Although there may be rare circumstances when it would be useful to know the gear position when the engine is off, this information is provided by the shift lever position. Moreover, the electronic display can be illuminated simply by turning the key to the "ON" position. As noted above and as stated in the final notice granting the General Motors petition, "in all but the rarest circumstances, the primary function of the PRNDL display is to inform the driver of gear selection and relative position of the gears while the engine is running." See 58 FR 33297.

The gear selector lever on these trucks cannot be moved from the "PARK" position if the key is not in the ignition switch. Therefore, the fact that the selected gear is not displayed in the PRNDL with the ignition key in the "OFF" or "ACCESSORY" position has no relevance when the key is not in the switch.

Nissan has no record of any customer complaint or accident report that could be associated with or attributed to this condition.

We have reviewed the application and agree with Nissan that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Because all of the approximately 22,000 vehicles comply with the display requirements of FMVSS No. 102 during normal ignition activation and vehicle operation, the vehicle's ignition would have to be in the "OFF" or "ACCESSORY" positions for the noncompliance to occur. Of these two positions, "OFF" has no detent, but "ACCESSORY" does. Because "OFF" has no detent position,