1	LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION
	BOARD OF DIRECTORS
2	
3	
4	MEETING OF THE
	BOARD OF DIRECTORS
5	
	OPEN SESSION
6	
	BY TELECONFERENCE
7	
8	
9	
	Tuesday, May 26, 2009
10	
	11:00 a.m.
11	
12	
	Conference Room B
13	3333 K Street, N.W., Third Floor
	Washington, D.C.
14	
15	
	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
16	
	Frank B. Strickland, Chairman
17	Lillian BeVier
	Jonann C. Chiles
18	Thomas Fuentes
	Herbert S. Garten
19	Michael D. McKay
	Thomas R. Meites
20	Sarah Singleton
	Helaine M. Barnett, ex officio
21	
22	

1	STAFF AND PUBLIC PRESENT:
2	Victor M. Fortuno, Vice President for Legal Affairs,
	General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary
3	Katherine Ward, Executive Assistant, Office of
	Legal Affairs
4	David L. Richardson, Treasurer and Comptroller,
	Office of Financial and Administrative Services
5	Karen J. Sarjeant, Vice President for Programs and
	Compliance
6	Charles Jeffress, Chief Administrative Officer
	Jeffrey E. Schanz, Inspector General
7	Joel Gallay, Special Counsel to the Inspector General
	Office of the Inspector General
8	Ronald "Dutch" Merryman, Assistant IG for Audits
	Laurie Tarantowicz, Assistant Inspector General and
9	Legal Counsel, Office of the Inspector General
	John Constance, Director, Government Relations and
10	Public Affairs Office
	Stephen Barr, Media Relations Director, Government
11	Relations and Public Affairs Office
	Ronald Jordan, Grants Analyst, Office of Information
12	Management
13	Don Saunders, National Legal Aid and Defenders
	Association (NLADA)
14	Julie Strandlie, American Bar Association (ABA)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1		CONTENTS	
2			
	OPE	N SESSION	PAGE
3			
	1.	Approval of agenda	4
4			
	2.	Consider and act on the board of directors'	
5		response to the Inspector General's	
		semiannual report to Congress for period	
6		from October 1, 2008 through March 31, 2009	5
7	6.	Consider and act on adjournment of meeting	25
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
	Mot	ions: 4, 16, 17, 28	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(11:00 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: I will call to order the
4	meeting of the board of directors of the Legal Services
5	Corporation scheduled for May 26, 2009, to begin at
6	approximately 11:00 a.m. Eastern Daylight time,
7	pursuant to the notice that was in the Federal
8	Register.
9	And the first item on the agenda is to approve
10	the agenda. Everyone should have received a copy of
11	the agenda by e-mail. Is there a motion to approve the
12	agenda?
13	MOTION
14	MS. BeVIER: I so move.
15	MS. SINGLETON: Second, by Sarah Singleton.
16	CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Any discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Those in favor of the
19	motion to approve the agenda, please say aye.
20	(A chorus of ayes.)
21	CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Opposed, nay.
22	(No response.)

- 1 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: The ayes have it and the
- 2 agenda is approved.
- 3 The next item is to consider and act on the
- 4 board of directors' response to the Inspector General's
- 5 semiannual report to Congress for period from October
- 6 1, 2008 through March 31, 2009.
- 7 Has everyone had an opportunity to review the
- 8 response? If so, is there a motion?
- 9 MS. BARNETT: Mr. Chairman?
- 10 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Yes?
- MS. BARNETT: This is Helaine Barnett
- 12 speaking. Might I ask John Constance to share with the
- members of the board some of the corrections that you
- 14 had indicated on the draft that was circulated to the
- 15 board?
- 16 MR. CONSTANCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. John
- 17 Constance, director of government relations and public
- 18 affairs. They are few and minor. But just for the
- 19 record, I thought it best to let the board know.
- On the second page of the draft response, the
- 21 fifth paragraph down counting from the top, the
- 22 paragraph that began, "I want to take this

- 1 opportunity, you had suggested striking that and just
- 2 starting off with "We thank you and the Congress for
- 3 the bipartisan support provided to LSC."
- In the paragraph immediately below that,
- 5 paragraph 6, we've conformed I's and we's in the
- 6 document. And in the second sentence of that sixth
- 7 paragraph, we start off with, "I consider that
- 8 stewardship." And in the next sentence, we strike the
- 9 word "we" at the beginning of that and just substitute
- 10 "LSC will keep Congress apprised."
- Those are the changes that you had
- 12 recommended. On the 150th reading of this document
- this morning, we came up with another grammatical
- 14 change that should appear on page 1 on the cover page
- of the document.
- 16 The third paragraph down, counting from the
- top, we have changed that just to conform to the words,
- 18 "The board and LSC management." And the first sentence
- 19 of that third paragraph would read, "The board and LSC
- 20 management, prompted by two Government Accountability
- 21 Office (GAO) reports, have concentrated their efforts
- over the last two years to bring the board's

- governance," et cetera. So we have changed "has" to
- 2 "have," and the word "its" to "their" efforts in that
- 3 first sentence.
- 4 Those are the only changes that have been made
- 5 to the document that was previously provided to the
- 6 board.
- 7 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: All right. Thank you
- 8 for informing us about those changes. It never hurts
- 9 to read it over again.
- 10 MR. GALLAY: If I may, also, before the board
- 11 proceeds, I know Jeff had tried to reach John with some
- observations from the Office of the Inspector General
- last week. And so maybe this is an opportune time to
- 14 go over just some additional observations we had for
- 15 the board's consideration prior to going forward with
- 16 making the motion.
- MS. SINGLETON: May I ask who's talking?
- 18 MR. GALLAY: Oh, sorry. This is Joel Gallay
- 19 for the IG's office.
- 20 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Go ahead with your
- 21 comments.
- 22 MR. GALLAY: Okay. Some of these are also in

8

the nature of the kinds of just minor things, but there

- were a couple that were a little more significant.
- 3 One, in the first paragraph, there is a
- 4 reference to concurring with the presentation of
- 5 statistics in tables 1, 2, and 3. Table 3 is actually
- only an index of what's there, and I'd prefer not to be
- 7 concurring, and just an index of what's in the report.
- 8 It's the last thing that appears on the final page.
- 9 The third paragraph, speaking about "bringing
- 10 the board's governance practices into alignment with
- 11 Sarbanes-Oxley requirements, " our observation was that
- 12 you might consider that to be too broad a statement,
- that changing it to "Sarbanes-Oxley principles" or some
- 14 equivalent might be preferable since it may leave an
- 15 impression that the board regarded Sarbanes-Oxley as
- 16 being, in toto, something that applied across the board
- 17 to nonprofits. And that isn't the case. So a
- 18 reference to "Sarbanes-Oxley principles" or
- 19 "practices," "standards," something like that, might be
- 20 profitable.
- 21 Later --
- 22 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: There are more?

- 1 MR. GALLAY: Yes. There are a few other
- 2 things.
- 3 Further down in that paragraph at the end,
- 4 where it makes reference to "On a related note, the
- 5 Office of the Inspector General made some recent
- 6 recommendations on the administration of the Sunshine
- 7 Act and the board is taking appropriate action."
- 8 That statement, in light of the last meeting,
- 9 the fact that a key portion of the recommendation was
- in fact tabled, it might be better to state something
- along the lines of, "The board is considering steps to
- 12 take to address issues identified by the IG," because
- of the possibility of receiving a response to this
- 14 saying, well, tell us what the action is.
- 15 And in fact the action was -- on a key portion
- of that, which was the release of the prior
- 17 transcripts, action was tabled. You could also
- 18 indicate that you've requested further input from the
- 19 IG and the General Counsel, which was directed toward
- developing a protocol for the future part of that
- 21 issue.
- On the second page, the first paragraph, we

- did have -- toward the end of that paragraph where
- 2 there's a reference to the "summary report issued by
- 3 the IG's office" that says, "and as demonstrated by the
- 4 findings of the OIG on site visits."
- 5 Our office did not really feel that that
- 6 was -- those were the -- that the findings demonstrated
- 7 what this letter says. And we would prefer a reference
- 8 that said, "we believe, as demonstrated by the
- 9 findings." In fact --
- MR. McKAY: We what?
- 11 MR. GALLAY: In other words, something that
- indicated it was the board's conclusion. The IG's
- 13 office didn't feel that was a correct statement of what
- 14 the findings demonstrated in light of other language in
- 15 the summary report, indicating that there were numerous
- 16 additional problems that were identified which had in
- 17 fact more significant financial implications than the
- 18 original GAO report.
- 19 So in other words, just making that conclusion
- when that's attributed to the board's reading rather
- 21 than the IG's report itself. So simply the
- 22 insertion --

- 1 MR. McKAY: Well, what's your problem, then,
- with the second sentence in the paragraph? The third
- 3 sentence?
- 4 MS. BeVIER: No. The last sentence in that
- 5 paragraph.
- 6 MR. McKAY: My question is: What was your
- 7 opinion of the second sentence, then?
- 8 MR. GALLAY: Likewise, "LSC management is
- 9 pleased that the issues identified by the OIG at each
- of the audits did not constitute" -- we don't have a
- 11 problem with that.
- 12 Our problem was that that took one clause from
- the report and left out the second clause which
- 14 followed in that report, which was along the lines of,
- 15 "while they did not constitute a significant" -- "a
- 16 systemic problem, our reviews reviewed numerous
- 17 additional problems, which demonstrated that " -- I
- 18 mean, I'm not quoting exactly. I can get the language.
- 19 But the import of that portion of our report
- was that significant, dedicated oversight was still
- 21 necessary in light of these findings. And I think
- there was a line that also indicated it tended to

- 1 reinforce the original findings of the GAO report. So
- 2 our feeling is it would be -- we didn't agree with
- 3 saying that the findings demonstrated that conclusion.
- 4 Moving on, there were -- in the
- 5 characterization of the audit reports, the statement
- 6 that "The outside audit report is closed" is not
- 7 accurate. It should be a reference to saying that it
- 8 was issued in final, but in light of the fact that
- 9 there is a resolution -- that there is an issue still
- 10 outstanding that has not been resolved, that is not
- 11 technically correct to say that the report is closed.
- 12 MR. McKAY: I don't understand the issue.
- 13 MR. GALLAY: It's the one that's referenced
- 14 there.
- 15 MS. BeVIER: This is in the third paragraph.
- 16 Right?
- 17 MR. GALLAY: I'm sorry. Yes. That's the
- 18 third paragraph.
- 19 MR. McKAY: Oh, okay. All right.
- MR. GALLAY: And it may be, just as an
- 21 observation, for ease of reading -- I don't know if
- 22 this is difficult to track here -- but by moving the

- 1 second paragraph to follow that reference to the sixth
- 2 audit report, it might just read more smoothly from the
- 3 standpoint of the letter, so that it could say, "The
- 4 sixth audit report listed by the OIG is the LSC's
- 5 annual outside audit, which was issued in final." You
- 6 could then say that you're pleased to report that, you
- 7 know, the opinion was unqualified.
- 8 There is -- let's see. Also, the description
- 9 just in the preceding sentence with respect to the
- 10 three audit findings having been resolved, again,
- 11 that's not technically correct. Let's see. We have
- some alternative language that could cure the problem
- there, some back and forth with respect to exactly what
- occurred with respect to the issuance of the audit
- report and the response of the grantees.
- 16 But if it said the following, this would cure
- 17 the problem: "Currently, information regarding action
- in response to two reports has been submitted for the
- 19 OIG's consideration as to whether the findings can be
- 20 closed out. As to the third report, the grantee
- 21 reported that it planned to complete responsive action
- 22 by June 2009."

- 1 Again, it's really mostly the problem of
- 2 saying that the issues were resolved, and that we were
- 3 waiting a determination to close them out, wasn't
- 4 exactly accurate. This language would correct that.
- 5 And the final thing is -- and that's really
- one, maybe for John, simply the observation that if
- 7 you're signing something from the Chairman, you might
- 8 want to include, "Please contact me," I mean, in
- 9 addressing the Senator. Just the observation you might
- 10 want to include, "Please contact me or John Constance,
- or have the staff contact," just as a sort of notion of
- 12 comity.
- 13 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Additional
- 14 accommodation.
- MR. GALLAY: Exactly.
- 16 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Okay. Now, does that
- 17 conclude your comments, Joel?
- 18 MR. GALLAY: Yes. Again, and with apologies
- 19 for the fact that we were not able to go over these in
- detail with the staff here because of the holiday. We
- 21 tried some at the tail end of the week. Excuse me. I
- 22 know it's difficult to follow all the reading of the

- 1 words there without having them in front of you.
- 2 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Who is the scrivener now
- 3 that's taking down these suggested changes and
- 4 inserting them at the proper place?
- 5 MR. CONSTANCE: We'll take your -- you know,
- 6 we'll basically take Joel's draft of those things that
- 7 have been -- if I hear correctly at this point that the
- 8 board is accepting what they have heard, we certainly
- 9 will accept that -- you know, that draft and combine it
- 10 with ours.
- I mean, that's my question, is have all the
- 12 changes been accepted?
- 13 MS. SARJEANT: Well, I do need to check. This
- is Karen Sarjeant. And because we don't have all of
- 15 the audit reports in front of us, I just want to check
- this last revision that Joel just mentioned on the
- audit reports because I had gone over this with the
- Office of Compliance and Enforcement, and we thought it
- 19 read correctly.
- 20 So we just need to check that and concur
- 21 before we sign off on it.
- 22 MR. GALLAY: I don't think that should be a

- 1 problem at all. I mean, I think, yes, the whole point
- of this was just to make sure it married up with what
- 3 the facts were.
- 4 MS. SARJEANT: Right. Right.
- 5 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Okay. Board members, do
- 6 you have any questions or comments, or are you prepared
- 7 to acp these changes as suggested by Joel?
- 8 MOTION
- 9 MR. FUENTES: I would move, perhaps just by
- 10 concurrence, that we accept the recommendations as
- 11 provided.
- 12 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: All right.
- MR. GARTEN: Well, it should -- Herb here,
- 14 Herb Garten. Should it be subject to the concurrence
- of management? Because we're relying on them to make
- 16 certain that these changes are incorporated and read
- 17 properly.
- 18 MR. FUENTES: I think that's fine.
- 19 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Okay. We'll consider
- 20 that an amendment to Tom's motion. Is there a second
- 21 to that motion, as amended?
- MR. McKAY: Second. Mike McKay.

CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Okay. And that's just
on the substance of the changes, as I understand it.
And we need another let's proceed to any further
discussion on that? Let's proceed to a vote on that,
on Tom Fuentes' motion. All those in favor, please say
aye.

- 7 (A chorus of ayes.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Opposed, nay?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: The ayes have it and
- 11 that motion is adopted. I think that would put us in
- the position of being able to have a main motion, and
- that is, on item 2, to consider and act on the board of
- 14 directors' response to the Inspector General's
- 15 semiannual report. And I guess that would be as
- amended by the previous motion.
- 17 Is there such a motion?
- 18 MOTION
- 19 MR. GARTEN: I so move. Herb Garten.
- 20 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Is there a second?
- MR. McKAY: Second. Mike McKay.
- 22 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: All right. Is there any

- discussion, then, on the main motion?
- 2 MS. BeVIER: Mr. Chairman, this is Lillian
- 3 speaking.
- 4 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Yes. Go ahead, Lillian.
- 5 MS. BeVIER: I really have some problems with
- 6 the first paragraph on page 2. In terms of the way it
- 7 represents -- I mean, I think the call-out of the quote
- 8 is -- if you turn to page 7 of the OIG's semiannual
- 9 report, the last line on the last paragraph of that
- 10 page says:
- "We concluded that while they did not
- 12 constitute a systemic problem, because the issues were
- individually significant, our findings evidenced the
- 14 need for continuing deduction in the area of grant
- oversight to ensure such issues do not go undetected."
- 16 I was concerned about two things: number one,
- 17 the inadequacy of the GAO report, which is reflected in
- 18 the fact that they did not find these things that the
- 19 IG found. We can't do anything about that, and I don't
- 20 suppose we even ought to address it.
- 21 But I am concerned with presenting ourselves
- 22 to Congress as being very pleased with what the IG's

- 1 review found. And I think that we should assume a
- 2 posture that is much more concerned and dedicated to
- 3 future efforts rather than saying -- rather than noting
- 4 that the LSC management is pleased that the issues did
- 5 not constitute a systemic problem.
- I was concerned with the second phrase of that
- 7 sentence that said, yes, but there's some real problems
- 8 out there and we need to be paying attention to them.
- 9 I don't know whether others feel the same way, and I'm
- 10 not sure -- the motion went so fast that I didn't have
- 11 a chance to ask this question when moved to accept the
- 12 IG's changes.
- So I'm not positive what it was that the IG
- 14 suggested about the second sentence in that top
- paragraph on page two. This is kind of long-winded,
- 16 but --
- 17 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Maybe Joel could explain
- 18 that to us.
- 19 MR. McKAY: Let me just add that Lillian
- 20 eloquently set forth her concern, and that was implied
- in my question to Joel earlier about that second
- 22 sentence. And so I fully embrace what Lillian said and

- want to make sure that the letter accurately reflects
- our concern about the second part of the paragraph on
- 3 page 7.
- 4 MR. FUENTES: Mr. Chairman, I concur with the
- 5 sentiment expressed by both of those colleagues. And I
- 6 think management could be directed to restructure that
- 7 paragraph to convey that sentiment.
- 8 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: And do we need any
- 9 further explanation from Joel at this point to have a
- 10 better understanding of where we're headed on that?
- 11 MR. GALLAY: Well, this is Joel. I'll just
- 12 say that that was -- that the concern so well expressed
- by Lillian better reflected where we were coming from.
- 14 So our original suggestion was just to put in the words
- 15 "we believe." But that reworking would certainly be
- 16 preferable.
- 17 MR. SCHANZ: We'd like to bring up the AIGA
- 18 for audit, Dutch Merryman, to provide a little
- 19 clarification on this. This is Jeff Schanz. And
- unless I don't reflect the confidence I have in Joel,
- 21 he put together a lot of disparate treatments from the
- 22 Inspector General to be able to present this -- from

- 1 the Office of the Inspector General to present this to
- 2 you today. So thank you, Joel.
- 3 MR. GALLAY: Sure.
- 4 MR. MERRYMAN: Hi. This is Dutch Merryman. I
- 5 just wanted to respond to one point about GAO's work
- 6 and our work.
- 7 GAO looked at a different period of time than
- 8 we looked at. So the findings will probably be
- 9 different than ours because our goal was to see if the
- 10 issues that they identified had been corrected. And so
- 11 we actually looked at different series of documents.
- So it wasn't like -- we found things that they
- 13 should have found. They weren't looking at the
- 14 documents that we were looking at. I just wanted to
- 15 clarify that point.
- MS. BeVIER: That's helpful to me, Frank.
- 17 This is Lillian again. That's helpful to me to know
- 18 that. But it still suggests that there's a dedication
- 19 that needs to be reupped, if you will, that there's
- 20 problems at these grantees that are kind of deep.
- 21 And even though they're individual, there are
- 22 enough individual problems that it suggests to me that

- there's something that we need to reevaluate our
- 2 enforcement and program compliance efforts, or at least
- 3 rededicate them.
- 4 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: In light of the
- 5 discussion of the motion, it appears that there is some
- 6 additional work needed on this program. Management and
- 7 the IG, can the board rely on you to get that squared
- 8 away in light of the points raised by Lillian and
- 9 embraced by others?
- 10 MR. CONSTANCE: Mr. Chairman, John Constance.
- Just speaking for myself, if directed, I mean, we'll
- 12 certainly work with Joel to that end. That's not a
- 13 problem.
- 14 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: All right. I think you
- 15 have that direction, and that will be considered as
- part of the motion that we are probably ready to
- 17 vote on.
- 18 Is there any further discussion on the motion
- 19 as amended and as discussed and as we have directed
- 20 management and the IG to modify?
- 21 MS. SINGLETON: May I ask, are we going to see
- the final version of it?

- 1 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Yes, we certainly are
- 2 going to see it, and --
- 3 MS. SINGLETON: I mean before it's turned it.
- 4 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Sure. Let's add to it
- 5 that we want that circulated to the board. And Jeff,
- if we're not satisfied with it, we can always call
- 7 another meeting. But I think we're trying to avoid
- 8 doing that, if possible.
- 9 MS. BeVIER: Mr. Chairman, would it be
- 10 possible to adjourn this meeting and have that
- 11 recirculated, or does that not work? I'm just trying
- to think of a way to get this done so that we don't
- have to give notice in the Federal Register if we need
- 14 another meeting.
- 15 I mean, I think there's enough confusion about
- this top paragraph, just about what it is we're trying
- 17 to convey and in what way, that, you know, I don't know
- 18 what management and the IG are going to come up with.
- 19 But I want it to be right.
- 20 MS. BARNETT: Vic, can you address --
- 21 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Let's ask. Vic, can you
- 22 comment on that?

- 1 MR. FORTUNO: Yes. The answer to the question
- 2 concerning adjournment is that yes, it is available to
- 3 the board for a period of -- I believe it's up to a
- 4 week. So it certainly wouldn't post a problem if what
- 5 we're talking about is reconvening in the
- 6 next -- whether today or in the next day or so.
- 7 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Well, certainly that's
- 8 fine with me. And I presume it would be okay with
- 9 everyone else on the call. And perhaps that is
- 10 preferable in light of the significant comments we've
- 11 had on the draft and the fact that there was apparently
- not an opportunity to work that out in advance of the
- 13 call.
- 14 And I would welcome suggestions on how best to
- do that and when to do it. In my own case, I could be
- 16 available later today. That may not suit anyone else.
- 17 So what is everyone's pleasure in terms of their
- 18 availability on reconvening an adjourned meeting?
- 19 MS. SINGLETON: Well, I recollect that
- somebody had a problem with later today. So how are
- 21 people tomorrow?
- 22 MS. CHILES: This is Jonann Chiles. I have a

- 1 funeral I have to go to tomorrow. I'll be out between
- 2 11:00 and probably 1:00 Central time.
- 3 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: I do have a meeting from
- 4 11:30 to 1:30 away from the office. I'd have to leave
- 5 about 11:00 to get there.
- 6 MR. GARTEN: How is an earlier meeting for
- 7 those on the West Coast?
- 8 MR. FUENTES: I have a midmorning
- 9 availability. I have an opening midmorning.
- 10 MR. GARTEN: Well, is 6:00 a.m. midmorning for
- 11 you, Tom?
- 12 (Laughter.)
- MR. FUENTES: I could certainly wake up at
- 14 6:00.
- 15 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Maybe we could -- I
- wonder if it's possible for 10:00 tomorrow, 10:00
- 17 Eastern time.
- MS. BeVIER: That works for me.
- MS. SINGLETON: Fine with me.
- 20 MS. CHILES: This is Jonann Chiles. That's
- 21 fine by me.
- 22 MR. GARTEN: Herb Garten. Fine with me.

- 1 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: All right. Did Tom
- 2 Meites speak up? Maybe he's dropped. Are you there,
- 3 Tom?
- 4 MR. FUENTES: I'm considering my options,
- 5 where I'm likely to be at 9:00 tomorrow morning. Put a
- 6 yes next too many name.
- 7 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: All right. I think
- 8 that's a quorum, isn't it? Why don't we then reconvene
- 9 the call at 10:00 a.m Wednesday, May 27, Eastern time.
- 10 And between now and then, I think it would be highly
- desirable if, after conferring, management and the IG
- 12 could circulate to the board a revised draft of the
- 13 letter.
- 14 MR. GARTEN: By the close of business today.
- 15 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Yes. Right. By COB
- 16 today so we could have that in front of and be prepared
- 17 to be efficient tomorrow.
- MS. SINGLETON: And will the numbers be all
- 19 the same to call in?
- MS. BARNETT: No. I will have to get the new
- 21 numbers.
- 22 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: I presume that's the

- 1 case. Is that not right?
- 2 MR. FORTUNO: No. This is Vic, and I believe
- 3 not. We'll certainly get that information to you
- 4 today. But I believe it's going to have to be
- 5 different.
- 6 MS. SINGLETON: How are you going to get the
- 7 information to the public?
- 8 MR. FORTUNO: What we will do is anyone who is
- 9 on the call now should check our website. We will post
- 10 the information on our website today as soon as
- 11 possible.
- MS. SINGLETON: Okay. Sounds good.
- MS. BARNETT: Is there anybody else on the
- 14 call right now other than members of the board?
- 15 MR. SAUNDERS: Hi, Helaine. This is Don
- 16 Saunders. Good morning.
- MS. BARNETT: Good morning, Don.
- 18 MS. STRANDLIE: Julie Strandlie with the
- 19 American Bar Association.
- MS. BARNETT: Good morning, Julie.
- 21 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Okay. Did you
- 22 all -- Don and Julie, did you hear that we're going to

- 1 adjourn the call until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow and there'll
- be a different call-in number?
- 3 MR. SAUNDERS: That's fine, Frank.
- 4 MS. STRANDLIE: Thanks.
- 5 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Okay. I just wanted to
- 6 make sure you heard that part of the call. I couldn't
- 7 tell when you have joined us.
- 8 MR. SAUNDERS: I've been here for the duration
- 9 so I've heard it all. Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: All right.
- 11 MR. FORTUNO: This is Vic. In addition to
- 12 putting it on our website, I will e-mail it Don and
- 13 Julie.
- 14 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: All right. In light of
- that course of action, we'll postpone considering and
- 16 acting on other business and public comment till
- 17 tomorrow when we reconvene at 10:00 a.m. Eastern time.
- 18 Unless there's any further business to discuss
- 19 today, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn until that
- 20 day and time. Is there such a motion?
- 21 MOTION
- MR. McKAY: So move. Mike McKay.

1	CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Second?
2	MS. SINGLETON: Sarah Singleton. Second.
3	CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: All right. All those in
4	favor of the motion, please say aye.
5	(A chorus of ayes.)
6	CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Those nay.
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: The ayes have it and
9	that motion is approved. And we'll reconvene tomorrow
10	at 10:00 a.m.
11	(Whereupon, at 11:32 a.m., the open board
12	meeting was adjourned, to reconvene the following day,
13	Wednesday, May 27, 2009, at 10:00 a.m.)
14	* * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	