1	LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION
2	BOARD OF DIRECTORS
3	MEETING OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE
4	AUDII COMMITTEE
5	Friday, July 24, 2009
6	1:35 p.m.
7	Capitol Plaza Hotel
8	1717 Southwest Topeka Boulevard Topeka, Kansas
9	
10	COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
11	Herbert R. Garten, Chairman Thomas R. Meites
12	IIIOMAS R. MEICES
13	ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:
14	Victor M. Fortuno, Vice President for Legal Affairs, General Counsel, and Corporate
15	Secretary Charles Jeffress, Chief Administrative Officer
16	Jeffrey Schanz, Inspector General Ronald (Dutch) Merryman, Assistant Inspector
17	General for Audits Linda Perle, Center for Law & Social Policy
18	(CLASP) Tom Hester, Counsel, Office of the Inspector
19	General
20	Matthew C. Glover, Associate Counsel, Office of the Inspector General (by telephone)
21	Inspector General (by telephone)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right, I'm
2	going to call to order the meeting of the Audit
3	Committee held this day, July 24, 2009. And
4	you have before you the agenda, and I'll ask
5	for a motion to approve it.
6	MR. MEITES: So moved.
7	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right. Could I
8	have second? I'll second it. I might tell you
9	that Jonann Chiles is not able to join us, so
10	the Committee will consist of Tom Meites and
11	myself at this meeting. Is there anybody on
12	the phone that has joined us?
13	MS. TARANTOWICZ: Yes. This is
14	Laurie Tarantowicz and Matthew Glover from the
15	LSC OIG.
16	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Okay, thank you.
17	Anybody else? Okay. We hope we don't lose
18	you. And from time to time we'll check to see
19	you're still with us, Laurie. All right, I now
20	would like motions approving the minutes of the
21	Committee's October 31, 2008, and that was the
22	one we had in Salt Lake City. Do I have a
23	motion?
24	MR. MEITES: So moved.
25	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Second.

1	MR. MEITES: Approved.
2	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Approved. And then
3	the next minutes that have to be approved was
4	our meeting of Friday, April 24th, 2009 in
5	Portland, Oregon. Do I have a motion?
6	MR. MEITES: Move the approval.
7	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: I second it.
8	MR. MEITES: Approved.
9	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Moving on, we're
10	going to have a report on the Fiscal 2008
11	Annual Audit findings on classification of
12	consultants and update on Management's response
13	to the OIG Audit of LSC's Consultant Contracts.
14	And we have presentations to be made by Vick
15	Fortuno, Charles Jeffress, Jeffrey Schanz, and
16	Ronald Merryman. And shall we take them in
17	that order?
18	MR. FORTUNO: Sure.
19	MR. JEFFRESS: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Okay. So, Vick,
21	will you start?
22	MR. FORTUNO: Yes. As you may
23	recall, the Annual Audit for LSC this year, for
24	this past year, which was released earlier this
25	year - calendar year, had a note in it about

1	how LSC treated certain workers. And the
2	corporation said it would go ahead and hear
3	from OLA and get the opinion of outside
4	counsel. We - you know, we certainly discussed
5	it. We've been discussing it for some time,
6	and discussed it with outside counsel.
7	We did retain a firm to look at this and
8	had people with expertise in this area, both
9	labor and tax, review it and study it carefully
10	and then have a conversation with us, where
11	they essentially confirmed what - what - the
12	conclusion that we had reached internally, and
13	the discussions since then have been how to -
14	what changes, if any, need to be made in order
15	to conform to the advice. And I think that's
16	what the short memo I circulated, dated the
17	June 10th, which actually appears in your Board
18	book as both - at both page 124 and 126, I see
19	two copies - addresses.
20	MR. JEFFRESS: The confidential
21	section of the Board book addresses privileged,
22	larger client communication issues, so it's not
23	in the public book, but it's in the
24	confidential section of your book.
25	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Okay. Have you

1	concluded your remarks?
2	MR. FORTUNO: That's it.
3	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Okay. Charles.
4	MR. JEFFRESS: I don't think I need
5	to add anything to that. We're working on that
6	and have by the first of October to resolve
7	that issue, and moved on with the
8	classification of consultants. Earlier this
9	year, the OIG
10	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Let me just say, as
11	I understand it, are you going to be able to
12	distinguish between your independent
13	contractors and your temporary workers?
14	MR. JEFFRESS: Yes. The question is
15	at this point LSC seems to exercise sufficient
16	control over the independent contractors, and
17	there's a question as to whether they are
18	properly classified as independent contractors.
19	So the corporation is considering two choices,
20	one, to simply make them temporary employees
21	and moot the question, or changing the nature
22	of the control over the consultants so that
23	they are truly independent contractors.
24	We've had discussions on that. We're not
25	ready at this point to make a decision and put

1	it before you, but we will be doing that
2	shortly, and we will do something before the
3	beginning of the fiscal year.
4	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right. So
5	you're taking steps to comply with the opinions
6	that you have obtained.
7	MR. JEFFRESS: Absolutely, with the
8	outside counsel. As I said, we've had
9	conversations with them. I don't have anything
10	in writing, have asked for more information.
11	They're putting that together, and we'll go
12	back to them with more information. We'll have
13	another conversation with them so that we'll be
14	able, following that, before we have a final
15	decision.
16	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Do you want to add
17	anything to that, Vick?
18	MR. FORTUNO: No.
19	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Okay. Jeff?
20	MR. JEFFRESS: I had something,
21	though, on the IG - follow-up to the IG
22	Contracting Audit.
23	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right, go
24	ahead.
25	MR. JEFFRESS: The contracting audit

1	was conducted earlier this year, and the IG
2	issued their report July 7th. It speaks to two
3	issues. One is the same issue that the
4	consultant audit speaks - I mean the financial
5	audit speaks to, is whether they're independent
6	contractors or consultants. All right, and the
7	second is our failure to follow our own
8	internal procedures when we issue consultant
9	contracts.
10	Matt responded. Our response is to part
11	of the audit of the 13 recommendations. One
12	was enclosed. The other 12 are still
13	outstanding. The other 12 are all going to
14	turn on whether or not we determine these folks
15	to be independent consultants or whether we
16	determine them to be temporary employees. If
17	they're temporary employees, that's going to,
18	as I say, moot out a lot of these issues.
19	But the reason that the audit found so
20	many problems in the first place was that,
21	while LSC had been contracting with consultants
22	like this for 20 years to assist with program
23	visits, the administrative manual in 2005
24	dropped out the provision - the special
25	provision on how these consultants are

1	contracted with. And so our current
2	administrative manual did not have a provision
3	authorizing what our practice has been for the
4	past 20 years. So the audit was conducted
5	using our current manual against our current
6	practices, and we had failed to carry forward
7	into the current manual the provision of the
8	previous manual that governed how these
9	consultants are hired.
10	But because we're reviewing whether these
11	are consultants or independent contractors, we
12	don't want to just put the old provision back
13	in there. We want to, in fact, assess the
14	right way to do this and then revise our
15	procedures in accordance with the
16	recommendations of the IG.
17	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right, so you
18	will be back to us on that.
19	MR. JEFFRESS: We'll be back to you
20	on that.
21	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right. Just
22	refresh my
23	MR. FORTUNO: I'm sorry. I was just
24	going to say there was one point of
25	clarification when you asked if there was

1	anything to add. I just wanted to be clear
2	that, with outside counsel, they've reviewed
3	and discussed with us what we've done in the
4	past up till now. What we, I guess, will be
5	discussing with them later is once whatever
6	adjustments are made at LSC, or are proposed to
7	be made at LSC, will be addressed by them so
8	that they can let us know whether they agree
9	that the - the arrangement we have at that
10	point poses no problems.
11	MR. JEFFRESS: We want to get their
12	advice and act on their advice, but we want to
13	get them a proposal to respond to.
14	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right. And I
15	presume that considering their advice, you will
16	draft your agreements, if you have independent
17	contractors, in such a way that will make it
18	very clear and confirm that you're not going to
19	run into this problem again. I have to be
20	refreshed on something. The revisions to the
21	manual that you're talking about, is that done
22	internally, or is that subject to Board
23	approval?
24	MR. JEFFRESS: The administrative
25	manual has not previously been approved by the

Т	Board, when it was revised in 2005.
2	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: But you will keep
3	the Board informed as to this particular
4	change.
5	MR. JEFFRESS: Absolutely. This is a
6	requirement of financial lawyers that we
7	resolve this, and this is very much in the
8	interest of this Committee, so we will run this
9	by you for sure.
10	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Tom.
11	MR. MEITES: I understood most of
12	what the issues raised were, and I thought the
13	management response was in the right direction,
14	but let me tell you what I'm concerned about.
15	I don't know if you've all followed this, but
16	there's been a hullabaloo about appointments of
17	special monitors in federal prosecutions,
18	particularly the U. S. Attorney for New Jersey
19	has seemed to have a lot of friends. I'm very
20	concerned that LSC not be seen as awarding
21	consulting contracts to its friends.
22	Now, I understand that people who are
23	retained as consultants are knowledgeable
24	because they've done the work. On the other
25	hand, a lot of them are professionally and

1	personally close to the people at LSC.
2	Now, I thought that the competitive
3	awards provision is the most obvious safeguard.
4	I'm not sure how practical it is to put out for
5	bidding every episode where you need someone to
6	go to Nevada with you. But I think it's very
7	important that we find some way to select
8	consultants which is beyond reproach, even if
9	this person selected is someone who has had
10	experience. I would hope they do. But I want
11	to make sure that the selection process is
12	squeaky clean, because we've all learned how
13	eager the Washington Post and our other
14	journalistic friends are to look at issues like
15	that.
16	And I don't find that management response
17	is as clear on that as I would like. I
18	understand it's a difficult problem, because
19	management hires lots of consultants to do a
20	variety of jobs, and some, apparently, are
21	hired on fairly short notice. So I would like
22	management and the IG to work together if they
23	can on this and to figure out if this
24	competitive bidding process is going to work,
25	how it can be made better but I do want report

1	back at our next meeting to get some assurance,
2	at least to feel some assurance, that we have a
3	procedure that not only can withstand public
4	scrutiny, but also is hiring the right people
5	as consultants.
6	I kind of think that the second part is
7	happening already, though I really don't know,
8	but I'm still concerned that what I've seen in
9	these papers does not set out for me a
10	procedure that I really can say I understand
11	and have confidence in and is practical to
12	work.
13	So I'd like - that's my one thing I would
14	like to follow up on is just the award of -
15	selection of an award of contracts to
16	consultants.
17	MR. JEFFRESS: If I could respond to
18	this briefly.
19	MR. MEITES: Sure.
20	MR. JEFFRESS: You're right. Our
21	response to the contracting audit was really a
22	response to each of the recommendations and not
23	a broader picture of what we do.
24	In terms of the consultants that
25	accompany OCE to do their program visits for

1	monitoring for compliance purposes, last year,
2	because we were expanding the number of visits,
3	we needed more consultants. What we did was to
4	advertise for people that were interested in
5	doing this work. We don't exactly have folks
6	compete, because we offer a set fee. It's \$400
7	a day. It's now gone to five. At that time it
8	was 400 and now gone to \$500 a day for the
9	work. So it's not like folks could put in bids
10	for doing it for
11	MR. MEITES: I understand.
12	MR. JEFFRESS: more or less
13	amounts. But we did advertise for people
14	interested in it, had a meeting with those that
15	were, screened them, and then selected from
16	amongst those that responded the folks that we
17	thought would best - you know, would best be
18	able to assist us. So I think that is, while
19	it's not competitive bidding on a price
20	standpoint, it is one way of assuring that
21	there is some kind of selection process, that
22	it's not awarding friends. And I want to make
23	sure, like you do, that we have a process
24	that's
25	MR. MEITES: Well, what might help

1	me, then, for our next meeting, if you or
2	someone gives a little something written about
3	it so we see the notices you put out, an idea
4	of how many people responded, just get an idea
5	if the system's working. And I also like the
6	IG's comments about whether they think the
7	system can be improved - the substantive system
8	of notifying and selecting consultants, because
9	I got to tell you, there's no bigger, easier
10	scandal than consultant Smith got 13 out of 13
11	bids.
12	MR. JEFFRESS: Right.
13	MR. SCHANZ: This is Jeff Schanz, the
14	Inspector General. Very clearly - and the
15	intent of our report is to say it in the
16	easiest sense, in the simplest sense - is we
17	need to see a document trail, and where key
18	decisions have been made, and how those key
19	decisions have been documented.
20	We're very sensitive to the flammability
21	of contracting issues. In fact, the entire
22	Inspector General community has a National
23	Procurement Fraud Task Force. So this is
24	endemic throughout the entire government, not
25	just the LSC.

1	And I agree with you wholeheartedly that
2	we should be squeaky clean in everything we do;
3	and we should be transparent, more importantly,
4	in the decision process - processes of the
5	contracts. That, I think, will go a long way,
6	and it's too soon for the IG to opine on that,
7	because we haven't seen the promised
8	corrections
9	MR. MEITES: So the ball's in
10	Charles's court at this time.
11	MR. SCHANZ: Most certainly - well,
12	and we've adopted ownership of the corporate
13	auditor's footnote recommendation to classify
14	these people as either independent contractors
15	or temporary employees. So we need that
16	information before we can move forward on
17	closing some of the recommendations.
18	Secondarily, and just as important as the first
19	step, is to make sure that the processes that
20	are going to be put into place work.
21	MR. MEITES: I'm focused on the
22	second, to make sure that what both works and
23	is practical and also is what we need in terms
24	of both clarity and being beyond reproach. So,
25	Charles, why don't - I will assume that you

1	have the laboring order for our next meeting.
2	MR. JEFFRESS: I accept that. I
3	think I do as well. And I think your point is
4	well taken that in addition to just responding
5	to the recommendations in the contracting
6	audit, perhaps we should do something more
7	elaborate that describes the whole process for
8	screening and
9	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Also the number of
10	people involved here on a normal basis, as I
11	understand it, isn't a big number involved.
12	MR. JEFFRESS: No. That's right.
13	We're talking about
14	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Who you have
15	employed in the past and the special expertise
16	that they had to assist those conducting the
17	audit, and I guess the dollars involved would
18	be helpful for the Board to have the whole
19	picture.
20	MR. JEFFRESS: Right. These are
21	relatively small contracts, generally under
22	\$5,000.
23	MR. MEITES: We understand that. But
24	if you could put those numbers and information
25	together, I think that would help

1	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Yeah. I think you
2	got the sense of the Committee of two.
3	MR. JEFFRESS: Okay.
4	MR. SCHANZ: I would like to advise
5	the Committee of two, and following up on Mr.
6	Meites's point, is that we have received an
7	awful lot of congressional interest in this
8	particular report, and there's going to be a, I
9	think, larger oversight, not just the Audit
10	Committee, but above and beyond, if there is
11	such a thing above and beyond an Audit
12	Committee, that congressional interest in this
13	process is very pronounced.
14	MR. MEITES: That's good news,
15	because if we have ideas and they have ideas,
16	let's get the best system we can.
17	MR. SCHANZ: I agree.
18	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right. Do we
19	have any further reports on this? Ron?
20	MR. MERRYMAN: Well, there's just a
21	couple of things I just want to make sure in
22	talking about this. You know, as Charles has
23	said, we're waiting for the documents, what has
24	changed, so we can do our assessment of the
25	action; but we also - I do think it's important

т	to mention that we do also have a concern that
2	since this discussion has been going on for a
3	number of years, and that there's a potential
4	for liability to the corporation that I think
5	should be recognized and should be looked at,
6	because it could be a significant amount of
7	money. It may not be, but it could be.
8	But someone needs to take a look and see
9	if we have done something in the past that's
10	against IRS rules, DC rules; what are we going
11	to be doing to correct that, to get that
12	costed, because it could be a very large
13	potential liability.
14	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: I can assure you
15	that a lot of effort is going to be put in that
16	area.
17	MR. JEFFRESS: And I can assure the
18	Committee that this has been part of our
19	conversation with our outside counsel, and we
20	have both a tax attorney and an employment
21	attorney involved in the question.
22	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: And between Tom and
23	I, we'll give it some additional
24	MR. MERRYMAN: Okay. Expertise on
25	the Committee.

1	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: You know, when you
2	have a Committee of two, you can accomplish a
3	great deal.
4	MR. SCHANZ: We would welcome your
5	input, but we want to see the contract that you
6	have with the corporation first.
7	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Very well put. So
8	shall we move on to our next item on the
9	agenda? And that is the report on the
10	selection of the outside auditor for fiscal
11	2009. Jeff?
12	MR. SCHANZ: I'll defer to the
13	Assistant Inspector General for Audit, who
14	spoke a little bit sooner than this on the
15	record. I don't know if he identified himself,
16	but that's Dutch Merryman, and he has
17	information for you that's contained in the
18	Board book.
19	MR. MERRYMAN: There was some
20	information placed in the Board book that dealt
21	with a process for selecting the IPA from like
22	1992. It's not been the practice for the last
23	decade, essentially, but it was the only piece
24	of paper I could find.
25	MR. JEFFRESS: I'm glad we're not the

only ones whose practice may be different from

2	what's on paper.
3	MR. MERRYMAN: Well, we don't - we
4	cannot find anything in the minutes that did
5	away with this. We looked. But the practice
6	has been for the IG to make the selection
7	report to the Committee, with the Committee's
8	responsibilities of oversight of that process.
9	We now have a Committee to report to and update
10	and keep you informed what's going on.
11	I'll talk about the IPA selection process
12	real quick. We did send out an RFP, request
13	for proposal, and we received eight bids back
14	on that. Seven bids were qualified. One of
15	the bidders was more or less an individual who
16	was interested only in preparing the financial
17	statements to get them ready for an audit.
18	We had a team of three members who
19	independently evaluated first the technical
20	aspects against the criteria contained in the
21	RFP, and then collectively the individuals came
22	together to reach a consensus on each of the
23	bids as far as technical quality.
24	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Did the team
25	consist of any consultants?

L	MR. MERRYMAN: No, sir, just three
2	auditors. We had a lot of audit speak. And
3	not outside. We had it all internal. What we
1	did do with the - and that was 70 percent of
5	the consideration.

Thirty percent of the consideration was cost. We had another individual, who was not on the team, do a spreadsheet on the cost and use - this individual used the name of fruits as opposed to companies so we could review the cost without - hopefully without influence of whose bid it was. And we reached a consensus on the cost figures, then we married the two up.

And where we're at right now, we did identify the three most highly qualified candidates, and we have also agreed, reached consensus, on selection and are waiting to have this meeting before going ahead and starting contracting with the candidate that we deem to have the best both technical and cost proposal combined for the corporation. So we're ready to move out. I don't want to say names yet, because we have not notified anybody.

MR. MEITES: And I have some things

1	I'd like to say, but I want you to complete the
2	selection process before I make any comments.
3	MR. MERRYMAN: Okay.
4	MR. MEITES: Whatever questions I
5	have, let's wait until you select the person,
6	then we'll ask questions.
7	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: I have some
8	questions, and if they're not appropriate, you
9	tell me. Of the three you said were most
10	qualified, were the range of fees about - was
11	it close?
12	MR. MERRYMAN: There was over - at
13	least 25 percent spread in fees.
14	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Between each of the
15	three.
16	MR. MERRYMAN: Between the three.
17	And there was a larger spread between the group
18	as a whole.
19	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Okay. And of the
20	three most qualified, you have decided to
21	select one unnamed.
22	MR. MERRYMAN: We've identified one.
23	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: And what stood out
24	as the reason why you picked that one of the
25	three?

1	MR. MERRYMAN: I don't know if I'm
2	allowed to say this or not, but I'll say it in
3	very general terms. From a technical
4	qualification
5	MS. PERLE: I think we've lost them.
6	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Is somebody there?
7	MR. SCHANZ: Laurie, Matt, are you
8	still on line?
9	MR. JEFFRESS: Our green light has
10	gone out. We've lost the connection to the
11	conference center. Let me go get Kathleen,
12	because I don't know what the number is to call
13	back.
14	(THEREUPON, there was a conversation
15	in low tones between Chairman Garten and Mr.
16	Meites.)
17	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right. My
18	co-member suggests that I withdraw the
19	question, which I do.
20	MR. SCHANZ: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: He's my counsel.
22	MR. SCHANZ: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Well, what's your
24	time table?
25	MR. MERRYMAN: Our time table is to

1	do reference checks, and I have that done, and
2	have the contract negotiated and awarded by the
3	31st is our goal.
4	MR. MEITES: Thirty-first.
5	MR. MERRYMAN: Thirty-first of July.
6	MR. MEITES: Very good. Herb, do you
7	want to say anything before he awards the
8	contract?
9	MR. SCHANZ: Laurie, Matt, are you
10	back on line?
11	(THEREUPON, there was a discussion in
12	low tones between Mr. Meites and Chairman
13	Garten.)
14	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: The contract that
15	you're entering into
16	MR. MERRYMAN: Mm-hmm.
17	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: does it follow
18	the lines of the RFP, or what is it?
19	MR. MERRYMAN: It follows the lines
20	of the BRP, and what we used last time. I can
21	send the blind contract if you'd like to review
22	that.
23	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Well, did you
24	incorporate any of the changes I suggested?
25	MR. MERRYMAN: We did not incorporate

1	the time is of the essence, but the times are
2	spelled out very specifically in the contract,
3	but we can add that to the contract.
4	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: And what about the
5	other provision with regard to the additional
6	MR. MERRYMAN: That is in the
7	contract. When I sent the e-mail, I misspoke.
8	It was in the contract as opposed to the RFP.
9	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: It is there.
10	MR. MERRYMAN: It is, and that's
11	where that wording came from, the revised RFP.
12	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right. But why
13	don't you send me the revised contract?
14	MR. MERRYMAN: I will. I'll send it
15	to you on Monday.
16	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: That's fine.
17	Anything? Dutch or Jeff, do you want to add
18	anything?
19	MR. MERRYMAN: I do want to just
20	recognize one thing, that we did make a revised
21	RFP after we put the current one out. For
22	future reference, we had a number of
23	recommendations from the Chairman. We thank
24	you for that, Mr. Garten.
25	And these recommendations - these

1	included expanding information about the Board
2	and the Board's role, about the Committee and
3	the Committee's role, including time is of the
4	essence clause in the contract - or in the RFP
5	so there's no doubt about the time frame. We
6	included a paragraph on - under extraordinary
7	circumstances for additional potential increase
8	in the price, if it was caused by us, but it
9	had to be approved by, you know, by the IG
10	before anything could go in.
11	We talked about the Audit Committee's
12	role in the oversight process, and again - I'll
13	say it again, the time is of the essence, we
14	did put a separate section in on that and
15	defined that to make sure everybody understood
16	that we need to have those dates confirmed.
17	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: And I want to thank
18	you for the cooperation you gave me, and you
19	did incorporate, I think, everything that I had
20	suggested, and I think we have a better
21	document for it.
22	MR. MERRYMAN: We're going to file
23	that. We have a contract file that we
24	maintain.
25	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Yeah. Did any of

1	the bidders object to any of the language?
2	MR. MERRYMAN: No. Well, we had
3	questions. We posted a question and answer
4	page. We had some questions, but no one
5	objected. We actually sent the proposal out to
6	23 different bidders from the GSA contract,
7	tried to get a variety of sized firms, and -
8	but we have no idea how many hit the website
9	and downloaded it.
10	But we were pleased that we had seven
11	qualified bids come in. It really made it a
12	good process to look at and analyze. We had -
13	you know, the people who applied, they were
14	good companies, good bids. And it was a
15	difficult decision, but we were able to get
16	through it, and we're ready to move forward.
17	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Good.
18	MR. MEITES: Just be sure when you
19	announce your decision you let Herb and I know
20	who you selected, send a brief bio or
21	something. Herb knows the firms. I don't.
22	But I'd like to
23	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: I don't know who
24	they are.
25	MR. MEITES: Just something

1	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Dutch, would you
2	send me and Tom the thing you published on the
3	questions and answers?
4	MR. MERRYMAN: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Okay. All right.
6	Have we got anything else?
7	MR. MEITES: I don't think so.
8	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: What should I call
9	you, vice chair, or what?
10	MR. MEITES: No, I'm just a humble
11	member, Herb.
12	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: All right. We're
13	at the point. Do we have any public comment
14	from anybody? Do we have people on the phone
15	again?
16	MR. MERRYMAN: It's off. The light's
17	off.
18	MR. SCHANZ: We lost connection. For
19	the record, initially we had Laurie Tarantowicz
20	and Matt Glover from the Inspector General's
21	Office, legal counsel, and they're not on the
22	line now.
23	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Do we have any
24	other comments? All right. Is there any other
25	business to be taken up by this Committee?

1	Hearing none, I'll assume there is not. I'll
2	take a motion to adjourn.
3	MR. MEITES: I move we adjourn.
4	CHAIRMAN GARTEN: Okay. I don't
5	think it needs a second, but I'll second it.
6	MR. MEITES: Thank you very much.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	